

## Pascal's triangle (mod 9)

by

JAMES G. HUARD (Buffalo, N.Y.),  
BLAIR K. SPEARMAN (Kelowna, B.C.)  
and KENNETH S. WILLIAMS (Ottawa, Ont.)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $n$  denote a nonnegative integer. The  $n$ th row of Pascal's triangle consists of the  $n + 1$  binomial coefficients

$$\binom{n}{0} \quad \binom{n}{1} \quad \binom{n}{2} \quad \cdots \quad \binom{n}{n}.$$

We denote by  $N_n(t, m)$  the number of binomial coefficients in the  $n$ th row of Pascal's triangle which are congruent to  $t$  modulo  $m$ , where  $t$  and  $m$  are integers with  $m \geq 2$ . Explicit formulae for  $N_n(t, m)$  for certain values of  $t$  and  $m$  have been given by a number of authors, for example  $m = 2$  (Glaisher [3]),  $m = 3$  (Hexel and Sachs [5]),  $m = 4$  (Davis and Webb [2], Granville [4]),  $m = 5$  (Hexel and Sachs [5]),  $m = 8$  (Granville [4], Huard, Spearman and Williams [6]), and  $m = p$  (prime) (Hexel and Sachs [5], Webb [10]).

In this paper we treat the case  $m = 9$ . We determine explicit formulae for  $N_n(t, 9)$  for  $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 8$ ; see the Theorem in Section 2.

We use throughout the 3-ary representation of  $n$ , namely,

$$(1.1) \quad n = a_0 + a_1 3 + a_2 3^2 + \dots + a_l 3^l = a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots a_l,$$

where  $l \geq 0$ , each  $a_i = 0, 1$  or  $2$ , and  $a_l = 1$  or  $2$  unless  $n = 0$  in which case  $l = 0$  and  $a_0 = 0$ . We denote by  $r$  an arbitrary integer between  $0$  and  $n$  inclusive, and we suppose that the 3-ary representation of  $r$  is (with additional zeros at the right hand end if necessary)  $r = b_0 b_1 \dots b_l$ . From a theorem of Kummer [8, Lehrsatz, pp. 115–116] (proved in 1852), we can

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11A07.

*Key words and phrases*: binomial coefficients.

Research of the third author was supported by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Grant A-7233.

deduce the exact power of 3 dividing  $\binom{n}{r}$ , namely,

$$(1.2) \quad 3^{c(n,r)} \parallel \binom{n}{r},$$

where  $c(n, r)$  is the number of carries when adding the 3-ary representations of  $r$  and  $n - r$  in base 3. A special case of a theorem of Lucas [9, p. 52] (proved in 1878) gives the residue of  $\binom{n}{r}$  modulo 3, namely,

$$(1.3) \quad \binom{n}{r} \equiv \binom{a_0}{b_0} \binom{a_1}{b_1} \cdots \binom{a_l}{b_l} \pmod{3},$$

with the usual interpretation that  $\binom{a_i}{b_i} = 0$  if  $b_i > a_i$ . If  $3 \nmid \binom{n}{r}$  (equivalently  $c(n, r) = 0$ ) the residue of  $\binom{n}{r}$  modulo 9 follows from a theorem of Granville [4, Proposition 2, p. 326] (proved in 1992), namely, if  $3 \nmid \binom{n}{r}$  and  $l \geq 1$  then

$$(1.4) \quad \binom{n}{r} \equiv \frac{\binom{a_0 + 3a_1}{b_0 + 3b_1} \binom{a_1 + 3a_2}{b_1 + 3b_2} \cdots \binom{a_{l-1} + 3a_l}{b_{l-1} + 3b_l}}{\binom{a_1}{b_1} \cdots \binom{a_{l-1}}{b_{l-1}}} \pmod{9},$$

with the convention that when  $l = 1$  the denominator is the empty product = 1. Further, if  $3 \parallel \binom{n}{r}$  (equivalently  $c(n, r) = 1$ ), then a theorem of Kazandzidis [7] gives the residue of  $\binom{n}{r}$  modulo 9, namely,

$$(1.5) \quad \binom{n}{r} \equiv -3 \frac{a_0! a_1! \cdots a_l!}{b_0! b_1! \cdots b_l! c_0! c_1! \cdots c_l!} \pmod{9},$$

where  $c_0 c_1 \cdots c_l$  is the 3-ary representation of  $n - r$ . Both (1.4) and (1.5) also follow from an extension of Lucas' theorem given by Davis and Webb [1].

We conclude this introduction by giving the following formulae of Hexel and Sachs [5]: if  $n_1$  denotes the number of 1's and  $n_2$  the number of 2's in the string  $a_0 a_1 \cdots a_l$  then

$$\begin{aligned} N_n(0, 3) &= n + 1 - 2^{n_1} 3^{n_2}, \\ N_n(1, 3) &= \frac{1}{2}(2^{n_1} 3^{n_2} + 2^{n_1}), \\ N_n(2, 3) &= \frac{1}{2}(2^{n_1} 3^{n_2} - 2^{n_1}). \end{aligned}$$

**2. Statement of results.** If  $S$  is a string of 0's, 1's and 2's, we denote by  $n_S = n_S(\mathbf{a})$  the number of occurrences of  $S$  in the string  $\mathbf{a} = a_0 a_1 \cdots a_l$ . Thus, for example, if  $a_0 a_1 \cdots a_l = 01112010012$  then  $n_{11} = 2$ ,  $n_{12} = 2$ ,  $n_{001} = 1$ , and  $n_{121} = 0$ . Making use of the results (1.2)–(1.5), we prove

the following theorem in Sections 4 and 5. We note that for a nonnegative integer  $m$ ,

$$0^m = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases}$$

THEOREM.

$$N_n(0, 9) = n + 1 - 2^{n_1} 3^{n_2} - n_{01} 2^{n_1} 3^{n_2} - n_{02} 2^{n_1+2} 3^{n_2-1} - n_{11} 2^{n_1-2} 3^{n_2} - n_{12} 2^{n_1} 3^{n_2-1}.$$

For  $t = 3, 6$ ,

$$N_n(t, 9) = n_{01} 2^{n_1-1} (3^{n_2} - (-1)^t) + n_{02} 2^{n_1+1} (3^{n_2-1} + (-1)^t) + n_{11} 2^{n_1-3} (3^{n_2} + (-1)^t) + n_{12} 2^{n_1-1} (3^{n_2-1} - (-1)^t).$$

For  $t = 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8$ ,

$$N_n(t, 9) = \frac{1}{6} \{ 2^{n_1} 3^{n_2} + (-1)^{\text{ind}_2 t} 2^{n_1} + (-1)^{\text{ind}_2 t + n_{11} + n_{122}} 2^{n_1 - n_{11} + n_{122} + 1} \text{Re}(X) + 0^{n_{122}} (-1)^{n_{11}} 2^{n_1 - n_{11} + 1} 3^{n_{22} - n_{122}} \text{Re}(Y) \},$$

where  $\text{ind}_2 t$  denotes the unique integer  $j$  such that  $t \equiv 2^j \pmod{9}$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq 5$ ,

$$X = \beta^{\text{ind}_2 t - n_{11} - n_{12} + n_{121} - n_{122}} (2 - \beta)^{n_{21} - n_{121}} \times (3 + \beta)^{n_2 - n_{12} - n_{21} - n_{22} + n_{121} + n_{122}},$$

$$Y = \beta^{-\text{ind}_2 t + n_{11}} (1 - \beta)^{n_{21} - n_{121}} (2 + \beta)^{n_2 - n_{21} - n_{22}} (1 + 2\beta)^{n_{121}},$$

and  $\beta = \exp(2\pi i/3)$ .

For  $n = 0, 1, \dots, 8$  Table 1 gives the values of the expressions involving  $n, n_1, n_2, n_{01}, n_{02}, n_{11}, n_{12}, n_{21}, n_{22}, n_{121}, n_{122}$  occurring on the right hand sides of the formulae for  $N_n(t, 9)$  given in the Theorem. Clearly  $n_{121} = n_{122} = 0$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, 8$ .

Table 1

$n$	$n$ in base 3	$n_1$	$n_2$	$n_{01}$	$n_{02}$	$n_{11}$	$n_{12}$	$n_{21}$	$n_{22}$	Right hand sides of formulae in Theorem for $t = 0, 1, \dots, 8$							
										0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
3	01	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
4	11	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0
5	21	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	0
6	02	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	4	0
7	12	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
8	22	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	1

The first nine rows of Pascal's triangle (mod 9) are

0	1
1	1 1
2	1 2 1
3	1 3 3 1
4	1 4 6 4 1
5	1 5 1 1 5 1
6	1 6 6 2 6 6 1
7	1 7 3 8 8 3 7 1
8	1 8 1 2 7 2 1 8 1

From this triangle we deduce easily the values of  $N_n(t, 9)$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, 8$  and  $t = 0, 1, \dots, 8$ . These values are in agreement with those in Table 1 so the Theorem holds for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, 8$ . Thus in the proof of the Theorem in Sections 4 and 5, we may suppose that  $n \geq 9$ , so that  $l \geq 2$ .

In the next section we evaluate a sum which will be used in the determination of  $N_n(t, 9)$  ( $3 \nmid t$ ) in Section 4.

**3. Evaluation of the sum  $S(\mathbf{c}; \alpha)$ .** Let  $k$  be a positive integer. Let  $\mathbf{c} = c_0 c_1 \dots c_k$  be a string of length  $k + 1$  ( $\geq 2$ ) with each  $c_i = 0, 1, 2$ . Let  $\mathbf{d} = d_0 d_1 \dots d_k$  be a string of length  $k + 1$  with each  $d_i = 0, 1, 2$  and  $d_i \leq c_i$ . As  $0 \leq d_i \leq c_i \leq 2$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ ) we have

$$\binom{c_i}{d_i} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \quad (i = 0, \dots, k)$$

and by Lucas' theorem (see (1.3))

$$\binom{c_{i-1} + 3c_i}{d_{i-1} + 3d_i} \equiv \binom{c_{i-1}}{d_{i-1}} \binom{c_i}{d_i} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \quad (i = 1, \dots, k)$$

so that

$$\frac{\binom{c_0 + 3c_1}{d_0 + 3d_1} \binom{c_1 + 3c_2}{d_1 + 3d_2} \cdots \binom{c_{k-1} + 3c_k}{d_{k-1} + 3d_k}}{\binom{c_1}{d_1} \cdots \binom{c_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}}} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3},$$

where the denominator is understood to be the empty product ( $= 1$ ) when  $k = 1$ .

Thus we can define  $e(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) = 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8$  by

$$(3.1) \quad e(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) \equiv \frac{\binom{c_0 + 3c_1}{d_0 + 3d_1} \binom{c_1 + 3c_2}{d_1 + 3d_2} \cdots \binom{c_{k-1} + 3c_k}{d_{k-1} + 3d_k}}{\binom{c_1}{d_1} \cdots \binom{c_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}}} \pmod{9}.$$

We set

$$i(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \quad \text{according as} \quad e(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) = 1, 2, 4, 8, 7, 5,$$

so that

$$(3.2) \quad i(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) = \text{ind}_2(e(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})).$$

Then, for any sixth root of unity  $\alpha$ , we define the sum  $S(\mathbf{c}; \alpha)$  by

$$(3.3) \quad S(\mathbf{c}; \alpha) = \sum_{d_0=0}^{c_0} \dots \sum_{d_k=0}^{c_k} \alpha^{i(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})}.$$

The objective of this section is to evaluate the sum  $S(\mathbf{c}; \alpha)$  explicitly. This evaluation will be used in Section 4 to determine  $N_n(t, 9)$  for  $3 \nmid t$ .

We denote by  $\mathbf{c}'$  the substring of  $\mathbf{c} = c_0c_1 \dots c_k$  formed by removing the first term, that is,  $\mathbf{c}' = c_1 \dots c_k$ . Our first lemma relates  $i(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$  and  $i(\mathbf{c}', \mathbf{d}')$  modulo 6.

LEMMA 1. For  $k \geq 2$  we have

$$i(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) \equiv \text{ind}_2 \left\{ \frac{\binom{c_0 + 3c_1}{d_0 + 3d_1}}{\binom{c_1}{d_1}} \right\} + i(\mathbf{c}', \mathbf{d}') \pmod{6}.$$

Proof. From (3.1) we have

$$e(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) \equiv \frac{\binom{c_0 + 3c_1}{d_0 + 3d_1}}{\binom{c_1}{d_1}} e(\mathbf{c}', \mathbf{d}') \pmod{9}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} i(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) &= \text{ind}_2(e(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})) \\ &= \text{ind}_2 \left( \frac{\binom{c_0 + 3c_1}{d_0 + 3d_1}}{\binom{c_1}{d_1}} e(\mathbf{c}', \mathbf{d}') \right) \\ &\equiv \text{ind}_2 \left\{ \frac{\binom{c_0 + 3c_1}{d_0 + 3d_1}}{\binom{c_1}{d_1}} \right\} + \text{ind}_2(e(\mathbf{c}', \mathbf{d}')) \pmod{6} \end{aligned}$$

$$\equiv \text{ind}_2 \left\{ \frac{\binom{c_0 + 3c_1}{d_0 + 3d_1}}{\binom{c_1}{d_1}} \right\} + i(\mathbf{c}', \mathbf{d}') \pmod{6}. \blacksquare$$

Our second lemma gives a relationship between  $S(\mathbf{c}; \alpha)$  and  $S(\mathbf{c}'; \alpha)$  if  $c_0c_1 \neq 12$  and between  $S(\mathbf{c}; \alpha)$  and  $S(\mathbf{c}''; \alpha)$  if  $c_0c_1 = 12$ , where  $\mathbf{c}'' = (\mathbf{c}')' = c_2 \dots c_k$ .

LEMMA 2. For  $k \geq 2$ , we have  $S(\mathbf{c}; \alpha) = f(c_0c_1; \alpha)S(\mathbf{c}'; \alpha)$ , where

$$(3.4) \quad f(c_0c_1; \alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c_0 = 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } c_0c_1 = 10, \\ 1 + \alpha^2 & \text{if } c_0c_1 = 11, \\ 2 + \alpha & \text{if } c_0c_1 = 20, \\ 2 + \alpha^5 & \text{if } c_0c_1 = 21, \\ 2 + \alpha^3 & \text{if } c_0c_1 = 22. \end{cases}$$

For  $k \geq 3$ , we have  $S(\mathbf{c}; \alpha) = g(c_0c_1c_2; \alpha)S(\mathbf{c}''; \alpha)$ , where

$$(3.5) \quad g(c_0c_1c_2; \alpha) = \begin{cases} 2(1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4) & \text{if } c_0c_1c_2 = 120, \\ 2(1 + \alpha + \alpha^4) & \text{if } c_0c_1c_2 = 121, \\ 2(1 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^5) & \text{if } c_0c_1c_2 = 122. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For  $k \geq 2$  and any integer  $d_0$  satisfying  $0 \leq d_0 \leq c_0$ , we define

$$(3.6) \quad F(d_0, \mathbf{c}; \alpha) = \sum_{d_1=0}^{c_1} \dots \sum_{d_k=0}^{c_k} \alpha^{i(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{d_0=0}^{c_0} F(d_0, \mathbf{c}; \alpha) = \sum_{d_0=0}^{c_0} \sum_{d_1=0}^{c_1} \dots \sum_{d_k=0}^{c_k} \alpha^{i(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})},$$

so that

$$(3.7) \quad S(\mathbf{c}; \alpha) = \sum_{d_0=0}^{c_0} F(d_0, \mathbf{c}; \alpha).$$

Also for  $k \geq 2$  we have, by (3.6) and Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} F(d_0, \mathbf{c}; \alpha) &= \sum_{d_1=0}^{c_1} \dots \sum_{d_k=0}^{c_k} \alpha^{\text{ind}_2\{\binom{c_0+3c_1}{d_0+3d_1} / \binom{c_1}{d_1}\} + i(\mathbf{c}', \mathbf{d}')} \\ &= \sum_{d_1=0}^{c_1} \alpha^{\text{ind}_2\{\binom{c_0+3c_1}{d_0+3d_1} / \binom{c_1}{d_1}\}} \sum_{d_2=0}^{c_2} \dots \sum_{d_k=0}^{c_k} \alpha^{i(\mathbf{c}', \mathbf{d}')}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(3.8) \quad F(d_0, \mathbf{c}; \alpha) = \sum_{d_1=0}^{c_1} \alpha^{\text{ind}_2\{(c_0+3c_1)/(d_0+3d_1)\}/\binom{c_1}{d_1}} F(d_1, \mathbf{c}'; \alpha).$$

Next we define the  $(c_0 + 1) \times 1$  matrix  $A(\mathbf{c}; \alpha)$  by

$$(3.9) \quad A(\mathbf{c}; \alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} F(0, \mathbf{c}; \alpha) \\ \vdots \\ F(c_0, \mathbf{c}; \alpha) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, from (3.8), we deduce that for  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$(3.10) \quad A(\mathbf{c}; \alpha) = M(c_0c_1; \alpha)A(\mathbf{c}'; \alpha),$$

where  $M(c_0c_1; \alpha)$  is the  $(c_0+1) \times (c_1+1)$  matrix whose entry in the  $(i, j)$  place ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, c_0; j = 0, 1, \dots, c_1$ ) is

$$\alpha^{\text{ind}_2\{(c_0+3c_1)/(i+3j)\}/\binom{c_1}{j}}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} M(00; \alpha) &= [1], & M(01; \alpha) &= [1 \quad 1], \\ M(10; \alpha) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, & M(11; \alpha) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha^2 \\ \alpha^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ M(20; \alpha) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, & M(21; \alpha) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \alpha^5 & \alpha^5 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ M(02; \alpha) &= [1 \quad 1 \quad 1], \\ M(12; \alpha) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha^2 & \alpha^4 \\ \alpha^4 & \alpha^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ M(22; \alpha) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha^3 & \alpha^3 & \alpha^3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $c_0c_1 \neq 12$  then the  $c_1 + 1$  columns of the matrix  $M(c_0c_1; \alpha)$  all have the same sum. Hence summing the rows in (3.9), and appealing to (3.7) and (3.10), we obtain

$$S(\mathbf{c}; \alpha) = f(c_0c_1; \alpha)S(\mathbf{c}'; \alpha),$$

where

$$f(c_0c_1; \alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c_0c_1 = 00, \\ 2 & \text{if } c_0c_1 = 10, \\ 2 + \alpha & \text{if } c_0c_1 = 20, \\ 1 & \text{if } c_0c_1 = 01, \\ 1 + \alpha^2 & \text{if } c_0c_1 = 11, \\ 2 + \alpha^5 & \text{if } c_0c_1 = 21, \\ 1 & \text{if } c_0c_1 = 02, \\ 2 + \alpha^3 & \text{if } c_0c_1 = 22. \end{cases}$$

Now suppose that  $c_0c_1 = 12$  and  $k \geq 3$ . By (3.10) we have

$$(3.11) \quad A(\mathbf{c}; \alpha) = M(c_0c_1; \alpha)M(c_1c_2; \alpha)A(\mathbf{c}'', \alpha),$$

where  $\mathbf{c}'' = (\mathbf{c}')' = c_2 \dots c_l$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} M(12; \alpha)M(20; \alpha) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha^2 & \alpha^4 \\ \alpha^4 & \alpha^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4 \\ 1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4 \end{bmatrix}, \\ M(12; \alpha)M(21; \alpha) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha^2 & \alpha^4 \\ \alpha^4 & \alpha^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \alpha^5 & \alpha^5 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \alpha + \alpha^4 & 1 + \alpha + \alpha^4 \\ 1 + \alpha + \alpha^4 & 1 + \alpha + \alpha^4 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M(12; \alpha)M(22; \alpha) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha^2 & \alpha^4 \\ \alpha^4 & \alpha^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha^3 & \alpha^3 & \alpha^3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^5 & 1 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^5 & 1 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^5 \\ 1 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^5 & 1 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^5 & 1 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^5 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

For each of these products, the column sums are the same. Hence summing the rows in (3.11), we obtain

$$S(\mathbf{c}; \alpha) = g(c_0c_1c_2; \alpha)S(\mathbf{c}'', \alpha),$$

where

$$g(c_0c_1c_2; \alpha) = \begin{cases} 2(1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4) & \text{if } c_0c_1c_2 = 120, \\ 2(1 + \alpha + \alpha^4) & \text{if } c_0c_1c_2 = 121, \\ 2(1 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^5) & \text{if } c_0c_1c_2 = 122. \blacksquare \end{cases}$$

We are now ready to use Lemma 2 to evaluate  $S(\mathbf{c}; \alpha)$ .



PROPOSITION. Let  $\mathbf{c} = c_0c_1 \dots c_k$  be a string of length  $k + 1 \geq 2$  with each  $c_i = 0, 1$  or  $2$ . Denote by  $n_S$  the number of occurrences of the string  $S$  in  $\mathbf{c}$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a sixth root of unity. Then

$$(3.12) \quad S(\mathbf{c}; \alpha) = 2^{n_1 - n_{11}} (1 + \alpha^2)^{n_{11}} (2 + \alpha)^{n_2 - n_{12} - n_{21} - n_{22} + n_{121} + n_{122}} \\ \times (2 + \alpha^5)^{n_{21} - n_{121}} (2 + \alpha^3)^{n_{22} - n_{122}} \\ \times (1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4)^{n_{12} - n_{121} - n_{122}} (1 + \alpha + \alpha^4)^{n_{121}} \\ \times (1 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^5)^{n_{122}}.$$

PROOF. The proof of (3.12) is by induction on  $k \geq 1$ . When  $k = 1$  we have

$$S(\mathbf{c}; \alpha) = S(c_0c_1; \alpha) = \sum_{d_0=0}^{c_0} \sum_{d_1=0}^{c_1} \alpha^{\text{ind}_2 \binom{c_0+3c_1}{d_0+3d_1}}.$$

The values of this sum for  $c_0c_1 = 00, 01, \dots, 22$  are given in Table 2. The values of the expression on the right hand side of (3.12) when  $k = 1$  are given in Table 3. These two tables show that the Proposition is true for  $k = 1$ .

Table 2

$c_0c_1$	$S(c_0c_1; \alpha)$
00	1
01	2
02	$2 + \alpha$
10	2
11	$2(1 + \alpha^2)$
12	$2(1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4)$
20	$2 + \alpha$
21	$2(2 + \alpha^5)$
22	$(2 + \alpha)(2 + \alpha^3)$

Table 3

$c_0c_1$	$n_1$	$n_2$	$n_{11}$	$n_{12}$	$n_{21}$	$n_{22}$	$n_{121}$	$n_{122}$	Right side of (3.12)
00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
01	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
02	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	$2 + \alpha$
10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
11	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	$2(1 + \alpha^2)$
12	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	$2(1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4)$
20	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	$2 + \alpha$
21	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	$2(2 + \alpha^5)$
22	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	$(2 + \alpha)(2 + \alpha^3)$

When  $k = 2$  we have

$$S(\mathbf{c}; \alpha) = S(c_0c_1c_2; \alpha) = \sum_{d_0=0}^{c_0} \sum_{d_1=0}^{c_1} \sum_{d_2=0}^{c_2} \alpha^{\text{ind}_2 \left\{ \frac{\binom{c_0+3c_1}{d_0+3d_1} \binom{c_1+3c_2}{d_1+3d_2}}{\binom{c_1}{d_1}} \right\}}.$$

Taking  $c_0c_1c_2 = 000, 001, \dots, 222$ , and working out the sum in each case, we obtain the values of  $S(c_0c_1c_2; \alpha)$  given in Table 4. The values of the expression on the right side of (3.12) when  $k = 2$  are given in Table 5. Thus the Proposition is true for  $k = 2$ .

**Table 4**

$c_0c_1c_2$	$S(c_0c_1c_2; \alpha)$	$c_0c_1c_2$	$S(c_0c_1c_2; \alpha)$
000	1	112	$2(1 + \alpha^2)(1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4)$
001	2	120	$2(1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4)$
002	$2 + \alpha$	121	$2^2(1 + \alpha + \alpha^4)$
010	2	122	$2(2 + \alpha)(1 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^5)$
011	$2(1 + \alpha^2)$	200	$2 + \alpha$
012	$2(1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4)$	201	$2(2 + \alpha)$
020	$2 + \alpha$	202	$(2 + \alpha)^2$
021	$2(2 + \alpha^5)$	210	$2(2 + \alpha^5)$
022	$(2 + \alpha)(2 + \alpha^3)$	211	$2(2 + \alpha^5)(1 + \alpha^2)$
100	2	212	$2(2 + \alpha^5)(1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4)$
101	$2^2$	220	$(2 + \alpha)(2 + \alpha^3)$
102	$2(2 + \alpha)$	221	$2(2 + \alpha^3)(2 + \alpha^5)$
110	$2(1 + \alpha^2)$	222	$(2 + \alpha)(2 + \alpha^3)^2$
111	$2(1 + \alpha^2)^2$		

**Table 5**

$c_0c_1c_2$	$n_1$	$n_2$	$n_{11}$	$n_{12}$	$n_{21}$	$n_{22}$	$n_{121}$	$n_{122}$	Right side of (3.12)
000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
001	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
002	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	$2 + \alpha$
010	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
011	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	$2(1 + \alpha^2)$
012	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	$2(1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4)$
020	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	$2 + \alpha$
021	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	$2(2 + \alpha^5)$
022	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	$(2 + \alpha)(2 + \alpha^3)$
100	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
101	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$2^2$
102	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	$2(2 + \alpha)$

**Table 5** (cont.)

$c_0c_1c_2$	$n_1$	$n_2$	$n_{11}$	$n_{12}$	$n_{21}$	$n_{22}$	$n_{121}$	$n_{122}$	Right side of (3.12)
110	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	$2(1 + \alpha^2)$
111	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	$2(1 + \alpha^2)^2$
112	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	$2(1 + \alpha^2)(1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4)$
120	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	$2(1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4)$
121	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	$2^2(1 + \alpha + \alpha^4)$
122	1	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	$2(2 + \alpha)(1 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^5)$
200	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	$2 + \alpha$
201	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	$2(2 + \alpha)$
202	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	$(2 + \alpha)^2$
210	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	$2(2 + \alpha^5)$
211	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	$2(1 + \alpha^2)(2 + \alpha^5)$
212	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	$2(2 + \alpha^5)(1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4)$
220	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	$(2 + \alpha)(2 + \alpha^3)$
221	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	$2(2 + \alpha^3)(2 + \alpha^5)$
222	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	$(2 + \alpha)(2 + \alpha^3)^2$

We now make the inductive hypothesis (IH) that the Proposition is true for all strings of lengths  $2, 3, \dots, k$ , where  $k \geq 3$ . We consider the string  $\mathbf{c} = c_0c_1 \dots c_k$  of length  $k + 1$ . We set

$$\mathcal{B} = \{1, 2, 11, 12, 21, 22, 121, 122\},$$

and, for  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $n_B = n_B(\mathbf{c})$ ,  $n'_B = n_B(\mathbf{c}')$ ,  $n''_B = n_B(\mathbf{c}'')$ . Recall that if  $\mathbf{c} = c_0c_1 \dots c_k$  then  $\mathbf{c}' = c_1 \dots c_k$  and  $\mathbf{c}'' = (\mathbf{c}')'$ . The information needed for the inductive step is provided in Table 6.

**Table 6**

$c_0c_1$	$n_B = n'_B$	$n_B = n'_B + 1$	$S(\mathbf{c}; \alpha)/S(\mathbf{c}'; \alpha)$
00	all $B$		1
01	all $B$		1
02	all $B$		1
10	all $B \neq 1$	1	2
11	all $B \neq 1, 11$	1, 11	$1 + \alpha^2$
20	all $B \neq 2$	2	$2 + \alpha$
21	all $B \neq 2, 21$	2, 21	$2 + \alpha^5$
22	all $B \neq 2, 22$	2, 22	$2 + \alpha^3$

  

$c_0c_1c_2$	$n_B = n''_B$	$n_B = n''_B + 1$	$S(\mathbf{c}; \alpha)/S(\mathbf{c}''; \alpha)$
120	11, 21, 22, 121, 122	1, 2, 12	$2(1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4)$
121	11, 22, 122	1, 2, 12, 21, 121	$2(1 + \alpha + \alpha^4)$
122	11, 21, 121	1, 2, 12, 22, 122	$2(1 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^5)$

We just do the case  $c_0c_1c_2 = 120$  in detail. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(\mathbf{c}; \alpha) &= 2(1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4)S(\mathbf{c}''; \alpha) && \text{(by Lemma 2)} \\
 &= 2(1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4)2^{n''_1 - n''_{11}}(1 + \alpha^2)^{n''_{11}} \\
 &\quad \times (2 + \alpha)^{n''_2 - n''_{12} - n''_{21} - n''_{22} + n''_{121} + n''_{122}} \\
 &\quad \times (2 + \alpha^5)^{n''_{21} - n''_{121}}(2 + \alpha^3)^{n''_{22} - n''_{122}} \\
 &\quad \times (1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4)^{n''_{12} - n''_{121} - n''_{122}}(1 + \alpha + \alpha^4)^{n''_{121}} \\
 &\quad \times (1 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^5)^{n''_{122}} && \text{(by (IH))} \\
 &= 2^{n_1 - n_{11}}(1 + \alpha^2)^{n_{11}}(2 + \alpha)^{n_2 - n_{12} - n_{21} - n_{22} + n_{121} + n_{122}} \\
 &\quad \times (2 + \alpha^5)^{n_{21} - n_{121}}(2 + \alpha^3)^{n_{22} - n_{122}} \\
 &\quad \times (1 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^4)^{n_{12} - n_{121} - n_{122}}(1 + \alpha + \alpha^4)^{n_{121}}(1 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^5)^{n_{122}},
 \end{aligned}$$

from Table 6. This completes the inductive step and the Proposition follows by the principle of mathematical induction. ■

**4. Evaluation of  $N_n(t, 9)$  ( $3 \nmid t$ ).** Let  $n$  be an integer with  $n \geq 9$ . Let  $a_0a_1 \dots a_l$  be the 3-ary representation of  $n$  so that  $l \geq 2$ . In this section  $n_1, n_2, n_{11}, \dots$  refer to the string  $a_0a_1 \dots a_l$ . Set  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/6}$  and  $\beta = \omega^2 = e^{2\pi i/3}$ . We note that  $\omega = -\beta^2$ . For  $t = 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_n(t, 9) &= \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ \binom{n}{r} \equiv t \pmod{9}}}^n 1 = \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ \binom{n}{r} \equiv t \pmod{9} \\ 3 \nmid \binom{n}{r}}}^n 1 && \text{(as } 3 \nmid t) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ \text{ind}_2 \binom{n}{r} \equiv \text{ind}_2 t \pmod{6} \\ 3 \nmid \binom{n}{r}}}^n 1 = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ 3 \nmid \binom{n}{r}}}^n \sum_{s=0}^5 \omega^{s(\text{ind}_2 \binom{n}{r} - \text{ind}_2 t)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{s=0}^5 \omega^{-s \text{ind}_2 t} \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ 3 \nmid \binom{n}{r}}}^n \omega^{s \text{ind}_2 \binom{n}{r}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{s=0}^5 \omega^{-s \text{ind}_2 t} \sum_{\substack{b_0=0 \\ b_1=0 \\ b_0 + b_1 3 + \dots + b_l 3^l \leq a_0 + a_1 3 + \dots + a_l 3^l}}^2 \dots \sum_{\substack{a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \dots \\ a_l}}^2 \omega^{si(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})} \\
 &\hspace{15em} 3 \nmid \binom{a_0}{b_0} \binom{a_1}{b_1} \dots \binom{a_l}{b_l} \\
 &\hspace{15em} \text{(by (1.3), (1.4), (3.1), (3.2))}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{s=0}^5 \omega^{-s \operatorname{ind}_2 t} \sum_{b_0=0}^{a_0} \dots \sum_{b_l=0}^{a_l} \omega^{s i(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})} \\
 &= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{s=0}^5 \omega^{-s \operatorname{ind}_2 t} S(\mathbf{a}; \omega^s) \quad (\text{by (3.3)}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{s=0}^5 \omega^{-s \operatorname{ind}_2 t} 2^{n_1 - n_{11}} (1 + \omega^{2s})^{n_{11}} (2 + \omega^s)^{n_2 - n_{12} - n_{21} - n_{22} + n_{121} + n_{122}} \\
 &\quad \times (2 + \omega^{5s})^{n_{21} - n_{121}} (2 + \omega^{3s})^{n_{22} - n_{122}} (1 + \omega^{3s} + \omega^{4s})^{n_{12} - n_{121} - n_{122}} \\
 &\quad \times (1 + \omega^s + \omega^{4s})^{n_{121}} (1 + \omega^{4s} + \omega^{5s})^{n_{122}},
 \end{aligned}$$

by the Proposition. The term in the sum with  $s = 0$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
 &2^{n_1 - n_{11}} 2^{n_{11}} \\
 &\quad \times 3^{n_2 - n_{12} - n_{21} - n_{22} + n_{121} + n_{122}} 3^{n_{21} - n_{121}} 3^{n_{22} - n_{122}} 3^{n_{12} - n_{121} - n_{122}} 3^{n_{121}} 3^{n_{122}} \\
 &= 2^{n_1} 3^{n_2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The term with  $s = 1$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\omega^{-\operatorname{ind}_2 t} 2^{n_1 - n_{11}} (1 + \omega^2)^{n_{11}} (2 + \omega)^{n_2 - n_{12} - n_{21} - n_{22} + n_{121} + n_{122}} \\
 &\quad \times (2 + \omega^5)^{n_{21} - n_{121}} (2 + \omega^3)^{n_{22} - n_{122}} \\
 &\quad \times (1 + \omega^3 + \omega^4)^{n_{12} - n_{121} - n_{122}} (1 + \omega + \omega^4)^{n_{121}} (1 + \omega^4 + \omega^5)^{n_{122}} \\
 &= (-1)^{\operatorname{ind}_2 t} \beta^{\operatorname{ind}_2 t} 2^{n_1 - n_{11}} (1 + \beta)^{n_{11}} (2 - \beta^2)^{n_2 - n_{12} - n_{21} - n_{22} + n_{121} + n_{122}} \\
 &\quad \times (2 - \beta)^{n_{21} - n_{121}} 1^{n_{22} - n_{122}} \beta^{2n_{12} - 2n_{121} - 2n_{122}} 1^{n_{121}} (1 - \beta + \beta^2)^{n_{122}} \\
 &= (-1)^{\operatorname{ind}_2 t} \beta^{\operatorname{ind}_2 t} 2^{n_1 - n_{11}} (-\beta^2)^{n_{11}} (3 + \beta)^{n_2 - n_{12} - n_{21} - n_{22} + n_{121} + n_{122}} \\
 &\quad \times (2 - \beta)^{n_{21} - n_{121}} \beta^{2n_{12} - 2n_{121} - 2n_{122}} (-2\beta)^{n_{122}} \\
 &= (-1)^{\operatorname{ind}_2 t + n_{11} + n_{122}} 2^{n_1 - n_{11} + n_{122}} \beta^{\operatorname{ind}_2 t + 2n_{11} + 2n_{12} - 2n_{121} - n_{122}} \\
 &\quad \times (3 + \beta)^{n_2 - n_{12} - n_{21} - n_{22} + n_{121} + n_{122}} (2 - \beta)^{n_{21} - n_{121}} \\
 &= (-1)^{\operatorname{ind}_2 t + n_{11} + n_{122}} 2^{n_1 - n_{11} + n_{122}} X.
 \end{aligned}$$

The term with  $s = 2$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\omega^{-2 \operatorname{ind}_2 t} 2^{n_1 - n_{11}} (1 + \omega^4)^{n_{11}} (2 + \omega^2)^{n_2 - n_{12} - n_{21} - n_{22} + n_{121} + n_{122}} \\
 &\quad \times (2 + \omega^4)^{n_{21} - n_{121}} 3^{n_{22} - n_{122}} \\
 &\quad \times (2 + \omega^2)^{n_{12} - n_{121} - n_{122}} (1 + 2\omega^2)^{n_{121}} (1 + \omega^2 + \omega^4)^{n_{122}} \\
 &= \beta^{-\operatorname{ind}_2 t} 2^{n_1 - n_{11}} (1 + \beta^2)^{n_{11}} (2 + \beta)^{n_2 - n_{12} - n_{21} - n_{22} + n_{121} + n_{122}} \\
 &\quad \times (2 + \beta^2)^{n_{12} - n_{121}} 3^{n_{22} - n_{122}} \\
 &\quad \times (2 + \beta)^{n_{12} - n_{121} - n_{122}} (1 + 2\beta)^{n_{121}} (1 + \beta + \beta^2)^{n_{122}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \beta^{-\text{ind}_2 t} 2^{n_1-n_{11}} 3^{n_{22}-n_{122}} (-\beta)^{n_{11}} (2+\beta)^{n_2-n_{12}-n_{21}-n_{22}+n_{121}+n_{122}} \\
 &\quad \times (1-\beta)^{n_{21}-n_{121}} (2+\beta)^{n_{12}-n_{121}-n_{122}} (1+2\beta)^{n_{121}} 0^{n_{122}} \\
 &= 0^{n_{122}} (-1)^{n_{11}} \beta^{-\text{ind}_2 t+n_{11}} 2^{n_1-n_{11}} 3^{n_{22}-n_{122}} \\
 &\quad \times (2+\beta)^{n_2-n_{21}-n_{22}} (1-\beta)^{n_{21}-n_{121}} (1+2\beta)^{n_{121}} \\
 &= 0^{n_{122}} (-1)^{n_{11}} 2^{n_1-n_{11}} 3^{n_{22}-n_{122}} Y.
 \end{aligned}$$

The term with  $s = 3$  is

$$(-1)^{\text{ind}_2 t} 2^{n_1-n_{11}} 2^{n_{11}} = (-1)^{\text{ind}_2 t} 2^{n_1}.$$

The term with  $s = 4$  is the complex conjugate of the term with  $s = 2$ , and the term with  $s = 5$  is the complex conjugate of the term with  $s = 1$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_n(t, 9) &= \frac{1}{6} \{ 2^{n_1} 3^{n_2} + (-1)^{\text{ind}_2 t+n_{11}+n_{122}} 2^{n_1-n_{11}+n_{122}} X \\
 &\quad + 0^{n_{122}} (-1)^{n_{11}} 2^{n_1-n_{11}} 3^{n_{22}-n_{122}} Y \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{\text{ind}_2 t} 2^{n_1} \\
 &\quad + 0^{n_{122}} (-1)^{n_{11}} 2^{n_1-n_{11}} 3^{n_{22}-n_{122}} \bar{Y} \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{\text{ind}_2 t+n_{11}+n_{122}} 2^{n_1-n_{11}+n_{122}} \bar{X} \} \\
 &= \frac{1}{6} \{ 2^{n_1} 3^{n_2} + (-1)^{\text{ind}_2 t} 2^{n_1} \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{\text{ind}_2 t+n_{11}+n_{122}} 2^{n_1-n_{11}+n_{122}+1} \text{Re}(X) \\
 &\quad + 0^{n_{122}} (-1)^{n_{11}} 2^{n_1-n_{11}+1} 3^{n_{22}-n_{122}} \text{Re}(Y) \},
 \end{aligned}$$

as asserted. ■

**5. Evaluation of  $N_n(t, 9)$  ( $3 \mid t$ ).** Let  $n$  be an integer with  $n \geq 9$ . We recall that the 3-ary representations of  $n, r$  and  $n - r$  ( $0 \leq r \leq n$ ) are

$$\begin{aligned}
 n &= a_0 + a_1 3 + \dots + a_l 3^l, & \text{each } a_i &= 0, 1, 2, \\
 r &= b_0 + b_1 3 + \dots + b_l 3^l, & \text{each } b_i &= 0, 1, 2, \\
 n - r &= c_0 + c_1 3 + \dots + c_l 3^l, & \text{each } c_i &= 0, 1, 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

As  $n \geq 9$  we have  $l \geq 2$ . We first consider  $t = 3$  and  $t = 6$ . By Kummer's theorem (see (1.2)), we have

$$3 \parallel \binom{n}{r} \Leftrightarrow \text{there is a single carry when adding } r \text{ and } n - r \text{ in base } 3.$$

If this carry occurs in the  $j$ th place ( $0 \leq j \leq l - 1$ ) then

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_j + c_j &= a_j + 3, \\
 b_{j+1} + c_{j+1} &= a_{j+1} - 1, \\
 b_i + c_i &= a_i \quad (i \neq j, j + 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly

$$a_j \neq 2, \quad a_{j+1} \neq 0, \quad a_j < b_j \leq 2, \quad 0 \leq b_{j+1} < a_{j+1}.$$

Moreover, by Kazandzidis' theorem (see (1.5)), we have

$$\binom{n}{r} \equiv -3 \prod_{i=0}^l \frac{a_i!}{b_i!c_i!} \pmod{9},$$

that is,

$$\binom{n}{r} \equiv -3 \frac{a_j!}{b_j!(a_j + 3 - b_j)!} \cdot \frac{a_{j+1}!}{b_{j+1}!(a_{j+1} - 1 - b_{j+1})!} \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j, j+1}}^l \binom{a_i}{b_i} \pmod{9}.$$

Set

$$f(a_j, b_j, a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}) = \frac{b_j!(a_j + 3 - b_j)!}{a_j!} \cdot \frac{b_{j+1}!(a_{j+1} - 1 - b_{j+1})!}{a_{j+1}!},$$

so that

$$\binom{n}{r} \equiv t \pmod{9} \Leftrightarrow \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j, j+1}}^l \binom{a_i}{b_i} \equiv -\frac{t}{3} f(a_j, b_j, a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}) \pmod{3}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_n(t, 9) &= \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ \binom{n}{r} \equiv t \pmod{9}}}^n 1 = \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ 3 \parallel \binom{n}{r} \\ \binom{n}{r} \equiv t \pmod{9}}}^n 1 \quad (\text{as } t = 3, 6) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ c(n,r)=1 \\ \binom{n}{r} \equiv t \pmod{9}}}^n 1 = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ c(n,r)=1 \\ \text{carry in } j\text{th place} \\ \binom{n}{r} \equiv t \pmod{9}}}^n 1 \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \sum_{b_0=0}^{a_0} \dots \sum_{b_{j-1}=0}^{a_{j-1}} \sum_{b_j=a_j+1}^2 \sum_{b_{j+1}=0}^{a_{j+1}-1} \sum_{b_{j+2}=0}^{a_{j+2}} \dots \sum_{b_l=0}^{a_l} 1. \\ &\quad \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j, j+1}}^l \binom{a_i}{b_i} \equiv -\frac{t}{3} f(a_j, b_j, a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}) \pmod{3} \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{b_0=0}^{a_0} \cdots \sum_{b_{j-1}=0}^{a_{j-1}} \sum_{b_{j+1}=0}^{a_{j+1}} \cdots \sum_{b_l=0}^{a_l} 1 \\
 & \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j, j+1}}^l \binom{a_i}{b_i} \equiv -\frac{t}{3} f(a_j, b_j, a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}) \pmod{3} \\
 & = \sum_{s_0=0}^2 \cdots \sum_{s_l=0}^2 1 \\
 & \quad s_0 + s_1 3 + \cdots + s_l 3^l \leq a_0 + a_1 3 + \cdots + a_{j-1} 3^{j-1} + a_{j+2} 3^{j+2} + \cdots + a_l 3^l \\
 & \quad \quad \quad = n - a_j 3^j - a_{j+1} 3^{j+1} \\
 & \binom{a_0}{s_0} \cdots \binom{a_{j-1}}{s_{j-1}} \binom{0}{s_j} \binom{0}{s_{j+1}} \binom{a_{j+2}}{s_{j+2}} \cdots \binom{a_l}{s_l} \equiv -\frac{t}{3} f(a_j, b_j, a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}) \pmod{3} \\
 & = \sum_{s=0}^{n - a_j 3^j - a_{j+1} 3^{j+1}} 1 \\
 & \binom{n - a_j 3^j - a_{j+1} 3^{j+1}}{s} \equiv -\frac{t}{3} f(a_j, b_j, a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}) \pmod{3} \\
 & = N_{n - a_j 3^j - a_{j+1} 3^{j+1}} \left( -\frac{t}{3} f(a_j, b_j, a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}), 3 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.1) \quad & N_n(t, 9) \\
 & = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \sum_{b_j=a_{j+1}}^2 \sum_{b_{j+1}=0}^{a_{j+1}-1} N_{n - a_j 3^j - a_{j+1} 3^{j+1}} \left( -\frac{t}{3} f(a_j, b_j, a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}), 3 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

We recall from the introduction that for  $k = 1$  and  $2$ ,

$$N_n(k, 3) = \frac{1}{2} 2^{n_1(n)} (3^{n_2(n)} - (-1)^k).$$

In order to use this formula in (5.1) we consider four cases according as  $a_j a_{j+1} = 01, 02, 11$  or  $12$ .

Case (i):  $a_j a_{j+1} = 01$  (so  $b_j = 1$  or  $2, b_{j+1} = 0$ ). Here

$$\begin{aligned}
 n_1(n - a_j 3^j - a_{j+1} 3^{j+1}) &= n_1 - 1, \\
 n_2(n - a_j 3^j - a_{j+1} 3^{j+1}) &= n_2, \\
 f(a_j, b_j, a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}) &= 2,
 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$N_{n - a_j 3^j - a_{j+1} 3^{j+1}} \left( -\frac{t}{3} f(a_j, b_j, a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}), 3 \right) = \frac{1}{2} 2^{n_1-1} (3^{n_2} - (-1)^{t/3}).$$



Case (ii):  $a_j a_{j+1} = 02$  (so  $b_j = 1$  or  $2, b_{j+1} = 0$  or  $1$ ). Here

$$\begin{aligned} n_1(n - a_j 3^j - a_{j+1} 3^{j+1}) &= n_1, \\ n_2(n - a_j 3^j - a_{j+1} 3^{j+1}) &= n_2 - 1, \\ f(a_j, b_j, a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}) &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{n-a_j 3^j - a_{j+1} 3^{j+1}} \left( -\frac{t}{3} f(a_j, b_j, a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}), 3 \right) \\ = \frac{1}{2} 2^{n_1} (3^{n_2-1} - (-1)^{3-t/3}) = \frac{1}{2} 2^{n_1} (3^{n_2-1} + (-1)^{t/3}). \end{aligned}$$

Case (iii):  $a_j a_{j+1} = 11$  (so  $b_j = 2, b_{j+1} = 0$ ). Here

$$\begin{aligned} n_1(n - a_j 3^j - a_{j+1} 3^{j+1}) &= n_1 - 2, \\ n_2(n - a_j 3^j - a_{j+1} 3^{j+1}) &= n_2, \\ f(a_j, b_j, a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}) &= 4, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{n-a_j 3^j - a_{j+1} 3^{j+1}} \left( -\frac{t}{3} f(a_j, b_j, a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}), 3 \right) \\ = \frac{1}{2} 2^{n_1-2} (3^{n_2} - (-1)^{3-t/3}) = \frac{1}{2} 2^{n_1-2} (3^{n_2} + (-1)^{t/3}). \end{aligned}$$

Case (iv):  $a_j a_{j+1} = 12$  (so  $b_j = 2, b_{j+1} = 0$  or  $1$ ). Here

$$\begin{aligned} n_1(n - a_j 3^j - a_{j+1} 3^{j+1}) &= n_1 - 1, \\ n_2(n - a_j 3^j - a_{j+1} 3^{j+1}) &= n_2 - 1, \\ f(a_j, b_j, a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}) &= 2, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$N_{n-a_j 3^j - a_{j+1} 3^{j+1}} \left( -\frac{t}{3} f(a_j, b_j, a_{j+1}, b_{j+1}), 3 \right) = \frac{1}{2} 2^{n_1-1} (3^{n_2-1} - (-1)^{t/3}).$$

Hence, using these evaluations in (5.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_n(t, 9) &= \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ a_j a_{j+1}=01}}^{l-1} 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} 2^{n_1-1} (3^{n_2} - (-1)^{t/3}) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ a_j a_{j+1}=02}}^{l-1} 2^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} 2^{n_1} (3^{n_2-1} + (-1)^{t/3}) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ a_j a_{j+1}=11}}^{l-1} \frac{1}{2} 2^{n_1-2} (3^{n_2} + (-1)^{t/3}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ a_j a_{j+1}=12}}^{l-1} 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} 2^{n_1-1} (3^{n_2-1} - (-1)^{t/3}) \\
& = n_{01} 2^{n_1-1} (3^{n_2} - (-1)^t) + n_{02} 2^{n_1+1} (3^{n_2-1} + (-1)^t) \\
& \quad + n_{11} 2^{n_1-3} (3^{n_2} + (-1)^t) + n_{12} 2^{n_1-1} (3^{n_2-1} - (-1)^t),
\end{aligned}$$

which is the asserted formula.

Finally, we treat the case  $t = 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& N_n(3, 9) + N_n(6, 9) \\
& = n_{01} 2^{n_1} 3^{n_2} + n_{02} 2^{n_1+2} 3^{n_2-1} + n_{11} 2^{n_1-2} 3^{n_2} + n_{12} 2^{n_1} 3^{n_2-1},
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
N_n(0, 9) & = N_n(0, 3) - (N_n(3, 9) + N_n(6, 9)) \\
& = n + 1 - 2^{n_1} 3^{n_2} - n_{01} 2^{n_1} 3^{n_2} - n_{02} 2^{n_1+2} 3^{n_2-1} \\
& \quad - n_{11} 2^{n_1-2} 3^{n_2} - n_{12} 2^{n_1} 3^{n_2-1}. \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

**6. Concluding comments.** We remark that our formulae for  $N_n(t, 9)$  when  $3 \nmid t$  are consistent with the following result of Webb [10, Theorem 3].

*If  $p$  is a prime and  $p \nmid t$  then  $N_n(t, p^2)$  depends only on  $t$  and the number of occurrences of each block of nonzero digits in the base  $p$  expansion of  $n$  and not on where they occur nor on the number of zeros in the expansion.*

The formulae for  $N_n(t, p^2)$  ( $p \nmid t$ ) for  $p = 2$  and  $p = 3$  suggest that perhaps only blocks of length at most  $p$  are needed.

When  $p$  is a prime and  $p \parallel t$  we have shown (in a paper submitted for publication) that  $N_n(t, p^2)$  depends only on  $t$ ,  $n_1, \dots, n_{p-1}$  and  $n_{ij}$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, p-2$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, p-1$ ). Our formulae for  $N_n(3, 9)$  and  $N_n(6, 9)$ , as well as that of Davis and Webb [2] for  $N_n(2, 4)$ , are in conformity with this result. Compare this result with Webb's comment [10, sentence preceding first example on p. 278].

## References

- [1] K. S. Davis and W. A. Webb, *Lucas' theorem for prime powers*, European J. Combin. 11 (1990), 229–233.
- [2] —, —, *Pascal's triangle modulo 4*, Fibonacci Quart. 29 (1991), 79–83.
- [3] J. W. L. Glaisher, *On the residue of a binomial-theorem coefficient with respect to a prime modulus*, Quart. J. Math. 30 (1899), 150–156.
- [4] A. Granville, *Zaphod Beeblebrox's brain and the fifty-ninth row of Pascal's triangle*, Amer. Math. Monthly 99 (1992), 318–331.

- [5] E. Hexel and H. Sachs, *Counting residues modulo a prime in Pascal's triangle*, Indian J. Math. 20 (1978), 91–105.
- [6] J. G. Huard, B. K. Spearman and K. S. Williams, *Pascal's triangle (mod 8)*, submitted for publication.
- [7] G. S. Kazandzidis, *Congruences on the binomial coefficients*, Bull. Soc. Math. Grèce (NS) 9 (1968), 1–12.
- [8] E. E. Kummer, *Über die Ergänzungssätze zu den allgemeinen Reciprocitätsgesetzen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 44 (1852), 93–146.
- [9] E. Lucas, *Sur les congruences des nombres eulériens et des coefficients différentiels des fonctions trigonométriques, suivant un module premier*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 6 (1877–8), 49–54.
- [10] W. A. Webb, *The number of binomial coefficients in residue classes modulo  $p$  and  $p^2$* , Colloq. Math. 60/61 (1990), 275–280.

Department of Mathematics  
Canisius College  
Buffalo, New York 14208  
U.S.A.  
E-mail: huard@canisius.edu

Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
Okanagan University College  
Kelowna, British Columbia V1V 1V7  
Canada  
E-mail: bkspearm@okanagan.bc.ca

Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
Carleton University  
Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5B6  
Canada  
E-mail: williams@math.carleton.ca

Received on 20.3.1996

(2950)