

On character sums of rational functions over local fields

by

C. L. LIU (Zhengzhou)

1. Introduction. Characters of $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$ were introduced by Dirichlet while studying the distribution of prime numbers in an arithmetic progression. Hecke generalized the notion of Dirichlet characters by interpreting it as a collection of characters of local fields.

Let F be an extension of \mathbb{Q}_p of degree n . Let O be the ring of integers and U be the group of units. Let P be the prime ideal and π be a prime element. Denote the normalized valuation by $|\cdot|_v$ and the ordinal by ord . Let $q = NP$ be the norm of P . Let $f(x), g(x) \in O[x]$ and $d = \deg f$, $e = \deg g$. This paper studies the character sum

$$\sum_{x \bmod P^\alpha} \chi(f(x))\overline{\chi}(g(x)),$$

where χ is a character of U of conductor P^α , which extends to O by extension by zero. Let $i, \theta \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$R(x) = f(x)/g(x),$$

$$O(i, \theta) = \{x \in O : P \nmid g(x), \text{ord}(R^{(i)}(x)/j!) \geq \alpha/(j+1) \ (1 \leq j \leq i), \\ \text{ord}(R^{(i)}(x)/i!) = \theta\},$$

$$W = \{x \in O : P \nmid g(x), \text{ord}(R^{(j)}(x)/j!) \geq \alpha/(j+1) \ (j \in \mathbb{N})\}.$$

Let A be a fixed complete system of representatives modulo P^α , and $A(i, \theta) = A \cap O(i, \theta)$. By Lemma 1, $\text{ord}(R^{(j)}(x)/j!) \geq 0$ if $P \nmid g(x)$. So

$$A \cap \{x \in O : P \nmid g(x)\} = (A \cap W) \cup \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \bigcup_{0 \leq \theta < \alpha/(i+1)} A(i, \theta).$$

Hence we concentrate on the study of $\sum_{x \in A(i, \theta)} \chi(R(x))$ with $\alpha > 1$, $\theta < \alpha/(i+1)$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11L15, 11R50.

Key words and phrases: local fields, character, conductor, valuation.

Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

2. Main results

THEOREM 1.

$$\left| \sum_{x \in A(1, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) \right| \leq 2|2|_v^{-1}(d+e-1)q^{\alpha/2} \quad \text{if } \theta < \alpha/2.$$

THEOREM 2.

$$\left| \sum_{x \in A(2, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) \right| \leq 2|2|_v^{-1}(d+e-1)q^{(\alpha+\theta)/2} \quad \text{if } \theta < \alpha/3.$$

THEOREM 3. *If $i \geq 3, \theta = 0$, then*

$$\left| \sum_{x \in A(i, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) \right| \leq |i(i-1)|_v^{-1}(d+e-1)q^{\lfloor \frac{i-1}{i} \alpha \rfloor}.$$

Let

$$\beta = \left\lfloor \frac{\alpha - \theta + i - 1}{i} \right\rfloor, \quad \gamma = \left\lfloor \frac{\alpha - \theta + i - 2}{i} \right\rfloor,$$

and

$$M_1(i, \theta) = \left\{ x \in O(i, \theta) : \text{ord} \left(\frac{R^{(j)}(x)}{j!} \right) \geq \alpha - j\beta \ (1 < j < i) \right\},$$

$$M_2(i, \theta) = O(i, \theta) \setminus M_1(i, \theta), \quad A_j(i, \theta) = A \cap M_j(i, \theta) \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

THEOREM 4. *If $i > 2$ and $0 < \theta < \alpha/(i+1)$, then*

$$\left| \sum_{x \in A_1(i, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) \right| \leq |i|_v^{-i}(d+e-1)q^{\alpha-\gamma}.$$

THEOREM 5. *Let $i > 2$ and $0 < \theta < \alpha/(i+1)$. Suppose that*

$$(1) \quad x \in A(i, \theta) \Leftrightarrow x + P^\beta \in A(i, \theta).$$

Then

$$\left| \sum_{x \in A_2(i, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) \right| \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} |i|_v^{-i}(d+e-1)c(n, i-1)q^{\alpha - (\alpha - \beta - \theta)/(i-1)},$$

where $c(n, i-1)$ is the constant in Lemma 5.

3. Lemmas

LEMMA 1. *Let $u(x), w(x) \in O[x], r(x) = u(x)/w(x)$. Suppose that $P \nmid w(x_0)$. Then*

$$r(x_0 + y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{(j)}(x_0)}{j!} y^j \in O[[y]].$$

Proof. $P \nmid w(x_0)$ implies that

$$r(x_0 + y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j y^j \in O[[y]].$$

Differentiating term-by-term gives our result.

LEMMA 2. Let $u(x) \in F[x]$. Let $\lambda, \mu, \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\kappa > 0$ and $\lambda > \mu$. Let $\tau = [(\lambda - \mu + \kappa - 1)/\kappa]$ and B be a set of representatives modulo P^τ . Then

$$\#\left\{x \in B : P^\lambda \mid u(x), P^\mu \left\| \frac{u^{(\kappa)}(x)}{\kappa!} \right\| \right\} \leq \deg u.$$

Proof. Let E be an extension of F containing all roots of u . Let $m = \deg u$ and $u(X) = a \prod_{i=1}^m (X - \alpha_i)$. Then

$$\frac{u^{(\kappa)}(X)}{\kappa!} = \sum_S a \prod_{j \notin S} (X - \alpha_j),$$

where $S \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ runs through all subsets of cardinality k . So, if $\text{ord}(u(x)) \geq \lambda$ and $\text{ord}(u^{(\kappa)}(x)/\kappa!) = \mu$, then for some S ,

$$\text{ord}\left(a \prod_{j \notin S} (X - \alpha_j)\right) \leq \mu$$

and hence

$$\text{ord}\left(\prod_{j \in S} (x - \alpha_j)\right) \geq \lambda - \mu,$$

which implies that $\text{ord}(x - \alpha_j) \geq (\lambda - \mu)/\kappa$ for some j . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{x \in B : \text{ord}(u(x)) \geq \lambda, \text{ord}(u^{(\kappa)}/\kappa!) = \mu\} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \#\{x \in B : \text{ord}(x - \alpha_j) \geq (\lambda - \mu)/\kappa \leq m\}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

LEMMA 3. Let $s(x) \in F[x]$, $w(x) \in O[x]$ and $r(x) = s(x)/w(x)$. Let $\kappa, \lambda, \mu, \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\kappa > 0$, $\sigma \geq 0$ and $\lambda > \mu$. Let $\tau = [(\lambda - \mu + \kappa - 1)/\kappa]$ and B be a set of representatives modulo P^τ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\left\{x \in B : P^\lambda \left| \frac{r^{(j+1)}(x)}{j!} \right. (0 \leq j \leq \sigma), \right. \\ & \quad \left. P^{\mu+1} \left| \frac{r^{(j+1)}(x)}{j!(\kappa + \sigma + 1)} \right. (0 \leq j < \kappa + \sigma), P^\mu \left\| \frac{r^{(\kappa + \sigma + 1)}(x)}{(\kappa + \sigma + 1)!}, P \nmid w(x) \right\} \\ & \leq (\deg s + \deg w - 1) \left| \frac{(\kappa + \sigma + 1)!}{\sigma!} \right|_v^{-1/\kappa} \left(N \left(\sqrt{\frac{(\kappa + \sigma + 1)!}{\sigma!}} \right) \right)^{\text{sgn}(\kappa - 1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where \sqrt{a} is the radical of a and N denotes the norm.

Proof. Denote the set to be estimated by T . The proof splits into two cases, according to whether Lemma 2 can be employed or not.

Case 1: $\lambda \leq \mu + \text{ord}((\kappa + \sigma - 1)!) - \text{ord}(\sigma!)$. The trivial estimate yields

$$\begin{aligned} \#T &\leq q^{[(\lambda - \mu + \kappa - 1)/\kappa]} \leq q^{[(\text{ord}((\kappa + \sigma + 1)!) - \text{ord}(\sigma!) + \kappa - 1)/\kappa]} \\ &\leq \left| \frac{(\kappa + \sigma + 1)!}{\sigma!} \right|_v^{-1/\kappa} \left(N \left(\sqrt{\frac{(\kappa + \sigma + 1)!}{\sigma!}} \right) \right)^{\text{sgn}(\kappa - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $\lambda > \mu + \text{ord}((\kappa + \sigma - 1)!) - \text{ord}(\sigma!)$. Since

$$\frac{(w^2 r')^{(m)}}{m!} = \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{r^{(j+1)}(w^2)^{(m-j)}}{j!(m-j)!},$$

we get, for $x \in T$,

$$P^\lambda \left| \frac{(w^2 r')^{(\sigma)}(x)}{\sigma!} \right| \quad \text{and} \quad P^\mu \left\| \frac{(w^2 r')^{(\kappa + \sigma)}(x)}{(\kappa + \sigma + 1)!} \right\|,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\text{ord} \left(\frac{(w^2 r')^{(\kappa + \sigma)}(x)}{\kappa! \sigma!} \right) = m + \text{ord}((\kappa + \sigma - 1)!) - \text{ord}(\sigma!).$$

Hence, by Lemma 2 with $u(x) = (w^2 r')^{(\sigma)}(x)/\sigma!$,

$$\begin{aligned} \#T &\leq (\deg s + \deg w - 1) q^\tau / q^{[(\text{ord}((\kappa + \sigma + 1)!) - \text{ord}(\sigma!) + \kappa - 1)/\kappa]} \\ &\leq (\deg s + \deg w - 1) \left| \frac{(\kappa + \sigma + 1)!}{\sigma!} \right|_v^{-1/\kappa} \left(N \left(\sqrt{\frac{(\kappa + \sigma + 1)!}{\sigma!}} \right) \right)^{\text{sgn}(\kappa - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

LEMMA 4. If $\text{ord}(a) = h > \alpha/2$, then $\chi(1 + ax)$ is, with respect to x , an additive character of conductor $P^{\alpha-h}$.

Proof. Obvious.

LEMMA 5. If ψ is an additive character of conductor P^t , $u(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i \in O[x]$ and $(a_i, \dots, a_m) = 0$, then

$$\sum_{x \bmod P^t} \psi(u(x)) \leq \begin{cases} c(n, m) q^{t(1-1/m)}, \\ (m-1) q^{1/2} & \text{if } t = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $c(n, m) \geq 1$ depends at most on m and n .

Proof. See [1], [2].

4. Proof of main results

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1. Grouping together elements of $A(1, \theta)$ whose images modulo $P^{[(\alpha+1)/2]}$ are the same, we get

$$\sum_{x \in A(1, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) = \sum_{y \in B \cap O(1, \theta)} \sum_{z \in C(y)} \chi(R(y) + R'(y)\pi^{[(\alpha+1)/2]}z),$$

where B is a fixed complete system of representatives modulo $P^{[(\alpha+1)/2]}$, and

$$C(y) = \{z \in O : y + \pi^{[(\alpha+1)/2]}z \in A(1, \theta)\},$$

which is a complete system of representatives modulo $P^{[\alpha/2]}$ if $y \in O(1, \theta)$.

- (i) $\theta \leq [\alpha/2]$. The inner sum is 0 by Lemma 4 and the estimate follows.
- (ii) $(\alpha - 1)/2 = [\alpha/2] = \theta < \alpha/2$. We have

$$\sum_{x \in A(1, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) = q^{(\alpha-1)/2} \sum_{x \in B \cap O(1, \theta)} \chi(R(x)).$$

Grouping elements of $B \cap O(1, \theta)$ according to their images modulo $P^{(\alpha-1)/2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x \in B \cap O(1, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) \\ &= \sum_{y \in C \cap C_1} \sum_{z \in D(y)} \chi\left(R(y) + R'(y)\pi^{(\alpha-1)/2}z + \frac{R''(y)}{2}\pi^{\alpha-1}z^2\right), \end{aligned}$$

where C is a fixed complete system of representatives modulo $P^{(\alpha-1)/2}$, and

$$C_1 = \{y \in O : P^{(\alpha-1)/2} \mid R'(y)\}.$$

If $P \mid R''(y)/2$, then $D(y)$ is empty or a complete system of representatives modulo P . By Lemma 4, the inner sum is 0 and makes no contribution.

If $P \nmid R''(y)/2$, then $D(y)$ is empty or a complete system or a complete-but-one system of representatives modulo P . By Lemmas 4 and 5, the inner sum is $\leq q^{1/2} + 1$. It remains to bound $\#\{y \in C \cap C_1 : P \nmid R''(y)/2\}$.

If $(\alpha - 1)/2 > \text{ord}(2)$ so that we can make use of Lemma 3 with $r(x) = R(x)$, we have

$$\#\{y \in C \cap C_1 : P \nmid R''(y)/2\} \leq (d + e - 1)|2|_v^{-1}.$$

If $(\alpha - 1)/2 \leq \text{ord}(2)$, the trivial estimate yields

$$\#\{y \in C \cap C_1 : P \nmid R''(y)/2\} \leq q^{(\alpha-1)/2} \leq |2|_v^{-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in A(1, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) &\leq q^{(\alpha-1)/2} q^{1/2} \#\{y \in C \cap C_1 : P \nmid R''(y)/2\} \\ &\leq 2(d + e - 1)|2|_v^{-1} q^{\alpha/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 2. Grouping elements of $A(2, \theta)$ according to their images modulo $P^{[(\alpha-\theta+1)/2]}$, we get

$$\sum_{x \in A(2, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) = \sum_{y \in B \cap O(2, \theta)} \sum_{z \in C(y)} \chi(R(y) + R'(y)\pi^{[(\alpha-\theta+1)/2]}z),$$

where B is a fixed complete system of representatives modulo $P^{[(\alpha-\theta+1)/2]}$. By Lemma 4, the inner sum is 0 unless $\text{ord}(R'(x)) \geq \alpha - [(\alpha - \theta + 1)/2]$. Hence

$$\sum_{x \in A(2, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) = q^{\alpha - [(\alpha-\theta+1)/2]} \sum_{x \in B \cap B_1} \chi(R(x)),$$

where $B_1 = \{y \in O(2, \theta) : \text{ord}(R'(x)) \geq \alpha - [(\alpha - \theta + 1)/2]\}$.

(i) $\theta = 0$. In this case we can bound $\#B \cap B_1$ directly. If $[(\alpha + 1)/2] > \text{ord}(2)$ so that we can make use of Lemma 3 with $r(x) = R(x)$ and $\lambda = [(\alpha + 1)/2]$, we have $\#B \cap B_1 \leq (d + e - 1)|2|_v^{-1}$. If $[(\alpha + 1)/2] \leq \text{ord}(2)$, the trivial estimate yields

$$\#B \cap B_1 \leq q^{[(\alpha+1)/2]} \leq q^{\text{ord}(2)} \leq |2|_v^{-1}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{x \in A(2, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) \leq (d + e - 1)|2|_v^{-1}q^{[\alpha/2]}.$$

(ii) $\theta \neq 0$. Grouping elements of $B \cap B_1$ according to their images modulo $P^{[(\alpha-\theta)/2]}$, we get

$$\sum_{x \in B \cap B_1} \chi(R(x)) = \sum_{y \in C \cap B_1} \sum_{z \in D(y)} \chi\left(\sum_{j=0}^3 \frac{R^{(j)}(y)}{j!} \pi^{j[(\alpha-\theta)/2]} z^j\right),$$

where C is a fixed complete system of representatives modulo $P^{[(\alpha-\theta)/2]}$, and $D(y) = \{z \in O : y + \pi^{[(\alpha-\theta)/2]}z \in B \cap B_1\}$, which is a complete system of representatives modulo $P^{[(\alpha-\theta+1)/2] - [(\alpha-\theta)/2]}$.

By Lemmas 4 and 5, the inner sum is $\leq 2q^{\frac{1}{2}([(\alpha-\theta+1)/2] - [(\alpha-\theta)/2])}$. It remains to bound $\#C \cap B_1$.

If $\alpha - \theta - [(\alpha - \theta + 1)/2] > \text{ord}(2)$, so that we can make use of Lemma 3 with $r(x) = R(x)$, we have $\#C \cap B_1 \leq (d + e - 1)|2|_v^{-1}$.

If $[(\alpha - \theta)/2] \leq \text{ord}(2)$, the trivial estimate yields

$$\#C \cap B_1 \leq q^{[(\alpha-\theta)/2]} \leq |2|_v^{-1}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in A(2, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) &\leq 2q^{\frac{1}{2}([(\alpha-\theta+1)/2] - [(\alpha-\theta)/2])} (d + e - 1)|2|_v^{-1}q^{\alpha - [(\alpha-\theta+1)/2]} \\ &\leq (d + e - 1)2|2|_v^{-1}q^{(\alpha+\theta)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

4.3. Proof of Theorem 3. We bound $\#A(i, \theta)$ directly.

If $\lceil(\alpha + i - 1)/i\rceil > \text{ord}(i)$, so that Lemma 3 can be employed with $r(x) = R(x)$, $\theta = i - 2$ and $k = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#A(i, \theta) &\leq (d + e - 1) |i(i - 1)|_v^{-1} q^{\alpha - \lceil(\alpha + i - 1)/i\rceil} \\ &\leq (d + e - 1) |i(i - 1)|_v^{-1} q^{\lceil(i - 1)\alpha/i\rceil}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\lceil(\alpha + i - 1)/i\rceil \leq \text{ord}(i)$, the trivial estimate yields

$$\#A(i, \theta) \leq q^\alpha \leq q^{\alpha - \lceil(\alpha + i - 1)/i\rceil} q^{i \text{ord}(i)} \leq |i|_v^{-1} q^{\lceil(i - 1)\alpha/i\rceil}.$$

Theorem 3 now follows.

4.4. Proof of Theorem 4

(i) $\alpha/i \leq \text{ord}(i) + \theta$. Recall also $\theta < \alpha/(i + 1)$. Calculation shows that $\lceil(\alpha - \theta + i - 2)/i\rceil \leq i \text{ord}(i)$. Therefore the trivial estimate yields

$$\sum_{x \in A_1(i, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) \leq q^\alpha \leq q^{\alpha - \lceil(\alpha - \theta + i - 2)/i\rceil} q^{i \text{ord}(i)} \leq |i|_v^{-i} q^{\alpha - \gamma}.$$

(ii) $\alpha/i > \text{ord}(i) + \theta$. Grouping elements of $A_1(i, \theta)$ according to their images modulo P^β , we get

$$\sum_{x \in A_1(i, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) = \sum_{y \in B \cap M_1(i, \theta)} \sum_{z \in C(y)} \chi(R(y + \pi^\beta z)),$$

where B is a fixed complete system of representatives modulo P^β , and

$$C(y) = \{z \in O : y + \pi^\beta z \in A_1(i, \theta)\},$$

which is a complete system of representatives modulo $P^{\alpha - \beta}$ if $y \in M_1(i, \theta)$.

Our β is so chosen that $\alpha + i > i + \beta + \theta \geq \alpha$, and $\theta < \alpha/(i + 1)$ justifies $j\beta \geq \alpha$ for $j > i$. Hence

$$\sum_{x \in A_1(i, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) = \sum_{y \in B \cap M_1(i, \theta)} \sum_{z \in C(y)} \chi(R_y(z)),$$

where

$$R_y(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{R^{(j)}(y)}{j!} \pi^{j\beta} z^j.$$

Since $y \in M_1(i, \theta)$ implies that

$$R_y(z) = R(y) + R'(y)\pi^{\beta+1}z \pmod{P^\alpha},$$

we have

$$\sum_{x \in A_1(i, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) = \sum_{y \in B \cap M_1(i, \theta)} \sum_{z \in C(y)} \chi(R(y) + R'(y)\pi^{\beta+1}z).$$

By Lemma 4, the inner sum is 0 unless $P^{\alpha-\beta} \mid R'(y)$. Hence

$$\sum_{x \in A_1(i, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) = q^{\alpha-\beta} \sum_{y \in D(i, \theta)} \chi(R(y)),$$

where

$$D(i, \theta) = \{y \in B \cap M_1(i, \theta) : P^{\alpha-\beta} \mid R'(y)\}.$$

Since $\alpha/i > \text{ord}(i) + \theta$, we can apply Lemma 3 with $r(x) = R(x)$, $\theta = 0$, $\lambda = \alpha - \beta$, $k = i - 1$ and $\mu = \theta$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \#D(i, \theta) &\leq q^{\beta - [(\alpha - \theta - \beta + i - 2)/(i - 1)]} |i|_v^{-1/(i-1)} N(\sqrt{i})(d + e - 1) \\ &\leq q^{\beta - \gamma} |i|_v^{-1/(i-1)} N(\sqrt{i})(d + e - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{x \in A_1(i, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) \leq q^{\alpha - \gamma} |i|_v^{-1/(i-1)} N(\sqrt{i})(d + e - 1).$$

This completes the proof.

4.5. Proof of Theorem 5

(i) $\alpha/i \leq \text{ord}(i) + \theta$. Recall also $\theta < \alpha/(i + 1)$. Calculation shows that $(\alpha - \theta)/i \leq i \text{ord}(i)$. Therefore the trivial estimate yields

$$\sum_{x \in A_2(i, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) \leq q^\alpha \leq q^{\alpha - (\alpha - \beta - \theta)/(i - 1)} q^{i \text{ord}(i)} \leq |i|_v^{-i} q^{\alpha - (\alpha - \beta - \theta)/(i - 1)}.$$

(ii) $\alpha/i > \text{ord}(i) + \theta$. Grouping elements of $A_2(i, \theta)$ according to their images modulo P^β , we get as in the proof of Theorem 4,

$$\sum_{x \in A_2(i, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) = \sum_{y \in B \cap M_2(i, \theta)} \sum_{z \in C(y)} \chi\left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{R^{(j)}(y)}{j!} \pi^{j\beta} z^j\right),$$

where B is a fixed complete system of representatives modulo P^β , and

$$C(y) = \{z \in \mathcal{O} : y + \pi^\beta z \in A_2(i, \theta)\},$$

which by (1) is a complete system of representatives modulo $P^{\alpha-\beta}$.

Let $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$A_3(\delta) = \{y \in B \cap M_2(i, \theta) : \delta = \min_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} \{j\beta + \text{ord}(R^{(j)}(y)/j!)\}\}.$$

We can check that

$$B \cap M_2(i, \theta) = \bigcup_{\alpha/2 \leq \delta < \alpha} A_3(\delta).$$

If $y \in A_3(\delta)$, then by Lemmas 4 and 5, the inner sum is

$$\leq c(n, i - 1) q^{(\alpha - \delta)(1 - 1/(i - 1))} q^{\alpha - \beta} / q^{\alpha - \delta}.$$

Since $\alpha/i > \text{ord}(i) + \theta$, we can apply Lemma 3 with $r(x) = R(x)$, $\theta = 0$, $\lambda = \delta - \beta$, $k = i - 1$ and $\mu = \theta$. Thus

$$\#A_3(\delta) \leq |i|_v^{-1/(i-1)} N(\sqrt{i})(d + e - 1)q^\beta/q^{(\delta-\beta-\theta)/(i-1)}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{x \in A_2(i, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} |i|_v^{-1/(i-1)} N(\sqrt{i})(d + e - 1)q^{\alpha - (\alpha - \beta - \theta)/(i-1)}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

5. Corollaries

COROLLARY 1. *If $0 < \theta < \alpha/(i + 1)$, $2 < i \leq 5$, then*

$$\left| \sum_{x \in A_2(i, \theta)} \chi(R(x)) \right| \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} |i|_v^{-i} (d + e - 1) c(n, i - 1) q^{\alpha - (\alpha - \beta - \theta)/(i-1)}.$$

PROOF. It suffices to check the validity of (1) in Theorem 5.

COROLLARY 2. *If $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^d a_j x^j$, $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^d b_j x^j$, and $P \nmid a_0 b_1 - a_1 b_0$, suppose that (1) in Theorem 5 holds. Then*

$$\left| \sum_{x \bmod P^\alpha} \chi(R(x)) \right| \leq \alpha c_1(n, d + e) q^{\alpha - (\alpha - 1)/(d+e)},$$

where $c_1(n, d + e)$ is a constant depending at most on n and $d + e$.

PROOF. Since

$$\frac{(g^2 R')^{(m)}}{m!} = \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{R^{(j+1)}(g^2)^{(m-j)}}{j!(m-j)!}$$

and

$$\text{ord} \left(\frac{(g^2 R')^{(d+e-1)}(x)}{(d + e - 1)!} \right) = 0,$$

we have $W = \emptyset$ and $A(i, \theta) = \emptyset$ if $i > d + e$, and $A(d + e, \theta) = \emptyset$ if $\theta \neq 0$. Corollary 2 now follows.

COROLLARY 3. *If $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^d a_j x^j$, $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^d b_j x^j$, $P \nmid a_0 b_1 - a_1 b_0$, and $d + e \leq 6$, then*

$$\left| \sum_{x \bmod P^\alpha} \chi(R(x)) \right| \leq \alpha c(n) q^{\alpha - (\alpha - 1)/(d+e)},$$

where $c(n)$ is a constant depending at most on n .

PROOF. This follows from Corollary 2 and the validity of (1) in Theorem 5.

COROLLARY 4. *If $(a, b) = 0$, then*

$$\left| \sum_{x \bmod P^\alpha} \chi(ax + bx^{-1}) \right| \leq \alpha c(n) q^{(2\alpha+1)/3}.$$

PROOF. This is a special case of Corollary 3.

Acknowledgments. I thank Professor C. B. Pan for drawing my attention to character sums.

References

- [1] L. K. Hua, *On exponential sums over an algebraic number fields*, *Canad. J. Math.* 3 (1951), 44–51.
- [2] A. Weil, *Basic Number Theory*, Springer, New York, 1973.

Department of Mathematics
Information Engineering Institute
Zhengzhou 450002, P.R. China

Received on 1.2.1994
and in revised form on 1.12.1995

(2560)