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1. Introduction. Let $n$ be a non-negative integer and consider the set of polynomials

$$
S_{n}=\left\{f(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} x^{j}: \varepsilon_{j} \in\{0,1\} \text { for each } j \text { and } \varepsilon_{0}=1\right\} .
$$

The condition $\varepsilon_{0}=1$ ensures that the elements of $S_{n}$ are not divisible by $x$. Let

$$
S=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{n} .
$$

There are interesting open problems concerning the polynomials in $S$. Using the main result in [1] (with base 2) or using the well-known explicit formula for the number of irreducible polynomials of degree $\leq n$ modulo 2 , one can easily show that there are at least on the order of $2^{n} / n$ irreducible polynomials in $S_{n}$. Odlyzko (private communication) has asked whether almost all polynomials in $S$ are irreducible. In other words, does

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mid\left\{f(x) \in S_{n}: f(x) \text { is irreducible }\right\} \mid}{2^{n}}=1 ?
$$

It is not even known how to establish that the limit (or the limit supremum) is positive. Another open problem, posed by Odlyzko and Poonen [2], is to determine whether it is true that if $\alpha$ is a root with multiplicity $>1$ of some polynomial $f(x)$ in $S$, then $\alpha$ is a root of unity.

The purpose of this paper is to establish two results concerning the polynomials in $S$. First, we shall show

Theorem 1. Let $b=3$, 4, or 5 . Then there are infinitely many polynomials $f(x) \in S$ for which $f(b)$ is squarefree. Moreover, for such b, the
density of polynomials $f(x) \in S$ for which $f(b)$ is squarefree is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mid\left\{f(x) \in S_{n}: f(b) \text { is squarefree }\right\} \mid}{2^{n}}=\frac{6}{\pi^{2}} \prod_{p \mid b}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{2}}\right)^{-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are other trivial values of $b$ for which one can obtain similar results (when $|b| \leq 2$ ), but we do not know how to establish the analogous results for $b \geq 6$. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 , we deduce the

Corollary. Let $b=3,4$, or 5 . There are infinitely many squarefree numbers in base b consisting only of the digits 0 and 1.

The arguments can be modified slightly to allow for the possibility that $\varepsilon_{0}=0$ in the definition of $S_{n}$. Thus, for $b=3,4$, or 5 , we can obtain the density of squarefree numbers in base $b$ among the positive integers consisting only of the digits 0 and 1 in base $b$. For $b=4$, the density is $1 / 2$ times the expression on the right-hand side of $(1)$; for $b=3$ and 5 , the density is $3 / 4$ times the expression on the right-hand side of (1).

It is of some interest to know a corresponding result for base 10. By applying an argument similar to what we will use for $b=4$ in Theorem 1 , it can be shown that there are infinitely many squarefree numbers which consist only of the digits 0,1 , and 2 . In fact, if $d_{1}, d_{2}$, and $d_{3}$ are any three distinct digits not equal to 0,4 , and 8 in some order, then there are infinitely many squarefree numbers $m$ in base 10 with each digit of $m$ being either $d_{1}$, $d_{2}$, or $d_{3}$. We will not address this issue further here.

Our second theorem concerns squarefree polynomials in $S$ (polynomials without any roots having multiplicity $>1$ ). We shall see how to obtain the next result as a fairly direct consequence of our approach to establishing Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Almost all polynomials in $S$ are squarefree. In other words,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mid\left\{f(x) \in S_{n}: f(x) \text { is squarefree }\right\} \mid}{2^{n}}=1
$$

In the next section, we give a proof of Theorem 1 for the case $b=3$. In the process, we will establish some preliminaries for the cases $b=4$ and 5 . The remainder of the proof of Theorem 1 is given in Section 3. In Section 4, we will establish Theorem 2 using a lemma (Lemma 9) which aided in the proof of Theorem 1.
2. Some preliminaries and the case $b=3$. Let $n$ be a positive integer. For integers $b$ and $m$ with $m \geq 2$, we define $t(n)=t(n, m, b)$ as the number of $f(x) \in S_{n}$ for which $m$ divides $f(b)$. We begin with an estimate for $t(n)$. Suppose first that $m$ and $b$ are integers which are not relatively prime. Then there is a prime $p$ which divides both $m$ and $b$. Observe that
for every $f(x) \in S_{n}$, we have $f(b) \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. Hence, for every $f(x) \in S_{n}$, $m$ does not divide $f(b)$, and we deduce that $t(n)=0$. The next lemma deals with the remaining situation where $m$ and $b$ are relatively prime integers.

Lemma 1. Let $m$ and $b$ be relatively prime integers with $m \geq 2$. Then

$$
t(n)=\frac{2^{n}}{m}(1+o(1))
$$

as $n$ approaches infinity.
Proof. Since

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{2 \pi i a j / m}= \begin{cases}m & \text { if } m \mid a \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

we obtain

$$
t(n)=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{f(x) \in S_{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{2 \pi i f(b) j / m}=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{f(x) \in S_{n}} e^{2 \pi i f(b) j / m}
$$

On the other hand, from the definition of $S_{n}$, we have

$$
\sum_{f(x) \in S_{n}} e^{2 \pi i f(b) j / m}=e^{2 \pi i j / m} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1+e^{2 \pi i b^{k} j / m}\right)
$$

Observe that when $j=0$, the right-hand side is $2^{n}$. Hence,

$$
t(n)=\frac{2^{n}}{m}+E
$$

where

$$
E=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e^{2 \pi i j / m} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1+e^{2 \pi i b^{k} j / m}\right)
$$

It remains to show that $E=o\left(2^{n}\right)$.
For each $j \in\{1, \ldots, m-1\}$, we rewrite the absolute value of the product above as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1+e^{2 \pi i b^{k} j / m}\right)\right| & =\left|\prod_{k=1}^{n} e^{\pi i b^{k} j / m}\right|\left|\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(e^{\pi i b^{k} j / m}+e^{-\pi i b^{k} j / m}\right)\right| \\
& =2^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left|\cos \left(\pi b^{k} j / m\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $m$ and $b$ are relatively prime and $1 \leq j \leq m-1$, the expression $b^{k} j / m$ is a rational number which differs from an integer by at least $1 / m$. Therefore,

$$
\left|\cos \left(\pi b^{k} j / m\right)\right| \leq|\cos (\pi / m)| .
$$

Since $m \geq 2$, this last expression is $<1$. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|E| & \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\left|\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1+e^{2 \pi i b^{k} j / m}\right)\right| \\
& =\frac{2^{n}}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left|\cos \left(\pi b^{k} j / m\right)\right| \leq 2^{n}|\cos (\pi / m)|^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the lemma easily follows.
Lemma 2. Let b be a positive integer, and let $B$ be a real number $>0$. Denote by $S(B, n)$ the number of $f(x) \in S_{n}$ such that $f(b)$ is not divisible by $p^{2}$ for every prime $p \leq B$. Then

$$
S(B, n)=2^{n} \prod_{p \leq B, p \nmid b}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{2}}\right)+o\left(2^{n}\right) .
$$

Lemma 2 follows from Lemma 1 by an easy sieve argument and we omit the details. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{p \leq B, p \nmid b}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{2}}\right) & =\prod_{p \nmid b}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{2}}\right)(1+O(1 / B)) \\
& =\frac{6}{\pi^{2}} \prod_{p \mid b}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{2}}\right)^{-1}(1+O(1 / B)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix $\varepsilon>0$. By choosing $B$ sufficiently large and then choosing $n$ sufficiently large, we deduce from Lemma 2 that $S(B, n)$ differs from

$$
\frac{6 \cdot 2^{n}}{\pi^{2}} \prod_{p \mid b}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{2}}\right)^{-1}
$$

by $\leq \varepsilon 2^{n}$. Thus, to prove Theorem 1 , it suffices to show that the number of $f(x) \in S_{n}$ such that $f(b)$ is divisible by $p^{2}$ for some prime $p>B$ is $\leq \varepsilon 2^{n}$. For such an estimate we may suppose that $B$ is arbitrarily large; more specifically, we can take $B \geq B_{0}$, where $B_{0}$ is an arbitrary constant depending only on $\varepsilon$. The proof of Theorem 1 for the case $b=3$ therefore follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 3. Let $\varepsilon>0$, and let $B$ be sufficiently large. Then there are $\leq \varepsilon 2^{n}$ polynomials $f(x) \in S_{n}$ for which there exists an integer $d>B$ such that $d^{2} \mid f(3)$.

Proof. Let $d$ be an integer $>B$. Let $r$ be the positive integer satisfying

$$
3^{r / 2}<d \leq 3^{(r+1) / 2} .
$$

We fix $\varepsilon_{r}, \varepsilon_{r+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n} \in\{0,1\}$ arbitrarily and consider $f(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} x^{j} \in$ $S_{n}$. Observe that for any choice of $\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r-1} \in\{0,1\}$, we have

$$
0 \leq \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \varepsilon_{j} 3^{j}<d^{2}
$$

Also, for distinct choices of the $r$-tuple $\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r-1}\right)$ with each $\varepsilon_{j} \in$ $\{0,1\}$, the numbers $\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \varepsilon_{j} 3^{j}$ are distinct; hence, they are distinct modulo $d^{2}$. We deduce that with $\varepsilon_{r}, \varepsilon_{r+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n} \in\{0,1\}$ fixed, there is at most one choice of $\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r-1}\right)$ such that $f(3)$ is divisible by $d^{2}$. It follows that there are at most $2^{n-r+1}$ choices for $f(x) \in S_{n}$ such that $f(3)$ is divisible by $d^{2}$. The inequality $3^{(r+1) / 2} \geq d>B$ implies that $r$ is large. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{n-r+1} & =2^{n+1} 2^{-r}=2^{n+1}\left(3^{r / 2}\right)^{-2 \log 2 / \log 3} \\
& \leq 2^{n+1}\left(3^{(r+1) / 2}\right)^{-5 / 4} \leq 2^{n+1} d^{-5 / 4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce that the number of $f(x) \in S_{n}$ such that $f(3)$ is divisible by $d^{2}$ for some integer $d>B$ is

$$
\leq 2^{n+1} \sum_{d>B} d^{-5 / 4}
$$

Since $B$ is sufficiently large and $\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} d^{-5 / 4}$ converges, we deduce that this last expression is $\leq \varepsilon 2^{n}$, completing the proof of the lemma.
3. The cases $b=4$ and $b=5$. In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 1. We will improve on the argument given for Lemma 3 to obtain the desired result. We note that the work in this section allows us also to handle the case $b=3$ here, but we have chosen to indicate the proof of the case $b=3$ separately in the previous section partially because of its simplicity and partially because the case $b=3$ of Theorem 1 by itself can be used to obtain Theorem 2 (see Section 4).

As in the previous section, we fix $\varepsilon>0$ and consider $B$ to be sufficiently large. Analogous to Lemma 3, we want to show for $b=4$ and $b=5$ that the number of $f(x) \in S_{n}$ such that $f(b)$ is divisible by $d^{2}$ for some $d>B$ is $\leq \varepsilon 2^{n}$.

For $b \geq 3$, we define

$$
S(b)=\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{j} b^{j}: \varepsilon_{j} \in\{0,1\}, \text { all but finitely many } \varepsilon_{j} \text { are } 0\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{\prime} & =S^{\prime}(b)=\left\{m_{1}-m_{2}: m_{1}, m_{2} \in S(b), m_{1}>m_{2}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{j} b^{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}: \varepsilon_{j} \in\{-1,0,1\}, \text { all but finitely many } \varepsilon_{j} \text { are } 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $r$ and $t$ positive integers, we consider the set

$$
X(r, t)=X(r, t ; b)=\left\{u \in \mathbb{Z} \cap\left[b^{r-1}, b^{r}\right): \operatorname{gcd}(b, u)=1 \text { and } t u^{2} \in S^{\prime}\right\}
$$

The next several lemmas serve to estimate the size of $X(r, t)$. In the end, we will need a more intricate estimate for the case $b=5$ than for the case $b=4$; in particular, for the case $b=5$, we will need to strengthen our next lemma which is a preliminary bound on $|X(r, t)|$.

Lemma 4. Let $b \geq 3, r \geq 2$, and $t \geq 1$ be integers. Then

$$
|X(r, t)| \leq 3^{r+1} b^{2}
$$

Proof. For any positive integers $m$ and $s, m$ is in $S^{\prime}$ if and only if $b^{s} m$ is in $S^{\prime}$. Thus, we may suppose that $b \nmid t$, and we do so. We may also suppose that $|X(r, t)| \neq 0$. Let $u$ be in $X(r, t)$. Then $t u^{2}$ is in $S^{\prime}$. By the definition of $S^{\prime}$, an element of $S^{\prime}$ is either relatively prime to $b$ or it is divisible by $b$. Thus, the conditions $\operatorname{gcd}(b, u)=1$ and $t u^{2} \in S^{\prime}$ imply $\operatorname{gcd}(b, t)=1$.

We write

$$
t u^{2}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k} b^{k}
$$

where each $\alpha_{k}=\alpha_{k}(u)$ is in $\{-1,0,1\}$. There are $3^{r+1} b^{2}$ different values for the $(r+2)$-tuple $\left(u^{\prime}, \alpha_{0}^{\prime}, \alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ where $u^{\prime}$ is a non-negative integer $<b^{2}$ and $\alpha_{k}^{\prime} \in\{-1,0,1\}$ for $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, r\}$. Consider a fixed such $(r+2)$-tuple. The lemma will follow if we can show that there is at most one $u \in X(r, t)$ for which $u \equiv u^{\prime}\left(\bmod b^{2}\right)$ and $\alpha_{k}(u)=\alpha_{k}^{\prime}$ for every $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, r\}$.

Let $u$ and $v$ be in $X(r, t)$ with $u \equiv v\left(\bmod b^{2}\right)$ and $\alpha_{k}(u)=\alpha_{k}(v)$ for every $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, r\}$. We want to show that $u=v$. Let $p$ be a prime divisor of $b$. Then $\operatorname{gcd}(b, u)=1$ implies $p \nmid u$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(u-v, u+v)=\operatorname{gcd}(u-v, 2 u)$, we deduce that if $p$ divides both $u-v$ and $u+v$, then $p=2$. Also, $u \equiv v$ $\left(\bmod b^{2}\right)$ implies $p^{2} \mid(u-v)$ so that in the case $p=2$, we have $4 \nmid(u+v)$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(b, t)=1$, it follows that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(b^{r+1}, t(u+v)\right)$ is either 1 or 2 and, hence, divides $b$. The condition $\alpha_{k}(u)=\alpha_{k}(v)$ for every $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, r\}$ implies $b^{r+1} \mid\left(t u^{2}-t v^{2}\right)$. We deduce $b^{r} \mid(u-v)$. The conclusion $u=v$ now follows since $u$ and $v$ are positive integers $<b^{r}$.

Lemma 5. Let $j$ and $s$ be positive integers. Let $K$ be a set of s-tuples $\left(\kappa_{1}, \ldots, \kappa_{s}\right)$ satisfying the two conditions:
(i) For each $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}, \kappa_{i} \in\{1,2,3\}$.
(ii) For each $i \in\{j+1, j+2, \ldots, s\}$, if $\kappa_{i-j} \in\{2,3\}$, then $\kappa_{i} \in\{1,2\}$.

Then

$$
|K| \leq\left(\frac{3}{1+\sqrt{2}}\right)^{j}(1+\sqrt{2})^{s}
$$

Proof. For each $t \in\{1, \ldots, j\}$, consider the elements $\left(\kappa_{1}, \ldots, \kappa_{s}\right)$ of $K$ and define $K_{t}$ as the set of $[(s-t+j) / j]$-tuples $\left(\kappa_{t}, \kappa_{j+t}, \ldots, \kappa_{[(s-t) / j] j+t}\right)$. Thus, $|K| \leq \prod_{t=1}^{j}\left|K_{t}\right|$. Also, observe that the number of components in each element of $K$ is the sum over $t$ of the number of components in each element of $K_{t}$. In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\sum_{t=1}^{j}\left[\frac{s-t+j}{j}\right] . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fixing $t \in\{1, \ldots, j\}$, we consider the elements $\left(\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{[(s-t+j) / j]}\right)$ of $K_{t}$. For each $i \in\{1, \ldots,[(s-t+j) / j]\}$, we define $N_{i}$ as the number of different choices for $\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{i}$ which arise. In other words, $N_{i}$ is the number of $i$ tuples $\left(\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{i}\right)$ obtained from the first $i$ components of the elements of $K_{t}$. Thus, $\left|K_{t}\right|=N_{[(s-t+j) / j]}$. By condition (i), $N_{1} \leq 3$. By conditions (i) and (ii), $N_{2} \leq 7$ (there are $\leq 3$ choices for $\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)$ with $\psi_{1}=1$ and $\leq 4$ choices for $\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)$ with $\left.\psi_{1} \in\{2,3\}\right)$. Fix $i \in\{3,4, \ldots,[(s-t+j) / j]\}$. Let $M$ be the number of $(i-1)$-tuples $\left(\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{i-1}\right)$ with $\psi_{i-1}=1$. Observe that $M \leq N_{i-2}$. By condition (i), there are $\leq 3 M$ possible $i$-tuples $\left(\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{i}\right)$ with $\psi_{i-1}=1$. On the other hand, by condition (ii), there are $\leq 2\left(N_{i-1}-M\right)$ possible $i$-tuples $\left(\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{i}\right)$ with $\psi_{i-1} \in\{2,3\}$. Therefore,

$$
N_{i} \leq 3 M+2\left(N_{i-1}-M\right)=2 N_{i-1}+M \leq 2 N_{i-1}+N_{i-2} .
$$

Recall that $N_{1} \leq 3$ and $N_{2} \leq 7$. An easy induction argument now gives $N_{i} \leq 3(1+\sqrt{2})^{i-1}$. Thus,

$$
\left|K_{t}\right|=N_{[(s-t+j) / j]} \leq 3(1+\sqrt{2})^{[(s-t) / j]}=\left(\frac{3}{1+\sqrt{2}}\right)(1+\sqrt{2})^{[(s-t+j) / j]} .
$$

The lemma now follows from $|K| \leq \prod_{t=1}^{j}\left|K_{t}\right|$ and (2).
Lemma 6. Let b be an odd integer $\geq 5$, and let $r$ and $j$ be positive integers with $j \leq r$. Let $a$ and $t$ be positive integers and suppose that $b^{j} \| a$. Then the number of positive integers $u<b^{r}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(b, u)=1$ and such that both $t u^{2}$ and $t(u+a)^{2}$ are in $S^{\prime}$ is $\leq(b-1) 3^{j}(1+\sqrt{2})^{r-j}$.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 4, we may suppose that $\operatorname{gcd}(b, t)=1$ and do so. Let $u$ be as in the statement of the lemma. Let

$$
D(u)=t(u+a)^{2}-t u^{2}=t a(2 u+a) .
$$

Since $t u^{2}$ and $t(u+a)^{2}$ are in $S^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t u^{2}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k} b^{k} \quad \text { and } \quad t(u+a)^{2}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k} b^{k} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some integers $\alpha_{k}$ and $\beta_{k}$ in $\{-1,0,1\}$. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} u_{k} b^{k} \quad \text { and } \quad D(u)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{k} b^{k}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for each non-negative integer $k, u_{k} \in[0, b-1]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{k}=\beta_{k}-\alpha_{k} \in[-2,2] . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that since $b \geq 5, D(u)$ has a unique representation as in (4) with $d_{k} \in[-2,2]$. Suppose now that $v$ is a positive integer $<b^{r}$ with $v \neq u$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(b, v)=1$ and such that both $t v^{2}$ and $t(v+a)^{2}$ are in $S^{\prime}$. Let $l$ be the non-negative integer satisfying $b^{l} \|(v-u)$. Then $D(v)-D(u)=2 t a(v-u)$ so that

$$
b^{l+j} \|(D(v)-D(u)) .
$$

Viewing the numbers $u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{l-1}$ in (4) as fixed, we deduce that the numbers $d_{0}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{l+j-1}$ are uniquely determined. Furthermore, the number $u_{l}$ uniquely determines the value of $d_{l+j}$ and different values of $u_{l}$ lead to different values of $d_{l+j}$. In particular, there is at most one choice of $u_{l}$ which leads to $d_{l+j}=0$. We refer to such a choice of $u_{l}$ as "nice".

We keep the notation above and still view $u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{l-1}$ as fixed. Suppose that $l \geq 1$. Since $b$ is an odd integer relatively prime to $t u$, we obtain that $\operatorname{gcd}(b, t(u+v))=1$ so that $b^{l} \|\left(t v^{2}-t u^{2}\right)$. Hence, the numbers $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1}$ in (3) are uniquely determined. Different values of $u_{l}$ lead to different values of $\alpha_{l}$. We are interested only in $u$ for which $t u^{2} \in S^{\prime}$ so that $\alpha_{l} \in\{-1,0,1\}$. Therefore, there are at most 3 different values of $u_{l}$ such that $t u^{2} \in S^{\prime}$.

Since $\alpha_{l}$ and $\beta_{l}$ are in $\{-1,0,1\}$, for each $d_{l} \in\{-2,-1,0,1,2\}$, there are at most $3-\left|d_{l}\right|$ values of $\alpha_{l}$ such that (5) holds. In particular, we deduce that if $l \geq j$ and $u_{l-j}$ is not nice (so that $d_{l} \neq 0$ ), then there are at most two values of $\alpha_{l}$, and hence at most two values of $u_{l}$, for which $t u^{2}$ and $t(u+a)^{2}$ are both in $S^{\prime}$.

Since $b \nmid u$, there are at most $b-1$ choices for $u_{0}$ in (4). Fix $u_{0}$ and consider the choices for $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{r-1}$ as in (4) with $u$ as in the lemma. For $l \in\{1, \ldots, r-1\}$ and for any given $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{l-1}$, there are at most 3 different values of $u_{l}$, say $\gamma_{i}=\gamma_{i}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{l-1}\right)$ where $i$ is a positive integer $\leq$ 3. At most one such $u_{l}$ is nice, and if such a choice of $u_{l}$ exists we can suppose that it is $\gamma_{1}$ and do so. We define $\phi_{l}\left(u_{l}\right)=i$, where $i \in\{1,2,3\}$ with $u_{l}=\gamma_{i}$. Observe that $u$ in (4) is uniquely determined by the value of
$\left(\phi_{1}\left(u_{1}\right), \ldots, \phi_{r-1}\left(u_{r-1}\right)\right)$ (where we are still viewing $u_{0}$ as fixed). Also, if $l \in\{j+1, j+2, \ldots, r-1\}$ and $\phi_{l-j}\left(u_{l-j}\right) \in\{2,3\}$ (so that $u_{l-j}$ is not nice), then $\phi_{l}\left(u_{l}\right) \leq 2$. Thus, the set of $(r-1)$-tuples $\left(\phi_{1}\left(u_{1}\right), \ldots, \phi_{r-1}\left(u_{r-1}\right)\right)$ satisfies the conditions of the set $K$ in Lemma 5 with $s=r-1$. Recalling that there are $\leq b-1$ choices for the value of $u_{0}$, we deduce that the number of $u<b^{r}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(b, u)=1$ and such that both $t u^{2}$ and $t(u+a)^{2}$ are in $S^{\prime}$ is

$$
\leq(b-1)\left(\frac{3}{1+\sqrt{2}}\right)^{j}(1+\sqrt{2})^{r-1}<(b-1) 3^{j}(1+\sqrt{2})^{r-j}
$$

establishing the lemma.
Lemma 7. Let $b$ be a positive integer $\geq 3$. Let $r$ and $l$ be positive integers with $1 \leq l \leq r$. Let $t$ be a positive integer. Then there exist $3^{r-l+2}$ intervals each of length $<2 b^{l}$ with the union of these intervals containing all numbers $u$ for which $b^{r-1} \leq u<b^{r}$ and $t u^{2} \in S^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $s$ be the positive integer satisfying

$$
\frac{b^{s-1}}{b-1}<t \leq \frac{b^{s}}{b-1}
$$

For $u<b^{r}$ and $t u^{2} \in S^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
t u^{2}=\sum_{k=0}^{2 r+s-1} \alpha_{k} b^{k} \quad \text { for some } \alpha_{k} \in\{-1,0,1\}
$$

Fix $\alpha_{k}$ for $r+s+l-2 \leq k \leq 2 r+s-1$. Let

$$
\alpha=\sum_{k=r+s+l-2}^{2 r+s-1} \alpha_{k} b^{k}-\sum_{k=0}^{r+s+l-3} b^{k} \text { and } \beta=\sum_{k=r+s+l-2}^{2 r+s-1} \alpha_{k} b^{k}+\sum_{k=0}^{r+s+l-3} b^{k} .
$$

For $b^{r-1} \leq u<b^{r}$ and $t u^{2} \in S^{\prime}$, we deduce that $t u^{2}$ is in some such $[\alpha, \beta]$ so that $u \in[\gamma, \delta]$, where

$$
[\gamma, \delta]=[\sqrt{\alpha / t}, \sqrt{\beta / t}] \cap\left[b^{r-1}, b^{r}\right]
$$

Observe that

$$
\beta-\alpha=2 \sum_{k=0}^{r+s+l-3} b^{k}<\frac{2 b^{r+s+l-2}}{b-1}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta-\gamma & \leq \sqrt{\beta / t}-\sqrt{\alpha / t}=\frac{\beta-\alpha}{t(\sqrt{\beta / t}+\sqrt{\alpha / t})} \\
& <\frac{\beta-\alpha}{t \gamma} \leq \frac{\beta-\alpha}{t b^{r-1}}<\frac{2 b^{r+s+l-2} /(b-1)}{b^{r+s-2} /(b-1)}=2 b^{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the $3^{r-l+2}$ choices for $\alpha_{r+s+l-2}, \ldots, \alpha_{2 r+s-1}$, each in $\{-1,0,1\}$, lead to $3^{r-l+2}$ intervals $[\gamma, \delta]$ of length $<2 b^{l}$ satisfying the conditions of the lemma.

Since $b \geq 3$, it is not difficult to check that the intervals in the proof of Lemma 7 above are disjoint. On the other hand, it is already clear in the statement of Lemma 7 that we may consider these intervals to be disjoint.

Lemma 8. Let b be an odd integer $\geq 5$. Let $r$ and $t$ be positive integers. Then

$$
|X(r, t)| \ll \exp \left(\frac{\log 3(\log b+\log (1+\sqrt{2})) r}{\log (3 b)}\right)
$$

where the implied constant depends on $b$ but not on $r$ or $t$.
Proof. Consider an arbitrary positive integer $l \leq r$. By Lemma 7, $X(r, t)$ is contained in the union of $3^{r-l+2}$ disjoint intervals $\left[\gamma_{i}, \delta_{i}\right]$, with $1 \leq i \leq$ $3^{r-l+2}$, where each interval is of length $<2 b^{l}$. For each $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, 3^{r-l+2}\right\}$ and $k \in\{1, \ldots, b-1\}$, we set

$$
X_{i, k}(r, t)=\left\{u \in X(r, t): u \in\left[\gamma_{i}, \delta_{i}\right] \text { and } u \equiv k(\bmod b)\right\}
$$

Let $n_{i, k}=\left|X_{i, k}(r, t)\right|$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{3^{r-l+2}} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \frac{n_{i, k}\left(n_{i, k}-1\right)}{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{3^{r-l+2}} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \mid\left\{(u, v): u \in X_{i, k}(r, t), v \in X_{i, k}(r, t), \text { and } u<v\right\} \mid \\
& =\sum_{1 \leq a<2 b^{l}} \sum_{i=1}^{3^{r-l+2}} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \mid\left\{(u, v): u \in X_{i, k}(r, t), v \in X_{i, k}(r, t), \text { and } v-u=a\right\} \mid \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a<2 b^{l} \\
b \mid a}} \mid\{(u, v): u \in X(r, t), v \in X(r, t), \text { and } v-u=a\} \mid
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 6, we now deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{3^{r-l+2}} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \frac{n_{i, k}\left(n_{i, k}-1\right)}{2} & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{l} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq a<2 b^{l} \\
b^{j} \| a}}(b-1) 3^{j}(1+\sqrt{2})^{r-j} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{l} 2 b^{l-j}(b-1) 3^{j}(1+\sqrt{2})^{r-j} \ll b^{l}(1+\sqrt{2})^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|X(r, t)| & =\sum_{i=1}^{3^{r-l+2}} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} n_{i, k} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{3^{r-l+2}} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1}\left(1+\frac{n_{i, k}\left(n_{i, k}-1\right)}{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{3^{r-l+2}} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} 1+\sum_{i=1}^{3^{r-l+2}} \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} \frac{n_{i, k}\left(n_{i, k}-1\right)}{2} \ll 3^{r-l}+b^{l}(1+\sqrt{2})^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

We choose

$$
l=\left[\frac{(\log 3-\log (1+\sqrt{2})) r}{\log (3 b)}\right]+1
$$

to obtain the lemma.
Lemma 9. Let $b=4$ or 5 . Let $\varepsilon>0$, and let $B=B(\varepsilon)$ be sufficiently large. Then the number of $f(x) \in S_{n}$ such that $f(b)$ is divisible by $d^{2}$ for some integer $d>B$ is $\leq \varepsilon 2^{n}$.

Proof. Since $B$ is sufficiently large, the number of $f(x) \in S_{n}$ as in the lemma is 0 unless $n$ is also large. We therefore consider $n$ large. Let $r$ be a positive integer for which $b^{r}>B$. We consider the integers $d$ such that $b^{r-1} \leq d<b^{r}$. For $f(x) \in S_{n}$, we have $0<f(b) \leq b^{n+1}$ so that if $f(b)$ is divisible by $d^{2}$ (which is $\geq b^{2 r-2}$ ), then $r \leq(n+3) / 2$. We therefore suppose, as we may, that $r \leq(n+3) / 2$.

Recall that each $f(x) \in S_{n}$ has constant term 1 so that if $f(b)$ is divisible by $d^{2}$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(b, d)=1$. If $f(b)=t d^{2}$, then we also have $1 \leq t=f(b) / d^{2} \leq$ $b^{n-2 r+3}$ so that $d \in X(r, t)$ for some positive integer $t \leq b^{n-2 r+3}$. We use Lemmas 4 and 8 to deduce that the number of $f(x) \in S_{n}$ for which there exists a $d \in\left[b^{r-1}, b^{r}\right)$ such that $d^{2} \mid f(b)$ is

$$
\leq \sum_{t=1}^{b^{n-2 r+3}}|X(r, t)| \ll \begin{cases}4^{n-2 r} 3^{r} & \text { for } b=4 \\ 5^{n-2 r} \exp \left(\frac{\log 3(\log 5+\log (1+\sqrt{2})) r}{\log 15}\right) & \text { for } b=5\end{cases}
$$

In either case, if $r>n /(2.4)$, the above expression on the right is easily $\ll 2^{n} /(n B)$. We restrict our attention now to $r \leq n /(2.4)$. We note that our method for obtaining this bound on $r$ is not the best possible, and it would be easy to replace 2.4 with a larger number; however, 2.4 will be sufficient for what follows.

Let $s$ denote a positive integer $\leq n-2 r$. We consider $f(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} x^{j} \in$ $S_{n}$ with $\varepsilon_{2 r+s-2}, \varepsilon_{2 r+s-1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}$ fixed elements from $\{0,1\}$. Thus, we obtain $2^{2 r+s-3}$ different values of $f(b)$. Let $N(d)$ denote the number of different $(2 r+s-3)$-tuples $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{2 r+s-3}\right)$, with each $\varepsilon_{j} \in\{0,1\}$, such that $d^{2} \mid f(b)$. Suppose $N(d) \geq 1$. Consider the $f(x)$ counted by $N(d)$, and let $f_{1}(x)$ denote the $f(x)$ which minimizes the value of $f(b)$. Then there are
$N(d)-1$ other $f(x)$ counted by $N(d)$ each having the property that $d^{2} \mid f(b)$. For each of these $N(d)-1$ different $f(x)$, we obtain

$$
0<f(b)-f_{1}(b) \leq b^{2 r+s-2} \leq d^{2} b^{s}
$$

Thus, there are at least $N(d)-1$ different $f(x) \in S_{n}$ (with $\varepsilon_{2 r+s-2}, \varepsilon_{2 r+s-1}$, $\ldots, \varepsilon_{n}$ fixed) such that $f(b)-f_{1}(b)=t d^{2}$ for some positive integer $t \leq b^{s}$. Different choices for $f(x)$ give different values for $t$. We deduce that there are at least $N(d)-1$ different $t \leq b^{s}$ for which $d \in X(r, t)$.

With $\varepsilon_{2 r+s-2}, \varepsilon_{2 r+s-1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}$ still fixed, we bound the number of $f(x) \in$ $S_{n}$ such that there is a $d \in\left[b^{r-1}, b^{r}\right)$ for which $d^{2} \mid f(b)$. This number is

$$
\leq \sum_{b^{r-1} \leq d<b^{r}} N(d)=\sum_{\substack{b^{r-1} \leq d<b^{r} \\ N(\bar{d}) \geq 1}}(N(d)-1)+\sum_{\substack{b^{r-1} \leq d<b^{r} \\ N(d) \geq 1}} 1
$$

From our comments above and from Lemmas 4 and 8, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{b^{r-1} \leq d<b^{r} \\
N(d) \geq 1}}(N(d)-1) \leq \sum_{b^{r-1} \leq d<b^{r}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq b^{s} \\
d \in X(r, t)}} 1=\sum_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq b^{s}}} 1 \\
&=\sum_{\substack{b^{r-1} \leq d<b^{r} \\
d \in X(r, t)}}|X(r, t)| \\
& \ll \begin{cases}4^{s} 3^{r} \\
5^{s} \exp \left(\frac{\log 3(\log 5+\log (1+\sqrt{2})) r}{\log 15}\right) & \text { for } b=4\end{cases} \\
& \text { for } b=5
\end{aligned}
$$

Also,

$$
\sum_{\substack{b^{r-1} \leq d<b^{r} \\ N(d) \geq 1}} 1 \leq b^{r}
$$

Letting $\varepsilon_{2 r+s-2}, \varepsilon_{2 r+s-1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}$ now vary, we deduce that the number of $f(x) \in S_{n}$ such that there exists a $d \in\left[b^{r-1}, b^{r}\right)$ for which $d^{2} \mid f(b)$ is

$$
\ll 2^{n-2 r-s} 4^{s} 3^{r}+2^{n-2 r-s} 4^{r} \quad \text { for } b=4
$$

and

$$
\ll 2^{n-2 r-s} 5^{s} \exp \left(\frac{\log 3(\log 5+\log (1+\sqrt{2})) r}{\log 15}\right)+2^{n-2 r-s} 5^{r} \quad \text { for } b=5
$$

In the case $b=4$, we choose

$$
s=\left[\frac{r \log (4 / 3)}{\log 4}\right]+1
$$

and in the case $b=5$, we choose

$$
s=\left[\frac{r}{\log 5}\left(\log 5-\frac{\log 5+\log (1+\sqrt{2})}{\log 15}(\log 3)\right)\right]+1
$$

It is easily checked that since $1 \leq r \leq n /(2.4)$, in either case the choice of $s$ is a positive integer $\leq n-2 r$. We deduce that the number of $f(x) \in S_{n}$ such that $f(b)$ is divisible by some $d^{2}$ with $b^{r-1} \leq d<b^{r}$ is

$$
\ll 2^{n-2 r-s} b^{r} \ll \begin{cases}2^{n} \exp (-0.14 r) & \text { for } b=4, \\ 2^{n} \exp (-0.034 r) & \text { for } b=5\end{cases}
$$

In either case, $b=4$ or $b=5$, since $e^{2}>b$, the above bound is $\ll$ $2^{n} e^{-2 r / 100} \ll 2^{n} b^{-r / 100}$.

Letting $r$ vary over the positive integers for which $b^{r}>B$, we easily deduce now that the number of $f(x) \in S_{n}$ such that $f(b)$ is divisible by $d^{2}$ for some $d>B$ is $\ll 2^{n} B^{-1 / 100}$. Since $B$ is sufficiently large, the proof of the lemma is complete.
4. The proof of Theorem 2. Let $R$ be a fixed real number $\geq 1$. We begin by estimating the number of $f(x) \in S_{n}$ divisible by the square of a non-constant polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree $\leq R$. We will show that there are $o\left(2^{n}\right)$ such $f(x)$.

Odlyzko and Poonen [2] have obtained extensive results about the roots of polynomials in $S_{n}$. For our purposes, it suffices to know that these roots are bounded in absolute value by 2 which is easily established as follows. Let $f(x) \in S_{n}$, and write $f(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{m} \varepsilon_{j} x^{j}$ where $m \leq n, \varepsilon_{j} \in\{0,1\}$ for each $j$, and $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{m}=1$. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|\alpha| \geq 2$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(\alpha)| & \geq\left|\sum_{j=0}^{m} \varepsilon_{j} \alpha^{j}\right| \geq|\alpha|^{m}-\sum_{j=0}^{m-1}|\alpha|^{j}=|\alpha|^{m}-\frac{|\alpha|^{m}-1}{|\alpha|-1} \\
& =\frac{|\alpha|^{m+1}-2|\alpha|^{m}+1}{|\alpha|-1}=\frac{(|\alpha|-2)|\alpha|^{m}+1}{|\alpha|-1}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $f(\alpha) \neq 0$, and we deduce that all roots of the polynomials in $S_{n}$ necessarily have absolute value $<2$.

Let $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree $r \in[1, R]$, and suppose that $g(x)$ is a factor of some polynomial in $S_{n}$. It follows that the roots of $g(x)$ have absolute value $<2$. Also, since polynomials in $S_{n}$ are monic, the leading coefficient of $g(x)$ must be $\pm 1$. Since the degree of $g(x)$ is $\leq R$, it follows that each coefficient of $g(x)$ has absolute value less than or equal to the product of $2^{R}$ (an upper bound on the absolute value of the product of the roots of $g(x)$ ) and $2^{R}$ (an upper bound on the number of combinations of $r \leq R$ roots taken $k$ at a time where $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, r\})$. Since the absolute value of the coefficients of $g(x)$ are bounded by $4^{R}$ and since $g(x)$ has degree $\leq R$, there are

$$
\leq\left(2 \cdot 4^{R}+1\right)^{R+1}
$$

different possible values of $g(x)$. To establish what we first set out to show,
it suffices then to deduce that for each such $g(x)$, there are $o\left(2^{n}\right)$ different possible $f(x) \in S_{n}$ divisible by $g(x)^{2}$.

Fix $g(x)$ as above. Suppose that $f(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} x^{j} \in S_{n}$ is divisible by $g(x)^{2}$. We consider the set $T_{n}(f(x))$ consisting of the polynomials $w(x)=$ $\sum_{j=0}^{n} \varepsilon_{j}^{\prime} x^{j} \in S_{n}$ where there is exactly one $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ for which $\varepsilon_{k}^{\prime} \neq \varepsilon_{k}$. In other words, $w(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \varepsilon_{j}^{\prime} x^{j} \in T_{n}(f(x))$ if and only if there is a $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\varepsilon_{l}^{\prime}=\varepsilon_{l}$ for every $l \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$ with $l \neq k$ and $\varepsilon_{k}^{\prime}=1-\varepsilon_{k}$. Thus, $\left|T_{n}(f(x))\right|=n$. Since $f(x)$ is divisible by $g(x)^{2}$ and $f(x)$ has constant term 1, it must be the case that $g(x)$ is not divisible by $x$. If $w(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \varepsilon_{j}^{\prime} x^{j} \in T_{n}(f(x))$ and $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $\varepsilon_{k}^{\prime} \neq \varepsilon_{k}$, then $f(x)-w(x)= \pm x^{k}$ is not divisible by $g(x)^{2}$. We deduce that the elements of $T_{n}(f(x))$ are not divisible by $g(x)^{2}$.

Now, suppose that $f_{1}(x)$ and $f_{2}(x)$ are distinct polynomials in $S_{n}$ each divisible by $g(x)^{2}$. We show that $T_{n}\left(f_{1}(x)\right)$ and $T_{n}\left(f_{2}(x)\right)$ are disjoint. If the sets were not disjoint, then there would be some $w(x)$ which differs from each of $f_{1}(x)$ and $f_{2}(x)$ by a power of $x$. By considering $f_{1}(x)-f_{2}(x)$, it follows that for some $k$ and $l$ in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $k>l, x^{k} \pm x^{l}=x^{l}\left(x^{k-l} \pm 1\right)$ is divisible by $g(x)^{2}$. Since the roots of $x^{k-l} \pm 1$ are distinct and since $g(x)$ is not divisible by $x$, we deduce that $g(x)^{2}$ cannot divide $x^{l}\left(x^{k-l} \pm 1\right)$. Hence, $T_{n}\left(f_{1}(x)\right)$ and $T_{n}\left(f_{2}(x)\right)$ are disjoint.

For each $f(x) \in S_{n}$ divisible by $g(x)^{2}$, there correspond $n$ polynomials, namely the elements of $T_{n}(f(x))$, which are not divisible by $g(x)^{2}$, and these $n$ polynomials are different for different $f(x)$. Thus, there are $\leq 2^{n} /(n+1)$ polynomials in $S_{n}$ divisible by $g(x)^{2}$. Hence, there are $o\left(2^{n}\right)$ polynomials in $S_{n}$ divisible by $g(x)^{2}$ and thus $o\left(2^{n}\right)$ polynomials $f(x) \in S_{n}$ which are divisible by the square of a polynomial of degree $\leq R$.

Fix $\varepsilon>0$. It suffices to show that if $R$ is sufficiently large, then there are $\leq \varepsilon 2^{n}$ polynomials $f(x) \in S_{n}$ which are divisible by the square of a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree $>R$. We will use Theorem 1 with $b=4$ and the fact already established that the roots of the polynomials in $S_{n}$ have absolute value $<2$. We note, however, that the case $b=3$ of Theorem 1 could be used instead of the case $b=4$ if we use the fact that the roots of the polynomials in $S_{n}$ have real parts $<1.5$ (cf. [1] or [2]).

Let $f(x) \in S_{n}$ with $f(x)$ divisible by the square of a polynomial $g(x) \in$ $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree $r>R$. We may suppose that $g(x)$ is monic (otherwise, replace $g(x)$ with $-g(x))$. Then the roots of $f(x)$ and hence $g(x)$ have absolute value $<2$. If $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{r}$ denote the roots of $g(x)$, then $g(x)=\prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(x-\beta_{j}\right)$ and

$$
|g(4)|=\prod_{j=1}^{r}\left|4-\beta_{j}\right| \geq 2^{r}>2^{R} .
$$

Since $f(x)$ is divisible by $g(x)^{2}$, we deduce that $f(4)$ is divisible by $d^{2}$ for
some integer $d>2^{R}$. On the other hand, from Lemma 9 with $b=4$, we deduce that for $R$ sufficiently large, there are $\leq \varepsilon 2^{n}$ such polynomials $f(x) \in$ $S_{n}$. Hence, Theorem 2 follows.
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