

## References

- [1] L. Carlitz, *Some arithmetic properties of a special sequence of polynomials*, Duke Math. Journ. 26 (1959), pp. 583-590.  
 [2] L. E. Dickson, *History of the theory of numbers*, vol. 1, Washington 1919.  
 [3] E. Hecke, *Theorie der algebraischen Zahlen*, Leipzig 1923.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 12. 2. 1962

## Solvability of certain equations in a finite field \*

by

L. CARLITZ (Durham, N. C.)

1. Let  $q = p^n$ , where  $p$  is a prime, and let  $GF(q)$  denote the finite field of order  $q$ . Schwarz [4] has given an elegant proof of the following theorem. If  $k|p-1$ , if  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  are non-zero numbers of  $GF(q)$  and  $a$  is an arbitrary number of the field, then the equation

$$a_1x_1^k + \dots + a_kx_k^k = a$$

has at least one solution in the field.

Using the same method, the writer [2] has proved the following theorems.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $k|p-1$  and let  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  be non-zero numbers of  $GF(q)$ . Let  $g(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be an arbitrary polynomial with coefficients in  $GF(q)$  of degree less than  $k$ . Then the equation

$$a_1x_1^k + \dots + a_kx_k^k = g(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

has at least one solution in the field.

**THEOREM 2.** If  $f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is homogeneous of degree  $k$  while  $g(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is arbitrary of degree less than  $k$ , and

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_k \in GF(q)} \{f(x_1, \dots, x_k)\}^{q-1} \neq 0,$$

then the equation

$$(1.2) \quad f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = g(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

has at least one solution in the field. Alternatively the condition (1.1) may be replaced by the equivalent statement that the number of solutions of the equation

$$(1.3) \quad f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0$$

is not divisible by  $p$ .

By the degree of  $g(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is understood the total degree.

\* Supported in part by National Science Foundation grant G 16485.

In Theorems 1 and 2 it is possible that only the trivial solution  $(0, \dots, 0)$  occurs. We may however state the following theorem which supplements Theorem 2.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be homogeneous of degree  $k$  while  $g(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is arbitrary of degree less than  $k$  and  $g(0, \dots, 0) = 0$ . Also let*

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_k \in GF(q)} \{f(x_1, \dots, x_k)\}^{q-1} = 0$$

(so that the number of solutions of (1.3) is divisible by  $p$ ). Then the number of solutions of (1.2) is divisible by  $p$ . It follows that (1.2) has at least  $p-1$  non-trivial solutions.

This result may be compared with Warnung's refinement [5] of Chevalley's theorem on systems of equations in a finite field [3].

The proof of Theorem 3 is very simple. If the theorem is false then

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_k \in GF(q)} \{f(x_1, \dots, x_k) - g(x_1, \dots, x_k)\}^{q-1} \neq 0.$$

Put

$$\{f(x_1, \dots, x_k) - g(x_1, \dots, x_k)\}^{q-1} = f^{q-1}(x_1, \dots, x_k) + F(x_1, \dots, x_k),$$

so that

$$(1.6) \quad \deg F(x_1, \dots, x_k) < k(q-1).$$

Now it is familiar that for  $m \geq 1$

$$\sum_{x \in GF(q)} x^m = \begin{cases} -1 & (q-1 | m), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

It follows that for any polynomial that satisfies (1.6) we have

$$\sum_{x_1, \dots, x_k \in GF(q)} F(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0.$$

Hence (1.5) becomes

$$\sum_{x_1, \dots, x_k \in GF(q)} \{f(x_1, \dots, x_k)\}^{q-1} \neq 0,$$

which contradicts (1.4). Hence the number of solutions of (1.2) is a multiple of  $p$ ; since the trivial solution is certainly present, there must be at least  $p-1$  non-trivial solutions.

**2.** Theorem 3 can be extended to system of equations as follows.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be homogeneous of degree  $k_j$ ; while  $g_j(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is arbitrary of degree less than  $k_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, r$ ) and let*

$$g_j(0, \dots, 0) = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, r).$$

Also let

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_k \in GF(q)} \prod_{j=1}^r \{f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k)\}^{q-1} = 0.$$

Then if

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^r k_j = k,$$

the number of solutions of the system

$$(2.3) \quad f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) = g_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) \quad (j = 1, \dots, r)$$

is divisible by  $p$ . It follows that (2.2) has at least  $p-1$  non-trivial solutions.

**Proof.** Clearly the product

$$\prod_{j=1}^r \{1 - (f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) - g_j(x_1, \dots, x_k))^{q-1}\}$$

is equal to 1 or 0 accordingly as  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is or is not a solution of (2.3). Hence if the theorem is false we have

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_k} \prod_{j=1}^r \{1 - (f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) - g_j(x_1, \dots, x_k))^{q-1}\} \neq 0.$$

Expanding the summand it is evident that

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{j=1}^r \{1 - (f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) - g_j(x_1, \dots, x_k))^{q-1}\} \\ &= (-1)^r \prod_{j=1}^r (f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k))^{q-1} + F(x_1, \dots, x_k), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\deg F(x_1, \dots, x_k) < k(q-1).$$

It follows as in the proof of Theorem 3 that

$$\sum_{x_1, \dots, x_k} F(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0.$$

Thus (2.4) becomes

$$(-1)^r \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_k} \prod_{j=1}^r (f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k))^{q-1} = 0,$$

which contradicts (2.1). This completes the proof of the theorem.

The condition (2.1) is equivalent to the statement that the number of solutions of the system

$$(2.5) \quad f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, r)$$

is divisible by  $p$ . We observe that we have made no essential use of the homogeneity of the  $f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  but merely of the fact that

$$\deg f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) \leq k_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, r).$$

However for the last sentence in the statement of Theorem 4 we do require the fact that the system (2.5) possesses the trivial solution  $(0, \dots, 0)$ .

We may accordingly replace Theorem 4 by the following slightly more general result.

**THEOREM 5.** Let  $f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be of degree  $\leq k_j$  while  $g_j(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is of degree  $< k_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, r$ ) and let

$$f_j(0, \dots, 0) = g_j(0, \dots, 0) = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, r).$$

Also assume that the number of solutions of the system

$$f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, r)$$

is divisible by  $p$ . Then if

$$\sum_{j=1}^r k_j = k,$$

the number of solutions of the system

$$(2.6) \quad f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) = g_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) \quad (j = 1, \dots, r)$$

is divisible by  $p$ . It follows that the system (2.6) has at least  $p-1$  non-trivial solutions.

3. In place of (2.1) we may assume that

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_k} \prod_{j=1}^r \{1 - (f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k))^{q-1}\} \neq 0,$$

which is equivalent to the assumption that the number of solutions of the system

$$(3.2) \quad f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, r)$$

is not divisible by  $p$ . We now get

**THEOREM 6.** Let  $f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be of degree  $\leq k_j$  while  $g_j(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is of degree  $< k_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, r$ ) and assume that the number of solutions of the system (3.2) is not divisible by  $p$ . Then if

$$\sum_{j=1}^r k_j = k,$$

the number of solutions of

$$(3.3) \quad f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) = g_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) \quad (j = 1, \dots, r)$$

is not divisible by  $p$ . It follows that (3.3) has at least one solution.

**Proof.** If the theorem is false we have

$$\sum_{x_1, \dots, x_k} \prod_{j=1}^r \{1 - (f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) - g_j(x_1, \dots, x_k))^{q-1}\} = 0.$$

Expanding the left member we get

$$\sum_{x_1, \dots, x_k} \prod_{j=1}^r \{1 - (f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k))^{q-1}\} = 0,$$

which contradicts the assumption concerning the number of solutions of (3.2).

As we have seen in the proof of Theorem 4,

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_k} \prod_{j=1}^r \{1 - (f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k))^{q-1}\} \equiv N_0 \pmod{p},$$

where  $N_0$  denotes the number of solutions of the system (3.2). Similarly

$$\sum_{x_1, \dots, x_k} \prod_{j=1}^r \{1 - (f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) - g_j(x_1, \dots, x_k))^{q-1}\} \equiv N \pmod{p},$$

where  $N$  denotes the number of solutions of the system (3.3).

We have therefore the following

**THEOREM 7.** Let  $f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be of degree  $\leq k_j$  while  $g_j(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is of degree  $\leq k_j$ , where

$$\sum_{j=1}^r k_j = k.$$

Let  $N_0$  denote the number of solutions of (3.2) and  $N$  the number of solutions of (3.3). Then

$$(3.5) \quad N \equiv N_0 \pmod{p}.$$

If the  $f_j$  are polynomials in  $k-1$  or fewer indeterminates it is evident that (3.4) becomes

$$N_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

We accordingly get the following corollary of Theorem 7.

**THEOREM 8.** Let the  $f_j$  in Theorem 7 be polynomials in at most  $k-1$  indeterminates. Then the number of solutions of (3.3) is divisible by  $p$ . In particular if

$$f_j(0, \dots, 0) - g_j(0, \dots, 0) = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, r),$$

then the system (3.3) has at least  $p-1$  non-trivial solutions.

4. We shall now discuss a few special cases. To begin with let

$$(4.1) \quad y_j = \sum_{s=1}^k a_{js} x_s \quad (a_{js} \in GF(q), j = 1, \dots, s)$$

be  $n$  linear forms with coefficients in  $GF(q)$  and put

$$(4.2) \quad f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \prod_{s=k_j+1}^{k_{j+1}} y_s \quad (j = 1, \dots, r),$$

where

$$k_0 = 0, k_1 > 0, \dots, k_r > 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^r k_j = k.$$

Now assume that the  $y_j$  are linearly independent. Then the number of solutions of the system

$$(4.3) \quad f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0$$

is equal to

$$(4.4) \quad \prod_{j=1}^r (q^{k_j} - (q-1)^{k_j}).$$

To prove this we observe that since the  $y_j$  are linearly independent one may, by means of the linear transformation (4.1), define the  $f_j$  by

$$f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \prod_{s=k_j+1}^{k_{j+1}} x_s \quad (j = 1, \dots, r).$$

Thus it suffices to show that the number of solutions of

$$(4.5) \quad x_1 x_2 \dots x_k = 0$$

is equal to

$$q^k - (q-1)^k.$$

This follows at once from the fact that number of solutions of (4.5) is equal to  $q^k$  minus the number of solutions of

$$x_1 x_2 \dots x_k \neq 0.$$

If in the next place the  $y_j$  are linearly dependent it follows (compare Theorem 8) that the number of solutions of (4.3) is divisible by  $p$ . We may state

**THEOREM 9.** Let  $f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be defined by (4.1) and (4.2) and let  $g_j(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be arbitrary polynomials of degree  $< k_j$ . Also let  $N$  denote the number of solutions of the system

$$(4.6) \quad f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) = g_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) \quad (j = 1, \dots, r).$$

Then if the  $y_j$  are linearly independent,

$$(4.7) \quad N \equiv (-1)^{r+k} \pmod{p},$$

while if the  $y_j$  are linearly dependent,

$$(4.8) \quad N \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

In the latter case, if also

$$g_j(0, \dots, 0) = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, r),$$

it follows that (4.6) has at least  $p-1$  non-trivial solutions.

Returning to (4.1) and (4.2), another case of interest is that in which the  $a_{js}$  lie in some  $GF(q')$  but the coefficients of  $f_j$  are in  $GF(q)$ . For some properties of such factorable polynomials see [1]. We shall however consider only the following special case. Let  $\theta$  denote a primitive number of  $GF(q^n)$  and put

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (x_1 + \theta^{q^j} x_2 + \dots + \theta^{(n-1)q^j} x_n);$$

$f$  is called a *norm-form*. It follows that the only solution (in  $GF(q)$ ) of

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

is the trivial solution.

We now define the  $f_j$  as follows:  $f_1$  is a norm-form in  $x_1, \dots, x_{k_1}$ ,  $f_2$  is a norm-form in  $x_{k_1+1}, \dots, x_{k_2}$  and so on. It follows that the only solution of the system

$$f_j(x_{k_{j-1}+1}, \dots, x_{k_j}) = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, r)$$

is the trivial solution.

We may state

**THEOREM 10.** Let  $f_j(x_{k_{j-1}+1}, \dots, x_{k_j})$  denote norm-forms in the indicated indeterminates and let  $g_j(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be arbitrary polynomials of degree  $< k_j$ . Then the number of solutions of the system

$$(4.9) \quad f_j(x_{k_{j-1}+1}, \dots, x_{k_j}) = g_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) \quad (j = 1, \dots, r)$$

satisfies

$$N \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

If at least one  $g_j$  has a non-zero constant term, then the system (4.9) has at least one (non-trivial) solution.

We remark that when  $q$  is odd the form

$$ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2,$$

where  $b^2 - ac$  is a non-square of  $GF(q)$ , is a constant multiple of a norm-form.

5. If in Theorem 7 we assume that the system

$$f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, r)$$

has a single solution, then the number of solutions  $N$  of the system

$$f_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) = g_j(x_1, \dots, x_k) \quad (j = 1, \dots, r)$$

satisfies

$$N \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Compare also Theorem 10. It is however quite possible that  $N > 1$ .

We shall illustrate this in a very special case. Let  $q$  be odd and  $\beta$  a non-square of  $GF(q)$ . Then the equation

$$x^2 - \beta y^2 = 0$$

has only the solution  $(0, 0)$ . On the other hand, the equation

$$(5.1) \quad x^2 - \beta y^2 = 2ax - 2\beta by \quad (a, b \in GF(q)),$$

where  $a, b$  are not both zero, has  $q-1$  solutions. Indeed (5.1) is equivalent to

$$(5.2) \quad (x-a)^2 - \beta(y-b)^2 = a^2 - \beta b^2.$$

Since  $a^2 - \beta b^2 \neq 0$  it follows from a familiar result that the number of solutions of (5.2) is  $q+1$ .

Note that the equation

$$x^2 - \beta y^2 = 2ax - 2\beta by - c,$$

where  $c = a^2 - \beta b^2$ , has a single solution.

The result concerning (5.1) does not seem to generalize in an obvious way. For example if  $Q(x, y)$  is a binary quadratic form with discriminant equal to a non-square of  $GF(q)$  then the system

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{cases} z^2 = Q(x, y), \\ w^2 = cQ(x, y), \end{cases}$$

where  $c$  is some fixed non-square of  $GF(q)$ , has only the trivial solution. Indeed (5.3) implies

$$w^2 - cz^2 = 0, \quad w = z = 0$$

and therefore  $x = y = 0$ . If  $L$  is an arbitrary linear form in  $z, w$ , the system

$$\begin{cases} z^2 = Q(x, y) + L(z, w), \\ w^2 = cQ(x, y) + aL(z, w) \end{cases}$$

has only the trivial solution; the proof is exactly the same as that for (5.3).

## References

- [1] L. Carlitz, *On factorable polynomials in several indeterminates*, Duke Math. Journ. 2 (1936), pp. 660-670.  
 [2] — *Solvability of certain equations in a finite field*, Quart. Journ. Math. Oxford (2), 7 (1956), pp. 3-4.  
 [3] C. Chevalley, *Démonstration d'une hypothèse de M. Artin*, Abhandl. Math. Sem. der Hansischen Univ. 11 (1936), pp. 73-75.  
 [4] St. Schwarz, *On the equation  $a_1x_1^2 + \dots + a_kx_k^2 + b = 0$  in finite fields*, Quart. Journ. Math., Oxford, 19 (1948), pp. 160-163.  
 [5] E. Warnung, *Bemerkungen zur vorstehenden Arbeit von Herrn Chevalley*, Abhandl. Math. Sem. der Hansischen Univ. 11 (1936), pp. 76-83.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 12. 2. 1962