

Mean-value estimations for the Möbius function II

by

S. KNAPOWSKI (Poznań)

1. In this paper I shall prove the following (announced in [1])
 THEOREM. *Suppose that all the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ in the rectangle*

$$0 < \sigma < 1, \quad |t| \leq \omega$$

are simple and have $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. In that case we have ⁽¹⁾

$$(1.1) \quad \int_X^T \frac{|M(x)|}{x} dx > T^{1/2} \exp\left(-12 \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \log \log \log T\right)$$

with

$$X = T \exp\left(-100 \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \log \log \log T\right)$$

for ⁽²⁾

$$(1.2) \quad c_1 \leq T \leq \exp(\omega^{10}).$$

Remark. It may be noted that the assumptions of this theorem have been checked [3] at least up to $\omega = 10^4$.

The following lemma (see [2], p. 419), being a slightly modified version of a theorem of P. Turán (see [5], Satz X, p. 52), will be essential in a proof of (1.1).

LEMMA 1. *Let m be a non-negative number and z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N complex numbers such that*

$$1 = |z_1| \geq |z_2| \geq \dots \geq |z_h| \geq \dots \geq |z_N|, \quad |z_h| > 2 \frac{N}{N+m}.$$

Then there exists an integer ν with $m \leq \nu \leq m+N$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{|b_1 z_1^\nu + b_2 z_2^\nu + \dots + b_N z_N^\nu|}{(\frac{1}{2} |z_h|)^\nu} \geq \min_{h \leq j < h_1} |b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_j| \left(\frac{1}{24e} \frac{N}{2N+m} \right)^\nu,$$

⁽¹⁾ As in [1] $M(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)$, $\mu(n)$ the Möbius function.

⁽²⁾ Here and in what follows c_r denote positive numerical constants.



where $h_1 \leq N$ is any integer for which $|z_{h_1}| < |z_h| - \frac{N}{(m+N)}$. In that case when there do not exist numbers h_1 satisfying the latter inequality, we put at the right-hand side of (1.3) $\min_{h \leq j \leq N} |b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_j|$ instead.

2. Let integer, numerical $r \geq 2$ be large enough to satisfy the following statement (see [4], p. 185, Theorem 9.7):

for every $T \geq 2$, there exists some $t = t(T)$, $T \leq t \leq T+1$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad |\zeta(\sigma + it)| > t^{-r+1} \quad (-1 \leq \sigma \leq 2).$$

Having fixed r we prove the following

LEMMA 2. There exist positive numerical D, ψ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \left| \sum_{|\Im \rho| < t(Y)} D^{\rho} \left(\frac{e^{Y\rho} - e^{-Y\rho}}{2\psi\rho} \right)^r \frac{1}{\zeta'(\rho)} \right| > \frac{r}{4^r(r-1)!},$$

where ρ runs through non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$, provided that $Y > c_2$ and that all the ρ 's with $|\Im \rho| \leq Y+1$ are simple ζ -zeros.

Proof. Let N_1 be a positive integer to be fixed later. We write

$$\psi = \frac{1}{2N_1 r}, \quad D = N_1 e^{-(r-1)2\psi}$$

and consider the integral

$$(2.3) \quad I_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-it(Y)}^{2+it(Y)} D^s \left(\frac{e^{Ys} - e^{-Ys}}{2\psi s} \right)^r \frac{ds}{\zeta(s)}.$$

We have obviously

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} D^s \left(\frac{e^{Ys} - e^{-Ys}}{2\psi s} \right)^r \frac{ds}{\zeta(s)} + O\left(\frac{N_1^{r+2}}{Y^{r-1}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} D^s \left(\frac{e^{Ys} - e^{-Ys}}{2\psi s} \right)^r \frac{ds}{n^s} + O\left(\frac{N_1^{r+2}}{Y^{r-1}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us note that

$$\int_{(2)} D^s \left(\frac{e^{Ys} - e^{-Ys}}{2\psi s} \right)^r \frac{ds}{n^s} = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq De^{r\psi},$$

and also, moving the line of integration e.g. to $\sigma = -1$,

$$\int_{(2)} D^s \left(\frac{e^{Ys} - e^{-Ys}}{2\psi s} \right)^r \frac{ds}{n^s} = 0 \quad \text{for } n \leq De^{-r\psi}.$$

We have then

$$N_1 - 1 = N_1(1 - 1/N_1) < N_1 e^{\psi/2} \cdot e^{-1/N_1} = De^{-r\psi}$$

and

$$De^{r\psi} = N_1 e^{\psi/2} < N_1(1 + 1/N_1 r) < N_1 + 1,$$

so that

$$I_0 = \frac{\mu(N_1)}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \left(\frac{e^{Ys} - e^{-Ys}}{2\psi s} \right)^r \left(\frac{D}{N_1} \right)^r ds + O\left(\frac{N_1^{r+2}}{Y^{r-1}}\right).$$

Now

$$\frac{De^{r\psi}}{N_1} < 1 \quad \text{for } \nu = 1, 2, \dots, r-1,$$

whence

$$(2.4) \quad I_0 = \frac{\mu(N_1)}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \left(\frac{De^{r\nu}}{N_1} \right)^s \frac{ds}{(2\psi)^r s^r} + O\left(\frac{N_1^{r+2}}{Y^{r-1}}\right) = \frac{2\mu(N_1)}{4^r \psi^r (r-1)!} + O\left(\frac{N_1^{r+2}}{Y^{r-1}}\right).$$

On the other hand by Cauchy's theorem of residues

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= \sum_{|\Im \rho| < t(Y)} D^{\rho} \left(\frac{e^{Y\rho} - e^{-Y\rho}}{2\psi\rho} \right)^r \frac{1}{\zeta'(\rho)} + O\left(\frac{N_1^{r+2}}{Y}\right) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1-it(Y)}^{-1+it(Y)} D^s \left(\frac{e^{Ys} - e^{-Ys}}{2\psi s} \right)^r \frac{ds}{\zeta(s)} \\ &= \sum_{|\Im \rho| < t(Y)} D^{\rho} \left(\frac{e^{Y\rho} - e^{-Y\rho}}{2\psi\rho} \right)^r \frac{1}{\zeta'(\rho)} + O\left(\frac{N_1^{r+2}}{Y}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{N_1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{|\zeta(-1+it)|}\right) \\ &= \sum_{|\Im \rho| < t(Y)} D^{\rho} \left(\frac{e^{Y\rho} - e^{-Y\rho}}{2\psi\rho} \right)^r \frac{1}{\zeta'(\rho)} + O\left(\frac{N_1^{r+2}}{Y}\right) + O(1/N_1). \end{aligned}$$

This combined with (2.4) gives

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{|\Im \rho| < t(Y)} D^{\rho} \left(\frac{e^{Y\rho} - e^{-Y\rho}}{2\psi\rho} \right)^r \frac{1}{\zeta'(\rho)} = \mu(N_1) \frac{N_1 r}{4^{r-1}(r-1)!} + O\left(\frac{N_1^{r+2}}{Y}\right) + O(1/N_1).$$

We choose N_1 with $\mu(N_1) \neq 0$ (e.g. N_1 a prime number) to be so large as to make the error term $O(1/N_1)$ in (2.5) less than $\frac{1}{2} \frac{N_1 r}{4^{r-1}(r-1)!}$.

Having done that we take Y to be large enough to make $O(N_1^{r+2}/Y)$ less than $\frac{1}{4} \frac{N_1 r}{4^{r-1}(r-1)!}$. (2.2) then easily follows.

3. We introduce the following notation:

$$T_1 = \frac{T}{D} e^{-r\psi} \quad (D, r, \psi \text{ as in (2.1) and in lemma 2),}$$

$$A = 0.1 \log \log T_1, \quad B = 3r \log \log \log T_1, \quad Z = t((\log T_1)^{0.1} - 1),$$

$$m = \frac{\log T_1}{A+B} - \log^{0.1} T_1 (\log \log T_1)^2.$$

Let, further, k be an integer varying in the interval

$$(3.1) \quad m \leq k \leq \frac{\log T_1}{A+B} \quad \left(< 10 \frac{\log T_1}{\log \log T_1} \right).$$

We consider the integral

$$(3.2) \quad I = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{4/8-iZ}^{4/8+iZ} D^s \left(\frac{e^{\psi s} - e^{-\psi s}}{2\psi s} \right)^r \left(e^{As} \frac{e^{Bs} - e^{-Bs}}{2Bs} \right)^k \frac{ds}{\zeta(s)}$$

and evaluate it as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(4/8)} D^s \left(\frac{e^{\psi s} - e^{-\psi s}}{2\psi s} \right)^r \left(e^{As} \frac{e^{Bs} - e^{-Bs}}{2Bs} \right)^k \frac{ds}{\zeta(s)} + O(T^{4/3}/Z^k) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{2\pi i} \int_{(4/8)} D^s \left(\frac{e^{\psi s} - e^{-\psi s}}{2\psi s} \right)^r \left(e^{As} \frac{e^{Bs} - e^{-Bs}}{2Bs} \right)^k \frac{ds}{n^s} + O(T^{0.4}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as in Section 2 we have

$$I = \sum_{x_1 \leq n \leq x_2} \frac{\mu(n)}{2\pi i} \int_{(4/8)} D^s \left(\frac{e^{\psi s} - e^{-\psi s}}{2\psi s} \right)^r \left(e^{As} \frac{e^{Bs} - e^{-Bs}}{2Bs} \right)^k \frac{ds}{n^s} + O(T^{0.4}),$$

where

$$X_1 = De^{-r\psi+(A-B)k}, \quad X_2 = De^{r\psi+(A+B)k}.$$

Further

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{X_1}^{X_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(0)} D^s \left(\frac{e^{\psi s} - e^{-\psi s}}{2\psi s} \right)^r \left(e^{As} \frac{e^{Bs} - e^{-Bs}}{2Bs} \right)^k \frac{ds}{x^s} \right\} dM(x) + O(T^{0.4}) \\ &= - \int_{X_1}^{X_2} M(x) d \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(0)} D^s \left(\frac{e^{\psi s} - e^{-\psi s}}{2\psi s} \right)^r \left(e^{As} \frac{e^{Bs} - e^{-Bs}}{2Bs} \right)^k \frac{ds}{x^s} \right\} + O(T^{0.4}) \\ &= - \int_{X_1}^{X_2} M(x) d \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \cos(t(\log D + Ak - \log x)) \left(\frac{\sin \psi t}{\psi t} \right)^r \left(\frac{\sin Bt}{Bt} \right)^k dt \right\} + O(T^{0.4}) \\ &= \int_{X_1}^{X_2} M(x) \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \sin(t(\log D + Ak - \log x)) \left(-\frac{t}{x} \right) \left(\frac{\sin \psi t}{\psi t} \right)^r \left(\frac{\sin Bt}{Bt} \right)^k dt \right\} dx + \\ &\quad + O(T^{0.4}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I| &\leq \int_{X_1}^{X_2} \frac{|M(x)|}{x} dx \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} t \left| \frac{\sin \psi t}{\psi t} \right|^r \left| \frac{\sin Bt}{Bt} \right|^k dt + O(T^{0.4}) \\ &< \int_{X_1}^{X_2} \frac{|M(x)|}{x} dx + O(T^{0.4}). \end{aligned}$$

We have also

$$X_2 = De^{r\psi+(A+B)k} \leq De^{r\psi} \cdot T_1 = T$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= De^{-r\psi+(A-B)k} = De^{(A+B)k} e^{r\psi} \cdot e^{-2kB-2\psi r} \\ &> T \exp \left(-c_3 \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \log \log \log T \right), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(3.3) \quad |I| \leq \int_{\frac{T}{x}}^T \frac{|M(x)|}{x} dx + O(T^{0.4}).$$

We apply Cauchy's theorem to the rectangle with vertices $\frac{1}{2} \pm iZ$, $-1 \pm iZ$. Our assumption (1.2) ensures that all the ρ 's inside this rectangle are simple and have $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \sum_{|\Im \rho| < Z} D^{\rho} \left(\frac{e^{\psi \rho} - e^{-\psi \rho}}{2\psi \rho} \right)^r \left(e^{A\rho} \frac{e^{B\rho} - e^{-B\rho}}{2B\rho} \right)^k \frac{1}{\zeta'(\rho)} + O \left(\frac{Z^{r-1} \cdot T^{4/3}}{Z^k} \right) + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1-iZ}^{-1+iZ} D^s \left(\frac{e^{\psi s} - e^{-\psi s}}{2\psi s} \right)^r \left(e^{As} \frac{e^{Bs} - e^{-Bs}}{2Bs} \right)^k \frac{ds}{\zeta(s)}. \end{aligned}$$

The O -term is obviously $O(T^{0.4})$ and the latter integral is

$$O \left(e^{-(A-B)k} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{|\zeta(-1+it)|} \right) = O(1).$$

Hence and by (3.3) we get

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\frac{T}{x}}^T \frac{|M(x)|}{x} dx \geq \left| \sum_{|\Im \rho| < Z} D^{\rho} \left(\frac{e^{\psi \rho} - e^{-\psi \rho}}{2\psi \rho} \right)^r \left(e^{A\rho} \frac{e^{B\rho} - e^{-B\rho}}{2B\rho} \right)^k \frac{1}{\zeta'(\rho)} \right| + O(T^{0.4}).$$

4. In this section we shall estimate the absolute value of the sum

$$S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{|\Im \rho| < Z} D^{\rho} \left(\frac{e^{\psi \rho} - e^{-\psi \rho}}{2\psi \rho} \right)^r \left(e^{A\rho} \frac{e^{B\rho} - e^{-B\rho}}{2B\rho} \right)^k \frac{1}{\zeta'(\rho)}$$

from below. We put it in the form

$$S = \left(e^{A\varrho_0} \frac{e^{B\varrho_0} - e^{-B\varrho_0}}{2B\varrho_0} \right)^k \left\{ \sum_{|\Im \rho| < Z} D^{\rho} \left(\frac{e^{\psi \rho} - e^{-\psi \rho}}{2\psi \rho} \right)^r \frac{1}{\zeta'(\rho)} \left(e^{A(e-\varrho_0)} \frac{e^{B\rho} - e^{-B\rho}}{e^{B\varrho_0} - e^{-B\varrho_0}} \frac{\varrho_0}{\rho} \right)^k \right\},$$

where ϱ_0 is that zero with $0 < \Im \varrho_0 < Z$ at which $\left| \frac{e^{B\varrho_0} - e^{-B\varrho_0}}{\varrho_0} \right|$ attains maximum, and define

$$(4.1) \quad b_j = D^{\rho} \left(\frac{e^{\psi \rho} - e^{-\psi \rho}}{2\psi \rho} \right)^r \frac{1}{\zeta'(\rho)}, \quad z_j = e^{A(e-\varrho_0)} \frac{e^{B\rho} - e^{-B\rho}}{e^{B\varrho_0} - e^{-B\varrho_0}} \cdot \frac{\varrho_0}{\rho},$$

arranging them so as required in lemma 1. The number of terms in S is, as easy to see, $\leq N = [\log^{0.1} T_1 (\log \log T_1)^2]$, so that putting $b_j = z_j = 0$ for those $j \leq N$ which do not occur in (4.1) (if such j 's exist) we have defined b_j, z_j (with $1 = |z_1| \geq |z_2| \geq \dots \geq |z_N|$) for all $1 \leq j \leq N$.

Put $Y_1 = \log \log T_1$. Let

$$z_h = e^{A(eh - e_0)} \frac{e^{Beh} - e^{-Beh}}{e^{Be_0} - e^{-Be_0}} \frac{\varrho_0}{\varrho_h}$$

denote this of our z_j 's corresponding to ϱ 's with $|\Im \varrho| < t(Y_1)$, which has the maximal index $j = h$. Let, further,

$$z_{h_1} = e^{A(eh_1 - e_0)} \frac{e^{Be_{h_1}} - e^{-Be_{h_1}}}{e^{Be_0} - e^{-Be_0}} \frac{\varrho_0}{\varrho_{h_1}}$$

be any of z_j 's with $|\Im \varrho_{h_1}| > t(Y_1)$. Writing $\varrho_h = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_h$, $\varrho_{h_1} = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_{h_1}$, we assert

$$(4.2) \quad |\gamma_{h_1} - \gamma_h| > \frac{1}{Y_1^{2r}}$$

In fact, we can obviously suppose $|\gamma_{h_1}| < 2Y_1$ and have then by (2.1)

$$\frac{1}{Y_1^r} < \left| \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it(Y_1)\right) \right| = \left| \int_{1/2 + it(Y_1)}^{1/2 + t(Y_1)} \zeta'(s) ds \right| \leq (|\gamma_{h_1}| - t(Y_1)) Y_1^r,$$

whence (4.2).

We shall show now that the condition of lemma 1 is satisfied for every h_1 with $|\Im \varrho_{h_1}| > t(Y_1)$. Writing

$$\begin{aligned} |z_h| - |z_{h_1}| &= \frac{|\varrho_0|}{|e^{Be_0} - e^{-Be_0}|} \left(\frac{|e^{Be_h} - e^{-Be_h}|}{|\varrho_h|} - \frac{|e^{Be_{h_1}} - e^{-Be_{h_1}}|}{|\varrho_{h_1}|} \right) \\ &> e^{-B/2} \left\{ \left(\frac{e^B + e^{-B} - 2}{\frac{1}{4} + \gamma_h^2} \right)^{1/2} - \left(\frac{e^B + e^{-B} + 2}{\frac{1}{4} + \gamma_{h_1}^2} \right)^{1/2} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

we shall distinguish the following two cases. If $|\gamma_{h_1}| > 2Y_1$, we obtain

$$|z_h| - |z_{h_1}| > 1/3 Y_1.$$

In the case of $|\gamma_{h_1}| \leq 2Y_1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |z_h| - |z_{h_1}| &> e^{-B/2} \frac{e^B + e^{-B} - 2}{\left(\frac{1}{4} + \gamma_h^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left(\frac{e^B + e^{-B} + 2}{\frac{1}{4} + \gamma_{h_1}^2} \right)^{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{-1 - 2(\gamma_h^2 + \gamma_{h_1}^2) + (e^B + e^{-B})(\gamma_{h_1}^2 - \gamma_h^2)}{e^{B/2} \left\{ \left(\frac{e^B + e^{-B} - 2}{\frac{1}{4} + \gamma_h^2} \right)^{1/2} + \left(\frac{e^B + e^{-B} + 2}{\frac{1}{4} + \gamma_{h_1}^2} \right)^{1/2} \right\} (\frac{1}{4} + \gamma_h^2)(\frac{1}{4} + \gamma_{h_1}^2)} \\ &> \frac{e^B(\gamma_{h_1}^2 - \gamma_h^2)}{c_3 e^B Y_1^3} > c_4 \frac{(|\gamma_{h_1}| - |\gamma_h|)}{Y_1^2} > \frac{c_4}{Y_1^{2r+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence in either case

$$(4.3) \quad |z_h| - |z_{h_1}| > \frac{c_5}{Y_1^{2r+2}} > \frac{N}{m+N}$$

and also

$$(4.4) \quad |z_h| > \frac{2N}{m+N},$$

so that we are entitled to apply lemma 1 with $h_1 = h + 1$. We get then with a suitable k

$$\begin{aligned} |S| &\geq \left| e^{Ae_0} \frac{e^{Be_0} - e^{-Be_0}}{2Be_0} \right|^k \left| \sum_{|\Im \varrho| < t(Y_1)} D^e \left(\frac{e^{v\varrho} - e^{-v\varrho}}{2v\varrho} \right) \frac{1}{\zeta'(\varrho)} \right| \times \\ &\quad \times \left| \frac{e^{A(eh - e_0)} e^{Beh} - e^{-Beh}}{2} \frac{e^{Be_0} - e^{-Be_0}}{e^{Be_0} - e^{-Be_0}} \frac{\varrho_0}{\varrho_h} \right|^k \left(\frac{1}{24e} \frac{N}{2N+m} \right)^N \\ &> c_6 e^{Ak/2} \left| \frac{e^{Be_h} - e^{-Be_h}}{4B\varrho_h} \right|^k \exp(-\log^{0.1} T (\log \log T)^3) \\ &= c_6 e^{Ak/2} \frac{|e^B + e^{-B} - 2 \cos(2B\gamma_h)|^{k/2}}{(4B|\varrho_h|)^k} \exp(-\log^{0.1} T (\log \log T)^3) \\ &> c_7 e^{(A+B)k/2} \exp\left(-11 \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \log \log \log T\right) \\ &> 2T^{1/2} \exp\left(-12 \frac{\log T}{\log \log T} \log \log \log T\right). \end{aligned}$$

This and (3.4) give the result.

References

[1] S. Knapowski, *Mean-value estimations for the Möbius function I*, Acta Arith. 7 (1961), pp. 121-130.
 [2] — *Contributions to the theory of the distribution of prime numbers in arithmetical progressions I*, Acta Arith. 6 (1961), pp. 415-434.
 [3] D. H. Lehmer, *On the roots of the Riemann zeta-function*, Acta Math. 95 (1956), pp. 291-298.
 [4] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of the zeta-function of Riemann*, Oxford 1951.
 [5] P. Turán, *Eine neue Methode in der Analysis und deren Anwendungen*, Budapest 1953.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 14. 12. 1961