

**Minimum and maximum order of magnitude  
of the discrepancy of  $(n\alpha)$**

by

C. BAXA and J. SCHOISSENGEIER (Wien)

*Dedicated to Prof. Wolfgang Schmidt  
on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday*

It is a classical result of P. Bohl [5], W. Sierpiński [21, 22] and H. Weyl [25, 26] that the sequence  $(n\alpha)_{n \geq 1}$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1 if and only if  $\alpha$  is irrational. The discrepancies

$$D_N^*(\alpha) = \sup_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N c_{[0,x)}(\{n\alpha\}) - Nx \right|$$

and

$$D_N(\alpha) = \sup_{0 \leq x < y \leq 1} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N c_{[x,y)}(\{n\alpha\}) - N(y-x) \right|$$

measure the deviation of this sequence from an ideal distribution. (Here  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c_M$  is the characteristic function of the set  $M$  and  $\{x\} = x - [x]$  denotes the fractional part of  $x$ .) The speed of convergence in the limit relations

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} D_N^*(\alpha) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} D_N(\alpha) = 0$$

is used as a measure for the quality of distribution and was studied by many authors. Initially the problem was tackled by H. Behnke [3, 4], A. Ostrowski [14], G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood [10], and E. Hecke [11]. More recently, it was taken up by H. Niederreiter [13], J. Lesca [12], V. T. Sós [23, 24], Y. Dupain [7, 8], Y. Dupain and V. T. Sós [9], L. Ramshaw [15] and J. Schoißengeier [17, 18, 20].

---

Research was supported by the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF) under grant P8703-PHY.

In [17] it was proved that  $\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N^*(\alpha) = 1$  for all irrational  $\alpha$ . To determine the maximum order of  $D_N^*(\alpha)$ , the quantities

$$\omega_N^+(\alpha) = \sup_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N c_{[0,x]}(\{n\alpha\}) - Nx \right)$$

and

$$\omega_N^-(\alpha) = \sup_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \left( Nx - \sum_{n=1}^N c_{[0,x]}(\{n\alpha\}) \right)$$

were introduced by J. Schoißengeier [20] who determined

$$\max_{1 \leq N < q_{m+1}} \omega_N^+(\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{1 \leq N < q_{m+1}} \omega_N^-(\alpha)$$

up to an absolute error in terms of the continued fraction expansion of  $\alpha$ . Utilizing  $D_N^*(\alpha) = \max(\omega_N^+(\alpha), \omega_N^-(\alpha))$  one arrives at the maximum order of  $D_N^*(\alpha)$ .

It is the purpose of this paper to prove analogous results for the minimum and maximum order of  $D_N(\alpha)$ . We calculate  $\max_{1 \leq N < q_{m+1}} D_N(\alpha)$  in terms of the continued fraction expansion of  $\alpha$  up to an absolute error (where  $q_m$  denotes the denominator of the  $m$ th convergent of  $\alpha$ ). Using this we describe the maximum order of the sequence  $(D_N(\alpha))_{N \geq 1}$  and calculate  $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N(\alpha) / \log N$  for all  $\alpha$  for which  $D_N(\alpha) = O(\log N)$  is satisfied. Finally, we determine the minimum order of  $(D_N(\alpha))_{N \geq 1}$  which turns out to be closely connected to the Lagrange spectrum.

**1. The maximum order.** We will use the following notations:  $\alpha$  will always denote an irrational real number with regular continued fraction expansion  $\alpha = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$  ( $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and convergents  $(p_m/q_m)_{m \geq 0}$ . For all  $i, j \geq 0$  let

$$s_{ij} = q_{\min(i,j)}(q_{\max(i,j)}\alpha - p_{\max(i,j)})$$

and

$$\varepsilon_i = \frac{1}{2}(1 - (-1)^{a_{i+1}}) \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq i \\ j \equiv i \pmod{2}}} (-1)^{a_{j+1}}.$$

We are now prepared to state our first main result.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *For  $m \geq 0$  let  $N_m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m (a_{i+1} + (-1)^m \varepsilon_i) q_i$ . Then as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$4 \max_{1 \leq N < q_{m+1}} D_N(\alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_{i+1} - \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \equiv i \pmod{2}}} \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j |s_{ij}| + O(1)$$

and

$$\max_{1 \leq N < q_{m+1}} D_N(\alpha) = \begin{cases} D_{N_m}(\alpha) + O(1) & \text{if } N_m < q_{m+1}, \\ D_{N_{m-1}}(\alpha) + O(1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The implicit constants are absolute.

Proof. We introduce

$$S_m = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=0}^m a_{i+1} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \equiv i \pmod{2}}} \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j |s_{ij}|$$

as a convenient shorthand notation.

Employing  $c_{[0, \{x-y\}]}(\{x\}) - \{x-y\} = \{y\} - \{x\}$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  we have for  $0 \leq k, l \leq N < q_{m+1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad \Delta_N(k, l) &:= \sum_{n=1}^N c_{[0, \{k\alpha\}]}(\{n\alpha\}) - N\{k\alpha\} - \sum_{n=1}^N c_{[0, \{l\alpha\}]}(\{n\alpha\}) + N\{l\alpha\} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N (\{(n-k)\alpha\} - \{n\alpha\}) - \sum_{n=1}^N (\{(n-l)\alpha\} - \{n\alpha\}) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \{-n\alpha\} + \sum_{n=1}^{N-k} \{n\alpha\} - \sum_{n=1}^{l-1} \{-n\alpha\} - \sum_{n=1}^{N-l} \{n\alpha\} \\ &= k-1 - \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \{n\alpha\} - (l-1) + \sum_{n=1}^{l-1} \{n\alpha\} + \sum_{n=1}^{N-k} \{n\alpha\} - \sum_{n=1}^{N-l} \{n\alpha\} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{l-1} (\{n\alpha\} - 1/2) + \sum_{n=1}^{N-k} (\{n\alpha\} - 1/2) - \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} (\{n\alpha\} - 1/2) - \sum_{n=1}^{N-l} (\{n\alpha\} - 1/2) \\ &\leq 2 \max_{1 \leq M < q_{m+1}} \sum_{n=1}^M B_1(n\alpha) - 2 \min_{1 \leq M < q_{m+1}} \sum_{n=1}^M B_1(n\alpha) = S_m + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $B_1(x) = \{x\} - 1/2$  denotes the first Bernoulli polynomial. The last step made use of Corollary 2 in §2 of [19]. Using  $D_N(\alpha) = 1 + \max_{1 \leq k, l \leq N} \Delta_N(k, l)$  we get  $\max_{1 \leq N < q_{m+1}} D_N(\alpha) \leq S_m + c$  with an absolute constant  $c > 0$ . To obtain equality we set

$$\begin{aligned} k &:= 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq m \\ i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} (a_{i+1} + (-1)^m \varepsilon_i) q_i, \\ l &:= 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq m \\ i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}}} (a_{i+1} + (-1)^m \varepsilon_i) q_i \end{aligned}$$

and  $\widehat{N}_m := k + l - 1 = N_m + 1$ . Obviously  $l - 1 = \widehat{N}_m - k$  and  $k - 1 = \widehat{N}_m - l$ . According to Corollary 2 in §2 of [19] we have equality in (\*). Had we proved  $\widehat{N}_m < q_{m+1}$  we would have completed the proof of the theorem. It is of no importance that  $\widehat{N}_m = N_m + 1$  as  $D_{N+1}(\alpha) = D_N(\alpha) + O(1)$  with an absolute implied constant. A trivial estimation yields

$$N_m \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^m 2a_{i+1}q_i = q_{m+1} + q_m - 1.$$

If  $a_{m+1} \geq 3$  we even have

$$N_m < (q_m + q_{m-1}) + \frac{1}{2}(a_{m+1} + 1)q_m \leq q_{m+1}.$$

Thus,  $N_m \geq q_{m+1}$  only if  $a_{m+1} \leq 2$ . But in this case we may safely change to  $N_{m-1} < q_m + q_{m-1} \leq q_{m+1}$  as  $S_m = S_{m-1} + O(a_{m+1})$  with an absolute implied constant.

We conclude the proof with a remark: Obviously  $N_m \geq q_m$  if  $a_{m+1} \geq 2$ . If  $a_{m+1} = a_m = 1$  it is possible that  $a_{m+1} + (-1)^m \varepsilon_m = a_m + (-1)^{m-1} \varepsilon_{m-1} = 0$  but by the definition of the  $\varepsilon_i$  it is impossible to have also  $a_{m-1} + (-1)^{m-2} \varepsilon_{m-2} = 0$ . Therefore  $N_m \geq q_{m-2}$ .

*Remark.* Using Corollary 1 in §2 of [19] the Bernoulli polynomials can be replaced by Dedekind sums in the above estimate. This indicates a close connection between discrepancies and Dedekind sums which was first pointed out and explored by U. Dieter (oral communication).

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *Let  $\alpha$  be an irrational number. For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we define  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  by the property  $q_m \leq N < q_{m+1}$ . Then*

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N(\alpha) / \left( \sum_{i=0}^m a_{i+1} - \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \equiv i \pmod{2}}} \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j |s_{ij}| \right) = \frac{1}{4}.$$

*Proof.* This can be proved along the same lines as Corollary 1 in §2 of [20].

*Remark.* Another proof of Theorem 1.1 which uses a completely different method is to be found in [1]. It yields more precise information on where the maximum is attained at the cost of a much longer proof.

**2. The maximum order for numbers of bounded density.** By a well known theorem of W. M. Schmidt [16] for every  $\alpha$  an infinity of positive integers  $N$  such that  $D_N(\alpha) \geq (66 \log 4)^{-1} \log N$  exist. On the other hand, it was first observed by H. Behnke [4] that  $D_N(\alpha) = O(\log N)$  if and only if  $\alpha = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$  is of bounded density (i.e.  $\sum_{i=0}^m a_{i+1} = O(m)$  as

$m \rightarrow \infty$ ). For these numbers we are now able to compute the infimum of all possible implied constants in the estimate  $D_N(\alpha) = O(\log N)$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\alpha$  be a number of bounded density. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\alpha) &:= \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D_N(\alpha)}{\log N} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log q_m} \left( \sum_{i=0}^m a_{i+1} - \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \equiv i \pmod{2}}} \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j |s_{ij}| \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** This may be proved as Theorem 1 in §3 of [20].

Theorem 2.1 implies a property of the function  $\nu$  which was first shown by L. Ramshaw [15]:

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be two numbers of bounded density. Assume that there exists a matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\beta = (a\alpha + b)/(c\alpha + d)$ . Then  $\nu(\beta) = \nu(\alpha)$ .*

**Proof.** This follows immediately from Theorem 2.1 and various parts of the proof of Theorem 2 in §3 of [20].

**Remark.** The analogous map  $\nu^*(\alpha) = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N^*(\alpha)/\log N$  is studied in [1, 2]. The image of  $\nu^*$  has the property  $\nu^*(B) = [\nu^*(\sqrt{2}), \infty)$ . (Here  $B$  denotes the set of all numbers of bounded density.) In the present case we are able to prove  $[\nu(\sqrt{2}), \infty) \subseteq \nu(B)$  but  $\nu((1 + \sqrt{5})/2) < \nu(\sqrt{2})$ .

In the case of quadratic irrationalities there is a formula which does not contain any limit processes:

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $\alpha = [0, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_e}]$  where  $2 \mid e$  and set*

$$\eta_t = \prod_{\substack{0 \leq \sigma < e \\ \sigma \equiv t \pmod{2}}} (-1)^{a_{\sigma+1}} \quad \text{for } t \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{4 \log(q_e + \alpha q_{e-1})} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} a_{i+1} \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{t=0}^1 (2t-1) \frac{q_{e-1}}{2\eta_t - q_e - p_{e-1}} \mathcal{N} \left( \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i < e \\ i \equiv t \pmod{2}}} \varepsilon_i (q_i \alpha - p_i) \right) \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{t=0}^1 (2t-1) \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i < e \\ i \equiv t \pmod{2}}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j < e \\ j \equiv t \pmod{2}}} \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j q_i p_j \operatorname{sgn}(i-j) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{N}$  denotes the norm of the quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ .

*Proof.* Here the same applies as to Theorem 1 of §4 in [20].

*Note.* In view of Corollary 2.2 the assumption on the shape of the continued fraction expansion of  $\alpha$  does not exclude any quadratic irrationalities. Note also that the period  $e$  is not assumed to be of minimal length.

We finish the section with two special cases of Theorem 2.3.

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *Let  $\alpha = [0, \overline{a, b}]$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$\nu(\alpha) = \frac{1}{4 \log(1 + b/\alpha)} \left( a + b - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1 - (-1)^a}{ab + 2(1 - (-1)^a)} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1 - (-1)^b}{ab + 2(1 - (-1)^b)} \right).$$

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Let  $\alpha = [0, \overline{a}]$  with  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$\nu(\alpha) = \frac{a}{4 \log(1/\alpha)} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1 - (-1)^a}{a^2 + 4} \right).$$

*Remark.* Corollary 2.5 was first proved by L. Ramshaw [15].

### 3. The maximum order for special Hurwitz continued fractions

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha_t = \coth(1/t) = [t, 3t, 5t, \dots]$ . Then as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\max_{1 \leq N < q_{m+1}} D_N(\alpha_t) = \frac{1}{4}tm^2 + tm + \frac{1}{16t}((-1)^t - 1) \log m + O(1).$$

*Proof.* The proof runs analogously to that of Theorem 1 in §5 of [20].

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$(1) \quad \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N \left( \coth \frac{1}{t} \right) \left( \frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right)^2 = \frac{t}{4},$$

$$(2) \quad \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N(\sqrt[t]{e}) \left( \frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right)^2 = \frac{t}{4},$$

$$(3) \quad \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N(\sqrt[2t+1]{e^2}) \left( \frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right)^2 = \frac{2t + 1}{4}.$$

*Proof.* Using the well known continued fraction expansions of the numbers  $\coth(1/t)$ ,  $\sqrt[t]{e}$  and  $\sqrt[2t+1]{e^2}$  we proceed according to the following scheme. First we calculate estimates

$$\sum_{i=0}^m a_{i+1} \sim C_1(t)m^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \log a_i \sim C_2(t)m \log m$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \log a_i \leq \log q_m \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \log(a_i + 1) = \sum_{i=1}^m \log a_i + O(m)$$

we have

$$\log q_m \sim C_2(t)m \log m.$$

For each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we define  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  via the relation  $q_m \leq N < q_{m+1}$ . Since  $\log q_{m+1} \sim \log q_m$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  we infer  $\log N \sim C_2(t)m \log m$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . This yields  $\log \log N \sim \log m$  and  $\log N \sim C_2(t)m \log m \sim C_2(t)m \log \log N$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Putting all together we find

$$S_m \sim \sum_{i=0}^m a_{i+1} \sim C_1(t)m^2 \sim \frac{C_1(t)}{C_2(t)^2} \left( \frac{\log N}{\log \log N} \right)^2,$$

where we made use of

$$\sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq m \\ j \equiv i \pmod{2}}} \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j |s_{ij}| = O(m).$$

The result now follows from Corollary 1.2.

**4. The minimum order.** We continue by introducing a few more notations. Let  $q_m \leq N < q_{m+1}$ . There is a unique expansion  $N = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j q_j$  where  $0 \leq b_j \leq a_{j+1}$  for all  $j$ ,  $b_0 < a_1$  and  $b_j = a_{j+1} \Rightarrow b_{j-1} = 0$  for  $j \geq 1$ . For  $j \geq -1$  we define  $A_j = \sum_{\mu=0}^m b_\mu s_{\mu j}$ . Let  $i_N$  be the smallest integer  $j \geq 0$  such that  $b_j \neq 0$ . Set

$$s := \min\{j \mid 2 \nmid j, 1 \leq j \leq m, A_j > 0, A_{j+2} > 0 \Rightarrow b_{j+1} < a_{j+2}\}$$

and

$$t := \min\{j \mid 2 \nmid j, 1 \leq j \leq m, A_{j-1} < 0 < A_{j+1}, A_{j+2} > 0 \Rightarrow b_{j+1} < a_{j+2} - 1\},$$

where  $\min \emptyset := \infty$ . Finally, we define

$$u := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 2 \mid i_N \text{ and } (b_0 < a_1 - 1 \text{ or } A_1 < 0), \\ \min\{s, t\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 4.1.

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \omega_N^+(\alpha) &= \sum_{\substack{u \leq j \leq m \\ j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} b_j(1 - A_j) + \sum_{\substack{u \leq j \leq m \\ A_{j+1} < 0 < A_{j-1} \\ j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} A_j - \sum_{\substack{u \leq j \leq m \\ A_{j-1} \leq 0 < A_{j+1} \\ j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} A_j \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{u \leq j \leq m \\ A_j < 0 \\ j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} a_{j+1} A_j + (\delta_{u,0} - 1) A_u, \\ (2) \quad \omega_N^-(\alpha) &= \omega_N^+(\alpha) + A_0 - \sum_{j=0}^m b_j((-1)^j - A_j) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3) \quad D_N(\alpha) = 2\omega_N^+(\alpha) + A_0 - \sum_{j=0}^m b_j((-1)^j - A_j).$$

Proof. Though not explicitly stated, (1) and (2) are contained in Theorem 1 of §8 in [17]. (Note the slightly different definition of the  $A_j$ .) (3) follows immediately from  $D_N(\alpha) = \omega_N^+(\alpha) + \omega_N^-(\alpha)$ .

LEMMA 4.2. *Let  $q_m \leq N < q_{m+1}$ . Then*

$$\omega_N^+(\alpha) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} (\sigma^{-1}(k) - N\{k\alpha\}) \geq q_m |q_m\alpha - p_m|$$

and

$$\omega_N^-(\alpha) = 1 + \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} (N\{k\alpha\} - \sigma^{-1}(k)) \geq q_m |q_m\alpha - p_m|,$$

where  $\sigma : \{1, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, N\}$  is the unique permutation which satisfies  $\{\alpha\sigma(i)\} < \{\alpha\sigma(i+1)\}$  for  $1 \leq i < N$ .

Proof. There is a  $k_0$  ( $1 \leq k_0 \leq N$ ) such that  $\sigma^{-1}(k_0) = N$ . We have

$$\sigma^{-1}(k_0) - N\{k_0\alpha\} = N|1 + [k_0\alpha] - k_0\alpha| \geq q_m |q_m\alpha - p_m|$$

as  $|q\alpha - p| \geq |q_m\alpha - p_m| > |q_{m+1}\alpha - p_{m+1}|$  for all  $(q, p) \neq (q_{m+1}, p_{m+1})$  with  $0 \leq q < q_{m+1}$ . The second assumption is proved analogously.

THEOREM 4.3. *If  $\alpha$  is an irrational number, then*

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N(\alpha) = 1 + \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} q_m |q_m\alpha - p_m|.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Corollary 1 in §9 of [17] we compute  $D_{bq_m}(\alpha)$  (where  $1 \leq b \leq a_{m+1}$ ) using Theorem 4.1 and arrive at

$$D_{bq_m}(\alpha) = b - (b - 2)bq_m |q_m\alpha - p_m| - b|q_m\alpha - p_m|.$$

Putting  $b = 1$  leads to

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N(\alpha) \leq 1 + \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} q_m |q_m\alpha - p_m|.$$

To prove the reverse inequality let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $N$  such that  $D_N^*(\alpha) = \max(\omega_N^+(\alpha), \omega_N^-(\alpha)) > 1 - \varepsilon$ . (The existence of such an  $N$  is guaranteed by Corollary 2 in §9 of [17].) If (without loss of generality)  $\omega_N^+(\alpha) > 1 - \varepsilon$  then  $D_N(\alpha) = \omega_N^+(\alpha) + \omega_N^-(\alpha) > 1 + q_m |q_m\alpha - p_m| - \varepsilon$  by Lemma 4.2.

Remark. As proved in the above theorem,  $D_N(\alpha)$  behaves like  $D_N^*(\alpha)$  if the sequence  $(a_j)_{j \geq 1}$  of partial quotients is unbounded, otherwise it is closely related to the Lagrange spectrum. As this set has been studied thoroughly there is an abundance of information available on the set  $\mathcal{S} := \{\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}\}$  (see [6]). We restrict ourselves to state just a few of the known facts:



$\mathcal{S}$  is a closed subset of the interval  $[1, 1 + 1/\sqrt{5}]$  with  $\min \mathcal{S} = 1$  and  $\max \mathcal{S} = 1 + 1/\sqrt{5}$ . Its subset  $\mathcal{S} \cap (1 + 1/3, 1 + 1/\sqrt{5})$  consists of the numbers  $1 + m/\sqrt{9m^2 - 4}$  where  $m$  is a positive integer such that

$$m^2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2 = 3mm_1m_2$$

for some positive integers  $m_1 \leq m$  and  $m_2 \leq m$ . The three largest numbers of  $\mathcal{S}$  are  $1 + 1/\sqrt{5}$ ,  $1 + 1/\sqrt{8}$  and  $1 + 5/\sqrt{221}$ . Let

$$\mu_0 = \frac{253589820 + 283748\sqrt{462}}{491993569} = 4.527829\dots$$

Then  $[1, 1 + 1/\mu_0] \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  and there is no interval  $I$  such that  $[1, 1 + 1/\mu_0] \subsetneq I \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ . On the other hand, there are gaps in  $\mathcal{S}$  such as  $J = (1 + 1/\sqrt{13}, 1 + 1/\sqrt{12})$ , i.e.  $J \cap \mathcal{S} = \emptyset$  but  $1 + 1/\sqrt{12} \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $1 + 1/\sqrt{13} \in \mathcal{S}$ .

### References

- [1] C. Baxa, *Die maximale Größenordnung der Diskrepanz der Folge  $(n\alpha)_{n \geq 1}$* , Dissertation, Universität Wien, 1993.
- [2] —, *On the discrepancy of the sequence  $(n\alpha)$* , to appear.
- [3] H. Behnke, *Über die Verteilung von Irrationalitäten mod 1*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 1 (1922), 252–267.
- [4] —, *Zur Theorie der diophantischen Approximationen I*, ibid. 3 (1924), 261–318.
- [5] P. Bohl, *Über ein in der Theorie der säkularen Störungen vorkommendes Problem*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 135 (1909), 189–283.
- [6] T. W. Cusick and M. E. Flahive, *The Markoff and Lagrange Spectra*, Math. Surveys Monographs 30, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1989.
- [7] Y. Dupain, *Répartition et discr pance*, Th se, Universit  de Bordeaux I, 1978.
- [8] —, *Discr pance de la suite  $(\{n^{1+\sqrt{5}}/2\})$* , Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 29 (1979), 81–106.
- [9] Y. Dupain and V. T. S s, *On the discrepancy of  $(n\alpha)$  sequences*, in: Topics in Classical Number Theory, Vol. 1, Colloq. Math. Soc. J nos Bolyai 34, G. Hal sz (ed.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, 355–387.
- [10] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Some problems of Diophantine Approximation: The lattice points of a right-angled triangle II*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 1 (1922), 212–249.
- [11] E. Hecke, *Über analytische Funktionen und die Verteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins*, ibid. 54–76.
- [12] J. Lesca, *Sur la r partition modulo 1 de la suite  $n\alpha$* , Acta Arith. 20 (1972), 345–352.
- [13] H. Niederreiter, *Application of diophantine approximation to numerical integration*, in: Diophantine Approximation and Its Applications, C. F. Osgood (ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1973, 129–199.
- [14] A. Ostrowski, *Bemerkungen zur Theorie der Diophantischen Approximationen*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 1 (1922), 77–98.
- [15] L. Ramshaw, *On the discrepancy of the sequence formed by the multiples of an irrational number*, J. Number Theory 13 (1981), 138–175.
- [16] W. M. Schmidt, *Irregularities of distribution VII*, Acta Arith. 21 (1972), 45–50.
- [17] J. Schoi engeier, *On the discrepancy of  $(n\alpha)$* , ibid. 44 (1984), 241–279.

- [18] J. Schoißegeier, *On the discrepancy of  $(n\alpha)$  II*, J. Number Theory 24 (1986), 54–64.
- [19] —, *Abschätzungen für  $\sum_{n \leq N} B_1(n\alpha)$* , Monatsh. Math. 102 (1986), 59–77.
- [20] —, *The discrepancy of  $(n\alpha)_{n \geq 1}$* , Math. Ann. 296 (1993), 529–545.
- [21] W. Sierpiński, *Sur la valeur asymptotique d'une certaine somme*, Bull. Int. Acad. Polon. Sci. (Cracovie) A (1910), 9–11.
- [22] —, *On the asymptotic value of a certain sum*, Rozprawy Akademii Umiejętności w Krakowie, Wydział mat. przyrod. 50 (1910), 1–10 (in Polish).
- [23] V. T. Sós, *On the theory of diophantine approximation II (inhomogeneous problems)*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 9 (1958), 229–241.
- [24] —, *On strong irregularities of the distribution of  $\{n\alpha\}$  sequences*, in: Studies in Pure Mathematics, P. Erdős (ed.), Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983, 685–700.
- [25] H. Weyl, *Über die Gibbs'sche Erscheinung und verwandte Konvergenzphänomene*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 30 (1910), 377–407.
- [26] —, *Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins*, Math. Ann. 77 (1916), 313–352.

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK  
UNIVERSITÄT WIEN  
STRUDLHOFGASSE 4  
A-1090 WIEN, AUSTRIA  
E-mail: BAXA@PAP.UNIVIE.AC.AT

Received on 17.12.1993

(2547)