

On some divisor problems

by

HONG-QUAN LIU (Harbin)

1. Introduction. We investigate the distribution of the divisor functions $d(1, 1, 2; n)$ and $d(1, 1, 2, 2; n)$, which are defined as

$$d(1, 1, 2; n) = \#\{(n_1, n_2, n_3) \mid n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}, n_1 n_2 n_3^2 = n\},$$
$$d(1, 1, 2, 2; n) = \#\{(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4) \mid n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \in \mathbb{N}, n_1 n_2 n_3^2 n_4^2 = n\},$$

where \mathbb{N} is the set of all natural numbers. Our results are:

THEOREM 1.

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d(1, 1, 2; n) = \text{main terms} + O(x^{77/208+\varepsilon}).$$

THEOREM 2.

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d(1, 1, 2, 2; n) = \text{main terms} + O(x^{0.4+\varepsilon}).$$

Here ε is an arbitrarily small given positive number, and x is a large positive number. The exponent $77/208 = 0.3701\dots$ of Theorem 1 improves the corresponding exponent $3/8 = 0.375$ of Schmidt [10], and the exponent 0.4 of Theorem 2 improves the exponent $45/109 = 0.412\dots$ of Menzer and Seibold [9]. The connection of these divisor problems with the distribution of certain quantities of finite Abelian groups was first established in Krätzel [2]. Let $\tau(G)$ be the number of direct factors of a finite Abelian group G , and $t(G)$ be the number of unitary factors of G , and

$$T(x) = \sum \tau(G), \quad T^*(x) = \sum t(G),$$

where the summations are over all Abelian groups of order not exceeding x . Then from the arguments of [2] we get

COROLLARY 1. $T(x) = \text{main terms} + O(x^{0.4+2\varepsilon})$.

COROLLARY 2. $T^*(x) = \text{main terms} + O(x^{77/208+2\varepsilon})$.

After certain reductions our problems are connected with multiple exponential sums, which can be estimated as accurately as possible by means

of the method given in the author's preceding papers [3]–[8] on similar divisor problems. A sharper estimate of Huxley [1] will also be appealed to in proving Theorem 1.

The author wishes to thank his colleagues, M. N. Huxley of Cardiff and E. Krätzel of Jena, for sending reprints of [1] and [2], and for their encouragement.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. Let

$$S(a, b, c; x) = \sum_{n^a m^{b+c} \leq x, n \leq m} \psi \left(\left(\frac{x}{n^a m^b} \right)^{1/c} \right), \quad \psi(t) = t - [t] - 1/2.$$

We have

LEMMA 1.

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d(1, 1, 2; n) = \text{main terms} + \Delta(1, 1, 2; x),$$

where

$$\Delta(1, 1, 2; x) = -2S(1, 1, 2; x) - 2S(1, 2, 1; x) - 2S(2, 1, 1; x) + O(x^{1/4}).$$

Proof. This is Lemma 5 of [2]. The expression for $\Delta(1, 1, 2; x)$ comes from a paper of Vogts (cf. Lemma 3 of Krätzel [2]).

For any permutation (a, b, c) of $(1, 1, 2)$, it suffices for us to consider $S(M, N; x)$, where M and N are integers with $2M \geq N$, $M^{b+c} N^a \leq x$,

$$S(M, N; x) := S_{a,b,c}(M, N; x) = \sum_{(m,n) \in D} \psi \left(\left(\frac{x}{n^a m^b} \right)^{1/c} \right), \quad MN > x^{0.35},$$

and $D := D(M, N) = \{(m, n) \mid m \sim M, n \sim N, m^{b+c} n^a \leq x, n \leq m\}$. Throughout this paper, we use $r \sim R$ and $r \cong R$ to mean $1 \leq r/R < 2$ and $C_1 \leq r/R \leq C_2$, respectively; C_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) will be some absolute constants. In order to introduce exponential sums we apply the familiar Fourier expansion treatment of the function $\psi(t)$; thus for a parameter $K \geq 100$, we get, as on p. 266 of [3], the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\ln x)^{-1} S(M, N; x) \\ & \ll MNK^{-1} + \sum_{1 \leq h \leq K^2} \min(1/h, K/h^2) \left| \sum_{(m,n) \in D} e(f(h, m, n)) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f(h, m, n) = h \left(\frac{x}{n^a m^b} \right)^{1/c}.$$

Thus for some $H \in [1, K^2]$ we have

$$(1) \quad x^{-\varepsilon} S(M, N; x) \ll MNK^{-1} + \min(1, K/H)\Phi(H, M, N),$$

where

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(H, M, N) &:= \Phi_{a,b,c}(H, M, N) \\ &= H^{-1} \sum_{h \sim H} \left| \sum_{(m,n) \in D} e(f(h, m, n)) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to (1) and (2) of [7] we get (we have omitted the routine details for simplicity)

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(H, M, N) &\ll H^{-1}(M^2(HF)^{-1})^{1/2} \sum_{h \sim H} \left| \sum_{(u,n) \in D_1} P(u)Q(n)e(g_1(h, u, n)) \right| \\ &\quad + (HF)^{1/2} + x^{1/3} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(H, M, N) &\ll MN(H^2F)^{-1} \sum_{h \sim H} \left| \sum_{(u,v) \in D_2} R(u)S(v)e(g_2(h, u, v)) \right| \\ &\quad + (HF)^{1/2} + x^{1/3}, \end{aligned}$$

where $F = (xM^{-b}N^{-a})^{1/c}$, D_1 and D_2 are subsets of $\{(u, n) \mid u/U \in [C_1, C_2], n \in [N, 2N]\}$ and $\{(u, v) \mid u/U \in [C_3, C_4], v/V \in [C_5, C_6]\}$, respectively, both are embraced by $O(1)$ algebraic curves, $P(\cdot)$, $Q(\cdot)$, $R(\cdot)$, $S(\cdot)$ are monomials of the form At^α , with A being the number independent of variables, and α being a rational, and

$$\begin{aligned} |P(\cdot)|, |Q(\cdot)|, |R(\cdot)|, |S(\cdot)| &\leq 1; \\ g_1(h, u, n) &= C_7(xh^c u^b n^{-a})^{1/(c+b)}, \quad g_2(h, u, v) = C_8(xh^c u^b v^a)^{1/4}; \\ U &= HFM^{-1}, \quad V = HFN^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We can apply Theorem 3 of [4] to estimate the triple exponential sum in (3), with the choice $(h, x, y) = (h, u, n)$; this yields

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} x^{-\varepsilon} \Phi(H, M, N) &\ll \sqrt[22]{H^8 F^{11} M^3 N^{13}} + (HF)^{1/2} N^{5/8} + \sqrt[16]{H^4 F^4 N^{17}} \\ &\quad + \sqrt[32]{H^8 F^{11} M^3 N^{28}} + \sqrt[32]{H^{13} F^{16} M^3 N^{18}} \\ &\quad + \sqrt[4]{FMN^4} + \sqrt[4]{HF^2 MN^2} + x^{1/3}. \end{aligned}$$

By putting the estimate (5) into (1) and choosing $K \in [0, x]$ optimally via Lemma 2 of [3], we get

LEMMA 2.

$$x^{-2\varepsilon} S(M, N; x) \ll \sqrt[30]{F^{11} M^{11} N^{21}} + \sqrt[24]{F^8 M^8 N^{18}} + \sqrt[20]{F^4 M^4 N^{21}} \\ + \sqrt[40]{F^{11} M^{11} N^{36}} + \sqrt[45]{F^{16} M^{16} N^{31}} + \sqrt[5]{F^2 M^2 N^3} \\ + (FMN^4)^{1/4} + x^{1/3}.$$

Since (a, b, c) is a permutation of $(1, 1, 2)$, $M \gg N$ and $M^{b+c} N^a \leq x$, we have $F \ll x(MN^2)^{-1}$ and $N \ll x^{1/4}$, and thus by Lemma 2 we get

$$(6) \quad x^{-2\varepsilon} S(M, N; x) \ll \sqrt[5]{x^2 N^{-1}} + (xN^2)^{1/4} + x^{0.36}.$$

We now use Huxley's results, which are better than those which can be deduced from [5]. By Theorem 4 of [1], for $(a, b, c) = (1, 1, 2)$ we have

$$(7) \quad x^{-\varepsilon} S(M, N; x) \ll N \left(\frac{Mx}{N} \right)^{23/146} \ll (x^{46} N^{123})^{1/219} \ll x^{0.36};$$

for $(a, b, c) = (2, 1, 1)$ or $(1, 2, 1)$ we have

$$(8) \quad x^{-\varepsilon} S(M, N; x) \ll N(xN^{-2})^{23/73} = (x^{23} N^{27})^{1/73}.$$

From (6)–(8) we get

$$(9) \quad x^{-2\varepsilon} S(M, N; x) \ll (xN^2)^{1/4} + \min((x^{23} N^{27})^{1/73}, \sqrt[5]{x^2 N^{-1}}) + x^{0.36} \\ \ll (xN^2)^{1/4} + x^{77/208}.$$

To remove the term $(xN^2)^{1/4}$ we use Kolesnik's method.

LEMMA 3. Let $f(x, y)$ be an algebraic function in the rectangle $D_0 = \{(x, y) \mid x \sim X, y \sim Y\}$ with $f(x, y) \sim_{\Delta} Ax^{\alpha} y^{\beta}$ throughout D_0 , and let D be a subdomain of D_0 bounded by $O(1)$ algebraic curves. Suppose that $X \gg Y$, $N = XY$, $A > 0$, $F = AX^{\alpha} Y^{\beta}$, $\alpha\beta(\alpha + \beta - 1)(\alpha + \beta - 2) \neq 0$, $0 < \Delta < \varepsilon_0$, where ε_0 is a small number depending at most on α and β . Then

$$\sum_{(x,y) \in D} e(f(x, y)) \ll_{\varepsilon, \alpha, \beta} (\sqrt[6]{F^2 N^3} + N^{5/6} + \sqrt[10]{\Delta^4 Y^4 F^2 N^5} \\ + \sqrt[8]{F^{-1} X^{-1} N^8} + NF^{-1/4} \\ + \sqrt[4]{\Delta X^{-1} N^4} + NY^{-1/2})(NF)^{\varepsilon/2}.$$

Proof. See Lemma 1.5 of [6]. This result is due to Kolesnik.

By Cauchy's inequality and Weyl's inequality (cf. Lemma 3 of [3]), after a partial summation removing the smooth coefficient $S(v)$ together with an appeal to Lemma 1 of [3] relaxing the range of v , we get for the double summation over (u, v) in (4) the following estimate:

$$x^{-\varepsilon} \left| \sum_{(u,v) \in D_2} R(u) S(v) e(g_2(u, v)) \right|^2 \ll \frac{(UV)^2}{Q} + \frac{UV}{Q} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \left| \sum_{(u,v) \in D(q)} e(g_3) \right|,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D(q) &= \{(u, v) \mid u \in [C_3U, C_4U], v \in [C_5V, C_6V], (v + q) \in [C_5V, C_6V]\}, \\ g_3 &= g_3(h, u, v, q) = g_2(h, u, v + q) - g_2(h, u, v), \\ Q &= \min(V(\ln x)^{-1}, \sqrt[8]{(HF)^{-2}U^3V^5}). \end{aligned}$$

If $Q \ll 1$ the above inequality holds obviously. Assume that $Q \gg 1$. We apply Lemma 3 to the inner double exponential sum over (u, v) , with the choice $X \cong V, Y \cong U, \Delta = q/V, F \cong HFq/V$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x^{-\varepsilon} \sum_{(u,v) \in D(q)} e(g_3) &\ll \sqrt[6]{(HF)^2q^2U^3V} + (UV)^{5/6} + \sqrt[10]{(HF)^2q^6U^9V^{-1}} \\ &\quad + \sqrt[8]{(HF)^{-1}q^{-1}U^8V^8} + (HFq)^{-1/4}UV^{5/4} \\ &\quad + \sqrt[4]{qU^4V^2} + VU^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} (10) \quad x^{-2\varepsilon} \sum_{(u,v) \in D_2} R(u)S(v)e(g_2(u, v)) &\ll (UV)^{11/12} + VU^{3/4} + \sqrt[16]{(HF)^2U^{13}V^{11}} + \sqrt[80]{(HF)^2U^{85}V^{51}} \\ &\quad + \sqrt[64]{(HF)^{-2}U^{67}V^{53}} + \sqrt[16]{(HF)^{-1}U^{16}V^{15}} \\ &\quad + \sqrt[128]{(HF)^{-6}U^{125}V^{123}} + UV(HF)^{-1/8} + \sqrt[64]{(HF)^{-6}U^{61}V^{67}}. \end{aligned}$$

By substituting (10) in (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (11) \quad x^{-2\varepsilon}\Phi(H, M, N) &\ll \sqrt[12]{(HF)^{10}MN} + \sqrt[16]{(HF)^{10}M^3N^5} \\ &\quad + \sqrt[4]{(HF)^3M} + \sqrt[80]{(HF)^{58}M^{-5}N^{29}} \\ &\quad + \sqrt[64]{(HF)^{54}M^{-3}N^{11}} + \sqrt[16]{(HF)^{14}N} \\ &\quad + \sqrt[128]{(HF)^{114}M^3N^5} + (HF)^{7/8} \\ &\quad + \sqrt[64]{(HF)^{58}M^3N^{-3}} + x^{1/3}. \end{aligned}$$

We put the estimate of (11) in (1) and choose $K \in [0, x]$ optimally via Lemma 2 of [3] to get

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad x^{-3\varepsilon}S(M, N; x) &\ll \sqrt[22]{F^{10}(MN)^{11}} + \sqrt[26]{F^{10}M^{13}N^{15}} \\ &\quad + \sqrt[7]{F^3M^4N^3} + \sqrt[138]{F^{58}M^{53}N^{87}} \\ &\quad + \sqrt[118]{F^{54}M^{51}N^{65}} + \sqrt[30]{F^{14}M^{14}N^{15}} \\ &\quad + \sqrt[242]{F^{114}M^{117}N^{119}} + \sqrt[15]{(FMN)^7} \\ &\quad + \sqrt[122]{F^{58}M^{61}N^{55}} + x^{1/3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll \sqrt[22]{x^{10}MN^{-9}} + \sqrt[26]{x^{10}M^3N^{-5}} \\
&\quad + \sqrt[7]{x^3MN^{-3}} + \sqrt[138]{x^{58}M^{-5}N^{-29}} + x^{1/3} \\
&\quad + \sqrt[118]{x^{54}M^{-3}N^{-43}} + \sqrt[30]{x^{14}N^{-13}} \\
&\quad + \sqrt[242]{x^{114}M^3N^{-109}} + \sqrt[15]{x^7N^{-7}} \\
&\quad + \sqrt[122]{x^{58}M^3N^{-61}},
\end{aligned}$$

by using the fact that $F \ll xM^{-1}N^{-2}$. From (9) and (12) we deduce that

$$x^{-3\varepsilon}S(M, N; x) \ll \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 9} E_i + x^{77/208},$$

where (note that $MN \ll x^{1/2}$ always holds)

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 &= \min((xN^2)^{1/4}, \sqrt[22]{x^{10}MN^{-9}}) \leq (x^{15}MN)^{1/42} \ll x^{31/84} < x^{0.37}, \\
E_2 &= \min((xN^2)^{1/4}, \sqrt[26]{x^{10}M^3N^{-5}}) \leq (x^{14}(MN)^3)^{1/42} \\
&\ll x^{31/84} < x^{0.37}, \\
E_3 &= \min((xN^2)^{1/4}, \sqrt[7]{x^3MN^{-3}}) \leq (x^5MN)^{1/15} \ll x^{11/30} < x^{0.37}, \\
E_4 &= \min((xN^2)^{1/4}, \sqrt[138]{x^{58}N^{-34}}) \leq x^{75/206} < x^{0.37}, \\
E_5 &= \min((xN^2)^{1/4}, \sqrt[118]{x^{54}N^{-46}}) \leq x^{77/210}, \\
E_6 &= \min((xN^2)^{1/4}, \sqrt[30]{x^{14}N^{-13}}) \leq x^{41/112} < x^{0.37}, \\
E_7 &= \min((xN^2)^{1/4}, \sqrt[242]{x^{114}M^3N^{-109}}) \leq (x^{170}(MN)^3)^{1/466} \\
&\ll x^{343/932} < x^{0.37}, \\
E_8 &= \min((xN^2)^{1/4}, \sqrt[15]{x^7N^{-7}}) \leq x^{21/58} < x^{0.37}, \\
E_9 &= \min((xN^2)^{1/4}, \sqrt[122]{x^{58}M^3N^{-61}}) \leq (x^{90}(MN)^3)^{1/250} \\
&\ll x^{91.5/250} < x^{0.37},
\end{aligned}$$

whence the required estimate follows.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.

$$S(a, b, c, d; x) = \sum_{n_1^a n_2^b n_3^c \leq x, 1 \leq n_1(\leq) n_2 \leq n_3} \psi\left(\left(\frac{x}{n_1^a n_2^b n_3^c}\right)^{1/d}\right),$$

where $n_1(\leq)n_2$ means that $n_1 \leq n_2$ for $(a, b) = (a_i, a_j)$ with $i < j$, and $n_1 < n_2$ otherwise; here we have set $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (1, 1, 2, 2)$. Then we have

LEMMA 4.

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d(1, 1, 2, 2; n) = \text{main terms} + \Delta(1, 1, 2, 2; x),$$

where

$$\Delta(1, 1, 2, 2; x) = - \sum_{(a,b,c,d)} S(a, b, c, d; x) + O(x^{1/3}),$$

and (a, b, c, d) runs through all the ordered permutations of $(1, 1, 2, 2)$.

PROOF. The expression for the remainder $\Delta(1, 1, 2, 2; x)$ is due to Vogts, see [2].

In what follows we use the method presented in [8] for 4-dimensional exponential sums, but the details are much simpler here, and we omit many routine procedures. The reader is invited to consult [8]. It suffices for us to achieve an estimate of the type $S(a, b, c, d; \mathbf{N}) \ll x^{0.4+4\varepsilon}$, where $\mathbf{N} = (N_1, N_2, N_3)$, N_1, N_2 and N_3 are arbitrary positive integers with

$$(13) \quad N_1 \ll N_2 \ll N_3, \quad N_1^a N_2^b N_3^{c+d} \leq x, \quad N_1 N_2 N_3 > x^{0.37},$$

(a, b, c, d) is any permutation of $(1, 1, 2, 2)$, and

$$S(a, b, c, d; \mathbf{N}) = \sum^* \psi \left(\left(\frac{x}{n_1^a n_2^b n_3^c} \right)^{1/d} \right),$$

where \sum^* denotes summation over lattice points (n_1, n_2, n_3) with

$$n_1^a n_2^b n_3^{c+d} \leq x, \quad 1 \leq n_1 (\leq) n_2 \leq n_3, \quad N_v \leq n_v < 2N_v \quad (v = 1, 2, 3).$$

Let $G = (x N_1^{-a} N_2^{-b} N_3^{-c})^{1/d}$. As in (12) of [8], we can deduce

LEMMA 5.

$$\begin{aligned} x^{-2\varepsilon} S(a, b, c, d; \mathbf{N}) &\ll \sqrt[30]{G^{11} N_1^{30} N_2^{21} N_3^{11}} + \sqrt[24]{(GN_3)^8 N_2^{18} N_1^{24}} \\ &+ \sqrt[20]{(GN_3)^4 N_2^{21} N_1^{20}} + \sqrt[40]{(GN_3)^{11} N_2^{36} N_1^{40}} \\ &+ \sqrt[45]{(GN_3)^{16} N_2^{31} N_1^{45}} + \sqrt[5]{(GN_3)^2 N_2^3 N_1^5} \\ &+ \sqrt[4]{GN_3 N_2^4 N_1^4} + x^{13/36}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to (17) of [8], we also have

$$\text{LEMMA 6. } x^{-3\varepsilon} S(a, b, c, d; \mathbf{N}) \ll (GN_1 N_2 N_3)^{1/2} + x^{13/36}.$$

Note that the term $x^{13/36}$ comes from an application of Lemma 1 of [8] (see also Lemma 1.4 of [6]) to the variable n_3 together with an estimate for the resulting ‘‘extra’’ term $R(h, n_1, n_2)$ (involving the use of the exponent pair $(1/6, 4/6)$). From (13) it is seen that $G \ll x(N_1^2 N_2^2 N_3)^{-1}$ and $N_1 N_2 N_3 \ll x^{1/2}$. Thus Lemmas 5 and 6 give respectively (with $J = N_1 N_2$)

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} x^{-4\varepsilon} S(a, b, c, d; \mathbf{N}) &\ll \sqrt[30]{x^{11} J^{3.5}} + \sqrt[24]{x^8 J^5} + \sqrt[20]{x^4 J^{13}} \\ &+ \sqrt[40]{x^{11} J^{16}} + \sqrt[4]{x J^2} + x^{0.4}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(15) \quad x^{-4\varepsilon} S(a, b, c, d; \mathbf{N}) \ll (xJ^{-1})^{1/2} + x^{13/36}.$$

Now if $J \geq x^{0.2}$ then the required estimate follows from (15), and otherwise it follows from (14). Thus Theorem 2 has been verified.

References

- [1] M. N. Huxley, *Exponential sums and lattice points II*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 66 (1993), 279–301.
- [2] E. Krätzel, *On the average number of direct factors of a finite Abelian group*, Acta Arith. 51 (1988), 369–379.
- [3] H.-Q. Liu, *On the number of abelian groups of a given order*, *ibid.* 59 (1991), 261–277.
- [4] —, *On the number of abelian groups of a given order (supplement)*, *ibid.* 64 (1993), 285–296.
- [5] —, *The number of squarefull numbers in an interval*, *ibid.* 64 (1993), 129–149.
- [6] —, *The greatest prime factor of the integers in an interval*, *ibid.* 65 (1993), 301–328.
- [7] —, *The number of cube-full numbers in an interval*, *ibid.* 67 (1994), 1–12.
- [8] —, *The distribution of 4-full numbers*, *ibid.* 67 (1994), 165–176.
- [9] H. Menzer and R. Seibold, *On the average number of direct factors of a finite Abelian group*, Monatsh. Math. 110 (1990), 63–72.
- [10] P. G. Schmidt, *Zur Anzahl unitärer Faktoren abelscher Gruppen*, Acta Arith. 64 (1993), 237–248.

206-10, BAO GUO ST.
HARBIN, 150066
CHINA

*Received on 16.12.1993
and in revised form on 8.2.1994*

(2546)