

On a result of Mahler on the decimal expansions of $(n\alpha)$

by

DANIEL BEREND (Beer-Sheva) and
MICHAEL D. BOSHERNITZAN (Houston, Tex.)

1. Introduction and the main results. It is well known that, given any irrational α , the sequence $(n\alpha)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is dense modulo 1. (It is also uniformly distributed modulo 1, but this is of no consequence here.) In particular, given any digits a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k , there exists a positive integer m for which the decimal expansion of $m\alpha$ contains this block of digits. It was proved by Mahler [M] that, moreover, there necessarily exists an m for which the decimal expansion of $m\alpha$ contains the given block infinitely often. Mahler also established an upper bound for the minimal value M of the number m with that property; $M = M(k)$ depends only on the number k of digits, but not on α :

$$M(k) < 10^{2k+1}.$$

Mahler's original proof is based on the geometry of numbers.

In this paper we give a shorter proof of Mahler's result (see Section 2), which at the same time yields a better upper bound:

$$M(k) < 2 \cdot 10^{k+1}.$$

This result is best possible up to a constant factor. In fact, we show that

$$M(k) \geq 8 \cdot (10^k - 1).$$

(Actually, the factor 8 can be replaced by any real number less than 10 for sufficiently large k —see Example 3.1.)

Of course, there is nothing special about the base 10. Mahler's theorem refers equally to any base $g \geq 2$, and the upper bound for $M(g, k)$ he obtains in this general case is:

$$M(g, k) < g^{2k+1}.$$

(Note that even the finiteness of $M(g, k)$ is not obvious.)

Research of the second author supported in part by NSF Grant No. DMS-9003450.

Our first result improves upon this bound. A g -block of length k is a sequence of length k with entries in $\{0, 1, \dots, g-1\}$.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let α be an irrational, $g \geq 2$ an integer and B a g -block of length k . Then there exists a positive integer $m < 2g^{k+1}$ such that the g -ary expansion of $m\alpha$ contains the block B infinitely often.*

The theorem is proved in Section 2.

REMARK. As is well known, the g -ary expansion of almost every α (in the sense of the Lebesgue measure) contains every g -block infinitely often (and even in the “right” frequency). The theorem thus relates mainly to numbers α which are “badly behaved” in base g .

It is easy to see (Proposition 3.1) that

$$M(g, k) \geq g^k - 1.$$

Thus the gap between our upper and lower bounds is just by a factor of $2g$, which is constant (for fixed g). In Section 3 we shall discuss improvements upon this lower bound. Our lower bounds depend on the arithmetic nature of g (i.e., its factorization into a product of primes), and may hint that there is no simple formula for $M(g, k)$.

The density modulo 1 of the sequence $(n\alpha)$ is but a special case of a result which asserts that, given any polynomial P with real coefficients, at least one of which (besides the constant term) is irrational, the sequence $P(n)$ is dense modulo 1. (More well-known is Weyl’s even stronger result by which this sequence is uniformly distributed modulo 1 [W].) It turns out that Mahler’s result is true in this more general setting as well.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $g \geq 2$ be an integer and $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ a polynomial with at least one irrational coefficient besides the constant term. Then for each finite g -block there exists a positive integer m such that B appears infinitely often in the g -ary expansion of $P(m)$.*

REMARK. It can be shown (although this does not follow from the considerations of this paper) that there exists an effective upper bound, in terms of g , the length of B and the degree of P , on the least m satisfying the conclusion of the theorem.

EXAMPLE 1.1. There are numerous sequences in which one can find, given any g -block, an element whose g -ary expansion contains the block infinitely often. Such are the sequences $(\ln n)$ (consider numbers n of the form 2^m and use Mahler’s result), $(\ln \ln n)$ (take n ’s of the form 2^{2^m} and use Theorem 1.2 for linear polynomials) and (n^θ) for θ positive rational non-integer (if $\theta = p/q$ take n ’s of the form $2m^q$ and use Theorem 1.2). On the other hand, we do not know whether the sequences $(\ln \ln \ln n)$ and (n^θ) with irrational θ share this property. More generally, we note that the

question of infinite repetitions of blocks is usually harder than the question of density mod 1 which holds for the above sequences. For a large class of regularly growing sequences (defined by certain formulae or recurrences), the questions of density and of uniform distribution modulo 1 can be resolved by means of simple tests [B], but we doubt that such criteria can be formulated for infinite repetition problems.

We note in conclusion that our approach was influenced by an idea due to Furstenberg who, employing a certain result of Glasner [G], provided a very short proof of the finiteness of $M(g, k)$ (see [AP, Cor. 7.2]).

2. The improved upper bound. Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ be the circle group. A set $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ is ε -dense (or, alternatively, forms an ε -net) in \mathbb{T} if every interval of length ε meets E .

It is obvious that $M(g, k)$ cannot be divisible by g , so that proving a weak inequality in Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to proving the strict inequality.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Denote by E the set of all limit points in \mathbb{T} of the sequence $\{g^n\alpha : n \geq 0\}$. We distinguish between several (somewhat overlapping) cases:

Case I: E contains a rational point $r = p/q$ (where $(p, q) = 1$) with $g^k < q \leq g^{k+1}$. In this case the set $\{0, r, 2r, \dots, (q-1)r\}$ forms a $\frac{1}{g^k}$ -net in \mathbb{T} . Hence the g -ary expansion of some mr , $1 \leq m < q$, starts with $0.B$, and not all of the following digits are 0, neither are they all $g-1$. It follows that the g -ary expansion of $m\alpha$ contains the block B infinitely often. (Note that in this case we could have replaced the upper bound $2g^{k+1}$ by g^{k+1} .)

Case II: $0 \in E$. Replacing α by $-\alpha$ if necessary, we may assume that the g -ary expansion of α contains arbitrarily large blocks consisting of 0. Take a sequence (n_j) of positive integers such that the g -ary expansion of $g^{n_j}\alpha$ starts with the block 0^j , but that of $g^{n_j-1}\alpha$ does not start with 0. For each fixed positive integer d , consider the sequence $g^{n_j-d}\alpha$ (which is well-defined for sufficiently large j). Replacing (n_j) by a subsequence thereof, we may assume that each of these sequences converges in \mathbb{T} , say $g^{n_j-d}\alpha \rightarrow r_d = p_d/q_d$ (where $(p_d, q_d) = 1$). Obviously, for each d we have $q_d < q_{d+1} \leq gq_d$. Hence $g^k < q_d \leq g^{k+1}$ for an appropriate choice of d . Since $r_d \in E$ for each d , this yields a reduction to the preceding case.

Case III: E contains a rational point $r = p/q$ (where $(p, q) = 1$) with $q \leq g^{k+1}$. Carrying out the construction of Case II, with α replaced by $q\alpha$, we find rationals s_d in qE with finite g -ary expansion and corresponding rationals $r_d = p_d/q_d$ in E such that $g^{n_j-d}\alpha \rightarrow r_d$ and $qs_d = r_d$ for each d . As in the preceding case we have $q_d < q_{d+1} \leq gq_d$, and since $q_0 \leq g^{k+1}$ there exists an r_d whose denominator is in the range $(g^k, g^{k+1}]$, bringing us again back to Case I.

Case IV: E contains no rational point $r = p/q$ with $q \leq 2g^k$. We first

claim that a point $\beta \in E$ and a rational $r = p/q$ can be found such that:

- (a) $|\beta - p/q| < 1/(2g^{k+1}q)$.
- (b) $2g^k < q$.
- (c) $q \leq 2g^{k+1}$.
- (d) $(p, q) = 1$.

In fact, starting with any $\beta_0 \in E$, we can find a rational $r_0 = p_0/q_0$ such that conditions (a), (c) and (d) are satisfied with β and r replaced by β_0 and r_0 , respectively. Choose inductively points $\beta_i \in E$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ with $g\beta_{i+1} = \beta_i$ for each i . Next choose rationals $r_i = p_i/q_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$ (in reduced form) with $gr_{i+1} = r_i$ and $|\beta_i - r_i| = g^{-i}|\beta_0 - r_0|$ for each i . Clearly, $q_i \leq q_{i+1} \leq gq_i$ for each i . If $q_i = q \leq 2g^k$ for all sufficiently large i , then some rational $r = p/q$ appears infinitely often in the sequence (r_i) , in which case $r \in E$, contradicting our assumption. Consequently, $q_i \rightarrow \infty$, whence for a suitable i the rational r_i satisfies conditions (a)–(d).

Now the set $\{r, 2r, \dots, qr\}$ forms a $\frac{1}{2g^k}$ -net in \mathbb{T} . Since $|m\beta - mr| < 1/(2g^k)$ for $1 \leq m \leq q$, the set $\{\beta, 2\beta, \dots, q\beta\}$ forms a $\frac{1}{g^k}$ -net in \mathbb{T} . We conclude as in Case I.

This completes the proof.

3. Lower bounds. In this section we shall discuss the question of lower bounds on $M(g, k)$. A simple observation is

PROPOSITION 3.1. $M(g, k) \geq g^k - 1$ for every $g \geq 2$, $k \geq 1$.

In fact, considering a number of the form $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g^{-n_j}$, where $n_{j+1} - n_j \rightarrow \infty$, and the block B consists of k consecutive $(g-1)$'s, we easily see that the g -ary expansion of $m\alpha$ will not contain B infinitely often for any $m < g^k - 1$.

The bound provided by Proposition 3.1 may be equal exactly to $M(g, k)$. This is the case, for example, for $g = 2$, $k = 1$ and for $g = 3$, $k = 1$. It is, however, usually possible to improve on this lower bound, as we first see for composite g .

THEOREM 3.1. *Let a be a proper divisor of g . Then*

$$M(g, k) \geq a(g^k - 1), \quad k \geq 1.$$

Taking $a = 1$ we obtain Proposition 3.1. Of course, the best result is obtained in general by selecting a as the maximal proper divisor of g . Thus Theorem 3.1 improves Proposition 3.1 unless g is a prime.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is almost the same as that of Proposition 3.1, except that we choose the “bad number” this time as

$$\alpha = \frac{g}{a} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g^{-n_j}.$$

The least multiple of g/a containing the block consisting of k consecutive $(g - 1)$'s is the number

$$g^{k+1} - g = \frac{g}{a} \cdot a(g^k - 1).$$

Consequently, the least positive integer m for which $m\alpha$ contains the block B infinitely often is $a(g^k - 1)$, which proves the theorem.

Even more can be said if g is not a prime power.

THEOREM 3.2. *If g is not a prime power, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer $K = K(\varepsilon)$ such that*

$$M(g, k) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)g^{k+1}, \quad k \geq K.$$

Proof. Let p be a prime divisor of g . Since g is not a prime power, $\log p / \log g$ is irrational. Therefore one can find positive integers l and r such that $g^l < p^r < (1 + \varepsilon)g^l$. We first claim that the g -ary expansion of no positive multiple of p^r contains the block B , consisting of $r - l$ consecutive $(g - 1)$'s, within its r lowest digits. In fact, if this were possible, then by multiplying this multiple of p^r by an appropriate power of g , we would get a number of the form mp^r whose block of r lowest digits starts with the block B . Since g^r is divisible by p^r , we can find such a number with exactly r digits. But then for this number mp^r we have

$$g^r - g^l \leq mp^r < g^r.$$

As all three numbers involved in the inequality are multiples of p^r , this is inconsistent with the fact that $p^r > g^l$. Thus the minimal mp^r containing a block consisting of $k \geq r - l$ consecutive $(g - 1)$'s is at least

$$\sum_{i=l+1}^{l+k} (g - 1)g^i = g^{l+1}(g^k - 1).$$

Now set

$$\alpha = \left(\frac{p}{g}\right)^r \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g^{-n_j},$$

where $n_{j+1} - n_j \rightarrow \infty$. The foregoing discussion implies that the smallest m for which $m\alpha$ contains the block consisting of k ($\geq r - l$) consecutive $(g - 1)$'s infinitely often is at least

$$\frac{g^{l+1}(g^k - 1)}{p^r} > \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)(g^{k+1} - g) > (1 - \varepsilon)g^{k+1}$$

for sufficiently large k . This completes the proof.

EXAMPLE 3.1. For $g = 10$, taking $p = 2$, $l = 0$, $r = 1$ in the proof we see that $M(10, k) \geq 5 \cdot (10^k - 1)$ for $k \geq 1$. Taking $p = 5$, $l = 2$, $r = 3$, we obtain a better result, namely $M(10, k) \geq 8 \cdot (10^k - 1)$ for $k \geq 1$. With $p = 2$, $l = 3$, $r = 10$ we get $M(10, k) \geq 9.765 \cdot (10^k - 1)$ for $k \geq 7$.

We do not know whether it is true in general that $M(g, k) < g^{k+1}$. However, except for the cases $g = 2$ and $g = 3$, mentioned earlier, we never have $M(g, 1) = g - 1$. In view of Theorem 3.1 we have to prove this assertion only for prime g . The following theorem includes this case.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $g \geq 5$ be an odd integer. Then*

$$M(g, 1) \geq \frac{3}{2}(g - 1).$$

Proof. Take

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g^{-n_j},$$

where $n_{j+1} - n_j \rightarrow \infty$. One easily writes down the g -ary expansion of α and of multiples $m\alpha$. It is easily checked that if $g \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then the digit $(g - 3)/2$ appears at most finitely many times in the expansion of $m\alpha$ for every $m < \frac{3}{2}(g - 1)$. The same is true for the digit $g - 2$ if $g \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. This proves the theorem.

4. The polynomial Mahler theorem. In this section we prove Theorem 1.2.

Define (for the purposes of this section) the *complexity* of a polynomial $P(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_dx^d$ with real coefficients as the least common denominator of the numbers a_1, \dots, a_d if they are all rational and as ∞ otherwise.

LEMMA 4.1. *Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and a positive integer d , there exists a positive integer M such that for every polynomial P of degree d with complexity at least M , the set $\{P(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is ε -dense modulo 1.*

Proof. If P is of infinite complexity, then the sequence $(P(n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1, and in particular dense modulo 1. Suppose therefore that the coefficients a_1, \dots, a_d of P are all rational, and let Q be the complexity of P . Let $x_n = \{P(n)\}$ be the fractional part of $P(n)$, $n = 1, \dots, Q$. We ought to show that the set $\{x_n : 1 \leq n \leq Q\}$ is ε -dense in $[0, 1]$ if Q is large enough. We shall prove, moreover, that even the discrepancy $D_Q = D_Q(x_1, \dots, x_Q)$ must be small as Q becomes large. Indeed, according to LeVeque's Inequality (see, for example, [KN, Ch. 1, Th. 2.4]) we have

$$D_Q \leq \left(\frac{6}{\pi^2} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h^2} \left| \frac{1}{Q} S(h, Q, P) \right|^2 \right)^{1/3},$$

where

$$S(h, Q, P) = \sum_{n=1}^Q \exp(2\pi i h P(n)).$$

Setting $h' = h/(h, Q)$ and $Q' = Q/(h, Q)$, and employing some well-known estimates of exponential sums involving a rational polynomial [C], [S] (which are, up to a multiplicative constant, best possible; Hua's original estimates [H, Ch. 7, Th. 10.1] would suit our purposes as well), we obtain

$$|S(h, Q, P)| = (h, Q) \cdot |S(h', Q', P)| \leq hC_1(d)Q'^{1-1/d},$$

where $C_1(d)$ depends only on d . Thus

$$D_Q \leq \left(C_2(d) \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h^2} \left(\frac{Q}{h} \right)^{-2/d} \right)^{1/3} \leq C_3(d)Q^{-1/(2d)}.$$

Consequently, if Q is sufficiently large, then $D_Q < \varepsilon$, which completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let B be a g -block of an arbitrary length k . Write:

$$P(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_dx^d.$$

Let a_l ($1 \leq l \leq d$) be an irrational coefficient of P . Let $\varepsilon = 1/g^{k+2}$. Take M as in Lemma 4.1. One easily verifies that the set of limit points modulo 1 of the sequence $(g^n a_l)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is infinite, whence there exists a sequence (n_j) such that $g^{n_j} a_l \rightarrow b_l \pmod{1}$ where b_l is either irrational or is a rational number with denominator at least M . Replacing (n_j) by a subsequence thereof, we may assume that each of the subsequences $(g^{n_j} a_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, converges modulo 1, say $g^{n_j} a_i \rightarrow b_i \pmod{1}$. Consider the polynomial

$$P_0(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_dx^d.$$

By Lemma 4.1 we can find a positive integer m such that the g -ary expansion of the number $P_0(m)$ modulo 1 starts with the block $B01$. But then the number $P(m)$ contains the block B infinitely often in its expansion. This proves the theorem.

References

- [AP] N. Alon and Y. Peres, *Uniform dilations*, J. Geom. Funct. Anal. 2 (1992), 1–28.
- [B] M. Boshernitzan, *Uniform distribution and Hardy fields*, J. Analyse Math., to appear.
- [C] J. R. Chen, *On Professor Hua's estimate of exponential sums*, Sci. Sinica 20 (1977), 711–719.
- [G] S. Glasner, *Almost periodic sets and measures on the torus*, Israel J. Math. 32 (1979), 161–172.

- [H] L. K. Hua, *Introduction to Number Theory*, Springer, New York, 1982.
- [KN] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, *Uniform Distribution of Sequences*, Wiley, New York, 1974.
- [M] K. Mahler, *Arithmetical properties of the digits of the multiples of an irrational number*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 8 (1973), 191–203.
- [S] S. B. Stečkin, *An estimate of a complete rational trigonometric sum*, Analytic Number Theory, Mathematical Analysis and their Applications, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. 143 (1977), 188–207, 211; English transl.: Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. (1980), 201–220.
- [W] H. Weyl, *Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins*, Math. Ann. 77 (1916), 313–352.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY
BEER-SHEVA 84105
ISRAEL

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
RICE UNIVERSITY
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77251
U.S.A.

Received on 10.8.1992

(2292)