

On Sidon sequences of even orders

by

SHENG CHEN (San Marcos, TX)

Let $h \geq 2$ be an integer. A set A of positive integers is called a B_h -sequence if all sums $a_1 + \dots + a_h$, where $a_i \in A$ ($i = 1, \dots, h$), are distinct up to rearrangements of the summands. A B_h -sequence is also called a *Sidon sequence of order h* [7]. Sidon was led to consider such sequences in connection with the theory of Fourier series. In [7] he raised the question of how many terms not exceeding n a B_h -sequence may have. Some earlier important results on B_h -sequences may be found in [2, Ch. 2].

Let A be a B_h -sequence. Denote by $A(n)$ the cardinality of $A \cap [0, n]$. For any positive integer r , denote by rA the set of integers $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i$ where $a_i \in A$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$). It follows from the definition of B_h -sequences that

$$hn \geq (hA)(hn) \geq \binom{A(n)}{h},$$

which implies

$$A(n) = O(\sqrt[h]{n}).$$

Erdős [2, 8] and Krückeberg [5] showed that there exists a B_2 -sequence A such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

On the other hand, Erdős [2] also proved that, for any B_2 -sequence A ,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(n) \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}} < \infty.$$

Nash extended the result to B_4 -sequences. He showed [6] that, for any B_4 -sequence A ,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(n) \sqrt[4]{\frac{\log n}{n}} < \infty.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11B83; Secondary 11B50, 05B10.

Key words and phrases: additive number theory, difference sets, B_h -sequence, Sidon sequences.

A natural conjecture [4] is as follows.

CONJECTURE. *If A is a B_h -sequence, then*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(n) \sqrt[h]{\frac{\log n}{n}} < \infty.$$

For h odd, no results of this kind have been proved as far as the author knows.

For h even ($h = 2k > 4$), Jia [4] showed that, if $A(n^2) \leq A(n)^2$, then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(n) \sqrt[2k]{\frac{\log n}{n}} < \infty.$$

As mentioned in [4], the condition $A(n^2) \leq A(n)^2$ does not hold for all B_{2k} -sequences.

The author [1] proved that a weaker result of a similar nature does hold, namely

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n)}{\sqrt[2k]{n}} \sqrt[4k-4]{\log n} < \infty,$$

which has been improved by Helm [3] to

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n)}{\sqrt[2k]{n}} \sqrt[3k-1]{\log n} < \infty.$$

In this paper, we give an affirmative partial answer to the Conjecture.

THEOREM. *For any B_{2k} -sequence A ,*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(n) \sqrt[2k]{\frac{\log n}{n}} < \infty.$$

COROLLARY. *Let $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < \dots\}$ be an infinite B_{2k} -sequence. Then*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{n^{2k} \log n} > 0.$$

First some notations and lemmas.

Let A be a B_{2k} -sequence and r be a positive integer, $1 \leq r \leq 2k$. For any $x = x_1 + \dots + x_r$ where $x_s \in A$ ($s = 1, \dots, r$), denote by \bar{x} the set $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ (counting the multiplicities of the appearance of x_i 's) and

$$r * A = \{x = x_1 + \dots + x_r : x_s \in A, x_s \neq x_t, 1 \leq s, t \leq r\}.$$

Note that, since A is a B_{2k} -sequence, $x = y \in rA$ if and only if $\bar{x} = \bar{y}$.

Let A be a B_{2k} -sequence. Let n be a large integer fixed hereafter and $u = \lfloor n^{1/(2k)} \rfloor$. For any sequence B , denote by $B_i(n)$, or simply B_i , the set $B \cap ((i-1)kn, ikn]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$

Set $D = A \cap (0, ukn]$, $C = k * D$, $c_i = |C_i|$, and

$$\tau(n) = \min_{n \leq m \leq un} \frac{A(m)}{2^k \sqrt[m]{m}}.$$

LEMMA 1.

$$\tau(n)^{2k} n \log n = O\left(\sum_{i=1}^u c_i^2\right).$$

Proof. Note that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^u \frac{c_i}{\sqrt{i}}\right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^u \frac{1}{i}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^u c_i^2\right) \leq 2 \log u \sum_{i=1}^u c_i^2.$$

On the other hand, for any positive integer i ($1 \leq i \leq u$),

$$C(ikn) \geq \binom{A(in)}{k} \geq cA(in)^k,$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant depending only on k , and

$$A(in)^k = \left(\frac{A(in)}{2^k \sqrt[in]{in}}\right)^k \sqrt{in} \geq \tau(n)^k \sqrt{in}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^u \frac{c_i}{\sqrt{i}} &= \sum_{i=1}^u \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{i}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{i+1}}\right) \sum_{j=1}^i c_j + \frac{1}{\sqrt{u+1}} \sum_{j=1}^u c_j \\ &\geq \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^u \frac{1}{i^{3/2}} C(ikn) \geq \frac{c}{8} \sum_{i=1}^u \frac{A(in)^k}{i^{3/2}} \\ &\geq \frac{c}{8} \tau(n)^k \sqrt{n} \sum_{i=1}^u \frac{1}{i} \geq c\tau(n)^k \sqrt{n} \log u. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\tau(n)^{2k} n \log u \leq O\left(\sum_{i=1}^u c_i^2\right).$$

As $u = \lfloor n^{1/(2k)} \rfloor$, Lemma 1 follows. ■

LEMMA 2.

$$\sum_{i=1}^u c_i^2 = O(n).$$

Proof. Set $W = \{(x, y) \in (k * A) \times (k * A) : \bar{x} \cap \bar{y} = \emptyset\}$. Define a map $f : W \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ by $(x, y) \mapsto x - y$. If $f(x', y') = f(x, y)$, i.e., $x' - y' = x - y$, then $x' + y = x + y'$. As A is a B_{2k} -sequence, $\bar{x}' \cup \bar{y} = \bar{x} \cup \bar{y}'$. Since $\bar{x} \cap \bar{y} = \bar{x}' \cap \bar{y}' = \emptyset$, we have $x = x'$ and $y = y'$. Thus f is one-to-one.

For any integer $r, 1 \leq r \leq k$, let

$$V(r, D) = \{(x, y) \in (r * D) \times (r * D) : -kn < x - y < kn\}.$$

Then

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^u c_i^2 \leq |V(k, D)|.$$

Write $V(k, D) = \bigcup_{j=0}^k V_j(k, D)$ (disjoint union) where

$$V_j(k, D) = \{(x, y) \in V(k, D) : |\bar{x} \cap \bar{y}| = j\}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k.$$

Then

$$(2) \quad |V(k, D)| = \sum_{j=0}^k |V_j(k, D)|.$$

For any B_{2k} -sequence B and any integers j, r ($0 \leq j, r \leq k$), let $W(r, D, j, B)$ be the set of 4-tuples (x, b, b, y) such that

- (a) $x, y \in r * D$ with $-kn < x - y < kn$,
- (b) $b \in j * B$, and
- (c) $\bar{b} \cap \bar{x} = \bar{b} \cap \bar{y} = \bar{x} \cap \bar{y} = \emptyset$.

If $j = 0$, we simply write $W(r, D)$ instead.

Note that $|V_j(k, D)| = O(|W(k - j, D, j, D)|)$. Combining this with (1) and (2), we have

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^u c_i^2 = O\left(\sum_{j=0}^k |W(k - j, D, j, D)|\right).$$

Hence it suffices to show that, for all j ($0 \leq j \leq k$),

$$|W(k - j, D, j, D)| = O(n).$$

Case $j = 0$. Then $W(k, D, 0, D) = W(k, D) \subseteq W$ and $f(W(k, D)) \subseteq (-kn, kn)$. Hence,

$$(4) \quad |W(k, D, 0, D)| \leq 2kn.$$

Case $j = k$. Then $V(0, D, k, D) = \{(b, b) : b \in k * D\}$. Hence,

$$(5) \quad |W(0, D, k, D)| = |k * D| \leq |D|^k = A(ukn)^k = O((\sqrt[2k]{ukn})^k) = O(n).$$

Case $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$. Let $I = \{i : 1 \leq i \leq u \text{ and } |D_i| \geq 2k\}$. Set $B = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_i$, and $B' = D \setminus B$. We divide our proof into two subcases.

Subcase I: $|B| \leq |B'|$. Note $B' = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq u; i \notin I} D_i$. So $|B'| \leq u(2k - 1)$. Hence,

$$|D| = |B'| + |B| \leq 2|B'| \leq 4ku.$$

Therefore,

$$(6) \quad |W(k-j, D, j, D)| \leq |(2k-j) * D| \leq |D|^{2k-j} \leq (4ku)^{2k-j} = O(n).$$

Subcase II: $|B'| \leq |B|$. Note B is also an B_{2k} -sequence. We claim

$$(7) \quad |W(k-j, D, j, B)| = O(n).$$

To show (7), define a map $v : W(k-j, D, j, B) \rightarrow W$ as follows. Let $(x, b, b, y) \in W(k-j, D, j, B)$. As $b \in j * B$, $b = \sum_{s=1}^j b_s$ where $b_s \in D_{i_s}$ and $i_s \in I$ ($s = 1, \dots, j$). Since $|D_{i_s}| \geq 2k$, we can choose $b'_s \in D_{i_s}$ ($s = 1, \dots, j$) so that

- (i) all b'_s 's are distinct and
- (ii) $b'_s \notin \bar{x} \cup \bar{y} \cup \bar{b}$, $s = 1, \dots, j$.

Let $b' = \sum_{s=1}^j b'_s$. Then $(x, b, b', y) \in W$. Define $v : W(k-j, D, j, D) \rightarrow W$ by $(x, b, b, y) \mapsto (x, b, b', y)$. Clearly, v is well defined and one-to-one. Furthermore, by the choice of b'_s , $-kn \leq b_s - b'_s \leq kn$ for all $1 \leq s \leq j$. So, $-jkn \leq b - b' \leq jkn$. Hence,

$$-(j+1)kn < (x+b) - (b'+y) < jkn + kn.$$

Thus,

$$|W(k-j, D, j, B)| = |f(v(W(k-j, D, j, D)))| \leq 2(j+1)kn \leq 2k^2n,$$

which is (7).

Note

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} W(k-j, D, j, D) &= \bigcup_{(x,y) \in W(k-j,D)} \{(x, b, b, y) : b \in j * D, \bar{b} \cap (\bar{x} \cup \bar{y}) = \emptyset\} \\ &= \bigcup_{(x,y) \in W(k-j,D)} \{(x, b, b, y) : b \in j * (D \setminus (\bar{x} \cup \bar{y}))\}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$(9) \quad W(k-j, D, j, B) = \bigcup_{(x,y) \in W(k-j,D)} \{(x, b, b, y) : b \in j * (B \setminus (\bar{x} \cup \bar{y}))\}.$$

On the other hand, for any $z = (x, y) \in W(k-j, D)$, it follows from the assumption that $|B'| \leq |B|$, and

$$|D \setminus \bar{z}| = |(B \setminus \bar{z})| + |B' \setminus \bar{z}| \leq 2|B \setminus \bar{z}| + |z| \leq 2|B \setminus \bar{z}| + 2k.$$

Therefore,

$$(10) \quad |j * (D \setminus \bar{z})| = \binom{|D \setminus \bar{z}|}{j} \leq \binom{2|B \setminus \bar{z}| + 2k}{j} \leq c(|j * (B \setminus \bar{z})| + 1),$$

where c is an absolute constant depending only on j , hence only on k .

Combining (7)–(10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (11) \quad |W(k-j, D, j, D)| &= \sum_{z \in W(k-j, D)} |j * (D \setminus \bar{z})| \\
 &\leq \sum_{z \in W(k-j, D)} c(|j * (B \setminus \bar{z})| + 1) \\
 &\leq c \left(\sum_{z \in W(k-j, D)} |j * (B \setminus \bar{z})| + \sum_{z \in W(k-j, D)} 1 \right) \\
 &= c(|W(k-j, D, j, B)| + |W(k-j, D)|) = O(n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now Lemma 2 follows from (3)–(5) and (11). ■

Proof of the Theorem. It follows from Lemmas 1 and 2 that $\tau(n)^{2k} \log n = O(1)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A(n) \sqrt[2k]{\frac{\log n}{n}} &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{n \leq m \leq un} \left(A(m) \sqrt[2k]{\frac{\log m}{m}} \right) \\
 &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{n \leq m \leq un} \left(\frac{A(m)}{2^k \sqrt[2k]{m}} \sqrt[2k]{\log(un)} \right) \\
 &\leq 2 \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(n) \sqrt[2k]{\log n} < \infty. \quad \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Weizhen Gu for a helpful discussion.

References

- [1] S. Chen, *A note on B_{2k} -sequences*, preprint.
- [2] H. Halberstam and K. F. Roth, *Sequences*, Springer, New York 1983.
- [3] M. Helm, *A remark on B_{2k} -sequences*, preprint.
- [4] X.-D. Jia, *On B_{2k} -sequences*, J. Number Theory, to appear.
- [5] F. Krückeberg, *B_2 -Folgen und verwandte Zahlenfolgen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 206 (1961), 53–60.
- [6] J. C. M. Nash, *On B_4 -sequences*, Canad. Math. Bull. 32 (1989), 446–449.
- [7] S. Sidon, *Ein Satz über trigonometrische Polynome und seine Anwendungen in der Theorie der Fourier-Reihen*, Math. Ann. 106 (1932), 536–539.
- [8] A. Stöhr, *Gelöste und ungelöste Fragen über Basen der natürlichen Zahlenreihe. II*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 194 (1955), 111–140.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 SOUTHWEST TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY
 SAN MARCOS, TEXAS 78666
 U.S.A.
 E-mail: SC03@SWTEXAS.BITNET

Received on 16.11.1992

(2332)