

## Elliptic units of cyclic unramified extensions of complex quadratic fields

by

FARSHID HAJIR (Cambridge, Mass.)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $K$  be an abelian unramified extension of degree  $n$  over a complex quadratic field  $k$  of class number  $h$ . Let  $H$  be the class number of  $K$ . Siegel [4] has defined a group of “elliptic units” of  $K$  whose index in the full group of units is  $\frac{cH}{h/n}$  where  $c$  is a product of high powers of 2, 3, and  $h$ . By enlarging the group of elliptic units, Kersey (see [2]) was able to reduce this index to  $\frac{H}{h/n}$ . (Note that  $h/n$  divides  $H$  because the Hilbert class field of  $k$  is an abelian unramified extension of  $K$  of degree  $h/n$ .) Since examples with  $H = h/n$  do exist, this index, for a general complex quadratic field  $k$ , is in some sense best possible.

Since the elliptic units (when embedded in  $\mathbb{C}$ ) are given as values of elliptic modular functions, they can be approximated to high precision with ease. This makes it possible to devise a highly efficient procedure, based on the above index formula, for computing  $H$ . From this point of view, there are two reasons why Kersey’s units are more desirable than those of Siegel: first, with Siegel’s units, determining the divisibility of  $H$  by 2 and 3 involves more computation; second, Siegel’s units are high powers and will therefore be numerically less manageable (their minimal polynomials have large height). However, Siegel’s group has the advantage that it comes equipped with a minimal set of generators, whereas Kersey provides  $n(n-1)/2$  generators for his group, whose rank is  $n-1$ . From a computational point of view, the lack of a minimal set of generators far outweighs the advantages of Kersey’s units.

In the case that  $K/k$  is a cyclic extension, we are able to find  $n-1$  elliptic units which, together with the roots of unity of  $K$ , generate a group of “minimal index.”

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $k$  be a complex quadratic field of class number  $h$ ,  $K$  a cyclic unramified extension of degree  $n$  over  $k$ . Let  $E_K$  and  $\mu_K$  denote the group of all units and the roots of unity of  $K$ , respectively. Let  $H$  be*

the class number of  $K$ . Then there exist units  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}$  in  $E_K$  given as a root of unity times ratios of values of the Dedekind eta function which, together with  $\mu_K$ , generate a subgroup  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $E_K$  with index  $[E_K : \mathcal{E}] = \frac{H}{h/n}$ .

For more precise statements, see Theorems 7.4 and 8.4. The proof is given in a sequence of lemmas, and does not make use of Kersey's proof.

Under the assumptions:  $n$  is an odd prime, and  $|\mu_K| = 2$ , Hayashi [1] has derived this index formula via Schertz's work. In addition, Hayashi describes an effective procedure for computing the index  $[E_K : \mathcal{E}]$ . Part of this procedure involves finding the roots of  $\Delta$ -quotients which are elements of  $K$  by trial and error. In the last section, we indicate how the reciprocity law of complex multiplication determines these roots easily and we even give a simple formula (due to Villegas) for them when the discriminant of  $k$  is prime to 6.

I would like to thank H. M. Stark for his guidance and encouragement during the preparation of this paper.

**2. Analytic preliminaries.** For an arbitrary number field  $M$ , with  $r_1$  real and  $r_2$  pairs of complex conjugate embeddings, let  $\mathcal{O}_M, h_M, R_M$ , and  $w_M$  denote the ring of integers, class number, regulator, and number of roots of unity of  $M$ , respectively. For an ideal class  $C$  of  $M$ , the partial zeta function is defined by  $\zeta_M(s, C) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_M, [\mathfrak{a}] = C} \mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{a})^{-s}$  where  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a non-zero integral ideal of  $k$ ,  $[\mathfrak{a}]$  is the ideal class of  $\mathfrak{a}$ , and  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the absolute norm; it has a meromorphic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$ . For a character  $\chi$  of the class group  $C\ell(M)$ , we define the L-function  $L(s, \chi) = \sum_{C \in C\ell(M)} \chi(C) \zeta_M(s, C)$ . Finally, for the trivial character  $\chi_1$ ,  $L(s, \chi_1)$  is the Dedekind zeta function of  $M$ , denoted by  $\zeta_M(s)$ . We will use the abbreviation  $e(z) = \exp(2\pi iz)$ .

The work of Dirichlet and Dedekind on zeta functions culminated in the determination of the first non-zero term in the Taylor expansion of  $\zeta_M(s, C)$  at  $s = 1$ . Hecke's functional equation allows one to carry out the expansion at  $s = 0$ :

$$(1) \quad \zeta_M(s, C) = -\frac{R_M}{w_M} s^{r_1+r_2-1} + O(s^{r_1+r_2}).$$

Since the leading term is independent of the ideal class  $C$ ,

$$(2) \quad \zeta_M(s) = -\frac{h_M R_M}{w_M} s^{r_1+r_2-1} + O(s^{r_1+r_2}).$$

Let  $k$  be a complex quadratic field and  $K$  an abelian unramified extension of degree  $n$  over  $k$ . Let  $h = h_k, w = w_k, H = h_K, W = w_K$ , and  $R = R_K$ . We assume  $w = 2$ , which rules out only two complex quadratic fields,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-4})$ , both of which have class number 1 and therefore do not concern us here. For an ideal class  $C$  of  $k$ , we introduce  $\lambda(C) = \zeta'_k(0, C)$

as it will play a fundamental role in all that is to follow. Its evaluation, due to Kronecker, will be recalled in Section 5.

Let  $F$  be the Hilbert class field of  $k$ , i.e. the maximal abelian unramified extension of  $k$ . We fix an embedding of  $F$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  and think of  $k$  and  $K$  as subfields of this particular subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$ . For a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $k$ , let  $\sigma(\mathfrak{p})$  denote the corresponding Frobenius automorphism of  $F/k$ ; this automorphism of  $F$  depends only on  $[\mathfrak{p}]$  and gives rise to an isomorphism  $\sigma : C\ell(k) \rightarrow Gal(F/k)$ . The group  $Gal(F/K)$ , when considered as a subgroup of  $Gal(F/k)$ , corresponds, via  $\sigma^{-1}$ , to a subgroup  $S$  of  $C\ell(k)$ , so that  $\sigma$  induces an isomorphism  $C\ell(k)/S \rightarrow Gal(K/k)$ . A character  $\chi$  of  $Gal(K/k)$ , therefore, may be viewed as a character of  $C\ell(k)$  which is trivial on  $S$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *With the above notation,*

$$(3) \quad -\frac{HR}{W} = L(0, \chi_1) \prod_{\chi \neq \chi_1} L'(0, \chi),$$

where the product is over those non-trivial characters  $\chi$  of  $C\ell(k)$  which are trivial on  $S$ .

PROOF. Class field theory furnishes the factorization of the Dedekind zeta function of  $K$  into L-functions:

$$(4) \quad \zeta_K(s) = \prod_{\chi} L(s, \chi).$$

Here, and in all that is to follow,  $\prod_{\chi}$  means the product over all  $n$  characters of  $C\ell(k)$  which are trivial on  $S$ . Applying (1) and (2) to  $k$ , and  $K$ , respectively, we obtain

$$(5) \quad \zeta_k(s, C) = -\frac{1}{2} + \lambda(C)s + O(s^2)$$

and

$$(6) \quad \zeta_K(s) = -\frac{HR}{W} s^{n-1} + O(s^n).$$

Using (5) and the orthogonality relation of characters, we get an expansion for the L-functions of  $k$ :

$$(7) \quad L(s, \chi) = \begin{cases} -h/2 + O(s) & \text{if } \chi = \chi_1, \\ (\sum_{C \in C\ell(k)} \chi(C)\lambda(C))s + O(s^2) & \text{if } \chi \neq \chi_1. \end{cases}$$

Finally, if we use (6) and (7) to expand the right and left hand sides of (4) at  $s = 0$  and equate the coefficients of  $s^{n-1}$ , we arrive at the desired result.

**3. Imprimitve L-functions.** From now on, we assume that  $K$  is a cyclic unramified extension of  $k$ . Unless the prefix ‘‘integral’’ is used, by ‘‘ideal’’ we shall always mean ‘‘fractional ideal.’’ Recall that for an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $k$ ,  $[\mathfrak{a}]$  denotes the ideal class of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $C\ell(k)$ , and let  $\{\mathfrak{a}\}$  denote the image

of  $[\mathfrak{a}]$  in  $C\ell(k)/S$ . Since there are infinitely many prime ideals of degree one in every ideal class, we let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be an unramified prime ideal of degree one and norm  $p > 3$  such that  $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$  generates the cyclic group  $C\ell(k)/S$ .

At this point, it will be advantageous to modify the L-functions slightly so that they all have a simple zero at  $s = 0$ , giving a more symmetric version of (3). In addition, the expression for the derivative of the L-functions at 0 coming from the Kronecker limit formula will be greatly simplified as a result of this modification. For any character  $\chi$  of  $C\ell(k)$ , define the modified L-function by removing the Euler  $\mathfrak{p}$ -factor as follows:

$$L(s, \chi, \mathfrak{p}) = L(s, \chi)(1 - \chi([\mathfrak{p}])p^{-s}).$$

This is the imprimitive L-function attached to  $\chi$  viewed as a ray class character modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

LEMMA 3.1.

$$(8) \quad -\frac{HR}{W} n \log p = \prod_{\chi} L'(0, \chi, \mathfrak{p}).$$

Proof. It is clear that  $L(0, \chi, \mathfrak{p}) = 0$  for all  $\chi$ , including  $\chi_1$ , and

$$(9) \quad L'(0, \chi, \mathfrak{p}) = \begin{cases} L(0, \chi_1) \log p & \text{if } \chi = \chi_1, \\ L'(0, \chi)(1 - \chi([\mathfrak{p}])) & \text{if } \chi \neq \chi_1. \end{cases}$$

Taking the product of these L-values over those  $\chi$  which are trivial on  $S$  yields

$$\prod_{\chi} L'(0, \chi, \mathfrak{p}) = \left\{ L(0, \chi_1) \prod_{\chi \neq \chi_1} L'(0, \chi) \right\} \log p \prod_{\chi \neq \chi_1} (1 - \chi([\mathfrak{p}])).$$

Since the set of characters of  $C\ell(k)$  which are trivial on  $S$  coincides with the set of characters of  $C\ell(k)/S$ , a cyclic group of order  $n$  with generator  $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$ , we easily evaluate  $\prod_{\chi \neq \chi_1} (1 - \chi([\mathfrak{p}])) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (1 - e(j/n)) = n$ . The equality we seek is then a consequence of Lemma 2.1.

**4. The group determinant.** In this section, we recall the group determinant formula of Dedekind–Frobenius and use it to transform the right hand side of (8) into the determinant of a matrix whose entries are defined in terms of  $\lambda$ ; the nature of these entries will be investigated in the next section.

Let  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  be the elements of an abelian group  $G$  of order  $n$ , and assign a complex number  $\Lambda(g)$  to each  $g \in G$ . According to [4, p. 78], the group determinant formula of Dedekind–Frobenius is

$$(10) \quad \prod_{\psi} \sum_{g \in G} \psi(g) \Lambda(g) = \det(\Lambda(g_i^{-1} g_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

where  $\psi$  runs through all characters of  $G$ .

In order to apply this to the group  $C\ell(k)/S$ , recall that  $\lambda(C) = \zeta'_k(0, C)$ , and define

$$(11) \quad \lambda_{\mathbf{p}}(C) = \lambda(C) - \lambda(C[\mathbf{p}]^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2} \log p,$$

$$(12) \quad A(\{C\}) = \sum_{C' \in S} \lambda_{\mathbf{p}}(CC').$$

For any integer  $m$ , define  $C_m = [\mathbf{p}]^m$ , so that  $\{C_1\}, \dots, \{C_n\}$  are the  $n$  elements of  $C\ell(k)/S$ .

LEMMA 4.1.

$$(13) \quad -\frac{HR}{W} n \log p = \det(A(\{C_i^{-1}C_j\}))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

*Proof.* The first step is to evaluate  $L'(0, \chi, \mathbf{p})$  in terms of  $\lambda$ . Using the definition of  $L(s, \chi)$ , and expanding the Euler  $\mathbf{p}$ -factor  $1 - \chi([\mathbf{p}])p^{-s}$  as  $1 - \chi([\mathbf{p}])p^{-s} + O(s^2)$ , the Taylor expansion of  $L(s, \chi, \mathbf{p})$  at  $s = 0$  may be written

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \chi, \mathbf{p}) &= \sum_{C \in C\ell(k)} \chi(C) [\zeta_k(s, C) - \zeta_k(s, C[\mathbf{p}]^{-1}) + s\zeta_k(s, C[\mathbf{p}]^{-1}) \log p] + O(s^2). \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating the derivative at  $s = 0$  is then a simple matter:

$$L'(0, \chi, \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{C \in C\ell(k)} \chi(C) [\zeta'_k(0, C) - \zeta'_k(0, C[\mathbf{p}]^{-1}) + \zeta_k(0, C[\mathbf{p}]^{-1}) \log p].$$

Since by (5),  $\zeta_k(0, C') = -1/2$  for any class  $C' \in C\ell(k)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} L'(0, \chi, \mathbf{p}) &= \sum_{C \in C\ell(k)} \chi(C) [\lambda(C) - \lambda(C[\mathbf{p}]^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2} \log p] \\ &= \sum_{C \in C\ell(k)} \chi(C) \lambda_{\mathbf{p}}(C). \end{aligned}$$

For a character  $\chi$  which is trivial on  $S$ , we may rewrite the above sum as a sum over  $C\ell(k)/S$  as follows:

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} L'(0, \chi, \mathbf{p}) &= \sum_{\{C\} \in C\ell(k)/S} \chi(\{C\}) \sum_{C' \in S} \lambda_{\mathbf{p}}(CC') \\ &= \sum_{\{C\} \in C\ell(k)/S} \chi(\{C\}) A(\{C\}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1, when combined with (14) and (10), yields the result.

**5. Kronecker's limit formula and complex multiplication.** We now use Kronecker's first limit formula and some facts from the theory of complex multiplication to investigate  $A(\{C_i^{-1}C_j\})$ .

For an ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $k$ , with  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis  $[\omega_1, \omega_2]$ , satisfying  $\Im(\omega_1/\omega_2) > 0$ , define

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{b}) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\omega_2}\right)^{12} \Delta\left(\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2}\right),$$

where

$$\Delta(z) = \eta(z)^{24}, \quad \eta(z) = e(z/24) \prod_{m \geq 1} (1 - e(mz)).$$

It is well known that  $\Delta$  does not vanish on the upper half plane and is invariant under the standard action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Kronecker's first limit formula (see [4] or [5]) gives an expression for  $\lambda(C)$  in terms of  $\Delta$ :

$$(15) \quad \lambda(C) = -\frac{1}{24} \log |\mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{b})^6 \Delta(\mathfrak{b})|^2$$

where  $\mathfrak{b}$  is an ideal of  $k$  in the class  $C^{-1}$ . By the multiplicativity of  $\mathbb{N}$  and the invariance of  $\Delta$  under the modular group, the limit formula is independent of the choice of the ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$  in  $C^{-1}$  and  $\Delta(\mathfrak{b})$  is independent of the choice of its basis.

We apply the limit formula (15) to compute  $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(C)$  and  $\Lambda(\{C\})$ , defined in (11) and (12):

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(C) &= -\frac{1}{24} \log |\mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{b})^6 \Delta(\mathfrak{b})|^2 + \frac{1}{24} \log |\mathbb{N}(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{p})^6 \Delta(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{p})|^2 - \frac{1}{24} \log |\mathbb{N}\mathfrak{p}^6|^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{24} \log \left| \frac{\Delta(\mathfrak{b})}{\Delta(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{p})} \right|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(16) \quad \Lambda(\{C\}) = \sum_{C' \in S} -\frac{1}{24} \log \left| \frac{\Delta(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}')}{\Delta(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}'\mathfrak{p})} \right|^2 = -\frac{1}{24} \log \left| \prod_{C' \in S} \frac{\Delta(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}')}{\Delta(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}'\mathfrak{p})} \right|^2,$$

with  $[\mathfrak{b}] = C^{-1}$  and  $[\mathfrak{b}'] = C'^{-1}$ .

We recall the following facts from the theory of complex multiplication ([5]).

LEMMA 5.1. *For any pair of ideals  $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}$  of  $k$ ,  $\alpha = \Delta(\mathfrak{m})/\Delta(\mathfrak{n}) \in F^*$  and generates the ideal  $(\alpha)\mathcal{O}_F = (\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{m})^{12}$ . The conjugates of  $\alpha$  are given explicitly by the reciprocity law:*

$$\left(\frac{\Delta(\mathfrak{m})}{\Delta(\mathfrak{n})}\right) = \frac{\Delta(\overline{\mathfrak{m}})}{\Delta(\overline{\mathfrak{n}})}, \quad \left(\frac{\Delta(\mathfrak{m})}{\Delta(\mathfrak{n})}\right)^{\sigma(\overline{\mathfrak{a}})} = \frac{\Delta(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{a})}{\Delta(\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{a})}$$

for any ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $k$ .

We use the lemma to investigate the  $\Delta$ -quotient in (16):

$$\frac{\Delta(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}')}{\Delta(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}'\mathfrak{p})} = \left(\frac{\Delta(\mathfrak{b})}{\Delta(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{p})}\right)^{\sigma(\overline{\mathfrak{b}'})} = \left(\frac{\Delta(\mathfrak{b})}{\Delta(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{p})}\right)^{\sigma(C')}$$

since  $[\overline{\mathbf{b}'}] = [\mathbf{b}']^{-1} = C'$ . Recalling that  $\sigma$  maps  $S$  isomorphically to  $\text{Gal}(F/K)$ , we recognize the expression appearing inside the absolute values in (16) as a relative norm from  $F$  to  $K$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{C' \in S} \frac{\Delta(\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}')}{\Delta(\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}'\mathbf{p})} &= \prod_{C' \in S} \left( \frac{\Delta(\mathbf{b})}{\Delta(\mathbf{b}\mathbf{p})} \right)^{\sigma(C')} \\ &= \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F/K)} \left( \frac{\Delta(\mathbf{b})}{\Delta(\mathbf{b}\mathbf{p})} \right)^{\sigma} = \mathbb{N}_{F/K} \left( \frac{\Delta(\mathbf{b})}{\Delta(\mathbf{b}\mathbf{p})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, whenever  $[\mathbf{b}] = C^{-1}$ ,

$$\Lambda(\{C\}) = -\frac{1}{24} \log \left| \mathbb{N}_{F/K} \left( \frac{\Delta(\mathbf{b})}{\Delta(\mathbf{b}\mathbf{p})} \right) \right|^2.$$

Recall that we have defined  $C_m = [\mathbf{p}]^m$  for any integer  $m$ . With the choice  $\mathbf{b}_m = \mathbf{p}^{-m}$ ,

$$\Lambda(\{C_m\}) = -\frac{1}{24} \log \left| \mathbb{N}_{F/K} \left( \frac{\Delta(\mathbf{p}^{-m})}{\Delta(\mathbf{p}^{1-m})} \right) \right|^2.$$

Let  $a_{ij} = \Lambda(\{C_i^{-1}C_j\})$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  and let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the  $n \times n$  matrix whose entry in the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column is  $a_{ij}$ . Since  $\{C_i^{-1}C_j\} = \{C_{j-i}\}$ ,

$$a_{ij} = -\frac{1}{24} \log |\alpha(i, j)|^2,$$

where we have set

$$\alpha(i, j) = \mathbb{N}_{F/K} \left( \frac{\Delta(\mathbf{p}^{i-j})}{\Delta(\mathbf{p}^{i-j+1})} \right).$$

Finally, we write (13) more simply as

$$(17) \quad -\frac{HR}{W} n \log p = \det \mathcal{A}.$$

**6. Transforming  $\mathcal{A}$  into a regulator matrix.** For any number  $\gamma \in K$ , let  $\gamma^{(1)}, \dots, \gamma^{(n)}$  be its conjugates over  $k$  in some fixed order. Suppose  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}$  are units of  $K$ . Let us call the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix  $\mathcal{R}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}) = \mathcal{R}$  whose  $ij$ th entry is  $\log |\varepsilon_i^{(j)}|^2$ , the “regulator matrix” of these units. The absolute value of its determinant is non-zero if and only if  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}$  generate, together with the roots of unity in  $K$ , a subgroup of  $E_K$  of finite index, and moreover this index is then precisely the quotient  $|\det \mathcal{R}|/R$  where  $R$  is the regulator of  $K$  [7, Lemma 4.15]. Therefore, to obtain an index formula involving  $H$ , we would like to transform (17) into  $HR = (h/n)|\det \mathcal{R}|$  for some regulator matrix  $\mathcal{R}$ . The only tools we need to accomplish this are the complex multiplication lemma above and an algebraic lemma of Stark.

Remarks. 1. By the complex multiplication lemma, for all  $i, j$ ,  $\Delta(\mathfrak{p}^{i-j})/\Delta(\mathfrak{p}^{i-j+1})$  generates the ideal  $\mathfrak{p}^{12}$  in  $F$ , hence  $\alpha(i, j)$  generates  $\mathfrak{p}^{12h/n}$  in  $K$ . Therefore, the quotient of any two  $\alpha(i, j)$ 's is a unit of  $K$ .

2. For any  $\gamma \in K$ , let  $\gamma^{(j)} = \gamma^{\sigma(C_j)}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $\gamma^{(1)}, \dots, \gamma^{(n)}$  are the conjugates of  $\gamma$  over  $k$  with  $\gamma = \gamma^{(n)}$ . Upon setting

$$\alpha_i = \mathbb{N}_{F/K} \left( \frac{\Delta(\mathfrak{p}^i)}{\Delta(\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})} \right),$$

it follows from the complex multiplication lemma that  $\alpha(i, j) = \alpha_i^{(j)}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .

As a result of Remark 2, it is clear that  $\mathcal{A}$  would be a regulator matrix but for three flaws: first that the numbers inside the absolute value signs are not units, second that the dimension of  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $n$  not  $n - 1$ , and finally that every entry has an extra factor of  $-1/24$ .

Remark 1 provides the inspiration for correcting the first of these flaws; we reduce the dimension via row and column operations; finally, in the next section, we use an algebraic lemma to take out the extra factors of  $-1/24$ .

Define, for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ ,

$$(18) \quad \beta_i = \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_{i+1}},$$

and note that for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\beta_i^{(j)} = \frac{\alpha_i^{(j)}}{\alpha_{i+1}^{(j)}} = \frac{\alpha(i, j)}{\alpha(i+1, j)}$$

is a unit of  $K$  by Remark 1. Define the matrix

$$\mathcal{B} = \left( -\frac{1}{24} \log |\beta_i^{(j)}|^2 \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1}.$$

LEMMA 6.1.

$$\frac{W \det \mathcal{B}}{2 R} = \frac{H}{h/n}.$$

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{B}'$  be the  $n \times n$  matrix whose  $ij$ th entry  $b_{ij}$  is given by

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_{ij} - a_{i+1, j} & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \sum_{l=1}^n a_{lj} & \text{for } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Then it is an easy exercise in linear algebra to verify that

$$(19) \quad \det \mathcal{B}' = n \det \mathcal{A}.$$

We now examine the matrix  $\mathcal{B}'$ . In the first  $n - 1$  rows of  $\mathcal{B}'$ , the entries are

$$b_{ij} = -\frac{1}{24} \log |\alpha(i, j)/\alpha(i+1, j)|^2 = -\frac{1}{24} \log |\beta_i^{(j)}|^2.$$



On the other hand, recalling that  $a_{ij} = \Lambda(\{C_i^{-1}C_j\})$ , we compute the last row of  $\mathcal{B}'$ :

$$\begin{aligned} b_{nj} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \Lambda(\{C_i^{-1}C_j\}) = \sum_{m=1}^n \Lambda(\{C_m\}) \\ &= L'(0, \chi_1, \mathfrak{p}) = L(0, \chi_1) \log p = \frac{-h}{2} \log p, \end{aligned}$$

the last three equalities being due in turn to (14), (9), and (7).

In short,

$$\mathcal{B}' = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{24} \log |\beta_1^{(1)}|^2 & \cdots & -\frac{1}{24} \log |\beta_1^{(n)}|^2 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ -\frac{1}{24} \log |\beta_{n-1}^{(1)}|^2 & \cdots & -\frac{1}{24} \log |\beta_{n-1}^{(n)}|^2 \\ -\frac{h}{2} \log p & \cdots & -\frac{h}{2} \log p \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is clear that  $\mathcal{B}$  is the matrix that results by deleting the last row and last column of  $\mathcal{B}'$ . Furthermore,

$$(20) \quad \det \mathcal{B}' = -\frac{h}{2} n \log p \det \mathcal{B}.$$

To see this, we add the first  $n-1$  columns of  $\mathcal{B}'$  to the last column, which then becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{24} \log |\mathbb{N}_{K/k}(\beta_1)|^2 \\ \vdots \\ -\frac{1}{24} \log |\mathbb{N}_{K/k}(\beta_{n-1})|^2 \\ -\frac{h}{2} n \log p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ -\frac{h}{2} n \log p \end{pmatrix},$$

the last equality owing to the fact that  $\mathbb{N}_{K/k}(\beta_i)$  is a unit of  $k$  and hence has absolute value 1. The lemma follows by combining (19) and (20) with (17).

**7. Reducing the index.** In order to eliminate the factors of  $-1/24$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ , we now use an algebraic lemma of Stark to show that certain combinations of the  $\beta_i$  are high powers in  $K$ .

**LEMMA 7.1.** *Let  $m$  be a positive integer. Let  $\tilde{K}/k$  be an abelian extension with conductor  $\mathfrak{f}$ . Let  $\tilde{W}$  be the number of roots of unity in  $\tilde{K}$ . Suppose that  $\delta \neq 0$  is a number such that  $\alpha = \delta^m$  is in  $\tilde{K}$  and  $\tilde{K}(\delta)$  is abelian over  $k$ . If  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a prime ideal of  $k$  of norm  $q$  relatively prime to  $m\alpha\mathfrak{f}$ , then  $\alpha^q/\alpha^{\tilde{\sigma}(\mathfrak{q})}$  and  $\alpha^{(\tilde{W}, m)}$  are  $m$ -th powers in  $\tilde{K}$  itself, where  $\tilde{\sigma}(\mathfrak{q})$  denotes the Frobenius automorphism of  $\mathfrak{q}$  in  $\tilde{K}/k$ .*

**Proof.** This is (a weak version of) [5, Lemma 6].

**LEMMA 7.2.** *For each  $i$ ,  $\beta_i^W$  is the 48th power of a unit in  $K$ .*

PROOF. The key fact (see [5]), is that when  $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}$  are ideals of  $k$ , adjoining any 24th root of  $\Delta(\mathfrak{m})/\Delta(\mathfrak{n})$  to  $K$  yields an abelian extension of  $k$ . Begin by observing from (18) and Lemma 5.1 that  $\beta_i = \alpha_i/\alpha_i^\sigma$ , where  $\sigma = \sigma(\mathfrak{p})$ . By Lemma 7.1 (using  $m = 24$ ),  $\alpha_i^p/\alpha_i^\sigma$  and  $\alpha_i^W$  are 24th powers in  $K$ . Since  $p$  is odd and  $W$  is even,  $(\alpha_i^p/\alpha_i^\sigma)^W$  and  $\alpha_i^{W(1-p)}$  are 48th powers. The lemma follows since  $\beta_i^W$  is the product of these numbers.

Let  $\varrho_1$  be a unit of  $K$  such that  $\varrho_1^{-48} = \beta_1^W$ , and define for  $i = 2, \dots, n-1$ ,

$$\varrho_i = \varrho_1^{\sigma(C_{i-1})},$$

so that

$$\varrho_i^{-48} = \beta_i^W.$$

(In the next section, we will write these units more explicitly.) Then

$$(21) \quad \mathcal{B} = \left( \frac{2}{W} \log |\varrho_i^{(j)}|^2 \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1}.$$

Recall that  $W$  is a divisor of 12 [2, p. 217]. We see immediately that if  $W = 2$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{R}(\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_{n-1})$  is a regulator matrix and hence by Lemma 6.1,

$$[E_K : \langle -1, \varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_{n-1} \rangle] = \frac{H}{h/n}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 when  $W = 2$ . If  $W > 2$ , we must work a little harder.

LEMMA 7.3. *For each  $i$ ,  $\varrho_i/\varrho_{i+1}^p$  is a  $W/2$ -power in  $K$ .*

PROOF. This is a direct consequence of Lemma 7.1 (with  $m = W/2$ ,  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\alpha = \varrho_{i+1}$ ), together with the observation that  $\varrho_{i+1}^{\sigma(\mathfrak{p})} = \varrho_i$ .

Let  $\gamma_1$  be some unit of  $K$  satisfying  $\gamma_1^{W/2} = \varrho_1/\varrho_2^p$ , and define for  $i = 2, \dots, n-2$ ,

$$\gamma_i = \gamma_1^{\sigma(C_{i-1})},$$

so that

$$\gamma_i^{W/2} = \varrho_i/\varrho_{i+1}^p.$$

Define units  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}$  of  $K$  as follows:

$$\varepsilon_i = \begin{cases} \gamma_i & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-2, \\ \varrho_{n-1} & \text{for } i = n-1. \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 7.4. *Let  $\mathcal{E} = \langle \mu_K, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1} \rangle$  be the subgroup of  $E_K$  generated by the elliptic units  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}$ , together with the roots of unity of  $K$ .*

Note that when  $W = 2$ ,  $\mathcal{E} = \langle -1, \varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_{n-1} \rangle$ . The index of this subgroup is

$$[E_K : \mathcal{E}] = \frac{H}{h/n}.$$

PROOF. Let  $R_1, \dots, R_{n-1}$  be the rows of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}'$  be the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix whose rows  $R'_1, \dots, R'_{n-1}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R'_1 &= R_1 - pR_2, \\ R'_2 &= R_2 - pR_3, \\ &\vdots \\ R'_{n-2} &= R_{n-2} - pR_{n-1}, \\ R'_{n-1} &= R_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\det \mathcal{R}' = \det \mathcal{B}$ , and

$$\mathcal{R}' = \begin{pmatrix} \log |\gamma_1^{(1)}|^2 & \cdots & \log |\gamma_1^{(n-1)}|^2 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \log |\gamma_{n-2}^{(1)}|^2 & \cdots & \log |\gamma_{n-2}^{(n-1)}|^2 \\ \frac{2}{W} \log |\varrho_{n-1}^{(1)}|^2 & \cdots & \frac{2}{W} \log |\varrho_{n-1}^{(n-1)}|^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now define the regulator matrix

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} \log |\gamma_1^{(1)}|^2 & \cdots & \log |\gamma_1^{(n-1)}|^2 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \log |\gamma_{n-2}^{(1)}|^2 & \cdots & \log |\gamma_{n-2}^{(n-1)}|^2 \\ \log |\varrho_{n-1}^{(1)}|^2 & \cdots & \log |\varrho_{n-1}^{(n-1)}|^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

so that by Lemma 6.1,

$$(22) \quad \frac{H}{h/n} = \frac{\det \mathcal{R}}{R}.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**8. An explicit 12th root of  $\Delta(\mathfrak{m})/\Delta(\mathfrak{n})$  in  $F$ .** Recall that  $F$ , the Hilbert class field of  $k$ , is embedded in  $\mathbb{C}$ . The unit  $\varrho_1$  was defined in the last section as a certain 48th root of a  $\Delta$ -quotient. As is clear from the proof of Theorem 8.1 below, once it is known that *some* 48th root is in  $K$ , finding it takes only one application of the reciprocity law of complex multiplication, which, using Stark's formulation, is easy to carry out. Under the assumption that the discriminant of  $k$  is prime to 6, it is even possible to write down a simple, explicit formula for a 12th root of  $\Delta(\mathfrak{m})/\Delta(\mathfrak{n})$  in  $F$ . This formula is due to F. R. Villegas [6], though the proof given here is different from his. I would like to express my thanks for his permission to include this formula

here. Finally, G. Robert [3] has provided an alternate recipe for determining which roots of  $\Delta$ -quotients land in the Hilbert class field.

Throughout this section, the discriminant  $-D$  of the complex quadratic field  $k$  is assumed to be prime to 6 so that  $w_F = 2$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be any primitive (i.e. not divisible by an integer  $> 1$ ) integral ideal of  $k$  of norm  $m$  prime to  $6D$ , with  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis  $[\omega - t, m]$  for some integer  $t$  and  $\omega = (1 + \sqrt{-D})/2$ . Let  $\mathcal{O} = [\omega, 1]$  be the ring of integers of  $k$ . It will be convenient to define  $e_r(s) = e(s/r)$ .

DEFINITION. For  $\mathfrak{m}$  as above, set

$$\eta(\mathfrak{m}) = e_{24}(m(2-t))\eta\left(\frac{t-\bar{\omega}}{m}\right).$$

Using the modular property:  $\eta(z+1) = e_{24}(1)\eta(z)$ , and the fact that  $m^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ , it is easy to see that the above definition is independent of the choice of  $t$ .

THEOREM 8.1. *With the above assumptions on  $D$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,*

$$\left(\frac{\eta(\mathfrak{m})}{\eta(\mathcal{O})}\right)^2 \in F^*.$$

PROOF. Define  $\theta = t - \bar{\omega}$  and  $N = \mathbb{N}(\theta) = t^2 - t + (1 + D)/4$ . Let  $g(z) = \eta(z/m)/\eta(z)$  and  $f(z) = g(z)^2$ . If one uses the basis  $\mathcal{O} = [\omega - t, 1]$ ,

$$\left(\frac{\eta(\mathfrak{m})}{\eta(\mathcal{O})}\right)^2 = e_{12}((m-1)(2-t))f(\theta).$$

Recall from the last section that  $(\Delta(\bar{\mathfrak{m}})/\Delta(\mathcal{O}))^2$  is a 24th power in  $F^*$ . It is also well-known that  $\Delta(\bar{\mathfrak{m}})/\Delta(\mathcal{O})$  is a square in  $F^*$  (see [2, p. 238]). Since  $w_F = 2$ , it follows that some twelfth root  $\delta$  of  $\Delta(\bar{\mathfrak{m}})/\Delta(\mathcal{O})$  is in  $F^*$ . If we now let  $\alpha = \delta m$ , it is an immediate consequence of the definition of  $\Delta$  that for some integer  $l$ ,

$$\alpha = e_{12}(l)f(\theta).$$

Since  $\pm\alpha \in F^*$ , to prove the theorem it suffices to prove that

$$(23) \quad 2l \equiv 2(m-1)(2-t) \pmod{12}.$$

We do this by computing the action on  $\alpha$  of the Frobenius automorphism of some principal prime ideal of norm  $\equiv 11 \pmod{12}$ , using [5, Theorem 3]. We can always find an integer  $\kappa_0 = r_0 + s_0\theta$  with  $s_0 \neq 0$  such that  $\mathbb{N}(\kappa_0) \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$ . There are then infinitely many  $\kappa = r + s\theta$  such that  $(r, s) \equiv (r_0, s_0) \pmod{12}$  and  $(\kappa)$  is a prime ideal of norm  $q \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$  so we may even choose  $q$  to be prime to  $m$ . Some appropriate choices of  $r, s \pmod{12}$  for

each equivalence class of  $D$  modulo 48 are given in the following table.

$D \pmod{48}$	$r$	$s$
7	$3 - 2t$	2
11	$8 - t$	1
19	$10 - t$	1
23	$1 - 2t$	2
31	$3 - 2t$	2
35	$11 - t$	1
43	$-t$	1
47	$1 - 2t$	2

According to [5, Theorem 3],

$$\alpha^{\sigma((\kappa))} = e_{12}(lq)(f \circ qB^{-1})(\theta),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \begin{pmatrix} r & sN \\ -s & r + s(2t - 1) \end{pmatrix}, \\ qB^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} r + s(2t - 1) & -sN \\ s & r \end{pmatrix} \\ &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r + s(2t - 1) & -sN \\ sq^* & rq^* \end{pmatrix} \pmod{24m}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $q^*$  is any integer satisfying  $qq^* \equiv 1 \pmod{24m}$ . Let  $\Gamma^0(m)$  be the group of integral  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  that satisfy  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ , and  $ad \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .

Since  $f$  has rational Fourier coefficients and its 12th power is invariant under  $\Gamma^0(m)$ ,

$$(f \circ qB^{-1})(z) = (f \circ A)(z)$$

where we have let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} r + s(2t - 1) & -sN \\ sq^* & rq^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

LEMMA 8.2. *If  $U = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma^0(m)$ , then*

$$(g \circ U)(z) = \varepsilon e_{24}(j)g(z),$$

and

$$(f \circ U)(z) = e_{12}(j)f(z),$$

where

$$\varepsilon = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{d}{c} \right) \left( \frac{d}{cm} \right) & \text{if } c \text{ is odd and positive,} \\ \left( \frac{c}{d} \right) \left( \frac{cm}{d} \right) & \text{if } d \text{ is odd and positive,} \end{cases}$$

( $(\cdot)$  denotes the Jacobi symbol with  $(\frac{0}{1}) = 1$ ), and the value of  $j = j_U$  modulo 24 is given by:

$$j = \begin{cases} (m-1)[c(d+a-3) - bmd(mc^2+1)] & \text{if } c \text{ is odd and positive,} \\ (m-1)[-d(c+bm) - (d^2-1)ac] & \text{if } d \text{ is odd and positive.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $U' = \begin{pmatrix} a & b/m \\ cm & d \end{pmatrix}$ . Then

$$(g \circ U)(z) = \frac{\eta\left(U' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & m \end{pmatrix} \circ z\right)}{\eta(U \circ z)} = \frac{\xi(U', z/m)}{\xi(U, z)} g(z),$$

where  $\xi(Y, z) = \eta(Y \circ z)/\eta(z)$  for an integral matrix  $Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 \\ y_3 & y_4 \end{pmatrix}$  of determinant 1 is given explicitly by Weber [8, p. 126]:

$$\xi(Y, z) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} y_4 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix} e_{24}(v_1) \sqrt{e_4(3)(y_3 z + y_4)} & \text{if } y_3 \text{ is odd and positive,} \\ \begin{pmatrix} y_3 \\ y_4 \end{pmatrix} e_{24}(v_2) \sqrt{y_3 z + y_4} & \text{if } y_4 \text{ is odd and positive,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= 3 + y_3(y_4 + y_1 - 3) - (y_3^2 - 1)y_2 y_4, \\ v_2 &= -3 + y_4(y_2 - y_3 + 3) + (y_4^2 - 1)y_1 y_3. \end{aligned}$$

The square root here is the usual branch with non-negative real part. The lemma follows easily.

Since  $\alpha \in F$ , we know that  $\alpha = \alpha^{\sigma((\kappa))}$ . Therefore,

$$e_{12}(l)f(\theta) = e_{12}(lq)e_{12}(j)f(\theta),$$

where  $j = j_A$  is given by the lemma. It then follows that

$$2l \equiv j \pmod{12}$$

since  $q \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$ . We see from (23) that the theorem will follow once we establish

$$(24) \quad j \equiv 2(m-1)(2-t) \pmod{12}.$$

Now using the values of  $r, s$  in the above table, we compute  $j$  from the lemma and verify (24) in each case. Note that since the value of  $j$  is only determined modulo 12, we may replace the  $q^*$  in  $A$  with  $q$  when we compute

$j_A$ . First, consider the four cases where  $s = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} j &\equiv (m-1)[-(-r+r+2t-1-3)] + (m-1)[-bmd(m+1)] \pmod{12} \\ &\equiv (m-1)(4-2t) \pmod{12}, \end{aligned}$$

the second congruence following from the useful fact that  $m^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ . When  $s = 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} j &\equiv 2(m-1)[2-4t-2r-mNr-2r^2+4tr^2+r^3] \pmod{12} \\ &\equiv 2(m-1)(2-t) + 2(m-1)[r^3+(4t-2)r^2-r(mN+2)] \pmod{12}. \end{aligned}$$

In all cases, the second term in the above sum is congruent to 0 modulo 12 because

$$\begin{aligned} r^3 + (4t-2)r^2 - r(mN+2) &\equiv \begin{cases} (m+1)(2t^3+t^2+t) \pmod{3} & \text{if } D \equiv 7 \pmod{24}, \\ (m+1)(2t^3+t) \pmod{3} & \text{if } D \equiv 23 \pmod{24}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Remark.** It follows easily from Lemma 5.1 that  $(\eta(\mathfrak{m})/\eta(\mathcal{O}))^2$  is a generator of the ideal  $\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}_F$ .

**DEFINITION.** With  $\mathfrak{m}$  as above, set

$$\bar{\eta}(\mathfrak{m}) = e_{24}(m(t-2))\eta\left(\frac{\omega-t}{m}\right).$$

Since  $\overline{\eta(z)} = \eta(-\bar{z})$ ,

$$\overline{\eta(\mathfrak{m})} = \bar{\eta}(\mathfrak{m}).$$

Therefore,  $(\bar{\eta}(\mathfrak{m})/\bar{\eta}(\mathcal{O}))^2$  is a 12th root of  $m^{12}\Delta(\mathfrak{m})/\Delta(\mathcal{O})$  in  $F$  and generates  $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ .

We conclude with a more explicit version of Theorem 7.4, for the proof of which we will need

**LEMMA 8.3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  (of norm  $q$  and  $m$ , respectively) be primitive integral ideals of  $k$  prime to  $6D$ . Let  $\sigma(\mathfrak{q})$  denote the Frobenius automorphism of  $\mathfrak{q}$  in  $\text{Gal}(k^{ab}/k)$ . Then*

$$\left(\frac{\eta(\mathfrak{m})}{\eta(\mathcal{O})}\right)^{\sigma(\mathfrak{q})} = \frac{\eta(\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{q})}{\eta(\mathfrak{q})}, \quad \left(\frac{\bar{\eta}(\mathfrak{m})}{\bar{\eta}(\mathcal{O})}\right)^{\sigma(\mathfrak{q})} = \frac{\bar{\eta}(\mathfrak{m}\bar{\mathfrak{q}})}{\bar{\eta}(\bar{\mathfrak{q}})}.$$

**Proof.** This is another exercise in the use of the reciprocity law [5, Theorem 3]. Of course, the two formulations are equivalent. Let us use the first one. Since  $\sigma$  is multiplicative, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a prime ideal. Choose a basis of the form  $[\omega-t, mq]$  for  $\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{q}$  so that  $[\omega-t, m]$  and  $[\omega-t, q]$  are bases for  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$ , respectively. Let  $\theta = t - \bar{\omega}$

and recall that  $g(z) = \eta(z/m)/\eta(z)$ . Then

$$\frac{\eta(\mathbf{mq})}{\eta(\mathbf{q})} = e_{24}[q(2-t)(m-1)]g(\theta/q),$$

$$\frac{\eta(\mathbf{m})}{\eta(\mathcal{O})} = e_{24}[(2-t)(m-1)]g(\theta).$$

When we apply the reciprocity law, we find

$$\left(\frac{\eta(\mathbf{m})}{\eta(\mathcal{O})}\right)^{\sigma(\mathbf{q})} = e_{24}[q(2-t)(m-1)](g \circ qB^{-1})(B \circ \theta)$$

with

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix}, \quad qB^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Writing

$$qB^{-1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^* \end{pmatrix} \pmod{24m},$$

we note once again that  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix}$  acts trivially on  $g(z)$  and in fact so does  $\begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^* \end{pmatrix}$ , as is easily seen from Lemma 8.2 (using  $q^* \equiv q \pmod{24}$ ). In conclusion,

$$(g \circ qB^{-1})(z) = g(z).$$

The lemma follows since  $B \circ \theta = \theta/q$ .

**THEOREM 8.4.** *Suppose  $k$  is a complex quadratic field of discriminant  $-D$  prime to 6. Denote the Hilbert class field of  $k$  by  $F$ . Let  $K$  be a cyclic unramified extension of degree  $n$  over  $k$ . Let  $h$  and  $H$  be the class numbers of  $k$  and  $K$ , respectively. Let  $\sigma$  be as in Lemma 8.3. Choose an unramified prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $k$  of degree one and norm  $p > 3$  such that the image of  $\sigma(\mathfrak{p})$  in  $\text{Gal}(K/k)$  is a generator. Choose a basis  $[\omega - t, p^{n+1}]$  for  $\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , define*

$$U_i = e_{24}[(2-t)p^i(p-1)^2] \frac{\eta\left(\frac{\omega-t}{p^{i+1}}\right)^2}{\eta\left(\frac{\omega-t}{p^i}\right)\eta\left(\frac{\omega-t}{p^{i+2}}\right)}.$$

*Then  $U_1, \dots, U_{n-1}$  are conjugate units in  $F$ . If  $u_i = \mathbb{N}_{F/K}(U_i)$ , then  $u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} \in E_K =$  the group of units of  $K$  and*

$$[E_K : \langle -1, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} \rangle] = \frac{H}{h/n}.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $\delta = \bar{\eta}(\mathfrak{p}^i)/\bar{\eta}(\mathfrak{p}^{i+1})$  and  $\sigma = \sigma(\mathfrak{p})$ . By Lemma 8.3,  $\delta^\sigma/\delta = U_i$  so, by Theorem 8.1,  $U_i^2 \in F$ . But  $U_i^2 = (\delta^\sigma/\delta^p)^2 \cdot \delta^{2(p-1)}$  is a product of two numbers which are squares in  $F$  (by Lemma 7.1 with  $\tilde{K} = F$ ), hence  $U_i \in F$ .



The remaining conclusions follow from Theorem 7.4 and the observation that, up to a factor of  $\pm 1$ ,  $u_i$  is the unit  $\varrho_i$  defined in the previous section. It is useful for computations to note that

$$u_i = \prod_{[\mathfrak{b}] \in S} \frac{\bar{\eta}(\mathfrak{p}^{i+1}\mathfrak{b})^2}{\bar{\eta}(\mathfrak{p}^i\mathfrak{b})\bar{\eta}(\mathfrak{p}^{i+2}\mathfrak{b})},$$

where  $S$  is the subgroup of  $C\ell(k)$  corresponding to  $K$ .

### References

- [1] H. Hayashi, *On elliptic units and class number of a certain dihedral extension of degree 2l*, Acta Arith. 44 (1984), 35–45.
- [2] D. Kubert and S. Lang, *Modular Units*, Springer, 1981.
- [3] G. Robert, *Concernant la relation de distribution satisfaite par la fonction  $\phi$  associée à un réseau complexe*, Invent. Math. 100 (1990), 231–257.
- [4] C. L. Siegel, *Lectures on Advanced Analytic Number Theory*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, 1980.
- [5] H. M. Stark, *L-functions at  $s = 1$ . IV. First derivatives at  $s = 0$* , Adv. in Math. 35 (1980), 197–235.
- [6] F. R. Villegas, private communication.
- [7] L. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, Springer, 1982.
- [8] H. Weber, *Lehrbuch der Algebra*, Vol. 3, Chelsea, 1961.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
 CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139  
 U.S.A.

Received on 13.5.1992

(2262)