

## On $B_{2k}$ -sequences

by

MARTIN HELM (Mainz)

**Introduction.** An old conjecture of P. Erdős repeated many times with a prize offer states that the counting function  $A(n)$  of a  $B_r$ -sequence  $A$  satisfies

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n)}{n^{1/r}} = 0.$$

The conjecture was proved for  $r = 2$  by P. Erdős himself (see [5]) and in the cases  $r = 4$  and  $r = 6$  by J. C. M. Nash in [4] and by Xing-De Jia in [2] respectively. A very interesting proof of the conjecture in the case of all even  $r = 2k$  by Xing-De Jia is to appear in the Journal of Number Theory [3].

Here we present a different, very short proof of Erdős' hypothesis for all even  $r = 2k$  which we developed independently of Jia's version.

**Notation and terminology.** We call a set of positive integers  $A$  a  $B_r$ -sequence if the equation  $n = a_1 + \dots + a_r$ ,  $a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_r$ ,  $a_i \in A$ , has at most one solution for all  $n$ .

We define

$$B = kA = \{a_1 + \dots + a_k : a_i \in A\},$$

$$S = \{(a_1, \dots, a_k; a'_1, \dots, a'_k) : a_i, a'_i \in A \cap [1, N^2],$$

$$1 \leq (a_1 + \dots + a_k) - (a'_1 + \dots + a'_k) \leq N\},$$

$$S' = \{(b_i, b_j) : 1 \leq b_j - b_i \leq N, b_i, b_j \in B \cap [1, N^2]\}.$$

**THEOREM.** *Let  $A$  be a  $B_{2k}$ -sequence such that*

$$A(n^2) \ll (A(n))^2.$$

*Then*

$$(1) \quad \frac{A(n)}{n^{1/(2k)}} (\log n)^{1/(2k)} < \infty.$$

PROOF. Erdős showed (see [5]) that every  $B_2$ -sequence  $A$  satisfies

$$(2) \quad \frac{A(n)}{n^{1/2}}(\log n)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

Using an idea of Erdős on which the proof of (2) is based (see [1, pp. 89–90]) in this case we get

$$|S'| \gg \tau_B(N)^2 N$$

where

$$\tau_B(N) = \inf_{n > N} \frac{B(n)}{n^{1/2}}(\log n)^{1/2}.$$

Since

$$(3) \quad |S'| \leq |S|$$

and as the  $B_{2k}$ -property of  $A$  implies

$$(4) \quad B(n) \gg (A(n))^k,$$

the proof of

$$(5) \quad |S| \ll N$$

will lead to  $\tau_B(N) \ll 1$ , which implies (1) immediately.

It remains to prove (5). Consider an arbitrary  $2k$ -tuple  $(a_1, \dots, a_k; a'_1, \dots, a'_k)$  of  $S$ . It will be transformed into a new tuple according to the following procedure. Let  $u$  be the number of appearances of  $a_1$  in  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  and let  $v$  be the number of appearances of  $a_1$  in  $(a'_1, \dots, a'_k)$ . Now  $a_1$  will be eliminated  $\min(u, v)$  times from  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  as well as from  $(a'_1, \dots, a'_k)$ . In the next step the same procedure will be performed with the next component of  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  that is different from  $a_1$ , and so on till every component of  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  has been checked once. Eventually, the  $2k$ -tuple  $(a_1, \dots, a_k; a'_1, \dots, a'_k)$  is transformed into a new  $2j$ -tuple  $(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_j}; a'_{h_1}, \dots, a'_{h_j})$  where  $j$  is the number of components of  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  and  $(a'_1, \dots, a'_k)$  that have not been dropped as above. Thus

$$\{a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_j}\} \cap \{a'_{h_1}, \dots, a'_{h_j}\} = \emptyset$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  as

$$(a_1 + \dots + a_k) - (a'_1 + \dots + a'_k) > 0 \quad \forall (a_1, \dots, a_k; a'_1, \dots, a'_k) \in S.$$

Therefore it is possible to divide  $S$  into  $k$  disjoint classes  $S_1, \dots, S_k$ , where  $S_j$  is the set of those  $2k$ -tuples of  $S$  whose corresponding tuple according to the above procedure of successive “truncation” consists of  $2j$  components. Therefore

$$|S| = \sum_{j=1}^k |S_j|.$$

Since  $A$  is a  $B_{2k}$ -sequence,

$$|S_k| \ll N.$$

For if  $(a_1, \dots, a_k; a'_1, \dots, a'_k)$  and  $(b_1, \dots, b_k; b'_1, \dots, b'_k)$  belong to  $S_k$  and

$$(a_1 + \dots + a_k) - (a'_1 + \dots + a'_k) = (b_1 + \dots + b_k) - (b'_1 + \dots + b'_k)$$

then the  $B_{2k}$ -property of  $A$  in view of

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \cap \{a'_1, \dots, a'_k\} = \emptyset$$

and

$$\{b_1, \dots, b_k\} \cap \{b'_1, \dots, b'_k\} = \emptyset$$

implies that the numbers  $(b_1, \dots, b_k)$  form a permutation of  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  and also the numbers  $(b'_1, \dots, b'_k)$  form a permutation of  $(a'_1, \dots, a'_k)$ .

For  $j = 1, \dots, k - 1$  we define

$$\widehat{S}_j := \{(a_1, \dots, a_j; a'_1, \dots, a'_j) : a_i, a'_i \in A \cap [1, N^2],$$

$$1 \leq (a_1 + \dots + a_j) - (a'_1 + \dots + a'_j) \leq N,$$

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_j\} \cap \{a'_1, \dots, a'_j\} = \emptyset\}.$$

Since for every  $(a_1, \dots, a_k; a'_1, \dots, a'_k) \in S_j$  the difference

$$(a_1 + \dots + a_k) - (a'_1 + \dots + a'_k)$$

may be written in the form

$$(a_{i_1} - a'_{h_1}) + \dots + (a_{i_j} - a'_{h_j}) + (a_{i_{j+1}} - a_{i_{j+1}}) + \dots + (a_{i_k} - a_{i_k})$$

with

$$\{a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_j}\} \cap \{a_{h_1}, \dots, a_{h_j}\} = \emptyset,$$

we have

$$(6) \quad |S_j| \ll |\widehat{S}_j|(A(N^2))^{k-j}.$$

For every  $(a_1, \dots, a_j; a'_1, \dots, a'_j) \in \widehat{S}_j$  let  $t$  be the number of different subsets of  $\{A \cap [1, N]\} \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_j\} \cup \{a'_1, \dots, a'_j\}$  consisting of  $2(k - j)$  different elements. An easy combinatorial argument shows that

$$t \gg (A(N))^{2(k-j)}.$$

Thus there are  $t \gg (A(N))^{2(k-j)}$  ways of transforming an element of  $\widehat{S}_j$  into a tuple of  $S'_k$  where

$$S'_k := \{(a_1, \dots, a_k; a'_1, \dots, a'_k) : a_i, a'_i \in A \cap [1, N^2],$$

$$1 \leq (a_1 + \dots + a_k) - (a'_1 + \dots + a'_k) \leq kN,$$

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \cap \{a'_1, \dots, a'_k\} = \emptyset\}.$$

Obviously, since  $A$  is a  $B_{2k}$ -sequence,

$$|S'_k| \ll N.$$

In the course of this procedure for every  $(a_1, \dots, a_k; a'_1, \dots, a'_k) \in S_j$  every  $(a_1, \dots, a_k; a'_1, \dots, a'_k) \in S'_k$  can appear at most  $\binom{k}{j} \binom{k}{j}$  times. Therefore

$$|\widehat{S}_j|(A(N))^{2(k-j)} \ll N.$$

Thus (6) and the assumption  $(A(N))^2 \gg A(N^2)$  imply

$$|\widehat{S}_j|(A(N^2))^{k-j} \ll N, \quad j = 1, \dots, k-1,$$

and therefore

$$|S_j| \ll N, \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

This implies (5) and thus the proof is complete.

**COROLLARY.** *Every  $B_{2k}$ -sequence  $A$  satisfies*

$$(7) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n)}{n^{1/(2k)}} = 0.$$

**Proof.** It is easy to see that every  $B_{2k}$ -sequence  $A$  satisfies  $A(n) \ll n^{1/(2k)}$ . Therefore assuming that there exists a  $B_{2k}$ -sequence  $A$  satisfying

$$(8) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n)}{n^{1/(2k)}} > 0$$

$A$  also satisfies  $A(n^2) \ll (A(n))^2$ . But then, as a consequence of the above theorem, (1) holds, which contradicts (8).

**Remark.** In the special case  $r = 4$  the more precise estimate for  $\widehat{S}_1$ ,

$$|\widehat{S}_1| \sum_{l=1}^N A_l^2 \ll N$$

with

$$A_l = |A \cap [(l-1)N, lN]|$$

shows that here the assumption  $A(N^2) \ll (A(N))^2$  is not necessary. This result was already achieved by Nash.

The above theorem also holds for  $B_{2k}$ -sequences satisfying only the weaker condition  $A(n^2) \leq \Lambda(A(n))^2$  for infinitely many  $n$  where  $\Lambda$  is any positive constant.

**Acknowledgments.** I am very thankful to Prof. Gerd Hofmeister for steady encouragement and a lot of helpful comments.

### References

- [1] H. Halberstam and K. F. Roth, *Sequences*, Springer, New York 1983.
- [2] X.-D. Jia, *On  $B_6$ -sequences*, J. Qufu Norm. Univ. Nat. Sci. 15 (3) (1989), 7–11.
- [3] —, *On  $B_{2k}$ -sequences*, J. Number Theory, to appear.
- [4] J. C. M. Nash, *On  $B_4$ -sequences*, Canad. Math. Bull. 32 (1989), 446–449.

- [5] A. Stöhr, *Gelöste und ungelöste Fragen über Basen der natürlichen Zahlenreihe. II*,  
J. Reine Angew. Math. 194 (1955), 111–140.

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK  
JOHANNES GUTENBERG-UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ  
SAARSTR. 21  
D-6500 MAINZ, GERMANY

*Received on 10.7.1992*  
*and in revised form on 24.9.1992*

(2278)