

Effective simultaneous approximation of complex numbers by conjugate algebraic integers

by

G. J. RIEGER (Hannover)

We study effectively the simultaneous approximation of $n - 1$ different complex numbers by conjugate algebraic integers of degree n over $\mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{-1})$. This is a refinement of a result of Motzkin [2] (see also [3], p. 50) who has no estimate for the remaining conjugate. If the $n - 1$ different complex numbers lie symmetrically about the real axis, then $\mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{-1})$ can be replaced by \mathbb{Z} .

In Section 1 we prove an effective version of a Kronecker approximation theorem; we start with an idea of H. Bohr and E. Landau (see e.g. [4]); later we use an estimate of A. Baker for linear forms with logarithms. This and also Rouché's theorem are then applied in Section 2 to give the result; the required irreducibility is guaranteed by the Schönemann–Eisenstein criterion.

1. On the Kronecker approximation theorem. Let $k \in \mathbb{N} := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n > 0\}$, $v \in \mathbb{N}$, $U \in \mathbb{R}$, $U \geq 1$, $i := \sqrt{-1}$, $e(x) := \exp(2\pi ix)$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$); let $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k$ be primes and

$$u_\nu \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 0 < |u_\nu| \leq U, \quad \beta_\nu \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, k),$$
$$f(t) := 1 + e(t) + \sum_{\nu=1}^k e\left(t \frac{u_\nu}{v} \log p_\nu - \beta_\nu\right) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

With $\gamma_{-1} := 0$, $\beta_{-1} := 0$, $\gamma_0 := 1$, $\beta_0 := 0$, $\gamma_\nu := (u_\nu/v) \log p_\nu$ ($\nu = 1, \dots, k$) we have

$$(1) \quad f(t) = \sum_{\nu=-1}^k e(t\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu).$$

For $P \in \mathbb{N}$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}$, $B > 0$ let

$$J := \int_b^{b+B} |f(t)|^{2P} dt.$$

The multinomial theorem gives

$$f(t)^P = \sum_{\substack{j_{-1}+\dots+j_k=P \\ j_\nu \geq 0 \ (\nu=-1,0,\dots,k)}} \dots \sum \frac{P!}{j_{-1}! \dots j_k!} e\left(\sum_{\nu=-1}^k j_\nu(t\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu)\right).$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ denote by $\bar{\alpha}$ the complex conjugate of α ; we have $|\alpha|^2 = \alpha\bar{\alpha}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $e(\overline{x}) = e(-x)$. With

$$\mathbf{j} = (j_{-1}, \dots, j_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{k+2}, \quad \mathbf{j}' = (j'_{-1}, \dots, j'_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{k+2},$$

$$S(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}') := \sum_{\nu=-1}^k (j_\nu - j'_\nu)\gamma_\nu, \quad T(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}') := \sum_{\nu=-1}^k (j_\nu - j'_\nu)\beta_\nu$$

we get

$$J = \sum_{\substack{j_{-1}+\dots+j_k=P \\ j_\nu \geq 0 \ (\nu=-1,\dots,k)}} \dots \sum_{\substack{j'_{-1}+\dots+j'_k=P \\ j'_\nu \geq 0 \ (\nu=-1,\dots,k)}} \frac{P!}{j_{-1}! \dots j_k!} \frac{P!}{j'_{-1}! \dots j'_k!} \int_b^{b+B} e(S(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}')t - T(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}')) dt.$$

We subdivide the multiple sum according as $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}'$ or $\mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{j}'$. We have $S(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}) = 0, T(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}) = 0$; but

$$S(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}') = 0 \Rightarrow \exp(S(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}')) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \exp((j_0 - j'_0)v)p_1^{(j_1 - j'_1)u_1} \dots p_k^{(j_k - j'_k)u_k} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow j_\nu = j'_\nu \quad (\nu = 0, \dots, k)$$

(by $vu_1 \dots u_k \neq 0$ and by Lindemann)

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}' \quad \left(\text{by } \sum_{\nu=-1}^k j_\nu = \sum_{\nu=-1}^k j'_\nu = P\right);$$

we found

$$\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}' \Leftrightarrow S(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}') = 0.$$

This gives

$$\int_b^{b+B} e(S(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}')t - T(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}')) dt = B \quad (\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}'),$$

$$\left| \int_b^{b+B} e(S(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}')t - T(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}')) dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi |S(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}')|} \quad (\mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{j}').$$

For $\mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{j}'$ there exists by A. Baker (see [1, p. 22]) an effectively computable number $C(k, p_k) > 0$ with

$$|S(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}')|^{-1} < A := (2PUv)^{C(k, p_k)}.$$

We obtain

$$J \geq B \sum_{\substack{j_{-1}+\dots+j_k=P \\ j_\nu \geq 0 \ (\nu=-1,\dots,k)}} \dots \sum_{\substack{j_{-1}+\dots+j_k=P \\ j_\nu \geq 0 \ (\nu=-1,\dots,k)}} \left(\frac{P!}{j_{-1}! \dots j_k!} \right)^2 - \frac{A}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{j_{-1}+\dots+j_k=P \\ j_\nu \geq 0 \ (\nu=-1,\dots,k)}} \dots \sum_{\substack{j'_{-1}+\dots+j'_k=P \\ j'_\nu \geq 0 \ (\nu=-1,\dots,k)}} \frac{P!}{j_{-1}! \dots j_k!} \frac{P!}{j'_{-1}! \dots j'_k!}$$

where in the second multiple sum we have dropped the condition $\mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{j}'$; to the first multiple sum we apply the Cauchy inequality and observe

$$\sum_{\substack{j_{-1}+\dots+j_k=P \\ j_\nu \geq 0 \ (\nu=-1,\dots,k)}} \dots \sum_{\substack{j_{-1}+\dots+j_k=P \\ j_\nu \geq 0 \ (\nu=-1,\dots,k)}} 1 \leq (P+1)^{k+2}.$$

This gives

$$J \geq \left(\frac{B}{(P+1)^{k+2}} - \frac{A}{\pi} \right) \left(\sum_{\substack{j_{-1}+\dots+j_k=P \\ j_\nu \geq 0 \ (\nu=-1,\dots,k)}} \dots \sum_{\substack{j_{-1}+\dots+j_k=P \\ j_\nu \geq 0 \ (\nu=-1,\dots,k)}} \frac{P!}{j_{-1}! \dots j_k!} \right)^2;$$

since the last multiple sum equals $(1 + \dots + 1)^P = (k+2)^P$, we have

$$J \geq \left(\frac{B}{(P+1)^{k+2}} - \frac{A}{\pi} \right) (k+2)^{2P}.$$

For some $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \leq \tau \leq b+B$, we have

$$|f(\tau)| = \sup_{b \leq t \leq b+B} |f(t)|;$$

this gives

$$J \leq B|f(\tau)|^{2P}.$$

We choose

$$B := A(P+1)^{k+2}.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B}{2(P+1)^{k+2}} (k+2)^{2P} &\leq J \leq B|f(\tau)|^{2P}, \\ |f(\tau)| &\geq (k+2) \exp\left(-\frac{k+2}{2P} \log(2(P+1))\right) \\ &> (k+2) \left(1 - \frac{k+2}{2P} \log(2(P+1))\right). \end{aligned}$$

But $\log(2(P+1)) \leq \frac{4}{3} \log P$ ($P \in \mathbb{R}$, $P \geq 11$). Setting

$$\mu := \frac{(k+2)^2 \log P}{3P} < 1$$

we obtain

$$|f(\tau)| > k + 2 - 2\mu.$$

(1) implies

$$f(t) = 1 + e(t\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu) + \sum_{\substack{\mu=0 \\ \mu \neq \nu}}^k e(t\gamma_\mu - \beta_\mu);$$

the triangle inequality and $|e(x)| = 1$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$) give

$$|f(t)| \leq k + |1 + e(t\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu)| \quad (\nu = 0, \dots, k, t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

We obtain

$$|1 + e(\tau\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu)| > 2 - 2\mu$$

and consequently

$$|\sin \pi(\tau\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu)| < \sqrt{2\mu - \mu^2} < \sqrt{2\mu};$$

denote by h_ν the nearest integer to $\tau\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu$; we have

$$|\tau\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu - h_\nu| \leq 1/2 \quad (\nu = 0, \dots, k).$$

Using

$$\begin{aligned} |\sin \pi x| &\geq 2|x| & (x \in \mathbb{R}, |x| \leq 1/2), \\ |\sin \pi(x+h)| &= |\sin \pi x| & (x \in \mathbb{R}, h \in \mathbb{Z}), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$2|\tau\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu - h_\nu| \leq |\sin \pi(\tau\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu - h_\nu)| = |\sin \pi(\tau\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu)| < \sqrt{2\mu}$$

($\nu = 0, 1, \dots, k$); for $\nu = 0$ this implies

$$|\tau - h_0| < \sqrt{\mu};$$

we replace τ by h_0 and with

$$\gamma^* := \sup_{\nu=1, \dots, k} |\gamma_\nu|$$

we get by the triangle inequality

$$|h_0\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu - h_\nu| < \mu^* := (1 + \gamma^*)\sqrt{\mu} \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, k).$$

Let $w \in \mathbb{R}$, $w \geq 1$; we are interested in the inequality

$$|h_0\gamma_\nu - \beta_\nu - h_\nu| < 1/w$$

with an effective estimate for h_0 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^* &\leq U \log p_k, & \mu^* &< 3U\sqrt{\mu} \log p_k, \\ \mu &< \frac{(k+2)^2}{\sqrt{P}}, & \mu^* &< \tilde{\mu} := \frac{3U(k+2)}{\sqrt[4]{P}} \log p_k. \end{aligned}$$

The choice

$$P := [(3wU(k+2) \log p_k)^4] + 1$$

implies $P \geq 11$, $\mu < 1$, $\mu^* \leq 1/w$. By $b \leq \tau \leq b + B$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $h_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|\tau - h_0| < 1$ we have $b \leq h_0 < b + B + 1$. By substitution, a bound for $B + 1$ of the form $(2Uvw)^C$ can immediately be found. This proves

THEOREM 1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $v \in \mathbb{N}$, $U \in \mathbb{R}$, $U \geq 1$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $w \in \mathbb{R}$, $w \geq 1$. Let $p_1 < \dots < p_k$ be primes and*

$$u_\nu \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 0 < |u_\nu| \leq U, \quad \beta_\nu \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, k).$$

Then there exist $h_\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($\nu = 0, \dots, k$) and an effectively computable number $C'(k, p_k) > 0$, depending on k and p_k only, with

$$(2) \quad \left| h_0 \frac{u_\nu}{v} \log p_\nu - \beta_\nu - h_\nu \right| < \frac{1}{w} \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, k)$$

and

$$b \leq h_0 \leq b + (2Uvw)^{C'(k, p_k)}.$$

Theorem 1 is an effective Kronecker approximation theorem. If $p_1 < \dots < p_k$ are the first k primes, then $C'(k, p_k)$ is an effectively computable $C''(k)$, depending on k only.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq r_\nu < m$ ($\nu = 0, \dots, k$). (2) is equivalent to

$$\left| (h_0 m + r_0) \frac{u_\nu}{v} \log p_\nu - \left(\beta_\nu m + r_0 \frac{u_\nu}{v} \log p_\nu - r_\nu \right) - (h_\nu m + r_\nu) \right| < \frac{m}{w};$$

we write this as

$$(3) \quad \left| h'_0 \frac{u_\nu}{v} \log p_\nu - \beta'_\nu - h'_\nu \right| < \frac{1}{w'} \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, k).$$

Theorem 1 implies

COROLLARY 1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $v \in \mathbb{N}$, $U \in \mathbb{R}$, $U \geq 1$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $w' \in \mathbb{R}$, $w' \geq 1$; let $p_1 < \dots < p_k$ be primes,*

$$u_\nu \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 0 < |u_\nu| \leq U, \quad \beta'_\nu \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, k);$$

furthermore, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq r_\nu < m$ ($\nu = 0, \dots, k$). Then (3) holds with $h'_\nu \equiv r_\nu \pmod{m}$ ($\nu = 0, \dots, k$) and

$$b \leq h'_0/m \leq 1 + b + (2Uvmw')^{C'(k, p_k)}.$$

2. On a theorem of Motzkin. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n > 1$,

$$f(z) := \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (z - z_j) = z^{n-1} + a_1 z^{n-2} + \dots + a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}[z],$$

$$d(f) := \inf_{j \neq k} \{1, |z_j - z_k|\} > 0,$$

$$D(f) := \sup |z_j|, \quad K(f) := \sup |a_j|.$$

We have $d(f) \leq 2D(f)$ and

$$|a_j| \leq \binom{n-1}{j} (1 + D(f))^j \leq (2 + D(f))^{n-1} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n-1),$$

$$K(f) \leq (2 + D(f))^{n-1}.$$

LEMMA 1. Let $g \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$F(z) = \prod_{j=1}^g (z - \alpha_j) \in \mathbb{C}[z], \quad d(F) > 0,$$

$$F^*(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z] \quad \text{with leading term } z^g,$$

$$\varrho \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 0 < \varrho \leq \frac{1}{4}d(F) (< 1).$$

For $j \in \{1, \dots, g\}$ and

$$B_j(F) := \left(\frac{d(F)}{2(|\alpha_j| + 2)} \right)^{g-1}, \quad K(F^* - F) \leq \varrho B_j(F),$$

there exist $\alpha_j^* \in \mathbb{C}$ with

$$F^*(\alpha_j^*) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha_j^* - \alpha_j| < \varrho;$$

furthermore,

$$F^*(z) = \prod_{j=1}^g (z - \alpha_j^*), \quad d(F^*) > d(F)/2.$$

In short: a small change in the coefficients of a polynomial implies a small change in its (simple) roots.

Proof. Let $j \in \{1, \dots, g\}$, $\tilde{z} \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\tilde{z} - \alpha_j| = \varrho$; then $|\tilde{z}| < |\alpha_j| + 1$ and $|\tilde{z} - \alpha_k| \geq d(F) - \varrho > d(F)/2$ ($k \neq j$). We get

$$\begin{aligned} |(F^* - F)(\tilde{z})| &\leq K(F^* - F)(1 + |\tilde{z}| + \dots + |\tilde{z}|^{g-1}) \\ &< K(F^* - F)(|\alpha_j| + 2)^{g-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$|F(\tilde{z})| = |\tilde{z} - \alpha_j| \prod_{k \neq j} |\tilde{z} - \alpha_k| \geq \varrho \left(\frac{d(F)}{2} \right)^{g-1},$$

and therefore

$$|(F^* - F)(\tilde{z})| < |F(\tilde{z})|.$$

By Rouché's theorem, there exists exactly one $\alpha_j^* \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha_j^* - \alpha_j| < \varrho$ and $F^*(\alpha_j^*) = 0$.

Let

$$B(f) := \left(\frac{d(f)}{2(D(f) + 2)} \right)^{n-1} = \inf_{j=1, \dots, n-1} B_j(f).$$

Define $c_j \in \mathbb{R}$ by $a_j = c_{2j-1} + ic_{2j}$ ($j = 1, \dots, n-1$). Denote by p_j the j th prime; we have $p_j < (2j)^2$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$). Let

$$\varrho \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 0 < \varrho \leq d(f)/4, \quad \varepsilon := B(f)\varrho, \quad v := \left\lceil \frac{4 \log(4n)^2}{\varepsilon} \right\rceil + 1.$$

Then

$$\frac{2}{v} \log p_j < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and there exist $u_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for

$$c_j^* := \frac{2u_j + 1}{v} \log p_j \neq 0$$

we have

$$|c_j^* - c_j| < \varepsilon/2 \quad (j = 1, \dots, 2n-2).$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} a_j^* &:= c_{2j-1}^* + ic_{2j}^* \quad (j = 1, \dots, n-1), \\ f^*(z) &:= z^{n-1} + a_1^* z^{n-2} + \dots + a_{n-1}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Then $K(f^* - f) < \varepsilon$. By Lemma 1, there exist $z_j^* \in \mathbb{C}$ with

$$f^*(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (z - z_j^*), \quad |z_j^* - z_j| < \varrho \quad (j = 1, \dots, n-1),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} |z_j^* - z_k^*| &> d(f) - 2\varrho \quad (0 < j < k < n), \\ d(f)/2 &\leq d(f) - 2\varrho < d(f^*) < d(f) + 2\varrho \leq 3d(f)/2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $h_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $z_n^* := a_1^* - h_0$, $c_{2n-1}^* := 0$, $c_{2n}^* := 0$, $a_n^* := c_{2n-1}^* + ic_{2n}^*$,

$$g(z) := f^*(z)(z - z_n^*);$$

with

$$b_j := a_j^* + a_{j-1}^*(h_0 - a_1^*) \quad (j = 2, \dots, n)$$

we have

$$g(z) - z^n - h_0 z^{n-1} = b_2 z^{n-2} + \dots + b_n;$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{2j-3} &:= -c_{2j-1}^* + c_{2j-3}^*c_1^* - c_{2j-2}^*c_2^*, \\ \beta_{2j-2} &:= -c_{2j}^* + c_{2j-2}^*c_1^* + c_{2j-3}^*c_2^* \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$b_j = (h_0c_{2j-3}^* - \beta_{2j-3}) + i(h_0c_{2j-2}^* - \beta_{2j-2}) \quad (j = 2, \dots, n).$$

Let $w \in \mathbb{R}$, $w \geq 1$; we apply Theorem 1 with $k = 2n - 2$ and obtain $h_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($j = 0, \dots, 2n - 2$) such that for

$$g^*(z) := z^n + h_0z^{n-1} + (h_1 + ih_2)z^{n-2} + \dots + (h_{2n-3} + ih_{2n-2}) \in (\mathbb{Z}[i])[z]$$

we have

$$K(g^* - g) < 2/w.$$

By Corollary 1 with $m = 9$ we can guarantee

$$h_0 \equiv h_1 \equiv \dots \equiv h_{2n-3} \equiv 0 \pmod{9}, \quad h_{2n-2} \equiv 3 \pmod{9}.$$

By the Schönemann–Eisenstein criterion for $3 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, g^* is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} h_0 \geq b := [2n(D(f) + 1)] > 0 &\Rightarrow h_0 > 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (|z_j| + 1) + 1 \geq 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |z_j^*| + 1 \\ &\geq \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} z_j^* \right| + |z_n^*| + 1 = |a_1^*| + |z_n^*| + 1 \\ &\Rightarrow |z_n^* - z_k| > 1 \quad (k = 1, \dots, n - 1); \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$d(g) = d(f^*).$$

Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \sigma \leq d(g)/4$; we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_j(g) &= \left(\frac{d(g)}{2(|z_j^*| + 2)} \right)^{n-1} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n - 1) \\ &> \tilde{B}(f) := \left(\frac{d(f)}{4(D(f) + 3)} \right)^{n-1}; \end{aligned}$$

let

$$w := \frac{2}{\sigma \tilde{B}(f)}.$$

By Lemma 1, there exists $\zeta_j \in \mathbb{C}$ with

$$g^*(\zeta_j) = 0, \quad |\zeta_j - z_j^*| < \sigma \quad (j = 1, \dots, n - 1),$$

hence

$$|\zeta_j - \zeta_k| > d(f^*) - 2\sigma \quad (0 < j < k < n).$$

Let $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \eta \leq d(f)/4$, $\varrho := \eta/2$; then

$$|\zeta_j - z_j| < \eta \quad (j = 1, \dots, n-1),$$

$$|\zeta_j - \zeta_k| > d(f) - 2\varrho - 2\sigma > d(f)/2 \quad (0 < j < k < n)$$

and obviously $\varrho \leq d(f)/8 < d(f)/4$,

$$\sigma := \frac{\eta}{2} \leq \frac{d(f)}{8} < \frac{d(f^*)}{4} = \frac{d(g)}{4}.$$

In c_j^* we certainly have

$$0 < |2u_j + 1| \leq 2v(K(f) + 1) \leq U := 2v(3 + D(f))^{n-1}.$$

In Corollary 1 we have

$$0 < b \leq h_0/9 \leq b + (2Uvw)^{5C''(2n-2)};$$

substitution gives

$$|h_0| < 2(2 \cdot 2v^2(3 + D(f))^{n-1}w)^{5C''};$$

but

$$0 < v < \frac{\log(4n)^2}{B(f)\eta} \cdot 16;$$

so the estimate for $|h_0|$ takes the form

$$|h_0| < (L(n, d(f), D(f))\eta^{-3})^{5C''}$$

where $L > 0$ is increasing in n , $1/d(f)$ and $D(f)$. For

$$S := \sup |a_j|, \quad S' := \sup |a_j^*|, \quad S'' := \sup |b_j|$$

we have

$$S' < S + 1 \quad (\text{since } K(f^* - f) < 1),$$

$$S'' < S' + S'(|h_0| + S') \quad (\text{by definition of } b_j),$$

$$|h_{2j-1} + h_{2j}i| < S'' + 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n-1) \quad (\text{since } K(g^* - g) < 1)$$

and g^* is effectively computable. This completes the proof of

THEOREM 2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n > 1$, $z_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ($j = 1, \dots, n-1$),*

$$d := \inf_{j \neq k} \{1, |z_j - z_k|\} > 0, \quad D := \sup |z_j|, \eta \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < \eta \leq d/4.$$

Then there exists an effectively computable polynomial $g^(z) = z^n + e_1z^{n-1} + \dots + e_n$ with $e_j \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ and with the properties:*

- (i) g^* is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}[i]$,
- (ii) its suitably numbered roots ζ_1, \dots, ζ_n satisfy

$$|\zeta_j - z_j| < \eta \quad (j = 1, \dots, n-1).$$

This is a refinement of a result of Motzkin [2] who has no upper bound for $|\zeta_n|$.

THEOREM 3. *If in Theorem 2 the set $\{z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\}$ is symmetric about $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$, we have $e_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) (and ζ_1, \dots, ζ_n is a complete set of conjugate algebraic integers).*

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &\in \mathbb{R}[z], & a_j^* &= c_{2j-1}^* \quad (j = 1, \dots, n-1), \\ f^*(z) &\in \mathbb{R}[z], & \{z_1^*, \dots, z_{n-1}^*\} &\text{ symmetric about } \mathbb{R}, \\ z_n^* &:= a_1^* - h_0 \in \mathbb{R}, & g(z) &\in \mathbb{R}[z], \quad g^*(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] A. Baker, *Transcendental Number Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1975.
- [2] T. Motzkin, *From among n conjugate algebraic integers, $n-1$ can be approximately given*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 53 (1947), 156–162.
- [3] W. Narkiewicz, *Elementary and Analytic Theory of Algebraic Numbers*, PWN, Warszawa 1974.
- [4] P. Turán, *Nachtrag zu meiner Abhandlung "On some approximative Dirichlet polynomials in the theory of zeta-function of Riemann"*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 10 (1959), 277–298.

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK
UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER
D-3000 HANNOVER, GERMANY

*Received on 21.2.1992
and in revised form on 8.5.1992*

(2231)