

**The Galois module of a twisted  
element in the  $p^m$ -th cyclotomic field**

by

KURT GIRSTMAYER (Innsbruck)

**1. Introduction and results.** For a natural number  $n$  let  $\xi_n \in \mathbb{C}$  denote the primitive  $n$ th root of unity:  $\xi_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}_n = \mathbb{Q}[\xi_n]$  is the  $n$ th cyclotomic field and  $G_n = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q})$  is its Galois group over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The field  $\mathbb{Q}_n$  is a module over the group ring  $\mathbb{Q}[G_n]$  of  $G_n$ , and, by the normal basis theorem, it is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}[G_n]$  itself. In what follows let  $n = p^m$ , where  $p$  is a prime number and  $m$  a nonnegative integer. Let  $q = p^e$ ,  $e \geq 1$ , be another power of  $p$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_n$  we consider

$$x_{nq} = \xi_{nq}x \in \mathbb{Q}_{nq}.$$

We call  $x_{nq}$  the *twisted element* of  $x$ , because it arises from  $x$  by means of the rotation of the plane  $\mathbb{C}$  through  $2\pi/(nq)$ . It is almost obvious that the Galois module  $\mathbb{Q}[G_{nq}]x_{nq}$  is contained in  $\mathbb{Q}[G_{nq}]\xi_{nq}$  (cf. proof of Theorem 1 below). Suppose that  $d$  is the number of divisors of  $p-1$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}[G_{nq}]\xi_{nq}$  is the direct sum of  $d$  simple  $\mathbb{Q}[G_{nq}]$ -submodules if  $p \geq 3$ , and it is the direct sum of two simple submodules for  $p = 2$  and  $nq \geq 4$ . Hence  $\mathbb{Q}[G_{nq}]\xi_{nq}$  has  $2^d$  different submodules for  $p \geq 3$ , and four different submodules for  $p = 2$ ,  $nq \geq 4$ . We consider the case  $q \neq 2$  first. Here  $\mathbb{Q}[G_{nq}]x_{nq}$  is always one of the two *trivial* submodules of  $\mathbb{Q}[G_{nq}]\xi_{nq}$ ; indeed, we show

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $n = p^m$ ,  $q = p^e$ ,  $m \geq 0$ ,  $e \geq 1$ . In addition, if  $p = 2$ , let  $e \geq 2$ . For each element  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_n$ ,  $x \neq 0$ ,*

$$\mathbb{Q}[G_{nq}]x_{nq} = \mathbb{Q}[G_{nq}]\xi_{nq}.$$

For  $q = 2$  the result is different: Let  $M_1, M_2$  be the simple submodules of  $\mathbb{Q}[G_{2n}]\xi_{2n}$ ,  $n = 2^m \geq 4$ . For  $k = 1, 2$ , let

$$V_k = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_n; \mathbb{Q}[G_{2n}]x_{2n} = M_k\} \cup \{0\}.$$

**THEOREM 2.** *With the above notations,  $V_k$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace of  $\mathbb{Q}_n$  of dimension  $\dim V_k = n/4$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ . Moreover,*

$$\mathbb{Q}_n = V_1 \oplus V_2.$$

**2. Proofs.** We adopt the above notations. Most of the representation theory of  $\mathbb{Q}[G_n]$  we use in the sequel can be found in [1], Section 1, and in [4].

Consider the map

$$\mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G_n, \quad k \mapsto \sigma_k,$$

where  $\sigma_k(\xi_n) = \xi_n^k$ . This map is surjective and multiplicative. It is used in order to identify the character group

$$X_n = \{\chi : G_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times; \chi \text{ a group homomorphism}\}$$

of  $G_n$  with the group of Dirichlet characters modulo  $n$ . Indeed, put

$$\chi(k) = \begin{cases} \chi(\sigma_k) & \text{if } p \nmid k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For an element  $\alpha = \sum \{a_\sigma \sigma; \sigma \in G_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[G_n]$  let  $\chi(\alpha) = \sum a_\sigma \chi(\sigma) \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $Y \subseteq X_n$  be a *conjugacy class* of characters, i.e., all characters  $\chi, \chi'$  in  $Y$  generate the same group  $\langle \chi \rangle = \langle \chi' \rangle$ . Then the group ring  $\mathbb{Q}[G_n]$  splits into the simple submodules

$$\mathbb{Q}[G_n]_Y = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[G_n]; \chi(\alpha) \neq 0 \text{ only if } \chi \in Y\},$$

of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -dimension  $\dim \mathbb{Q}[G_n]_Y = |Y|$ .

Next fix  $\chi \in X_n$ . According to [3], [1] there is a map  $y(\chi|-) : \mathbb{Q}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with the following properties:

(i)  $y(\chi|-)$  is  $\chi$ -linear, i.e., for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[G_n]$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_n$ ,  $y(\chi|\alpha x) = \chi(\alpha)y(\chi|x)$ .

(ii) Let  $Y$  be the conjugacy class of  $\chi$ . Then

$$\mathbb{Q}_{n,Y} = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_n; y(\chi'|x) \neq 0 \text{ only if } \chi' \in Y\}$$

is the uniquely determined  $\mathbb{Q}[G_n]$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{Q}_n$  that is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}[G_n]_Y$ .

The map  $y(\chi|-)$  is uniquely determined by  $\chi$  up to factors in  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ ; this means that the maps  $c \cdot y(\chi|-)$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ , are the only ones having properties (i), (ii), too.

Consider the numbers  $y(\chi|\xi_n) \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\chi \in X_n$ . Then  $y(\chi|\xi_n) \neq 0$  iff  $\chi$  is a primitive character modulo  $n$  (cf. [4]). Hence

$$\mathbb{Q}[G_n]\xi_n = \bigoplus \mathbb{Q}_{n,Y},$$

where  $Y$  runs through the conjugacy classes of primitive characters modulo  $n$ . We obtain

LEMMA 1. *Let  $n$  be as above, and let  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_n$ . Then*

(1)  $\mathbb{Q}[G_n]x \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[G_n]\xi_n$  iff  $y(\chi|x) = 0$  for all imprimitive characters  $\chi \pmod n$ ;

$$(2) \mathbb{Q}[G_n]x = \mathbb{Q}[G_n]\xi_n \text{ iff } \{\chi \in X_n; y(\chi|x) \neq 0\} = \{\chi \in X_n; \chi \text{ primitive}\}.$$

For the proof of Theorem 1 we need two additional lemmas.

LEMMA 2. Let  $p$  be a prime,  $n = p^m$ ,  $q = p^e$ ,  $m \geq 0$ ,  $e \geq 1$ . For  $p = 2$  let  $e \geq 2$ .

(1) For each  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  there is a uniquely determined number  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $1 + qk \equiv (1 + q)^j \pmod{nq}$ .

(2) The map  $\{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\} : k \mapsto j$  is bijective.

(3) Let  $k, k' \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and let  $j, j'$  be their images under the above map. Let  $0 \leq l \leq m$ . Then  $k \equiv k' \pmod{p^l}$  iff  $j \equiv j' \pmod{p^l}$ . Furthermore,  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{p^l}$  iff  $j \equiv 0 \pmod{p^l}$ .

The proof of Lemma 2 consists, essentially, in the observation that the subgroups  $\langle \overline{1 + qk} ; k = 1, \dots, n \rangle$  and  $\langle \overline{1 + q} \rangle$  of  $(\mathbb{Z}/nq\mathbb{Z})^\times$  coincide and have order  $n$  (cf. [2], p. 72 ff.). Note, however, that the map  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} : \bar{k} \mapsto \bar{j}$  is not a group homomorphism in general.

LEMMA 3. Let  $p$  be a prime,  $m \geq 2$ , and  $n = p^m$ . Let  $\beta = \sum \{b_j \sigma_j ; j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, p \nmid j\} \in \mathbb{Q}[G_n]$  be such that  $\beta \xi_n = 0$ . Then  $b_j = b_{j'}$  for all  $j, j'$  with  $j \equiv j' \pmod{n/p}$ .

Proof. Put  $M = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[G_n] ; \alpha \xi_n = 0\}$ . According to Lemma 1, the element  $\beta = \sum b_j \sigma_j$  is in  $M$  iff  $\chi(\beta) = 0$  for each primitive character  $\chi \pmod{n}$ . From this we conclude that

$$\dim M = |\{\chi \in X_n ; \chi \text{ is imprimitive}\}| = \varphi(n/p),$$

where  $\varphi$  is Euler's function. Observe now that  $Z^p - \xi_n^p = Z^p - \xi_{n/p}$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\xi_n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_{n/p}$ . This means that the trace

$$T(\xi_n^j) = \sum \{\sigma_{j'} \xi_n ; j' \equiv j \pmod{n/p}\}$$

vanishes for each  $j, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, p \nmid j$ . Hence the elements

$$\alpha_j = \sum \{\sigma_{j'} ; j' \in \{1, \dots, n\}, j' \equiv j \pmod{n/p}\},$$

$j \in \{1, \dots, n/p\}, p \nmid j$ , are in  $M$ . It is obvious that the  $\alpha_j$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent. So, for dimensional reasons, they form a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis of  $M$ . When the element  $\beta \in M$  is expressed in terms of this basis, the assertion follows. ■

Proof of Theorem 1. Let  $n, q$  be as in Theorem 1. Let

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \xi_n^k \in \mathbb{Q}_n.$$

Then  $x_{nq} = \sum \{a_k \xi_{nq}^{1+qk} ; k = 1, \dots, n\}$  is a linear combination of primitive  $nq$ th roots of unity. Therefore  $x_{nq} \in \mathbb{Q}[G_{nq}]\xi_{nq}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}[G_{nq}]x_{nq} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[G_{nq}]\xi_{nq}$ .

Next suppose that  $\chi$  is primitive mod  $nq$ . Since  $y(\chi| -)$  is determined up to factors in  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  only, we may assume that  $y(\chi|\xi_{nq}) = 1$ . Suppose that  $y(\chi|x_{nq}) = 0$ . We show that  $x = 0$ , which proves the theorem, by Lemma 1. We use induction with respect to the exponent  $m$ . Let  $m = 0$ , i.e.,  $n = 1$  and  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $0 = y(\chi|x_q) = y(\chi|x\xi_q) = x \cdot y(\chi|x\xi_q) = x$ .

Now let  $m > 0$ , which means  $p|n$ , and let  $n' = n/p$ . The induction hypothesis is as follows: Let  $q' = p^{e'}$ ,  $e' \geq 1$  ( $e' \geq 2$  for  $p = 2$ ),  $x' \in \mathbb{Q}_{n'}$ , and  $\chi'$  a primitive character mod  $n'q'$ ; if  $y(\chi'|x'_{n'q'}) = 0$  then  $x' = 0$ .

Take  $x$  as above. Then

$$y(\chi|x_{nq}) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi(1 + qk) = 0.$$

For each  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  we put  $b_j = a_k$ , where  $k$  is the uniquely determined number in  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $(1 + q)^j \equiv 1 + qk \pmod{nq}$  (Lemma 2). Observe that  $\eta = \chi(1 + q)$  is a primitive  $n$ th root of unity (use [2], p. 212, and Lemma 2). Now

$$y(\chi|x_{nq}) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \eta^j = 0.$$

Consider the case  $n = p$  first. Because  $1 + Z + \dots + Z^{n-1}$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\eta$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , all the coefficients  $b_j$  are equal. Therefore  $a_1 = \dots = a_n$  and  $x = 0$ . Suppose now that  $n = p^m$ ,  $m \geq 2$ . Put

$$x' = \sum \{a_k \xi_n^k ; k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, p|k\}$$

and  $x'' = x - x'$ . The “trace argument” in the proof of Lemma 3 shows that  $T(\eta^j) = 0$ , for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $p \nmid j$  ( $T$  is the trace of  $\mathbb{Q}_n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_{n'}$ ). Therefore  $y(\chi|x''_{nq}) = 0$ , which implies that  $y(\chi|x'_{nq}) = y(\chi|x_{nq}) - y(\chi|x''_{nq}) = 0$ . However,  $x'_{nq}$  is the same as  $x'_{n'q'}$ , with  $n' = n/p$ ,  $q' = qp$ . The induction hypothesis yields  $x' = 0$ . Let

$$\beta = \sum \{b_j \sigma_j ; j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, p \nmid j\} \in \mathbb{Q}[G_n].$$

Then  $\beta\eta = y(\chi|x''_{nq}) = 0$ . By Lemma 3, the coefficients of  $\beta$  fulfill:  $b_j = b_{j'}$  for all  $j, j' \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $p \nmid j, j'$ ,  $j \equiv j' \pmod{n'}$ . Then  $a_k = a_{k'}$  for all  $k, k' \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $p \nmid k, k'$ ,  $k \equiv k' \pmod{n'}$ . We obtain

$$x'' = \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ p \nmid k}}^{n'} a_k \sum_{\substack{k'=1 \\ k' \equiv k \pmod{n'}}}^n \xi_n^{k'} = \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ p \nmid k}}^{n'} a_k T(\xi_n^k).$$

But the traces in the last sum vanish, whence  $x'' = 0$  and  $x = x' + x'' = 0$  follows. ■

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Let  $n = 2^m$ ,  $m \geq 2$ . There are exactly two conjugacy classes of primitive characters mod  $2n$ , viz. the set of even and

the set of odd primitive characters (cf. [2], p. 212). Choose an arbitrary odd character  $\chi_1$  and an arbitrary even character  $\chi_2$ , both of them primitive. Then

$$M_1 = \{z \in \mathbb{Q}[G_{2n}]\xi_{2n} ; y(\chi_1|z) = 0\} = \mathbb{Q}[G_{2n}](\xi_{2n} + \xi_{2n}^{-1}),$$

$$M_2 = \{z \in \mathbb{Q}[G_{2n}]\xi_{2n} ; y(\chi_2|z) = 0\} = \mathbb{Q}[G_{2n}](\xi_{2n} - \xi_{2n}^{-1}),$$

are the simple submodules of  $\mathbb{Q}[G_{2n}]\xi_{2n}$ . For each  $\sigma \in G_{2n}$ ,  $\chi_k(\sigma)$  is an  $(n/2)$ th root of unity,  $k = 1, 2$ , which shows that the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map

$$g_k : \mathbb{Q}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{n/2}, \quad x \mapsto g_k(x) = y(\chi_k|\xi_{2n}x),$$

is well defined. Let  $V_k$  denote the kernel of  $g_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ . Then

$$V_k = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_n ; \xi_{2n}x \in M_k\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_n ; \mathbb{Q}[G_{2n}]x_{2n} = M_k\} \cup \{0\},$$

since  $M_k$  is simple. Moreover,

$$\dim V_k = \varphi(n) - \dim g_k(\mathbb{Q}_n) \geq \varphi(n) - \varphi(n/2) = n/4, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

But  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \{0\}$ , so  $\dim(V_1 \oplus V_2) \geq n/2 = \dim \mathbb{Q}_n$ . Thus  $V_1 \oplus V_2 = \mathbb{Q}_n$  and  $\dim V_k = n/4$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ . ■

EXAMPLE. Let  $n = 2^m$  and  $m \geq 2$  be as above. Consider the elements

$$x^+ = 1 + \xi_n^{-1}, \quad x^- = 1 - \xi_n^{-1}$$

in  $\mathbb{Q}_n$ . Then  $\xi_{2n}x^+ = \xi_{2n} + \xi_{2n}^{-1}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}[G_{2n}]x_{2n}^+ = M_1$ ,  $\xi_{2n}x^- = \xi_{2n} - \xi_{2n}^{-1}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}[G_{2n}]x_{2n}^- = M_2$ . Furthermore,  $\mathbb{Q}[G_n]x^+ = \mathbb{Q}[G_n]x^- = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}[G_n]\xi_n$ . Hence  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  cannot be  $\mathbb{Q}[G_n]$ -modules. Indeed, if they were,  $\mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}[G_n]\xi_n \subseteq V_1 \cap V_2$  would follow, which is impossible.

REMARK. Clearly the results of this note do not depend on the particular choice  $\xi_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$  of a primitive  $n$ th root of unity. This choice was just made for reasons of convenience, e.g., for the sake of the simple relation  $\xi_{nq}^q = \xi_n$ .

### References

- [1] K. Girstmair, *Character coordinates and annihilators of cyclotomic numbers*, Manuscripta Math. 59 (1987), 375–389.
- [2] H. Hasse, *Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie*, Springer, Berlin 1950.
- [3] H. W. Leopoldt, *Über die Hauptordnung der ganzen Elemente eines abelschen Zahlkörpers*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 201 (1959), 119–149.
- [4] G. Lettl, *The ring of integers of an abelian number field*, ibid. 404 (1990), 162–170.

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK  
UNIVERSITÄT INNSBRUCK  
TECHNIKERSTR. 25/7  
A-6020 INNSBRUCK, ÖSTERREICH

Received on 8.10.1991

(2181)