

Distributions of the values of some arithmetical functions

by

P. ERDÖS (Budapest) and A. SCHINZEL (Warszawa)

§ 1. Y. Wang and A. Schinzel proved, by Brun's method, the following theorem ([3]):

For any given sequence of h non-negative numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_h and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist positive constants $c = c(a, \varepsilon)$ and $x_0 = x_0(a, \varepsilon)$ such that the number of positive integers $n \leq x$ satisfying

$$\left| \frac{\varphi(n+i)}{\varphi(n+i-1)} - a_i \right| < \varepsilon \quad (1 \leq i \leq h)$$

is greater than $c x / \log^{h+1} x$, whenever $x > x_0$.

They also proved the analogous theorem for the function σ .

Shao Pin Tsung, also using Brun's method, extended this result to all multiplicative positive functions $f_s(n)$ satisfying the following conditions ([4]):

I. For any positive integer l and prime number p :

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (f_s(p^l) / p^{ls}) = 1 \quad (p \text{ denotes primes}).$$

II. There exists an interval $\langle a, b \rangle$, $a = 0$ or $b = \infty$, such that for any integer $M > 0$ the set of numbers $f_s(N) / N^s$, where $(N, M) = 1$, is dense in $\langle a, b \rangle$.

(This formulation is not the same but equivalent to the original one.)

In this paper we shall show without using Brun's method that if we replace the condition I by the condition

$$\sum \frac{(f_s(p) - p^s)^2}{p^{2s+1}} < \infty$$

(but preserving condition II) then there exist more than $C(a, \varepsilon)x$ posi-

tive integers $n \leq x$ for which

$$\left| \frac{f_s(n+i)}{f_s(n+i-1)} - a_i \right| < \varepsilon \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, h).$$

This theorem follows easily from the following stronger theorem.

THEOREM 1. Let $f(n)$ be an additive function, satisfying the following conditions

1. $\sum_p (\|f(p)\|^2/p)$ is convergent, where $\|f\|$ denotes $f(p)$ for $|f(p)| \leq 1$ and 1 for $|f(p)| > 1$.

2. There exists a number c_1 such that, for any integer $M > 0$, the set of numbers $f(N)$, where $(N, M) = 1$ is dense in (c_1, ∞) .

Then, for any given sequence of h real numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_h and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist more than $C(a, \varepsilon)x$ positive integers $n \leq x$ for which

$$(1) \quad |f(n+i) - f(n+i-1) - a_i| < \varepsilon \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, h);$$

$C(a, \varepsilon)$ is a positive constant, depending on ε and a_i .

LEMMA. There exists an absolute constant c such that the number of the integers of the form $pq > x$ for which one can find $n \leq x$ satisfying $n \equiv b \pmod{a}$, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $n+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ is for $x > x_0(a)$ less than cx/a .

Proof. Let c_1, c_2, \dots denote absolute constants. Assume $p > x^{1/2}$ ($q > x^{1/2}$ can be dealt similarly). Denote by $A_l(x)$ the number of integers of the form pq satisfying

$$pq > x, \quad x^{1-1/2^l} \leq p < x^{1-1/2^{l+1}}, \quad n \equiv b \pmod{a}, \quad p|n, \quad q|n+1, \\ \text{for some } n, \quad 1 \leq n \leq y,$$

and by $A'_l(x)$ the number of integers pq for which

$$x^{1-1/2^l} \leq p < x^{1-1/2^{l+1}}, \quad q > x^{1/2^{l+1}}, \quad n \equiv b \pmod{a}, \quad p|n, \quad q|n+1, \\ \text{for some } n, \quad 1 \leq n \leq x.$$

Clearly $A'_l(x) \geq A_l(x)$ and it will suffice to prove that for $x > x_0(a)$,

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} A'_l(x) < cx/a.$$

Define positive integer l_x by the inequality

$$2^{l_x} \geq \frac{1}{a} \log x > 2^{l_x-1}.$$

The number k of integers n satisfying

$$(2) \quad n \leq x, \quad n \equiv b \pmod{a}, \quad n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad x^{1-1/2^l} < p < x^{1-1/2^{l+1}}$$

for an $l \geq l_x$ does not exceed $\sum_{x \geq p > x^{1-2^{-l}}} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{x}{pa} \right\rfloor + 1 \right)$, thus by theorems of

Mertens and Chebyshev

$$k < \frac{c_1 x}{a 2^{l_x}} + \frac{c_2 x}{\log x}$$

and by the definition of l_x

$$k < \frac{c_3 x}{\log x}.$$

Denote the numbers satisfying (2) for an $l \geq l_x$ by $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k \leq x$. Since for all $y \leq x$, $\nu(y) < c_4 \log y / \log \log y$ (from the prime number theorem or from more elementary results), we have

$$(3) \quad \sum_{l \geq l_x} A'_l(x) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \nu(a_i) < \frac{c_3 x}{\log x} \cdot \frac{c_4 \log x}{\log \log x} < \frac{c_5 x}{a}$$

for $x > x_1(a)$.

For $l < l_x$ denote numbers satisfying (2) by $a_1^{(l)} < a_2^{(l)} < \dots < a_{k_l}^{(l)}$. Similarly as for k we have for k_l the inequality

$$k_l < \frac{c_6 x}{a 2^{l+1}} + \frac{c_5 x}{\log x}$$

hence by $l < l_x$

$$(4) \quad k_l < \frac{c_7 x}{a \cdot 2^l}.$$

We shall prove that for $l < l_x$ and sufficiently large x

$$(5) \quad A'_l(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_l} \nu_l(a_i^{(l)} + 1) < \frac{c_8 x}{a \cdot l^2}$$

where $\nu_l(m)$ denotes the number of prime factors $> x^{1/2^{l+1}}$ of m .

For this purpose, we split the summands of the sum (5) into two classes. In the first class are the integers $a_i^{(l)}$ for which $\nu_l(a_i^{(l)} + 1) \leq 2^l/l^2$. From (4) it follows that the contribution of these integers $a_i^{(l)}$ to (5) is less than $c_7 x/a l^2$. The integer in the second class satisfy $\nu_l(a_i^{(l)} + 1) > 2^l/l^2$. Thus these integers are divisible by more than $2^l/l^2$ primes $q > x^{1/2^{l+1}}$. Thus the number of integers of the second class is less than

$$\frac{x \left(\sum_{x^{1/2^{l+1}} < p \leq x} \frac{1}{q} \right)^{[2^l/l^2]}}{[2^l/l^2]!} + \left[\frac{2^l}{l^2} \right]! < \frac{x (c_9 l)^{[2^l/l^2]}}{a [2^l/l^2]!} + \left[\frac{2^l x}{l^2} \right]! \\ < \frac{x}{a \cdot 5^l} + \left[\frac{2 \log x}{a \log \log x} \right]! < \frac{x}{a \cdot 4^l}$$



for $l > c_{10}$, $x > x_2(a)$. By definition, $v_l(a_l^{(l)} + 1) < 2^{l+1}$. Thus, for $l > c_{10}$, the contribution of the numbers of the second class to (5) is $< x/a \cdot 2^{l-1}$; for $l \leq c_{10}$ the contribution is clearly $< 2^{c_{10}+1}x$. Thus, for $l < l_x$, $x > x_2(a)$,

$$A'_i(x) < c_8 x / a l^2$$

and in view of (3) we have for $x > x_0(a)$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A'_i(x) < \frac{c_5 x}{a} + \sum_{i < l_x} \frac{c_8 x}{a l^2} < \frac{c \omega}{a}$$

which proves the Lemma.

Proof of the theorem. Let ε be a positive number and let a sequence a_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, h$) be given.

By condition 2 we can find positive integers N_0, N_1, \dots, N_h such that

$$(6) \quad (N_i, (h+1)!) = 1 \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, h), \quad (N_i, N_j) = 1 \quad (0 \leq i < j \leq h),$$

$$f(N_0) > c_1 + \max_{1 \leq i \leq h} \left\{ f(i+1) - \sum_{j=1}^i a_j \right\}$$

and

$$\left| f(N_i) - \left\{ f(N_0) - f(i+1) + \sum_{j=1}^i a_j \right\} \right| < \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon \quad (1 \leq i \leq h);$$

hence

$$(7) \quad \left| f((i+1)N_i) - f(iN_{i-1}) - a_i \right| < \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \quad (1 \leq i \leq h).$$

Let k_1 be the greatest prime factor of $N_0 N_1 \dots N_h$. Put $\mu = \varepsilon / \sqrt{96hc}$ (c is the constant of the Lemma). By condition 1, $\sum_{\substack{p > k_2 \\ |f(p)| \geq \mu}} (1/p)$ is convergent. Since $\sum_p (1/p^2)$ is also convergent, there exists a k_2 such that

$$(8) \quad \sum_{\substack{p > k_2 \\ |f(p)| \geq \mu}} \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{p > k_2} \frac{1}{p^2} < \frac{1}{3(h+1)}.$$

Finally by condition 1 there exists a k_3 such that

$$(9) \quad \sum_{\substack{p > k_3 \\ |f(p)| < \mu}} \frac{f(p)^2}{p} < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{48h}.$$

Let us put

$$k = \max(k_1, k_2, k_3), \quad N = N_1 N_2 \dots N_h, \quad P = \prod_{\substack{p \leq k \\ p \nmid N}} p, \quad Q = (h+1)! N^2 P$$

and let us consider the following system of congruences

$$n \equiv 1 \pmod{(h+1)!P}, \quad n \equiv -i + N_i \pmod{N_i^2}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq h.$$

By (6) and the Chinese Remainder Theorem there exists a number n_0 satisfying these congruences.

It is easy to see that

(10) for every integer t the numbers $(Qt + n_0 + i) / (i+1)N_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, h$) are integers which are not divisible by any prime $\leq k$;

(11) the number of terms not exceeding x of the arithmetical progression $Qt + n_0$ is $x/Q + O(1)$.

In order to prove Theorem 1 we shall estimate the number of integers n of the progression $Qt + n_0$ which satisfy the inequalities

$$(12) \quad n \leq x, \quad \sum_{i=1}^h \left\{ f(n+i) - f(n+i-1) - f((i+1)N_i) + f(iN_{i-1}) \right\}^2 > \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^2.$$

We divide the set of integers $n \equiv n_0 \pmod{Q}$ for which the inequalities (12) hold into two classes. Integers n such that $n(n+1)\dots(n+h)$ is divisible by a prime $p > k$ with $|f(p)| \geq \mu$, or by p^2 , $p > k$, are in the first class and all other integers are in the second class.

(13) The number of integers $n \leq x$, $n \equiv r \pmod{Q}$ which are divisible by a given integer $d > 0$ is equal to $x/dQ + O(1)$ for $(d, Q) = 1$,

hence the number of integers $n \leq x$, $n \equiv n_0 \pmod{Q}$ of the first class is less than

$$(h+1) \frac{x}{Q} \left(\sum_{\substack{p > k \\ |f(p)| \geq \mu}} \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{p > k} \frac{1}{p^2} \right) + O \left(\sum_{p \leq x+h} 1 + \sum_{p^2 \leq x+h} 1 \right).$$

By the inequality (8) and the definition of k this number is less than $\frac{1}{3} x/Q + o(x)$.

For the integers of the second class, by remark (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_n'' \sum_{i=1}^h \left\{ f(n+i) - f(n+i-1) - f((i+1)N_i) + f(iN_{i-1}) \right\}^2 \\ &= S = \sum_n'' \sum_{i=1}^h \left\{ \sum_{\substack{p|n+i \\ p > k}} f(p) - \sum_{\substack{p|n+i-1 \\ p > k}} f(p) \right\}^2, \end{aligned}$$



where \sum'' means that the summation runs through the integers of the second class. In view of remark (13), since $(Q, p) = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &\leq \sum_{\substack{n=n_0 \pmod{Q} \\ n \leq x}} \sum_{i=1}^h \left\{ \sum_{\substack{p>k, |f(p)|<\mu \\ p|n+i}} f(p) - \sum_{\substack{p>k, |f(p)|<\mu \\ p|n+i-1}} f(p) \right\}^2 \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{x+h > p > k \\ |f(p)| < \mu}} f^2(p) \left(\frac{2hx}{Qp} + O(1) \right) + \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\substack{n=n_0 \pmod{Q} \\ n \leq x}} \sum_{i=1}^h \left\{ 2 \sum_{\substack{pq|n+i, q > p > k \\ |f(p)| < \mu, |f(q)| < \mu}} f(p)f(q) + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{\substack{pq|n+i-1, q > p > k \\ |f(p)| < \mu, |f(q)| < \mu}} f(p)f(q) - 2 \sum_{\substack{pq|n+i, q|n+i-1, q > k \\ p > k, |f(p)| < \mu, |f(q)| < \mu}} f(p)f(q) \right\} \\
 &\leq \frac{2hx}{Q} \sum_{\substack{p > k, |f(p)| < \mu}} \frac{f^2(p)}{p} + \sum_{\substack{n=n_0 \pmod{Q} \\ n \leq x}} \sum_{i=1}^h 2 \sum_{\substack{pq \geq x, p > k, q > k \\ |f(p)| < \mu, |f(q)| < \mu}} |f(p)f(q)| + \\
 &\quad + O \left(\sum_{\substack{p \leq x+h \\ |f(p)| < \mu}} f^2(p) + \sum_{\substack{p > q > k, pq \leq x+h \\ |f(p)| < \mu, |f(q)| < \mu}} |f(p)f(q)| \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus finally from (9), Lemma, the equality $\mu^2 = \varepsilon^2/96hc$ and from the fact that the number of integers of the form pq not exceeding $x+h$ is $o(x)$, we get

$$S < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{12} \cdot \frac{x}{Q} + o(x).$$

Thus the number of integers of the second class is less than $\frac{1}{3}x/Q + o(x)$.

Hence there exist less than $\frac{2}{3}x/Q + o(x)$ positive integers $n \leq x$, $n \equiv n_0 \pmod{Q}$ for which

$$\sum_{i=1}^h \left(f(n+i) - f(n+i-1) - f((i+1)N_i) + f(iN_{i-1}) \right)^2 > \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^2.$$

Therefore by (11) there exist more than $\frac{1}{3}x/Q + o(x)$ positive integers $n \leq x$, for which

$$\sum_{i=1}^h \left(f(n+i) - f(n+i-1) - f((i+1)N_i) + f(iN_{i-1}) \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^2$$

and then

$$|f(n+i) - f(n+i-1) - f((i+1)N_i) + f(iN_{i-1})| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, h).$$

In view of (7), the proof is complete.

THEOREM 2. Let $f(n)$ be an additive function satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1 and such that partial sums of $\sum(|f(p)|/p)$ are bounded:

$$(14) \quad A > |S_k|, \quad S_k = \sum_{p \leq k} \frac{|f(p)|}{p}.$$

Then for any given natural number h there exists a number c_h such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and every sequence of h numbers: $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_h \geq c_h$, there exist more than $C(a, \varepsilon)x$ positive integers $n \leq x$, for which

$$(15) \quad |f(n+i) - a_i| < \varepsilon \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, h).$$

$C(a, \varepsilon)$ is a positive constant, depending on ε and a_i .

Proof. Let ε be a positive number, $c_h = c_1 + \max f(i)$ and let a sequence $a_i \geq c_h$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, h$) be given.

By condition 2 we can find positive integers N_1, N_2, \dots, N_h such that

$$(16) \quad (N_i, h!) = 1 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, h), \quad (N_i, N_j) = 1 \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq h)$$

and

$$(17) \quad |f(N_i) - a_i + f(i)| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, h).$$

Let k_1 be the greatest prime factor of $N_1 N_2 \dots N_h$. Let C be an absolute constant such that

$$\sum_{y \leq p < z} \frac{1}{p} < C \log \frac{\log z}{\log y} \quad \text{for all } z > y > 1.$$

Put $\mu = \varepsilon/7C\sqrt{h}$. By condition 1, $\sum_{|f(p)| \geq \mu} (1/p)$ is convergent. Since $\sum (1/p^2)$ is also convergent, there exists a k_2 such that

$$(18) \quad \sum_{|f(p)| \geq \mu, p > k_2} \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{p > k_2} \frac{1}{p^2} < \frac{1}{3h}.$$

By condition 1 there exists also a k_3 such that

$$(19) \quad \sum_{p > k_3, |f(p)| < \mu} \frac{f(p)^2}{p} < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{24h}.$$

Put $\eta = \varepsilon/\sqrt{96h}$, $B = A + 1/3h$ and denote by I , the interval

$$[v\eta - \frac{1}{2}\eta, v\eta + \frac{1}{2}\eta], \quad v = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm[B/\eta + 1]$$

and let k_p be the least integer $k > \max(k_1, k_2, k_3)$ such that $\sum_{p \leq k, |f(p)|k_p} (f(p)/p) \in I_p$ if such integers k exist, otherwise let $k_p = 1$.

Now if $\sum_{p \leq x+h, |f(p)| < \mu} (f(p)/p) \in I_{v_x}$ —by the condition (14) and by (18) such v_x certainly exists—we put $k_{v_x} = k$ and then we get

$$(20) \quad \left| \sum_{\substack{x+h > p > k \\ |f(p)| < \mu}} \frac{f(p)}{p} \right| < \eta, \quad k \leq \max_{p \leq [2/\eta] + 1} k_p = \bar{k}.$$

Let \sum' denote that the summation runs through all primes p, q satisfying conditions $p > q > k, pq \leq x+h, |f(p)| < \mu, |f(q)| < \mu$. From (20) we get

$$(21) \quad 2 \sum' \frac{f(p)f(q)}{pq} \leq \left(\sum_{\substack{x+h > p+k \\ |f(p)| < \mu}} \frac{f(p)}{p} \right)^2 + \sum_{x+h > p > \sqrt{x+h}} \frac{\mu}{p} \sum_{\substack{x+h > q > \frac{x+h}{p}}} \frac{\mu}{q} \\ \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{96h} + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \sum_{(x+h+1)^{1-1/2^l} > p \geq (x+h+1)^{1-1/2^{l-1}}} \frac{\mu}{p} \sum_{\substack{x+h > q > \frac{x+h}{p}}} \frac{\mu}{q} \\ \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{96h} + \mu^2 C^2 \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{l}{2^l} = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{96h} + \mu^2 C^2 \frac{3}{2} < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{24h}.$$

Let us put $N = N_1 N_2 \dots N_h, \quad P = \prod_{p \leq k, p \nmid N} p,$

$$(22) \quad Q = h! N^2 P \leq h! N^2 \prod_{p \leq k, p \nmid N} p = \bar{Q}$$

and let us consider the following system of congruences:

$$n \equiv 0 \pmod{h!P}, \quad n \equiv -i + N_i \pmod{N_i^2}.$$

By (16) and the Chinese Remainder Theorem there exists a number n_0 satisfying these congruences.

It is easy to see, that

$$(23) \text{ for every integer } i \text{ the numbers } \frac{Qt + n_0 + i}{iN_i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, h) \text{ are integers, which are not divisible by any prime } \leq k.$$

Analogously, as in the proof of Theorem 1, we shall estimate the number of integers n of the progression $Qt + n_0$, which satisfy the inequalities

$$(24) \quad n \leq x, \quad \sum_{i=1}^h (f(n+i) - f(iN_i))^2 > \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^2.$$

We divide the set of integers $n \equiv n_0 \pmod{Q}$, for which the inequalities (24) hold, into two classes. Integers n such that $(n+1)(n+2) \dots (n+h)$ is divisible by a prime $p > k$ with $|f(p)| \geq \mu$ or by $p^2, p > k$, are in the first class and all others integers are in the second class.

By remark (13) the number of integers $n \leq x, n \equiv n_0 \pmod{Q}$ of the first class is less than

$$h \frac{x}{Q} \left(\sum_{p > k, |f(p)| \geq \mu} \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{p > k} \frac{1}{p^2} \right) + O \left(\sum_{p \leq x+h} 1 + \sum_{p^2 \leq x+h} 1 \right).$$

By the inequality (18) and the definition of k this number is less than $\frac{1}{3} x/Q + o(x)$.

For the integers of the second class, by remark (23), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^h (f(n+i) - f(iN_i))^2 = \sum_{i=1}^h \left(\sum_{p|n+i, p > k} f(p) \right)^2$$

and

$$\sum_n'' \sum_{i=1}^h (f(n+i) - f(iN_i))^2 = \sum_n'' \sum_{i=1}^h \left(\sum_{p|n+i, p > k} f(p) \right)^2,$$

where \sum_n'' means that the summation runs through the integers of the second class. In view of remark (13), we have

$$\sum_n'' \sum_{i=1}^h (f(n+i) - f(iN_i))^2 \leq \sum_{\substack{n \equiv n_0 \pmod{Q} \\ n \leq x}} \sum_{i=1}^h \left(\sum_{p|n+i, p > k} f(p) \right)^2 \\ = \sum_{\substack{x+h > p > k \\ |f(p)| < \mu}} f^2(p) \left(\frac{hx}{Qp} + O(1) \right) + 2 \sum' f(p)f(q) \left(\frac{hx}{Qpq} + O(1) \right) \\ \leq \frac{hx}{Q} \left(\sum_{p > k, |f(p)| < \mu} \frac{f^2(p)}{p} + 2 \sum' \frac{f(p)f(q)}{pq} \right) + O \left(\sum_{\substack{p \leq x+h \\ |f(p)| < \mu}} f^2(p) + \sum' |f(p)f(q)| \right).$$

Thus, finally from (19), (21) and from the fact that the number of integers of the form pq not exceeding $x+h$ is $o(x)$ we get

$$\sum_n'' \sum_{i=1}^h (f(n+i) - f(iN_i))^2 < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{12} \cdot \frac{x}{Q} + o(x).$$

Thus the number of integers of the second class is less than $\frac{1}{3}x/Q + o(x)$.

Hence, there exist less than $\frac{2}{3}x/Q + o(x)$ positive integers $n \leq x$, $n \equiv n_0 \pmod{Q}$ for which

$$\sum_{i=1}^h (f(bn+i) - f(iN_i))^2 > \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^2.$$

By (11) and (22) there exist, therefore, more than $\frac{1}{3}x/\bar{Q} + o(x)$ positive integers $n \leq x$, for which

$$\sum_{i=1}^h (f(n+i) - f(iN_i))^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^2,$$

and then

$$|f(n+i) - f(iN_i)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, h).$$

In view of (16) and (17), this completes the proof.

Theorem 2 is best possible. Assume only that there exists an a and a $c > 0$ so that the number of integers $n \leq x$ satisfying $|f(n)| < a$ is greater than cx .

Then $\sum \frac{\|f(p)\|^2}{p}$ converges and $\sum \frac{\|f(p)\|}{p}$ has bounded partial sums.

In the paper [2], P. Erdős proved⁽¹⁾ the following theorem:

If there exist two constants c_1 and c_2 and an infinite sequence $x_k \rightarrow \infty$ so that for every x_k there are at least $c_1 x_k$ integers:

$$1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_l \leq x_k, \quad l \geq c_1 x_k,$$

for which

$$|f(a_i) - f(a_j)| < c_2, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq l,$$

then

$$f(n) = a \log n + g(n), \quad \text{where} \quad \sum \frac{\|g(p)\|^2}{p} < \infty.$$

In our case the conditions of this theorem are clearly satisfied and, in fact, we clearly must have $a = 0$. This implies that

$$\sum \frac{\|f(p)\|^2}{p} < \infty.$$

⁽¹⁾ The proof of Lemma 8 [2] is not clear and on p. 15 needs more details similar to these given above.

Assume now that $\sum (\|f(p)\|/p)$ does not have bounded partial sums. Let e.g. $\sum_{p \leq x} (\|f(p)\|/p) = A$, A large. Then by the method of Turán ([5], cf. also [2]) we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^x (f(n) - A)^2 < c_3 x$$

which implies that $|f(n) - A| < A - a$ for all but ηx integers $n \leq x$, where $\eta = c_3/(A - a)^2$. For sufficiently large A , it contradicts the assumption that $|f(n)| < a$ has cx solutions $n \leq x$, thus the proof is complete.

In Theorem 1 one can replace $\sum (\|f(p)\|^2/p) < \infty$ by: there is an a so that if we put $f(n) - a \log n = g(n)$ then $\sum (\|g(p)\|^2/p) < \infty$. We think that here we again have a necessary and sufficient condition, but we cannot prove this. In fact, we conjecture that if there exist an a and an $c > 0$ such that the number of integers $n \leq x$ satisfying $|f(n+1) - f(n)| < a$ is $> cx$, then

$$f(n) = a \log n + g(n) \quad \text{with} \quad \sum \frac{\|g(p)\|^2}{p} < \infty.$$

§ 2. The proof of Theorem 2 is very similar to the proof of Lemma 1 of P. Erdős' paper [1]. Using ideas and results from that paper we can prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. Let $f(n)$ be an additive function satisfying condition 1 of Theorem 1 and let $\sum_{i(p) \neq 0} (1/p)$ be divergent, $\sum (\|f(p)\|/p)$ convergent, then the distribution function of h -tuples $\{f(m+1), f(m+2), \dots, f(m+h)\}$ exists, and it is a continuous function.

Proof. We denote by $N(f; c_1, c_2, \dots, c_h)$ the number of positive integers m not exceeding n , for which

$$f(m+i) \geq c_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, h,$$

where c_i are given constants.

It is sufficient to consider, as in [1], the special case in which, for any a , $f(p^a) = f(p)$, so that

$$f(m) = \sum_{p|m} f(p).$$

Let us also consider the function $f_k(m) = \sum_{p|m, p \leq k} f(p)$. We are going to show that the sequence $N(f_k; c_1, c_2, \dots, c_h)/n$ is convergent. For, if we denote by $A_{i,j}$ ($j \leq j_0, i$) the squarefree integers whose prime factors are not greater than k , and for which $f_k(A_{i,j}) \geq c_i$, we can see that the integers m for which

$$f_k(m+i) \geq c_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, h)$$

are distributed periodically with the period $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq h \\ 1 \leq j \leq k_0, i}} A_{i,j}$. Hence $N(f_k; c_1, c_2, \dots, c_h)/n$ has a limit.

To prove the existence of a limit of $N(f; c_1, c_2, \dots, c_h)/n$ it is sufficient to show that for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists k_0 such that for every $k > k_0$ and $n > n(\varepsilon)$

$$|N(f; c_1, c_2, \dots, c_h) - N(f_k; c_1, c_2, \dots, c_h)|/n < \varepsilon.$$

To show this, it is enough to prove that the number of integers $m \leq n$ for which there exists $i \leq h$ such that $f_k(m+i) < c_i$ and $f(m+i) \geq c_i$ or $f_k(m+i) \geq c_i$ and $f(m+i) < c_i$ is less than εhn . But it is an immediate consequence of the analogous theorem for $h = 1$ proved in [1], p. 123.

In order to prove that the distribution function is continuous we must show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$, such that

$$A = N(f; c_1 - \delta, c_2 - \delta, \dots, c_h - \delta) - N(f; c_1 + \delta, c_2 + \delta, \dots, c_h + \delta) < \varepsilon.$$

Now

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^h \{N(f; c_1 + \delta, \dots, c_{i-1} + \delta, c_i - \delta, \dots, c_h - \delta) - N(f; c_1 + \delta, \dots, c_i + \delta, c_{i+1} - \delta, \dots, c_h - \delta)\}$$

and by Lemma 2 of [1] each term of this sum is less than ε/h for suitably chosen δ . This completes the proof.

We conclude from Theorems 2 and 3 that if an additive function f satisfies conditions 1, 2, $\sum_{f(p) \neq 0} (1/p)$ is divergent and $\sum \{ \|f(p)\|/p \}$ convergent, then the distribution function of $\{f(m+1), \dots, f(m+h)\}$ exists, is continuous and strictly decreasing on some half straight-line, thus the sequence of integers n for which inequality (15) holds has a positive density. Similarly we can prove the following:

THEOREM 4. Assume that $\sum_{f(p) \neq 0} \frac{1}{p} = \infty$ and that $\sum \frac{\|f(p)\|^2}{p} < \infty$ then $\{f(n+1) - f(n), f(n+2) - f(n+1), \dots, f(n+k) - f(n+k-1)\}$ has a continuous distribution function.

It is easy to see that condition 2 can be replaced by the conditions

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} f(p) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_p |f(p)| = \infty.$$

§ 3. Y. Wang proved in [6] that the number N of primes $p < x$ satisfying

$$\left| \frac{\varphi(p+\nu+1)}{\varphi(p+\nu)} - a_\nu \right| < \varepsilon, \quad 1 \leq \nu \leq k$$

is greater than

$$c(a, \varepsilon) \frac{x}{(\log x)^{k+2} \log \log x}.$$

By our methods we can obtain in that case

$$N > c_1(a, \varepsilon) \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

After having passed to the additive function $\log(\varphi(n)/n)$ the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1. We use the fact, that $\log(\varphi(n)/n)$ is always negative, and apply the asymptotic formula for the number of primes in arithmetical progression instead of (11) and the Brun-Titchmarsh theorem instead of (13).

We can also prove that there exists distribution function $N(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ defined as

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} N(p < x; \frac{\varphi(p+\nu)}{p+\nu} \geq c_\nu, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

References

- [1] P. Erdős, *On the density of some sequences of numbers, III*, J. London Math. Soc. 13 (1938), pp. 119-127.
- [2] P. Erdős, *On the distribution function of additive functions*, Ann. of Math. 47 (1946), pp. 4-20.
- [3] A. Schinzel and Y. Wang, *A Note on some properties of the functions $\varphi(n)$, $\sigma(n)$ and $\theta(n)$* , Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Cl. III 4 (1956), 207-209 and Ann. Pol. Math. 4 (1958), pp. 201-213.
- [4] Shao Pin Tsung, *On the distribution of the values of a class of arithmetical functions*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Cl. III 4 (1956), pp. 569-572.
- [5] P. Turán, *On a theorem of Hardy and Ramanujan*, J. London Math. Soc. 9 (1934), pp. 274-276.
- [6] Y. Wang, *A note on some properties of the arithmetical functions $\varphi(n)$, $\sigma(n)$ and $d(n)$* , Acta Math. Sinica, 8 (1953), pp. 1-11.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 1. 10. 1960