

**On some complex explicit formulae
connected with the Möbius function. I**

by

K. M. BARTZ (Poznań)

1. Let $M(x)$ denote the summatory function of the Möbius μ -function,

$$M(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n).$$

Then $M(x)$ is the difference between the number of squarefree positive integers $n \leq x$ with an even number of prime factors and of those with an odd number of prime factors.

An explicit formula which expresses $M(x)$ in terms of zeros of the Riemann zeta function under the assumption of the Riemann hypothesis (RH) is well known (see e.g. [4], p. 318). Assuming the RH and the simplicity of complex zeros ρ of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$, Titchmarsh showed that there is a sequence τ_n , $n \leq \tau_n \leq n+1$ such that, denoting

$$M_0(x) = (M(x+0) + M(x-0))/2,$$

we have

$$(1.1) \quad M_0(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{\rho \\ |\operatorname{Im} \rho| < \tau_n}} \frac{x^\rho}{\rho \zeta'(\rho)} - 2 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (2\pi/x)^{2n}}{(2n)! n \zeta(2n+1)}.$$

Following some ideas of J. Kaczorowski's paper (see [2]) we will investigate expressions similar to the series over Riemann zeta zeros ρ in (1.1), considering this series as a function of a complex variable x . More precisely, we will describe the analytic character of some functions $m(z)$ and $\mathcal{M}(z)$ defined in the case where there are no multiple zeros ρ of the Riemann zeta function, for $\operatorname{Im} z > 0$ as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad m(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{\rho \\ 0 < \operatorname{Im} \rho < T_n}} \frac{e^{\rho z}}{\zeta'(\rho)}$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{M}(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{0 < \text{Im } \rho < T_n} \frac{e^{\rho z}}{\rho \zeta'(\rho)}$$

where the summation is over all non-trivial zeros ρ of $\zeta(s)$. The sequence T_n yields a certain grouping of the zeros.

If $\zeta(s)$ has a multiple zero at $s = \rho$, the corresponding term in (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) must be replaced by an appropriate residue. In the following we will consider this general case.

First we show that $m(z)$ is a holomorphic function for $\text{Im } z > 0$. Next we continue $m(z)$ analytically to a meromorphic function on the whole complex plane, which satisfies a certain functional equation. The functional equation for $m(z)$ connects the values of the function m at the points z and \bar{z} . Hence from the behaviour of $m(z)$ in the half-plane $\text{Im } z > 0$ it permits one to deduce its behaviour for $\text{Im } z < 0$. Finally, we describe all singularities of $m(z)$.

As an application of analytic properties of the m -function, in the next note we will obtain the classical formula (1.1) without any hypothesis.

In 1985 A. Odlyzko and H. te Riele in their joint paper [3] showed that $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |M(x)| x^{-1/2} > 1.06$, which yields a disproof of the Mertens conjecture. What is generally expected is that the true value of this limes superior is ∞ . The method we use in this paper may be used to improve on the 1.06 constant.

2. For any complex number $z = x + iy$ from the upper half-plane $H = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z > 0\}$ let us consider the integral

$$\int \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} ds$$

taken round the rectangle $(-1/2, 3/2, 3/2 + iT_n, -1/2 + iT_n)$ where the $T_n (n \leq T_n \leq n + 1)$ are chosen so that

$$(2.1) \quad \left| \frac{1}{\zeta(\sigma + iT_n)} \right| < T_n^{c_1}$$

for $-1 \leq \sigma \leq 2$ and c_1 is a numerical constant (see [4], Th. 9.7). Then the integral along the upper side of the contour tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and by Cauchy's theorem of residues

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{-1/2+i\infty}^{-1/2} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} ds + \int_{-1/2}^{3/2} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} ds + \int_{3/2}^{3/2+i\infty} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} ds = 2\pi i m(z)$$

where for $\text{Im } z > 0$

$$(2.3) \quad m(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{0 < \text{Im } \rho < T_n} \frac{1}{(k_\rho - 1)!} \frac{d^{k_\rho - 1}}{ds^{k_\rho - 1}} \left[(s - \rho)^{k_\rho} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} \right]_{s=\rho}$$

and k_ρ denotes the order of multiplicity of the non-trivial zero ρ of the zeta function. If there are no multiple zeros of the zeta function then

$$(2.4) \quad m(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{\rho \\ 0 < \text{Im } \rho < T_n}} \frac{e^{\rho z}}{\zeta'(\rho)}.^{(1)}$$

The analytic character of the m -function is described by the following theorems:

THEOREM 1. *The function $m(z)$ is holomorphic on the upper half-plane H and for $z \in H$ we have*

$$(2.5) \quad 2\pi i m(z) = m_1(z) + m_2(z) - e^{3z/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^{3/2}(z - \log n)}$$

where the last term on the right is a meromorphic function on the whole complex plane with poles at $z = \log n$ if n is a product of different primes or n is equal to 1,

$$(2.6) \quad m_1(z) = \int_{-1/2 + i\infty}^{-1/2} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} ds$$

is analytic on H and

$$(2.7) \quad m_2(z) = \int_{-1/2}^{3/2} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} ds$$

is regular on the whole complex plane.

THEOREM 2. *The function $m(z)$ can be continued analytically to a meromorphic function on the whole complex plane which satisfies the functional equation*

$$(2.8) \quad m(z) + \overline{m(\bar{z})} = -2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n} e^{-z}\right)$$

where the function on the right-hand side has the period πi and is an entire function of order 1 and type 2π as a function of the variable $z_1 = e^{-z}$.

More explicitly, we have for $\text{Im } z > 0$

$$m(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1/2 + i\infty}^{-1/2} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} ds + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1/2}^{3/2} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} ds - \frac{e^{3z/2}}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^{3/2}(z - \log n)},$$

for $\text{Im } z < 0$

$$m(z) = -\overline{m(\bar{z})} - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n} e^{-z}\right)$$

and for $|\text{Im } z| < \pi$

⁽¹⁾ Let us remark that this definition does not depend on the particular form of the sequence (T_n) satisfying (2.1). (We make use of this comment in part II.)

$$\begin{aligned}
 m(z) = & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1/2-i\infty}^{-1/2} e^{s(z-\log 2\pi-i\pi/2)} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\zeta(1-s)} ds \\
 & - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1/2}^{-1/2+i\infty} e^{s(z-\log 2\pi+i\pi/2)} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\zeta(1-s)} ds - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{e^{-z}(-2\pi i)/n} \\
 & + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-1/2}^{3/2} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} ds - \frac{e^{3z/2}}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^{3/2}(z-\log n)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3. *The only singularities of $m(z)$ meromorphic on C are simple poles at the points $z = \log n$ on the real axis, where n is a product of different primes or n is equal to 1 with residue*

$$(2.9) \quad \operatorname{res}_{z=\log n} m(z) = -\mu(n)/2\pi i.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1. We have by (2.2) for $z \in H$

$$2\pi i m(z) = m_1(z) + m_2(z) + m_3(z)$$

where the last integral

$$m_3(z) = \int_{3/2}^{3/2+i\infty} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} ds,$$

since $\operatorname{Re} s = 3/2 > 1$ and $1/\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n)/n^s$, is equal to

$$m_3(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n) \int_{3/2}^{3/2+i\infty} e^{sz-s\log n} ds = -e^{3z/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^{3/2}(z-\log n)}.$$

The inversion of the order of integration and summation is justified for $z \in H$ by the uniform convergence of the integral and the series.

Since $|\Gamma(-1/2+it)| \ll \exp(-\pi t/2)$, the functional equation for $\zeta(s)$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{1}{\zeta(-1/2+it)} \right| &= \frac{2\sqrt{2\pi} |\sin(3/2-it)(\pi/2)| |\Gamma(-1/2+it)|}{|\zeta(3/2-it)|} \\
 &\ll |e^{i(3/2-it)\pi/2} - e^{-i(3/2-it)\pi/2}| |\Gamma(-1/2+it)| \ll 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$|m_1(z)| = \left| \int_{-1/2+\infty}^{-1/2} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} ds \right| \ll e^{-x/2} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ty} dt = \frac{e^{-x/2}}{y}$$

and $m_1(z)$ is absolutely convergent for $y = \operatorname{Im} z > 0$.

4. We shall first prove that the function $m(z)$ analytic for $y > 0$ can be continued to a meromorphic function for $y > -\pi$.

Let us consider the integral

$$m_1(z) = - \int_{-1/2}^{-1/2+i\infty} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} ds$$

convergent for $y > 0$. By the functional equation for $\zeta(s)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad m_1(z) &= - \int_{-1/2}^{-1/2+i\infty} e^{s(z-\log 2\pi-i\pi/2)} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\zeta(1-s)} ds \\ &\quad - \int_{-1/2}^{-1/2+i\infty} e^{s(z-\log 2\pi+i\pi/2)} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\zeta(1-s)} ds \\ &= m_{11}(z) + m_{12}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\Gamma(-1/2+it)/\zeta(3/2-it)| \ll e^{-\pi t/2}$, $m_{11}(z)$ is regular for $y > 0$ and $m_{12}(z)$ for $y > -\pi$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad m_{11}(z) &= - \int_{-1/2-i\infty}^{-1/2+i\infty} e^{s(z-\log 2\pi-i\pi/2)} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\zeta(1-s)} ds \\ &\quad + \int_{-1/2-i\infty}^{-1/2} e^{s(z-\log 2\pi-i\pi/2)} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\zeta(1-s)} ds \\ &= I_1(z) + I_2(z). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that the integral $I_2(z)$ is convergent for $y < \pi$. Since $m_{11}(z)$ is regular for $y > 0$, the integral $I_1(z)$ is convergent for $0 < y < \pi$. Thus we can reduce $I_1(z)$ to a case of Mellin's inversion formula as follows. We have formally

$$(4.3) \quad I_1(z) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \int_{-1/2-i\infty}^{-1/2+i\infty} e^{s(z-\log 2\pi-i\pi/2+\log n)} \Gamma(s) ds.$$

To justify the inversion of the order of summation and integration for $0 < y < \pi$ we will see that the integral and the series converge uniformly.

First, by Cauchy's theorem of residues

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad & - \int_{-1/2-i\infty}^{-1/2+i\infty} e^{s(z-\log 2\pi-i\pi/2+\log n)} \Gamma(s) ds \\ &= - \int_{1-i\infty}^{-1+i\infty} e^{s(z-\log 2\pi-i\pi/2+\log n)} \Gamma(s) ds + 2\pi i \operatorname{res}_{s=0} e^{s(z-\log 2\pi-i\pi/2+\log n)} \Gamma(s) \\ &= - \int_{1-i\infty}^{1+i\infty} e^{s(z-\log 2\pi-i\pi/2+\log n)} \Gamma(s) ds + 2\pi i. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\operatorname{Re} e^{-(z-\log 2\pi-i\pi/2+\log n)} = (2\pi/ne^x) \sin y > 0$ for $0 < y < \pi$, using Mellin's

inversion formula we get

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{1-i\infty}^{1+i\infty} e^{s(z - \log 2\pi - i\pi/2 + \log n)} \Gamma(s) ds = 2\pi i e^{-e^{-z} 2\pi i/n}$$

and by (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5)

$$(4.6) \quad I_1(z) = -2\pi i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{-e^{-z} 2\pi i/n}.$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n)/n = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{-2\pi i/n e^x} \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} (e^{-2\pi i/n e^x} - 1) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} (e^{|-2\pi i/n e^x|} - 1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} (e^{2\pi/n e^x} - 1) \\ &\leq e^{2\pi/e^x} \sum_{n \leq [2\pi/e^x]} \frac{1}{n} + \frac{2\pi(e-1)}{e^x} \sum_{n \geq [2\pi/e^x] + 1} \frac{1}{n^2} \ll c_2(x) \end{aligned}$$

and the series on the right of (4.6) is absolutely convergent for all y .

Finally, by (4.1), (4.2) and (4.6), we obtain the following analytic continuation of $m_1(z)$ to $y > -\pi$. For $|y| < \pi$

$$(4.7) \quad m_1(z) = -2\pi i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{-e^{-z} 2\pi i/n} + \int_{-1/2-i\infty}^{-1/2} e^{s(z - \log 2\pi - i\pi/2)} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\zeta(1-s)} ds - \int_{-1/2}^{-1/2+i\infty} e^{s(z - \log 2\pi + i\pi/2)} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\zeta(1-s)} ds$$

where the first term is holomorphic for all y , the second for $y < \pi$ and the third for $y > -\pi$.

In accordance with Theorem 1, (4.7) completes the continuation of $m(z)$ to the region $y > -\pi$.

5. Let us consider the function

$$(5.1) \quad m^-(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{-T_n < \Im \rho < 0} \frac{1}{(k_\rho - 1)!} \frac{d^{k_\rho - 1}}{ds^{k_\rho - 1}} \left[(s - \rho)^{k_\rho} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} \right]_{s=\rho}$$

where k_ρ denotes the order of multiplicity of the non-trivial zero ρ of $\zeta(s)$, defined for z belonging to

$$(5.2) \quad H^- = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Im z < 0\}.$$

Since $\zeta(\bar{s}) = \overline{\zeta(s)}$ we have $|\zeta(\bar{s})| = |\zeta(s)|$ and by (2.1) we choose $T_n (n \leq T_n \leq n+1)$ such that

$$(5.3) \quad \left| \frac{1}{\zeta(\sigma - iT_n)} \right| < T_n^{c_1} \quad \text{for } -1 \leq \sigma \leq 2.$$

If $\zeta(s)$ has only simple zeros, then

$$(5.4) \quad m^-(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{q \\ -T_n < \text{Im } q < 0}} \frac{e^{zq}}{\zeta'(q)}.$$

Now taking the integral

$$\int \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} ds$$

round the rectangle $(-1/2, 3/2, 3/2 - iT_n, -1/2 - iT_n)$ with $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have by Cauchy's residue theorem

$$(5.5) \quad 2\pi i m^-(z) = m_1^-(z) + m_2^-(z) + m_3^-(z)$$

where

$$(5.6) \quad m_1^-(z) = - \int_{-1/2 - i\infty}^{-1/2} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} ds$$

is regular for $y < 0$ (the proof similar to that for $m_1(z)$),

$$(5.7) \quad m_2^-(z) = \int_{3/2}^{-1/2} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} ds$$

is analytic on the whole complex plane and

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} m_3^-(z) &= \int_{3/2 - i\infty}^{3/2} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} ds = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n) \int_{3/2 - i\infty}^{3/2} e^{s(z - \log n)} ds \\ &= e^{3z/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^{3/2} (z - \log n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $m_3^-(z)$ is meromorphic on the whole complex plane. The inversion of the order of integration and summation is justified for $z \in H^-$ by the uniform convergence of the integral and the series.

Now $m_1^-(z)$ analytic for $y < 0$ we have to continue to $y < \pi$ just as $m_1(z)$ in Section 4. We have by the functional equation for $\zeta(s)$

$$(5.9) \quad m_1^-(z) = m_{11}^-(z) + m_{12}^-(z)$$

where

$$(5.10) \quad m_{11}^-(z) = - \int_{-1/2 - i\infty}^{-1/2} e^{s(z - \log 2\pi - i\pi/2)} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\zeta(1-s)} ds$$

is absolutely convergent for $y < \pi$ and

$$(5.11) \quad m_{12}^-(z) = - \int_{-1/2-i\infty}^{-1/2} e^{s(z - \log 2\pi + i\pi/2)} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\zeta(1-s)} ds$$

is absolutely convergent for $y < 0$.

Next we get

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} m_{12}^-(z) &= - \int_{-1/2-i\infty}^{-1/2+i\infty} e^{s(z - \log 2\pi + i\pi/2)} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\zeta(1-s)} ds \\ &\quad + \int_{-1/2}^{-1/2+i\infty} e^{s(z - \log 2\pi + i\pi/2)} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\zeta(1-s)} ds \\ &= I_1^-(z) + I_2^-(z). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that the integral $I_2^-(z)$ is convergent for $y > -\pi$. Since $m_{12}^-(z)$ is regular for $y < 0$, the integral $I_1^-(z)$ is convergent for $-\pi < y < 0$ and we can apply Mellin's inversion formula.

We have formally

$$I_1^-(z) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \int_{-1/2-i\infty}^{-1/2+i\infty} e^{s(z - \log 2\pi + i\pi/2 + \log n)} \Gamma(s) ds$$

and by Cauchy's theorem of residues

$$(5.13) \quad I_1^-(z) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \int_{1-i\infty}^{1+i\infty} e^{s(z - \log 2\pi + i\pi/2 + \log n)} \Gamma(s) ds.$$

To justify the inversion of the order of summation and integration for $-\pi < y < 0$, we will see that the integral and the series converge uniformly. Since

$$\operatorname{Re} e^{-(z - \log 2\pi + i\pi/2 + \log n)} = -\frac{2\pi}{ne^x} \sin y > 0$$

for $-\pi < y < 0$, using Mellin's inversion formula we get

$$(5.14) \quad \int_{1-i\infty}^{1+i\infty} e^{s(z - \log 2\pi + i\pi/2 + \log n)} \Gamma(s) ds = 2\pi i e^{e^{-z} - z 2\pi i/n}$$

and by (5.13)

$$(5.15) \quad I_1^-(z) = -2\pi i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{e^{-z} - z 2\pi i/n}$$

where the series on the right is absolutely convergent for all y .

Finally, by (5.9), (5.10), (5.12) and (5.15)

$$(5.16) \quad m_1^-(z) = -2\pi i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{e^{-z} - z 2\pi i/n} - \int_{-1/2-i\infty}^{-1/2} e^{s(z - \log 2\pi - i\pi/2)} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\zeta(1-s)} ds$$

$$+ \int_{-1/2}^{-1/2+i\infty} e^{s(z-\log 2\pi+i\pi/2)} \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\zeta(1-s)} ds,$$

which completes the continuation of $m^-(z)$ analytic for $y < 0$ to the half-plane $y < \pi$.

6. Proof of Theorem 2. By (4.7) and (5.16) for $|y| < \pi$

$$(6.1) \quad m_1(z) + m_1^-(z) = -4\pi i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n} e^{-z}\right).$$

It is obvious that

$$(6.2) \quad m_2(z) + m_2^-(z) = \int_{-1/2}^{3/2} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} ds + \int_{3/2}^{-1/2} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} ds = 0$$

and by (5.8) and Theorem 1

$$(6.3) \quad m_3(z) + m_3^-(z) = 0.$$

Thus for $|y| < \pi$ we have

$$m(z) + m^-(z) = -2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n} e^{-z}\right).$$

Hence according to Theorem 1 for all $y < \pi$

$$m(z) = -m^-(z) - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n} e^{-z}\right)$$

by the principle of analytic continuation and for $y > -\pi$

$$m^-(z) = -m(z) - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n} e^{-z}\right).$$

This implies that $m(z)$ and $m^-(z)$ can be continued analytically over the whole plane as a meromorphic function. And for all z

$$(6.4) \quad m(z) + m^-(z) = -2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n} e^{-z}\right).$$

To prove the functional equation (2.8) observe that if ρ is a non-trivial zero of $\zeta(s)$ then so is $\bar{\rho}$. For $z \in H$ we have

$$m(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{\rho \\ 0 < \text{Im} \rho < T_n}} \frac{1}{(k_\rho - 1)!} \frac{d^{k_\rho - 1}}{ds^{k_\rho - 1}} \left[(s - \rho)^{k_\rho} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} \right]_{s=\rho}$$

and further setting $s = \sigma + it$

$$m(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{q \\ 0 < \operatorname{Im} q < T_n}} \frac{1}{(k_q - 1)!} \frac{\delta^{k_q - 1}}{\delta \sigma^{k_q - 1}} \left[(s - \varrho)^{k_q} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} \right]_{s=\varrho}.$$

Now since $\zeta(\bar{s}) = \overline{\zeta(s)}$ we get

$$m(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{q \\ 0 < \operatorname{Im} q < T_n}} \frac{1}{(k_q - 1)!} \frac{\delta^{k_q - 1}}{\delta \sigma^{k_q - 1}} \left[(\bar{s} - \bar{\varrho})^{k_q} \frac{e^{\bar{s}z}}{\zeta(\bar{s})} \right]_{s=\varrho}$$

and finally

$$(6.5) \quad m(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{q \\ -T_n < \operatorname{Im} q < 0}} \frac{1}{(k_q - 1)!} \frac{d^{k_q - 1}}{ds^{k_q - 1}} \left[(s - \varrho)^{k_q} \frac{e^{sz}}{\zeta(s)} \right]_{s=\varrho} = \overline{m^-(\bar{z})}.$$

Next using (6.4) we have for $z \in H$

$$\begin{aligned} m(z) &= \overline{m^-(\bar{z})} = -\overline{m(\bar{z})} - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n} e^{-z}\right) \\ &= -\overline{m(\bar{z})} - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n} e^{-z}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and by complex conjugation for $z \in H^-$ and by the principle of analytic continuation for z with $\operatorname{Im} z = 0$. This proves (2.8).

Set

$$A(z) = -2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n} e^{-z}\right).$$

Let $z_1 = e^{-z}$. Then

$$A(z_1) = -2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n} z_1\right)$$

and since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n)/n = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A(z_1) &= - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} (e^{i\frac{2\pi}{n} z_1} + e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{n} z_1} - 2) \\ &= - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(i\frac{2\pi}{n} z_1\right)^k}{k!} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-i\frac{2\pi}{n} z_1\right)^k}{k!} \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (2\pi z_1)^{2k}}{(2k)! \zeta(2k+1)} \end{aligned}$$

and if $|z_1| = r$, then

$$(6.6) \quad |A(z_1)| \leq 3 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2\pi r)^{2k}}{(2k)!} = \frac{3}{2}(e^{2\pi r} + e^{-2\pi r} - 2) < 2e^{2\pi r}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$A(ir) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2\pi r)^{2k}}{(2k)! \zeta(2k+1)}$$

and

$$(6.7) \quad |A(ir)| \geq \frac{4}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2\pi r)^{2k}}{(2k)!} = \frac{2}{3}(e^{2\pi r} + e^{-2\pi r}) - \frac{4}{3} \geq \frac{1}{3}e^{2\pi r}$$

for r sufficiently large. By (6.6) and (6.7) the order of $A(z_1)$ is essentially 1 and the type is 2π .

Theorem 3 is a simple corollary of Theorem 2.

References

- [1] A. E. Ingham, *The Distribution of Prime Numbers*, Cambridge 1932.
- [2] J. Kaczorowski, *The k -functions in multiplicative number theory, I. On complex explicit formulae*, Acta Arith. 56 (1990), 195–211.
- [3] A. M. Odlyzko and H. I. I. te Riele, *Disproof of the Mertens conjecture*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 357 (1985), 138–160.
- [4] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function*, Oxford 1951.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, A. MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY
Matejki 48/49, 60-769 Poznań, Poland

Received on 2.9.1988

(1862)