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1. Introduction. The Liouville numbers \( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g^{-k} \) \( g \in \mathbb{Z}, g \geq 2 \) are formed by rapidly converging series. Generally, we consider the gap series

\[
\sigma(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k,
\]

where \( c_k \in \mathbb{Q}^* \) and \( e_k \in \mathbb{N} \), and the radius of convergence \( r \) is positive. Cijous and Tijdeman [2] proved the transcendence of \( \sigma(z) \) for \( x \in \mathbb{Q} \) with \( 0 < |x| < r \) under global growth conditions depending only on \( c_k \) and \( e_k \). Bundschuh and Wylegala [1] considered the algebraic independence of values of \( \sigma(z) \) at algebraic points. The author [6] gave some further results about the algebraic independence of values of \( \sigma(z) \) at algebraic points.

Furthermore, Mahler [4] considered the more general gap series

\[
F_0(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k z^k,
\]

where there are increasing sequences of natural numbers \( \{ \lambda_n \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) and \( \{ \mu_n \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) satisfying

\[
0 = \lambda_1 \leq \mu_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \mu_2 < \ldots < \lambda_n \leq \mu_n < \ldots
\]

such that

\[
f_k = 0 \quad (\mu_n < k < \lambda_n+1) \quad \text{but} \quad f_{\mu_n} \neq 0, \quad f_{\lambda_n+1} \neq 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots),
\]

and the radius of convergence \( R_0 \) is positive. Assuming \( f_k \in \mathbb{Z} \) \( (k = 1, 2, \ldots) \), Mahler gave a result about the transcendence of values of \( F_0(z) \) at algebraic points.

In this paper we consider the transcendence and the algebraic independence of values of certain gap series, which have the form of (2) and algebraic
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coefficients, at algebraic points. In Section 2 we prove a fundamental theorem about the algebraic independence of values of gap series at algebraic points. In Section 3 we give some applications of the fundamental theorem. We establish the transcendence and the algebraic independence of values of \( F_\chi(z) \) at algebraic points, and the algebraic independence of values of \( \sigma(z) \) and its derivatives at algebraic points. In particular, all the results of \([1], [2], [4] \) and [6] are corollaries of our fundamental theorem.
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2. The Fundamental Theorem

2.1. Formulation of the Fundamental Theorem. Let \( P \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_l] \). We put \( d(P) = \sum_{i=1}^l \deg(z_i) \), and denote the length of \( P \), i.e. the sum of the absolute values of the coefficients of \( P \), by \( L(P) \), and the height of \( P \), i.e. the maximum of the absolute values of \( P \), by \( H(P) \). For \( a \in \mathbb{Q} \), if \( P(z) \) is its minimal polynomial, then \( d(P) \), \( L(P) \), \( H(P) \) are defined the degree, the length, the height of \( a \), respectively. We denote them by \( d(a) \), \( L(a) \) and \( H(a) \), respectively. If \( a = a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, \ldots, a^{(d)} \) are all the conjugates of \( a \), we put

\[
\lvert a \rvert = \max \{1, |a^{(1)}|, \ldots, |a^{(d)}|\}.
\]

For \( a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \), we have

\[
\lvert a + b \rvert \leq \lvert a^{(1)} \rvert^{1/2} + \lvert b \rvert^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lvert ab \rvert \leq \lvert a \rvert \lvert b \rvert.
\]

For \( a_1, \ldots, a_t \in \mathbb{Q} \), the number \( M \in \mathbb{N} \) is called a denominator of \( a_1, \ldots, a_t \), if \( M a_1, \ldots, M a_t \) are all integers. We denote the least denominator of \( a_1, \ldots, a_t \) by \( \text{den}(a_1, \ldots, a_t) \). We denote the positive constants by \( N_0, C_1, C_2, \ldots \); these constants and the constants in \( \ll \) are all independent of \( n \).

Let \( s, r \in \mathbb{N} \). Suppose that

\[
F_\chi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^s f_{r,k} z^k \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, s)
\]

are \( s \) power series satisfying the following conditions:

(i) \( f_{r,k} \in \mathbb{Q} \) \( (k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots) \).

(ii) There exist increasing sequences of natural numbers \( \{\lambda_{r,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) and \( \{\mu_{r,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) satisfying

\[
0 = \lambda_{r,1} < \mu_{r,1} < \lambda_{r,2} < \mu_{r,2} < \ldots < \lambda_{r,n} < \mu_{r,n} < \ldots
\]

such that

\[
f_{r,n} = 0 \quad (\mu_{r,n} < k < \lambda_{r,n+1}), \quad \text{but} \quad f_{r,\lambda_{r,n+1}} \neq 0, \quad f_{r,\lambda_{r,n+1}+1} \neq 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots).
\]

(iii) The radius of convergence \( R_\chi \) of series \( F_\chi \) is positive. We put

\[
D_n = [Q(f_{1,0}, \ldots, f_{1,n}, \ldots, f_{n,0}, \ldots, f_{n,n+1}) : Q],
\]

\[
A_n = \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} \sum_{r=0}^n |f_{r,k}|,
\]

\[
M_n = \text{den}(f_{1,0}, \ldots, f_{n,1}, \ldots, f_{n,0}, \ldots, f_{n,n+1}),
\]

and for any \( v \) \( (1 \leq v \leq s) \) put

\[
P_{r,v}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n_{r,v}} f_{r,k} z^k,
\]

\[
P_{r,k}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n_{r,k}-\lambda_{r,k}} f_{r,k} z^k = P_{r,k}(z)/z^{\lambda_{r,k}} \quad (k = 1, 2, \ldots).
\]

Then we have

\[
F_\chi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^s P_{r,k}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^s P_{r,k}(z) z^{\lambda_{r,k}} \quad (1 \leq v \leq s).
\]

FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM. Suppose that series \( (3) \) satisfy

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{den}(a_{n+1})}{\text{den}(a_n)} = 0,
\]

and that \( a_1, \ldots, a_t \in \mathbb{Q} \) with \( 0 < |a_1| < \ldots < |a_t| < \min \{R_\chi\} \). If there exists an increasing sequence of natural numbers \( \{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{k_n(a_1, \ldots, a_t)\}_{n=1}^\infty \) such that

\[
P_{r,k_n}(z) \neq 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots; v = 1, \ldots, s; v = 1, \ldots, t),
\]

and for any nonempty subset \( \mathcal{S} \) of the set \( \mathcal{S} = \{(v, \mu) \mid 1 \leq v \leq s, 1 \leq \mu \leq t\} \), there exists \( (v_0, \mu_0) \in (v_0, \mu_0) \in \mathcal{S} \) satisfying

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{(v, \mu) \in \mathcal{S}} |P_{r,k_n}(a_{v})|/|P_{r,k_n}(a_{u})| = 1,
\]

then \( F_\chi(a) \) \( (1 \leq v \leq s, 1 \leq \mu \leq t) \) are algebraically independent.

Remark. Our proof also yields the more refined assertion: Let \( \mathcal{S}^{*} \) be a nonempty subset of \( \mathcal{S} \). If for any nonempty subset \( \mathcal{S} \) of \( \mathcal{S}^{*} \) there exists \( (v_0, \mu_0) \in (v_0, \mu_0) \in \mathcal{S} \) satisfying \( (7) \), then \( F_\chi(a) \) \( (v, \mu) \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \) are algebraically independent.

2.2. A criterion of algebraic independence. For \( P \in \mathbb{Z}[z_1, \ldots, z_l] \), we put

\[
\Delta(P) = 2^{\deg L(P)} L(P).
\]
With any \((\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_l) \in C^l\) we associate an order function of \(u \in N\) (see [3])
\[
O(u|\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_l) = \sup \log |P(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_l)|^{-1},
\]
where the supremum is taken over all \(P \in Z[z_1, \ldots, z_l]\) such that
\[
P(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_l) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda(P) \leq u.
\]
The following properties are obvious:
1\(^\circ\) \(O(u|\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_l) \leq O(u|\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_l, \varphi)\) for \((\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_l, \varphi) \in C^l\) and \(\varphi \in C\).
2\(^\circ\) \(O(u|\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_l) \leq O(u|\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_l)|\) for \(u, v \in N, u < v\).
3\(^\circ\) \(O(u|\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_l) \geq O(u|\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_l)|\) for \(u, v \in N\).

**Lemma 1.** Suppose that \(\Theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_l) \in C\) has the following property:
For any subset \(T = (\theta_{v_1}, \ldots, \theta_{v_l}) \subseteq \Theta\) consisting of \(l\) \((1 \leq l \leq L)\) elements,
there exist \(l\) infinite sequences of complex numbers
\[
\{\theta_{v_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{\theta_{v_n}(T)\}_{n=1}^\infty \quad (1 \leq v \leq l)
\]
such that
(i) \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \theta_{v_n} = \theta_{v_n}, \quad |\theta_{v_n} - \theta_{v_n}| > 0 \quad (n \geq 1) \quad (v = 1, \ldots, l)\).
(ii) \(\sum_{v=1}^l |\theta_{v_n} - \theta_{v_n}| \leq \max_{1 \leq v \leq l} |\theta_{v_n} - \theta_{v_n}| \quad (n \to \infty)\).
(iii) There exists a sequence of natural numbers \(u_{\infty} = (u_{n_1}(T))_{n_1=1}^\infty\) with
\[
\max_{1 \leq v \leq l} |\theta_{v_n} - \theta_{v_n}| \leq \exp(-O(u_{\infty}(T), \theta_{v_n}, \ldots, \theta_{v_n})) \quad (n \geq n_{0}(T)).
\]
Then \(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_l\) are algebraically independent.

**Proof.** See [7].

23. **Auxiliary lemmas.** Let \(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\nu \in \bar{Q}\) with \(0 < |\alpha_1| < \ldots < |\alpha_\nu| < \min R_s\). We put
\[
\hat{F}_{n,k}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^\nu p_{\nu,k}(\alpha_k) z^{-\nu_k} \quad (1 \leq \nu < s; 1 \leq \mu \leq l),
\]
and denote the radius of convergence by \(\hat{R}_{n,k}\). We put
\[
\hat{D}_n = \max_{1 \leq v < s} |p_{\nu,k}(\alpha_k)|, \quad \hat{\Lambda}_n = \max_{1 \leq v < \nu} |\hat{F}_{n,k}(\alpha_v)|, \quad \hat{M}_n = \text{den}(\hat{p}_{\nu,k}(\alpha_k)) \quad (1 \leq \nu < s; 1 \leq \mu < l; 1 \leq k < n).
\]

**Lemma 2.** \(\hat{R}_{n,k} \geq R_s\) \((1 \leq \nu < s; 1 \leq \mu < l)\).

**Proof.** If \(0 < |\beta| < R_s\), then
\[
|p_{\nu,k}(\alpha_k)| |\beta|^{-\nu_k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^\nu |f_{\nu,k}(\alpha)| \max_{1 \leq v < \nu} (|\alpha_v|, |\beta|)^s.
\]
Since \(|\alpha_v|, |\beta| < R_s\), the lemma follows.

**Lemma 3.** If \((5)\) holds, then
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{1 \leq v < s} |\hat{D}_{n,k} + \log \hat{\Lambda}_{n,k} + \log \hat{M}_{n,k}| / \min_{1 \leq v < s} \hat{\lambda}_{n+1} = 0.
\]

**Proof.** Since we have
\[
\hat{D}_n \leq \hat{D}_n, \quad \hat{\Lambda}_n \leq \max_{1 \leq v < s} \mu_{n,v} C_1^{\max_{1 \leq v < s} \nu_{n,v}} A_n, \quad \hat{M}_n \leq \max_{1 \leq v < s} \nu_{n,v} \hat{M}_n,
\]
the lemma holds.

Suppose that the sequence \(\{\hat{p}_{\nu,k}(\alpha_k)\}_{\nu=1}^\infty\) satisfies \((6)\). We put
\[
\Phi_{s,k}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} p_{\nu,k}(\alpha_k) z^{-\nu_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} P_{\nu,k}(\alpha_k),
\]
\[
\Psi_{s,k}(z) = \hat{F}_{s,k}(z) - \Phi_{s,k}(z) = \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} p_{\nu,k}(\alpha_k) z^{-\nu_k} = \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} P_{\nu,k}(\alpha_k)
\]
\((n = 1, 2, \ldots; v = 1, \ldots, s; \mu = 1, \ldots, l)\).

**Lemma 4.** If \((5)\) holds, then for sufficiently large \(n\),
\[
|\Psi_{s,k}(z)| \gg |P_{s,k}(\alpha_k)| \gg |\Psi_{s,k}(z)| \quad (1 \leq \nu < s; 1 \leq \mu < l).
\]

**Proof.** Since \(\hat{M}_n, P_{s,k}(\alpha_k)\) is a nonzero algebraic integer, we have
\[
|\text{Norm}(\hat{M}_n, P_{s,k}(\alpha_k))| \geq 1,
\]
therefore
\[
|P_{s,k}(\alpha_k)| \gg |\alpha_k|^{-\nu_k} \hat{M}_n \hat{\Lambda}_n^{-\nu_k} \hat{R}_{n,k}
\]
\((n = 1, 2, \ldots; v = 1, \ldots, s; \mu = 1, \ldots, l)\).

Taking \(\epsilon\) such that
\[
|\alpha_1| < \epsilon < \min_{1 \leq v < s} R_s
\]
by Lemma 2 we have
\[
|p_{\nu,k}(\alpha_k)| \leq \epsilon^{-\nu_k}
\]
for sufficiently large \( n \). Since \( 0 < \gamma^{-1} |x_n| < 1 \), we get

\[
\left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} P_{\nu k}(x_n) x_n^{k-1} \right| 
\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \gamma^{-1} |x_n| \right)^{k-1} \ll (\gamma^{-1} |x_n|)^{-\nu s} \quad (1 \leq \nu \leq s, 1 \leq \mu \leq \ell).
\]

By Lemma 3, noticing \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_{n,v}/\lambda_{n,v+1} = 0 \), we obtain

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} (\gamma^{-1} |x_n|) \lambda_{n,v+1}^{-1} / \lambda_{n,v+1} = (M_{n,k_n} A_{k_n}^{-1}) \beta_n = 0,
\]

hence from (9) and (10), we have

\[
\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{\nu k}(x_n) \right| < C_3 |P_{\nu k}(x_n)| \quad (1 \leq \nu \leq s, 1 \leq \mu \leq \ell),
\]

for sufficiently large \( n \), where \( 0 < C_3 < 1 \). Therefore the lemma follows.

**Lemma 5.** If \( \alpha \in \mathcal{Q}^* \), then

\[
|\alpha| \geq \left( \max \{ \text{den}(\alpha), |\alpha| \} \right)^{-2\eta_0}.
\]

**Proof.** See [5], § 1.2.

**Lemma 6.** For \( v \in \mathbb{N} \) and sufficiently large \( n \),

\[
O(v) \Phi_1, v, \Phi_2, v, \ldots, \Phi_v, v, \Phi_{v,2}, v, \ldots, \Phi_{v,v}, v
\]

\[
\ll \left( \max \left\{ \mu_{v,k_n-1} + \log \tilde{A}_{k_n-1} + \log \tilde{M}_{k_n-1} \right\} \beta_{k_n-1} \right) \cdot L(P) \cdot \log u.
\]

**Proof.** Denoting \( m = st \), we suppose that

\[
P(z_1, \ldots, z_m) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{N_1} \ldots \sum_{i_m=0}^{N_m} p_{i_1, \ldots, i_m} z_1^{i_1} \ldots z_m^{i_m}
\]

with \( p_{i_1, \ldots, i_m} \in \mathbb{Z} \), \( \deg \eta_i(P) = N_i \), and that

\[
A(P) = 2^{3P} L(P) \leq u.
\]

We have

\[
|\alpha| \ll \tilde{D}_{k_n-1},
\]

\[
\text{den}(\alpha) \ll (C_4^\max \mu_{v,k_n-1}) \cdot \tilde{M}_{k_n-1} \cdot L(P),
\]

\[
|\alpha| \ll L(P) \cdot (\min_{1 \leq v \leq s} \mu_{v,k_n-1} \cdot \tilde{A}_{k_n-1}) \cdot \tilde{D}_{k_n-1}.
\]

where \( C_4, C_5 > 1 \). Hence by Lemma 5 and (11) we get

\[
- \log |\alpha| \ll (\max_{1 \leq v \leq s} \mu_{v,k_n-1} + \log \tilde{A}_{k_n-1} + \log \tilde{M}_{k_n-1} \cdot \tilde{D}_{k_n-1} \cdot \log u.
\]

Therefore the lemma is proved.

**2.4. Proof of the Fundamental Theorem.** It is enough to verify the conditions of Lemma 1 for

\[
\Theta = \{ P_v(x_n) \} (1 \leq v \leq s, 1 \leq \mu \leq \ell)
\]

Put

\[
\mathcal{F} = \{(v, \mu) (1 \leq v \leq s, 1 \leq \mu \leq \ell)\}.
\]

Let \( T \) be any nonempty subset of \( \Theta \), and the set of suffixal tuples \( (v, \mu) \) of \( T \) be \( \mathcal{F} \). By (7), there exist an infinite subsequence \( \{ k_n \} = \{ k_n(T) \} \) of \( \{ k_n \} \) and a tuple \( (v_0, \mu_0) \in \mathcal{F} \) such that

\[
|P_{v_0,k_n}(x_n) - \alpha| \leq |P_{v_0,k_n}(x_n)| \quad (n \to \infty)
\]

for \( (v, \mu) \in \mathcal{F} \setminus (v_0, \mu_0) \). By Lemma 4 we get

\[
|\psi_{v_0,\mu_0}(x_n)| = 0 \quad \text{for } (v, \mu) \in \mathcal{F} \setminus (v_0, \mu_0),
\]

for \( (v, \mu) \in \mathcal{F} \setminus (v_0, \mu_0) \). Taking \( \theta_{v,\mu} = \theta_{v,\mu}(T) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{\nu k}(x_n) \) for any \( (v, \mu) \in \mathcal{F} \), condition (ii) of Lemma 1 is verified. Furthermore, from (6) and by Lemma 4, condition (i) of Lemma 1 is also verified.

In order to verify condition (iii) of Lemma 1, we put

\[
\beta_n = \beta_n(T) = \left( \max_{1 \leq v \leq s} \mu_{v,k_n-1} + \log \tilde{A}_{k_n-1} + \log \tilde{M}_{k_n-1} \cdot \tilde{D}_{k_n-1} \right) / \min_{1 \leq v \leq s} \lambda_{v,k_n}
\]

and

\[
u_n = \nu_n(T) = \left[ \exp \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_n}} \right) \right]
\]

By Lemma 3, \( \nu_n \to \infty \) \((n \to \infty) \). From Lemma 6, noticing property 1° of Section 2.2, we get

\[
O(u_\alpha) \Phi_1, v, \Phi_2, v, \ldots, \Phi_v, v, \Phi_{v,2}, v, \ldots, \Phi_{v,v}, v
\]

\[
\ll \left( \max_{1 \leq v \leq s} \mu_{v,k_n-1} + \log \tilde{A}_{k_n-1} + \log \tilde{M}_{k_n-1} \cdot \tilde{D}_{k_n-1} \right) \cdot \log u.
\]

From (10), we have

\[
\left| \psi_{v_0,\mu_0}(x_n) \right| \ll C_6^{\max \mu_{v,k_n}} \ll C_6^{\min \mu_{v,k_n}}
\]

where \( C_6, C_5 > 1 \). Hence by Lemma 5 and (11) we get

\[
- \log |\alpha| \ll (\max_{1 \leq v \leq s} \mu_{v,k_n-1} + \log \tilde{A}_{k_n-1} + \log \tilde{M}_{k_n-1} \cdot \tilde{D}_{k_n-1} \cdot \log u.
\]

Therefore the lemma is proved.
where \( 0 < C_6 < 1 \). By Lemma 3, we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{\beta_n}} \left( \max_{i \leq n, k_{i,n} \leq 1} \log \hat{A}_{k_{i,n} - 1} + \max_{i \leq n, k_{i,n} \leq k_{i,n}} \log \tilde{M}_{k_{i,n} - 1} \right) \leq \max_{i \leq n, k_{i,n} \leq k_{i,n}} \lambda_{n,k_{i,n}}
\]
\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{\beta_n} = 0.
\]
Hence from (12) and (13), noticing \( \log C_6 < 0 \), we deduce that
\[
|\nu_{n,t}(z)| \leq \exp \left( -\tilde{O}(n) \Phi_n(z)^{t/(\mu)} \right)
\]
for sufficiently large \( n \). Therefore condition (iii) of Lemma 1 is verified. The Fundamental Theorem is proved.

3. Applications

3.1. Transcendence. For series (2) we suppose that all the coefficients \( f_k \in \hat{Q} \). We put
\[
D_{n}^{(t)} = \left[ Q(f_0, \ldots, f_{k_n}) : Q \right],
\]
\[
A_{n}^{(t)} = \max \{ f_0, \ldots, f_{k_n} \},
\]
\[
M_{n}^{(t)} = \text{den} \{ f_0, \ldots, f_{k_n} \},
\]
and
\[
P_k(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{k_n} f_k z^k,
\]
\[
P_k(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{k_n - k} f_{k_n - k} z^k \quad (k = 1, 2, \ldots).
\]
Then we have
\[
F_{a}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k(z) z^{k, a}.
\]
In the Fundamental Theorem taking \( s = t = 1 \), we deduce the following

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that series (2) has algebraic coefficients and satisfies
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \mu_n + \log A_{n}^{(t)} + \log M_{n}^{(t)} \right) = 0
\]
and that \( z \in \hat{Q} \) with \( 0 < |z| < R_0 \). Then \( F_a(z) \) is transcendental if and only if there exists a constant \( N = N(a) \) such that
\[
P_k(z) = 0 \quad (k \geq N).
\]

**Remark 1.** If all \( f_k \in \mathbb{Z} \), then \( D_{n}^{(t)} = M_{n}^{(t)} = 1, A_{n}^{(t)} \in C_{n}^{\mu_n} \), and the condition (14) becomes
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \mu_n + \log A_{n}^{(t)} + \log M_{n}^{(t)} \right) \lambda_{n} = 0.
\]
Hence Theorem 3.1 implies the result of Mahler [4].

**Remark 2.** If \( \lambda_n = \mu_n \) \( (n = 1, 2, \ldots) \), then series (2) becomes series (1). Hence Theorem 3.1 implies the result of Cjouw and Tijdeman [2]. Inversely, noticing Lemma 3 and applying the theorem of [2] to the series
\[
\tilde{F}_{a}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n(z) z^{k_n},
\]
we deduce Theorem 3.1.

3.2. Algebraic independence.

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose that series (2) has algebraic coefficients and satisfies (14), and that \( z_1, \ldots, z_t \in \hat{Q} \) with \( 0 < |z_1| < \ldots < |z_t| < R_0 \). If there exists an increasing sequence of natural numbers \( \{ k_n \}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{ k_n(z_1, \ldots, z_t) \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) such that
\[
P_{a}(z) \neq 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots, \mu = 1, \ldots, t),
\]
\[
\log \left( \frac{|P_{a}(z)|}{|P_{a}(z)|} \right) < 0 \quad (n \geq n_0) \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma(\lambda_n) \quad (n \to \infty)
\]
(\( 1 \leq \mu < t \leq t \)),
then \( F_{a}(z_1), \ldots, F_{a}(z_t) \) are algebraically independent.

**Proof.** In the Fundamental Theorem we put \( s = 1 \). It is enough to verify condition (7). For any \( \tau (2 \leq \tau < t) \) and \( \mu < \tau \), since \( |z_\mu| < |z_t| \), from (16) we obtain
\[
\left| \frac{P_{a}(z)}{P_{a}(z_\mu)} \right| = \left| \frac{P_{a}(z)}{P_{a}(z_\mu)} \right| \left| \frac{z_\mu}{z^t} \right| \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty).
\]
Hence (7) is verified and the theorem is proved.

**Remark 1.** Taking \( \lambda_n = \mu_n \) \( (n = 1, 2, \ldots) \) in series (2), we deduce the theorem of [1] from Theorem 3.2.

**Remark 2.** Put
\[
\tilde{F}_{a}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n(z) z^{k_n} \quad (\mu = 1, \ldots, t).
\]
If \( p_n(z) = 0 \) \( (1 \leq \mu < t) \) for \( k \neq k_n \) \( (n = 1, 2, \ldots) \), then (15) and (16) imply that the radii of convergence of series (17) are equal. This fact suggests us the following

**Theorem 3.2'.** If condition (16) of Theorem 3.2 is replaced by
the radii of convergence of series (17) are equal and finite, then \( F_0(z_1), \ldots, F_0(z_t) \) are algebraically independent.

Proof. As above it is enough to verify condition (7). Let \( R_0 > 0 \) be the radius of convergence of \( F_0(z) \). For any \( \tau \) (2 \( \leq \tau \leq t \)) we take \( q_1, q_2 \) such that

\[
\frac{|z_{\tau-1}|}{|z_{\tau}|} R_0 < q_1 < R
\]

and

\[
\frac{R}{|z_{\tau-1}|} q_1 < q_2 < \frac{|z_{\tau}|}{|z_{\tau-1}|} q_1.
\]

By Lemma 2, \( |z_{\tau}| < R_0 \leq R_0 \). Hence from (18) we have

\[
|z_{\tau-1}| < |z_{\tau-2}| < \ldots < |z_0| < q_1 < R_0.
\]

From (20), for sufficiently large \( n \),

\[
|P_{s, k_n}(z_{\tau})| \leq (q_1^{-1} |z_{\tau}|)^{k_n} \quad (\mu < \tau).
\]

From (19), there exists an infinite subsequence \( \{k_n,_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{k_n(\tau),_{n=1}^{\infty} \} \) of \( \{k_n,_{n=1}^{\infty} \) such that

\[
|P_{s, k_n}(z_{\tau})| \geq (q_1^{-1} |z_{\tau}|)^{k_n}.
\]

From (21) and (22), noticing (19), we get

\[
|P_{s, k_n}(z_{\tau})| \leq \left( \frac{q_2 |z_{\tau}|^{k_n}}{q_1 |z_{\tau}|} \right) \leq \left( \frac{q_2 |z_{\tau-1}|^{k_n}}{q_1 |z_{\tau}|} \right) \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty)
\]

for \( \mu < \tau \). Thus we obtain (7), and the theorem follows.

3.3. Further results about the algebraic independence.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that series (3) satisfy (5) and

\[
\lambda_{\alpha} = \lambda_{\alpha} + 0 \quad (v = 1, 2, \ldots, s),
\]

and that \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{Q} \) with \( 0 < |\alpha_1| < \ldots < |\alpha| < \min \mathcal{R} \). If there exists an increasing sequence of natural numbers \( \{k_n,_{n=1}^{\infty} \) satisfying

\[
P_{s, k_n}(\alpha_{\tau}) \neq 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots, \tau = 1, 2, \ldots, s; \mu = 1, \ldots, t),
\]

and

\[
|P_{s, k_n}(\alpha_{\tau})| = o(|P_{s, k_n}(\alpha_{\tau})|) \quad (\tau > \nu, \mu = 1, \ldots, t),
\]

\[
\log \left| \frac{P_{s, k_n}(\alpha_{\tau})}{P_{s, k_n}(\alpha_{\tau})} \right| < 0 \quad (n \geq n_0) \quad \text{or} \quad = o(\lambda_{s, k_n}) \quad (n \to \infty) \quad (\mu < \tau),
\]

then \( F_0(\alpha_{\tau}) (1 \leq \nu \leq s, 1 \leq \mu \leq t) \) are algebraically independent.

Proof. We verify condition (7). For any \( \mu (1 \leq \mu \leq t) \), from (23) and (24) we obtain

\[
\left| P_{s, k_n}(\alpha_{\nu}) \right| = o(|P_{s, k_n}(\alpha_{\nu})|) \quad (n \to \infty)
\]

for \( \tau > \nu \). For any \( \tau > 1 \), from (23) and (25) we get

\[
\left| P_{s, k_n}(\alpha_{\nu}) \right| \leq \left| \frac{P_{s, k_n}(\alpha_{\nu})}{P_{s, k_n}(\alpha_{\nu})} \right| \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty)
\]

for \( \mu < \tau \). From (26) and (27) we deduce that

\[
\left| P_{s, k_n}(\alpha_{\nu}) \right| < \left| P_{s, k_n}(\alpha_{\nu}) \right| \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty)
\]

where the symbol \( f(n) < g(n) \) means that \( f(n)/g(n) \to 0 \) \( (n \to \infty) \). Thus (7) is verified. The proof is complete.

Corollary. Suppose that series (1) satisfies

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} (e_{n} + \log a_{n} + \log m_{n}) e_{n+1} = 0,
\]

where \( d_{a} = \{Q(c_0, \ldots, c_n) \in \mathcal{Q} : a_{\alpha} = \max \{c_0, \ldots, c_n \} \}, \quad m_{a} = \text{den}(c_0, \ldots, c_n) \), and that \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{Q} \) with \( 0 < |\alpha_1| < \ldots < |\alpha| < \tau \), then \( o(\alpha_{\nu}) \) \( (0 \leq \nu \leq s - 1, 1 \leq \mu \leq t) \) are algebraically independent.

Remark 1. If \( s = 1 \), then (25) becomes (16), hence Theorem 3.3 implies Theorem 3.2.

Remark 2. Similar to Theorem 3.2, condition (25) of Theorem 3.3 may be replaced by the condition

\[\text{(25a) The radii of convergence of series (8) are equal and finite.}\]

Theorem 3.4. Suppose that series (3) satisfy (5) and

\[
\lambda_{\alpha} = \lambda_{\alpha} + 0 \quad (n \to \infty) \quad (v = 1, 2, \ldots, s),
\]

and that \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{Q} \) with \( 0 < |\alpha_1| < \ldots < |\alpha| < \min \mathcal{R} \). If there exists an increasing sequence of natural numbers \( \{k_n,_{n=1}^{\infty} \) satisfying

\[
P_{s, k_n}(\alpha_{\tau}) \neq 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots, \tau = 1, 2, \ldots, s; \mu = 1, \ldots, t),
\]

and

\[
\frac{\log \left| P_{s, k_n}(\alpha_{\nu}) \right|}{P_{s, k_n}(\alpha_{\nu})} < 0 \quad (n \geq n_0) \quad \text{or} \quad = o(\lambda_{s, k_n}) \quad (n \to \infty) \quad (\nu \leq \tau),
\]

then \( P_{s}(\alpha_{\nu}) (1 \leq \nu \leq s) \) are algebraically independent.
Proof. From (28) and (29) we get
\[ |P_{n,k}(x)| = o(|P_{n,k}(x)|) \quad (n \to \infty) \]
for \( v < \tau \). Therefore we deduce the theorem from the remark of the fundamental theorem.

Remark. Condition (29) may be replaced by the condition

(29a) The radii of convergence of the series
\[ \hat{F}_v(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{n,k}(x) z^{k-1} \quad (v = 1, \ldots, s) \]
are equal and finite.

**Theorem 3.5.** Suppose that series (3) satisfy (5) and

\[ \sum_{l=1}^{s} \lambda_{l,n} \sim \lambda_{n} \quad (1 \leq v \leq s) \]
and that \( x_1, \ldots, x_s \in \Omega \) with \( 0 < x_1 < \ldots < x_s < \min R_v \). If there exists an increasing sequence of natural numbers \( \{k_n\} = \{k_v(x_1, \ldots, x_s)\} \) such that
\[ P_{n,k_n}(x) \neq 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots, v = 1, \ldots, s; \mu = 1, \ldots, t), \]
and if the radii of convergence of series (8) satisfy

\[ 0 < \tilde{R}_{v,1} = \ldots = \tilde{R}_{v,s} = \tilde{R}_v < \infty \quad (v = 1, \ldots, s), \]
then \( P_v(x) \) (1 \( \leq v \leq s \), 1 \( \leq \mu \leq t \) are algebraically independent.

Proof. As above we verify condition (7). Let \( \mathcal{S} \) be any nonempty subset of \( \mathcal{S} = \{ (v, \mu) \mid 1 \leq v \leq s, 1 \leq \mu \leq t \} \). We put
\[ v_0 = \max \{v \mid (v, \mu) \in \mathcal{S} \}, \]
\[ \mu_0 = \max \{\mu \mid (v_0, \mu) \in \mathcal{S} \}. \]
We prove that there exists an infinite subsequence \( \{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{k_n(\mathcal{S})\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) of \( \{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) such that

\[ |P_{n,k_n}(x)| = o \left( |P_{n,k_n}(x)| \right) \quad (n \to \infty) \]
for \( (v, \mu) \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{v_0, \mu_0\} \).

Take \( q_3, q_4, q_5 \), satisfying
\[ \frac{|x_{\mu_0-1}|}{|x_{\mu_0}|} \tilde{R}_v < q_5 < \tilde{R}_v, \]
\[ \frac{|x_{\mu_0}|}{|x_{\mu_0-1}|} < q_4 < \tilde{R}_v \]
for \( v < v_0 \). By (33) and (34) we obtain (32). Thus the theorem is proved.

Remark. If \( s = 1 \) and \( \lambda_n = \mu_n \) (\( n = 1, 2, \ldots \)), then we deduce the results of [5] from Theorem 3.5.
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1. Introduction. Let \( L \) be a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-lattice on an indefinite regular quadratic \( \mathbb{Q} \)-space \( V \) of finite dimension \( n \geq 3 \), with associated symmetric bilinear form \( f: V \times V \to \mathbb{Q} \). Assume, for convenience, that \( f(L, L) = \mathbb{Z} \), namely the scale of \( L \) is \( \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) be a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-basis for \( L \) and put \( d = dL = \det f(x_i, x_j) \), the discriminant of the lattice \( L \). We study a Hasse principle for diagonalization, that is, we investigate the set \( \mathcal{D} \) of discriminants with the property that all indefinite lattices with discriminant in \( \mathcal{D} \), which diagonalize locally at all primes, also diagonalize globally over \( \mathbb{Z} \). Since all lattices diagonalize locally at the odd primes (see O'Meara [5]), the local condition is only significant for the prime 2. A result of J. Milnor states that all odd lattices \( L \) with \( dL = \pm 1 \) have an orthogonal basis (see Serre [6] or Wall [7]). Thus \( \pm 1 \notin \mathcal{D} \). It is also shown in James [3] that \( \pm 2q \in \mathcal{D} \) for all primes \( q \equiv 3 \mod 4 \), but \( 2 \times 1 \notin \mathcal{D} \). We prove here the following

**Theorem.** Let \( p \equiv 1 \mod 4 \), \( p' \equiv 5 \mod 8 \), \( q \equiv 3 \mod 4 \) and \( q' \equiv 3 \mod 8 \) be primes with Legendre symbols \( \left( \frac{q}{p'} \right) = \left( \frac{p'}{p} \right) = -1 \). Then \( \pm d \in \mathcal{D} \) for the following values of \( d \):

1, 2, 4, 2q, 2q', 2q^2, 2q^2p', 2q', pq, 2pq, 2pq', 2p^2, 2p^2q.

For each of the discriminants \( d \) considered in the above theorem, except \( d = 4 \), the local condition that \( L_2 \) diagonalizes is equivalent to the global condition that \( L \) is an odd lattice, namely the set \( \{ f(x, x) \mid x \in L \} \) contains at least one odd number. An exact determination of \( \mathcal{D} \) appears very difficult. In fact we will exhibit \( d \in \mathcal{D} \) with \( d \) containing arbitrarily many prime factors (see Proposition 2).

Let \( i = i(L) = i(V) \) be the Witt index of \( V \). Then \( \mathcal{D}(i) \) denotes the set of discriminants of lattices \( L \) on spaces \( V \) with Witt index at least \( i \) which diagonalize over \( \mathbb{Z} \) whenever the localization \( L_2 \) diagonalizes. It is also useful to introduce the stable version \( \mathcal{D}(\infty) \) of discriminants where \( dL \in \mathcal{D}(\infty) \)
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