

## A note on a result of Erdős, Sárközy and Sós

by

R. BALASUBRAMANIAN (Bombay)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $A$  be an infinite sequence of positive integers  $a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < \dots$ ; Let

$$R(n) = \sum_{a_i + a_j = n} 1; \quad R_1(n) = \sum_{\substack{a_i + a_j = n \\ i < j}} 1; \quad R_2(n) = \sum_{\substack{a_i + a_j = n \\ i \leq j}} 1; \quad A(N) = \sum_{\substack{a \in A \\ a \leq N}} 1.$$

Then the following three theorems are due to Erdős, Sárközy and Sós [1] (our notation is different from their notation; they use  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  instead of our  $R$ ,  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ ).

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $R(n+1) \geq R(n)$  for all large  $n$ , then  $A(N) = N + O(1)$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $R_1(n+1) \geq R_1(n)$  for all large  $n$ , then  $A(N) = \Omega(N/\log N)$  (and a similar result for  $R_2(n)$ ).*

**THEOREM 3.** *For a suitable sequence  $A$ , we have,  $R_1(n+1) \geq R_1(n)$  for all large  $n$  and  $A(N) \leq N - cN^{1/3}$  for infinitely many  $N$  and for a suitable  $c > 0$ .*

The authors in [1] state that probably a theorem analogous to Theorem 3 holds for  $R_2(n)$  also.

In this note, we give (what we believe) a simple proof of Theorem 1; slightly improve Theorem 2 and disprove their conjecture (?) about a result analogous to Theorem 3 for  $R_2(n)$ . More precisely we prove

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $R_2(n+1) \geq R_2(n)$  for all large  $n$ , then*

$$A(N) = N + O(\log N).$$

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $R_1(n+1) \geq R_1(n)$  for all large  $n$ , then*

$$\sum_{a \in A} e^{-a/N} \gg N/\log N.$$

**2. Notation.** In the sequel,  $a$ ,  $a_k$  denote the generic elements of  $A$ ;

$$f(z) = \left( \sum_{a \in A} z^a \right);$$

consequently

$$(f(z))^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(n)z^n;$$

Let  $\alpha$  be a real number,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , and  $\alpha$  is close to 1;

$$g(z) = f(z)(1-z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n;$$

$$\varepsilon_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 2a_k \text{ or } 2a_k - 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 2a_k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda_n = R(n+1) - R(n) - \varepsilon_n.$$

We write  $A(z) \stackrel{p}{\equiv} B(z)$  to denote that  $A(z) - B(z)$  is a polynomial in  $z$ .

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.**

LEMMA 1. (a) We have  $R(n) \leq 2A((n+1)/2)$  for all  $n$ .

(b) We have  $\sum_{n \leq m} \varepsilon_n \geq 2A(m/2)$  for all  $m$ .

(c)  $R(2a_k)$  is odd;  $R(2a_k + 1)$  and  $R(2a_k - 1)$  are even.

Proof. We have

$$R(n) = \sum_{a_i + a_j = n} 1 \leq 2 \sum_{\substack{a_i \leq a_j \\ a_i + a_j = n}} 1 \leq 2 \sum_{a_i \leq (n+1)/2} 1 = 2A\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)$$

and this proves 1 (a); Lemma 1 (b) follows from the definition of  $\varepsilon_n$ ; to prove 1 (c), note that all the solutions  $(a_i, a_j)$  of  $a_i + a_j = n$  can be paired as  $(a_i, a_j)$  and  $(a_j, a_i)$  except when  $n$  is of the form  $2a_k$ , in which case, we have one extra solution  $(a_k, a_k)$ .

LEMMA 2. We have  $\lambda_n \geq 0$  for all large  $n$ .

Proof. This follows from the monotonicity of  $R(n)$  and Lemma 1 (c).

LEMMA 3. We have  $\sum_{n \leq m} \lambda_n$  is bounded above for all large  $n$ .

Proof. We have

$$\sum_{n \leq m} \lambda_n = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq m} (R(n+1) - R(n) - \varepsilon_n) = R(m+1) - R(1) - \sum_{n \leq m} \varepsilon_n$$

and the lemma follows from Lemmas 1 (a) and (b).

LEMMA 4. We have  $\lambda_n = 0$  for large  $n$ .

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 2 and 3.

LEMMA 5. We have  $(f(z))^2(1-z) = (1+z)f(z^2) + p(z)$  for a suitable polynomial  $p(z)$ .

Proof. From Lemma 4, we have  $\sum \lambda_n z^{n+1} \stackrel{p}{\equiv} 0$ . Hence  $\sum (R(n+1) - R(n) - \varepsilon_n) z^{n+1} \stackrel{p}{\equiv} 0$ .

Hence

$$\left(\sum R(n)z^n\right)(1-z) \stackrel{p}{\equiv} \sum \varepsilon_n z^{n+1}.$$

Hence

$$(f(z))^2(1-z) \stackrel{p}{\equiv} \sum (z^{2a_k} + z^{2a_k+1}) \stackrel{p}{\equiv} (1+z) \sum z^{2a_k} \stackrel{p}{\equiv} (1+z)f(z^2).$$

LEMMA 6. We have  $(g(z))^2 = g(z^2) + p(z)(1-z)$ .

Proof. This follows from Lemma 5 and the definition of  $g(z)$ .

LEMMA 7. We have  $b_n = 0$  for all large  $n$ .

Proof. From Lemma 6, we have

$$\int_{|z|=\alpha} |g(z)|^2 dz \leq \int_{|z|=\alpha} |g(z^2)| dz + O(1) \\ \leq \left(\int_{|z|=z} |g(z^2)|^2 dz\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{|z|=z} |dz|\right)^{1/2} + O(1).$$

Hence, allowing  $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n|^2 \ll \left(\sum |b_n|^2\right)^{1/2} + O(1).$$

Consequently  $\sum |b_n|^2 = O(1)$ . Hence the lemma.

Now  $b_n = \chi(n) - \chi(n-1)$  where  $\chi$  is the characteristic function of the sequence  $A$ . Since  $A$  is an infinite sequence,  $\chi(n) = 1$  for infinitely many  $n$  and hence for large  $n$ . Hence Theorem 1 follows.

**4. Proof of Theorem 4.**

LEMMA 8. We have

$$f(x^2) \leq \frac{1-x}{2x} (f(x))^2 + O(1).$$

Proof. We put  $z = -\alpha$  in the relation  $(f(z))^2 = \sum R(n)z^n$  to get

$$0 \leq (f(-\alpha))^2 = \sum R(n)(-1)^n \alpha^n = \sum (R(2n)\alpha^{2n} - R(2n+1)\alpha^{2n+1}).$$

This yields, using  $R(n) = 2R_2(n) - \delta(n)$ ,

$$0 \leq 2 \sum (R_2(2n) - R_2(2n+1))x^{2n+1} + 2 \sum R_2(2n)(x^{2n} - x^{2n+1}) \\ - \sum (\delta(2n) - \delta(2n+1)x^{2n+1}) = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3 \quad \text{say.}$$

Since  $R_2(2n) - R_2(2n+1) \leq 0$  for all large  $n$ ,  $\Sigma_1$  is bounded above. From the

definition of  $\delta(n)$  it follows that

$$\Sigma_3 = -\sum \alpha^{2a_k} = -(f(\alpha^2)).$$

Further

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2 &= 2 \sum R_2(2n)(\alpha^{2n} - \alpha^{2n+1}) = 2(1-\alpha) \sum R_2(2n) \alpha^{2n} \\ &\leq (1-\alpha) \sum (R_2(2n) + R_2(2n+1)) \alpha^{2n} + O(1) \\ &\leq \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \sum (R_2(2n) \alpha^{2n} + R_2(2n+1) \alpha^{2n+1}) + O(1) \\ &= \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \sum R_2(n) \alpha^n + O(1) \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha} \sum R(n) \alpha^n + O(1) \\ &= \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha} (f(\alpha))^2 + O(1) \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows.

LEMMA 9. Define  $\Psi(N) = f(e^{-1/N})$ . Then

$$(\Psi(N))^2 \geq 2N\Psi(N/2) + O(N).$$

Proof. We put  $\alpha = e^{-1/N}$ , note that  $f(\alpha) = \Psi(N)$  and  $f(\alpha^2) = \Psi(N/2)$ . Then

$$\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{N} (1 + O(1/N)).$$

Hence the result follows from Lemma 8.

**5. Proof of Theorem 4 (continued).** In this section, we assume, as we may, that

$$\Psi(N) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

LEMMA 10. We have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\lambda} \left( \frac{N}{2^{j-2}} \right)^{1/2^j} \geq N^{1-(1/2^\lambda)}.$$

Proof. The power of  $N$  in the left side is easily seen to be  $1-(1/2^\lambda)$ . The power of 2 appearing in the denominator

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \frac{j-2}{2^j} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{j-2}{2^j} + 2 \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j+1) \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j - 3 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j + 2 = (1-\frac{1}{2})^{-2} - 3(1-\frac{1}{2})^{-1} + 2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 11. We have

$$(1-d_1)^{d_2} \geq 1-2d_1d_2 \quad \text{if} \quad 0 < d_1 < 1/2; d_2 > 0.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (-\log((1-d_1)^{d_2})) &= -d_2 \log(1-d_1) = d_2 \left( d_1 + \frac{d_1^2}{2} + \frac{d_1^3}{3} + \dots \right) \\ &\leq d_2 (d_1 + d_1^2 + d_1^3 + \dots) \leq 2d_1d_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(1-d_1)^{d_2} \geq e^{-2d_1d_2} \geq 1-2d_1d_2$ .

LEMMA 12. For a suitable constant  $c_1 > 0$ ,

$$(\Psi(N))^2 \geq N\Psi(N/2) \geq N \quad \text{for all } N \geq c_1.$$

Proof. Since  $\Psi(N) \rightarrow \infty$ , both inequalities follow from Lemma 9.

LEMMA 13. We have  $\Psi(N) \geq N$ .

From Lemma 12,

$$\Psi(N) \geq N^{1/2} (\Psi(N/2))^{1/2} \quad \text{if } N \text{ is large.}$$

$$\Psi(N/2) \geq (N/2)^{1/2} (\Psi(N/4))^{1/2} \quad \text{if } N \text{ is large.}$$

Hence

$$\Psi(N) \geq N^{1/2} (N/2)^{1/4} (\Psi(N/4))^{1/2} \quad \text{if } N \text{ is large.}$$

Proceeding similarly and choosing  $\lambda$  such that

$$c_1 \leq N/2^{\lambda+1} \leq 2c_1,$$

we have

$$\Psi(N) \geq N^{1/2} (N/2)^{1/4} \dots (N/2^\lambda)^{1/2} (\Psi(N/2^{\lambda+1}))^{1/2^{\lambda+1}}.$$

Since  $\Psi(N/2^{\lambda+1}) \geq 1$  (Lemma 12), we are through.

LEMMA 14. For a suitable constant  $c_2$ ,

$$(\Psi(N))^2 \geq 2N\Psi(N/2)(1-c_2/N).$$

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 9 and 13. In the sequel, we assume, as we may, that  $c_2 \geq c_1$ .

LEMMA 15. We have

$$\Psi(N) \geq N + O(\log N).$$

Proof. From Lemma 14, we have

$$\Psi(N) \geq (2N)^{1/2} (\Psi(N/2))^{1/2} (1-c_2/N)^{1/2},$$

$$\Psi(N/2) \geq (N)^{1/2} (\Psi(N/4))^{1/2} (1-2c_2/N)^{1/2}.$$

Hence

$$\Psi(N) \geq (2N)^{1/2} N^{1/4} (\Psi(N/4))^{1/4} (1 - c_2/N)^{1/2} (1 - c_2/N)^{1/4}$$

and using Lemma 11,

$$\Psi(N) \geq (2N)^{1/2} N^{1/4} (\Psi(N/4))^{1/4} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{c_2}{N}\right)^2.$$

Proceeding similarly and choosing  $\lambda$  such that

$$100 \leq \frac{N}{2^{\lambda-1} c_2} \leq 200,$$

we have

$$\Psi(N) \geq (2N)^{1/2} N^{1/4} \left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^{1/8} \dots \left(\frac{N}{2^{\lambda-2}}\right)^{1/2^{\lambda-2}} \left(\Psi\left(\frac{N}{2^{\lambda}}\right)\right)^{1/2^{\lambda}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{c_2}{N}\right)^{\lambda}.$$

Now using  $\Psi(N/2^{\lambda}) \geq 1$  and Lemma 10, we have

$$\Psi(N) > N^{1-1/2^{\lambda}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{c_2}{N}\right)^{\lambda}$$

and hence the lemma.

To prove Theorem 4, observe that, if  $\chi(n)$  is the characteristic function of the set  $A$ , then from Lemma 15, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n) e^{-n/N} \geq N + O(\log N).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \chi(n)) e^{-n/N} = O(\log N).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n \leq N} (1 - \chi(n)) = O(\log N)$$

and this proves Theorem 4.

**6. Proof of Theorem 5.** The proofs of Lemmas 16, 17, 18 and 19 are already contained in [1], and we give them for the sake of completeness.

LEMMA 16. *We have*

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_1(n) z^n \right| \leq \frac{(f(\alpha))^2 (1-\alpha) + O(1)}{|1-z|} \quad \text{on the circle } |z| = \alpha.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum R_1(n) z^n (1-z) \right| &= \left| \sum (R_1(n) - R_1(n-1)) z^n \right| \\ &\leq \sum (R_1(n) - R_1(n-1)) x^n + O(1) \\ &= \sum R_1(n) x^n (1-x) + O(1) \\ &\leq \sum R(n) x^n (1-x) + O(1) \\ &= (f(x))^2 (1-x) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 17. *We have, on the circle  $|z| = \alpha$ ,*

$$|f(z)|^2 \leq 2 \frac{(f(x))^2 (1-x) + O(1)}{|1-z|} + |f(z^2)|.$$

Proof. We observe that

$$(f(z))^2 = \sum R(n) z^n = 2 \sum R_1(n) z^n + \sum \delta_n z^n = 2 \sum R_1(n) z^n + f(z^2)$$

and the result follows from Lemma 16.

LEMMA 18. *We have*

$$f(x^2) \ll ((f(x))^2 (1-x) + O(1)) \log \frac{1}{1-\alpha} + (f(x^2))^{1/2}.$$

Proof. We integrate the relation in Lemma 17 on  $|z| = \alpha$ . We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|z|=\alpha} |f(z)|^2 dz &= \sum_{a \in A} \alpha^{2a} = f(\alpha^2), \\ \int_{|z|=\alpha} \frac{dz}{|1-z|} &\ll \log \frac{1}{1-\alpha}, \\ \int_{|z|=\alpha} |f(z^2)| dz &\ll \left( \int_{|z|=\alpha} |f(z^2)|^2 dz \right)^{1/2} (\int |dz|) \ll \left( \sum_{a \in A} \alpha^{4a} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 19. *We have*

$$f(\alpha^2) \ll ((f(\alpha))^2 (1-\alpha) + O(1)) \log \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow 1.$$

Proof. Since  $f(\alpha^4) \leq f(\alpha^2)$  and  $f(\alpha^2) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ , the last term on the right of Lemma 17 could be dropped. Putting  $\alpha = e^{-1/N}$ , we have

LEMMA 20.

$$\Psi\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) \ll \left( \frac{(\Psi(N))^2}{N} + O(1) \right) \log N.$$

LEMMA 21. *We have*

$$\Psi\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) \ll \frac{(\Psi(N))^2}{N} \log N.$$



Proof. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{n \leq N} R_1(n) &= \sum_{n \leq N} (R(n) - \delta(n)) = \sum_{n \leq N} \sum_{a_i + a_j = n} 1 - A(N) \\ &= \sum_{a_i + a_j \leq N} 1 - A(N) \leq \left( \sum_{a_i \leq N} 1 \right)^2 - A(N) \leq A^2(N) - A(N). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A(N) \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that  $\sum_{n \leq N} R_1(n) \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $R_1(n) \geq 1$  for infinitely many  $n$ . But  $R_1(n)$  is monotonic. Hence  $R_1(n) \geq 1$  for all large  $n$ . Hence  $\sum_{n \leq N} R_1(n) \geq N$ . Using this lower bound in the above inequality it follows that  $A^2(N) \geq N$ . Hence  $A(N) \geq N^{1/2}$ . Hence  $\Psi(N) \geq N^{1/2}$ . In particular  $\Psi(N/2) \geq N^{1/2}$ .

Therefore from Lemma 20,

$$\left( \frac{(\Psi(N))^2}{N} + O(1) \right) \log N \geq \Psi(N/2) \geq N^{1/2}.$$

Hence  $\Psi(N) \geq N^{3/4}/(\log N)^{1/2}$ . Consequently

$$\frac{(\Psi(N))^2}{N} + O(1) \leq \frac{(\Psi(N))^2}{N}.$$

Hence Lemma 21 follows from Lemma 20.

Now Theorem 5 could be completed as in Theorem 4. From Theorem 5, one can deduce that

$$A(N) = \Omega(N/\log N).$$

If not, then

$$A(2^j N) = o\left(\frac{2^j N}{\log N}\right) \quad \text{for all } j \geq 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(N) &= \sum_{a \in A} e^{-a/N} = \sum_j \sum_{2^j N < a \leq 2^{j+1} N} e^{-a/N} \\ &\leq \sum_j e^{-2^j} \sum_{\substack{a \leq 2^{j+1} N \\ a \in A}} 1 \leq \sum_j e^{-2^j} \left( \varepsilon \frac{2^j N}{\log N} \right) \quad \text{for a suitably small } \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\Psi(N) \leq c\varepsilon \frac{N}{\log N}$  for an absolute constant  $c$ , which contradicts Theorem 5.

**Acknowledgement.** The author is grateful to Professor K. Ramachandra for encouragement and his interest in this work.

Dr. S. Srinivasan kindly pointed out the following result which is equivalent to Theorem 1.

Let  $1 = a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots$  be an infinite sequence. Define

$$\varepsilon_r(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n - a_r \in [a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}) \text{ for some } j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the function  $f(n) = \sum (-1)^r \varepsilon_r(n)$  changes sign infinitely often.

**Added in proof.** We observe that the method of proof of Theorem 4 actually yields the following stronger theorem (compare with Theorem 2 of *Problems and results on additive properties of general sequences*, V by P. Erdős, A. Sárközy and V. T. Sós):

THEOREM. If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n - A(n)}{\log n} = \infty,$$

then

$$\limsup_{k \leq N} \sum (R_2(2k) - R_2(2k+1)) = \infty.$$

References

[1] P. Erdős, A. Sárközy and V. T. Sós, *Problems and results on additive properties of general sequence*, IV, Number theory proceedings, Ootacamund, India 1984, Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes 1122, pp. 85-104.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS  
TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH  
Homi Bhabha Road  
Bombay 400005, India

Received on 15.11.1985

(1559)