

**Power mean-values for Dirichlet's polynomials
 and the Riemann zeta-function, II**

by

J.-M. DESHOULLERS (Talence) and H. IWANIEC (Warszawa)

1. Introduction. Statement of the results. In this paper we describe another version of an argument introduced in [4] and substantially refined in the authors paper [2] to estimate the integrals

$$S(T, M) = \int_0^T |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)M(it)|^2 dt$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta-function and $M(s)$ is a Dirichlet polynomial of length M , i.e.

$$M(s) = \sum_{m \leq M} a_m m^{-s}.$$

The final objective would be to give a bound

$$(1) \quad S(T, M) \ll T^{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{m \leq M} |a_m|^2$$

for $M = T$ which in fact is equivalent to the Lindelöf hypothesis. The only unconditional result known hitherto asserts (1) for $M = T^{1/2}$ while the celebrated R^* -conjecture of C. Hooley [3] concerning the order of magnitude of incomplete Kloosterman sums yields (1) for $M = T^{4/7}$ (see [4]).

Here we obtain such result without Hooley's conjecture for polynomials $M(s)$ that are squares, namely we prove the following

THEOREM 1. *For any complex numbers a_m with $|a_m| \leq 1$ we have*

$$(2) \quad \int_0^T |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^2 \left| \sum_{m \leq M} a_m m^{-1/2 - it} \right|^4 dt \ll T^\varepsilon (T + T^{1/2} M^{7/4} + M^{13/4}).$$

Here and below ε is any positive number, not necessarily the same in each occurrence, and the constant implied in the notation \ll may depend on ε at most.

Theorem 1 will be a consequence of a somewhat more general result.

THEOREM 2. *Let $N \leq M$. For any complex numbers a_m, b_n with $|a_m| \leq 1, |b_n| \leq 1$ we have*

$$(3) \quad \int_0^T |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^2 \left| \sum_{m \leq M} a_m m^{-1/2-it} \right| \left| \sum_{n \leq N} b_n n^{-1/2-it} \right|^2 dt \ll T^{\epsilon} (T + T^{1/2} M^{3/4} N + T^{1/2} M N^{1/2} + M^{7/4} N^{3/2}).$$

The proof depends strongly on estimates for sums of incomplete Kloosterman sums which the authors established in the memoir [1] on the basis of Kuznetsov sum formula for the Hecke congruence groups. We wish to mention that the same estimates were used in [2] in a different manner to infer the following

THEOREM 3. *For any complex numbers a_m we have*

$$(4) \quad \int_0^T |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^4 \left| \sum_{m \leq M} a_m m^{it} \right|^2 dt \ll T^{\epsilon} (T + T^{1/2} M^2 + T^{3/4} M^{5/4}) \sum_{m \leq M} |a_m|^2.$$

Combining Theorems 2 and 3 we shall deduce the following

THEOREM 4. *Let $a_m \equiv A(m)$ or $a_m \equiv \mu(m)$ and $M(s) = \sum_{m \leq M} a_m m^{-s}$. We then have*

$$(5) \quad \int_0^T |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it) M(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^2 dt \ll T^{\epsilon} (T + T^{1/2} M^{7/8} + M^{5/3}).$$

Hence (1) holds for $M(s)$ subject to $M \leq T^{4/7}$.

In a letter to the second author D.R. Heath-Brown has informed that he was able to prove the second assertion of Theorem 4 for polynomials $M(s) = \sum_{m \leq M} \mu(m) m^{-s}$ of length $M \leq T^{8/15}$ and a few other results included in our Theorem 2. He made use of A. Weil estimate for Kloosterman sums as it had been done originally in [4].

In this paper we do not give asymptotic formulas for our integrals. They are important for problems concerning the distribution of zeros of the Riemann zeta-function. It is therefore interesting to point out that R. Balasubramanian and B. Conrey have succeeded to show the formula (oral communication)

$$\int_0^T |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it) M(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^2 dt \sim T \sum_{m_1, m_2 \leq M} \frac{a_{m_1} a_{m_2}}{[m_1, m_2]} \left(\log \frac{T(m_1, m_2)^2}{2\pi m_1 m_2} + 2\gamma - 1 \right)$$

if $a_m = \mu(m)f(m)$, f is a smooth function $\ll 1$ and $M < T^{8/15-\epsilon}$. Consequently by refining the method of Levinson they showed that at least 38% of complex zeros of $\zeta(s)$ lay on the critical line.

2. Preliminary transformations. Our first aim is to prove Theorem 2. It is clearly sufficient to show that

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{J}(L, M, N, T) := \int_T^{2T} |L(it)M(it)N(it)|^2 dt \ll T^{4\epsilon} (T + T^{1/2} M^{3/4} N + M^{7/4} N^{3/2}) LMN$$

for $L < L_1 \leq 2L \leq T, N < M$ where

$$L(s) = \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} l^{-s}, \quad M(s) = \sum_{M < m \leq 2M} a_m m^{-s}, \quad N(s) = \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} b_n n^{-s}.$$

We could restrict ourselves to $L \leq T^{1/2}$ by the approximate functional equation for $\zeta(s)$ but this is not necessary. However, it will be useful to assume that

$$(7) \quad LMN > T^{1+4\epsilon},$$

in the opposite case (6) following from the classical mean value theorem. For notational simplicity let us put $B = MN$ and

$$B(s) = M(s)N(s) = \sum_{B < b \leq 4B} \beta_b b^{-s}$$

where

$$(8) \quad \beta_b = \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq 2M, \\ mn=b}} \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} a_m b_n.$$

In this section we express the integral $\mathcal{J}(L, M, N, T)$ by means of exponential sums that are alike Kloosterman sums. We begin with introducing smooth weights $f(t)$ and $g(l)$ such that

$$f(t) \geq 0, \quad f(t) = 1 \quad \text{if } T < t \leq 2T, \quad f(t) = 0 \quad \text{unless } \frac{1}{2}T < t < \frac{5}{2}T,$$

$$g(l) \geq 0, \quad g(l) = 1 \quad \text{if } L < l \leq 2L, \quad g(l) = 0 \quad \text{unless } \frac{1}{2}L < l < \frac{5}{2}L$$

and

$$f^{(\nu)}(t) \ll T^{-\nu}, \quad g^{(\nu)}(l) \ll L^{-\nu}$$

for any $\nu \geq 0$, the constant implied in \ll depending on ν alone. By a standard device we can replace $L(s)$ by

$$G(s) = \sum g(l) l^{-s}$$

and we can introduce the kernel $f(t)$ in the integration over t . To be precise, letting

$$\mathcal{J}(f, g; M, N) := \int f(t) |G(it)B(it)|^2 dt$$

it can be shown (by applying Perron's formula for example) that

$$\mathcal{J}(L, M, N, T) \ll (T+MN)LMN + \max \mathcal{J}(f, g; M, N) \log T$$

where the maximum is taken over sequences $(a_m)_{M < m \leq 2M}$ and $(b_n)_{N < n \leq 2N}$ with $|a_m| \leq 1$ and $|b_n| \leq 1$. Hence it remains to prove (6) for $\mathcal{J}(f, g; M, N)$.

Squaring out the sum over the variables l and b involved in $|G(it)B(it)|^2$ one obtains terms $g(l)g(l_1)\beta_b\beta_{b_1}(lb/lb_1)^{it}$ to be integrated over t with weight $f(t)$. For the terms placed far enough from the diagonal, precisely for those with

$$lb = l_1b_1 + h, \quad |h| \geq H := LMNT^{\varepsilon-1}$$

we get

$$\int f(t) \left(\frac{lb}{l_1b_1}\right)^{it} dt \ll h^{-2} T^{-100}$$

by iterated partial integration, whence such terms contribute to $\mathcal{J}(f, g; M, N)$ at most $O(MN)$. Also the terms on the diagonal, namely those with $lb = l_1b_1$ contribute to $\mathcal{J}(f, g; M, N)$ an admissible quantity $O(TLMN)$. From the above discussion we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(f, g; M, N) &= \int f(t) \sum_{0 < |h| \leq H} \sum_{b, b_1} \beta_b \beta_{b_1} \sum_{lb=l_1b_1+h} g(l)g(l_1) \left(1 - \frac{h}{lb}\right)^{-it} \\ &\quad + O(TLMN). \end{aligned}$$

Here we insert the approximations

$$g(l_1) = g(lb/b_1) + O(T^{\varepsilon-1})$$

and

$$\left(1 - \frac{h}{lb}\right)^{-it} = e^{iht/lb} + O(T^{\varepsilon-1})$$

with the effect that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(f, g; M, N) &= \int f(t) \sum_{0 < |h| \leq H} \sum_{b, b_1} \beta_b \beta_{b_1} \sum_{lb=hb_1} g(l)g(lb/b_1) e^{iht/lb} \\ &\quad + O(T^{\varepsilon}(T+MN)LMN). \end{aligned}$$

The terms with $\delta = (b, b_1) > \Delta := LMNT^{-\varepsilon-1}$ contribute to $\mathcal{J}(f, g; M, N)$ at most

$$\begin{aligned} 4T \sum_{0 < |h| \leq H} \sum_{\delta: |h, \delta > \Delta} \sum_{(b, b_1) = \delta} |\beta_b \beta_{b_1}| 2\delta Lb_1^{-1} \\ \ll LB^{-1}TH \sum_{\delta > \Delta} \sum_{(b, b_1) = \delta} |\beta_b \beta_{b_1}| \\ \ll L\Delta^{-1}TH \sum_b |\beta_b|^2 \tau(b) \ll T^{1+2\varepsilon} LMN (\log 2MN)^3. \end{aligned}$$

The remaining terms can be arranged as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(f, g; M, N) &= \int f(t) \sum_{0 < \delta \leq \Delta} \sum_{0 < \delta/|h| \leq H} \sum_{(b, b_1) = \delta} \beta_b \beta_{b_1} + \sum_{l=hb_1/c} g(l)g(lb/b_1) e^{iht/lb} \\ &\quad + O(T^{2\varepsilon}(T+MN)LMN). \end{aligned}$$

Here and below we denote $a = \delta^{-1}b$ and $c = \delta^{-1}b_1$, so $(a, c) = 1$. For the innermost sum, we apply the Poisson formula

$$\sum_l = \sum_k e\left(-hk \frac{\bar{a}}{c}\right) \hat{g}(h, k)$$

where

$$\hat{g}(h, k) = \int g(\xi a) g(\xi c) e\left(\frac{ht}{2\pi \xi a c} + k\xi\right) d\xi.$$

If $\delta|k| > K := L^{-1}MNT^{2\varepsilon}$, then by iterated partial integration it follows that $\hat{g}(h, k) \ll k^{-2}T^{-100}$ so the total contribution of the 'tail' $\delta|k| > K$ is absorbed by the earlier error term.

With the constant terms ($k = 0$), we proceed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 < |h| \leq \delta^{-1}H} \hat{g}(h, 0) &= \int g(\xi a) g(\xi c) \left(\sum_{0 < |h| \leq \delta^{-1}H} e^{iht/\xi a c} \right) d\xi \\ &= \int g(\xi a) g(\xi c) \chi(x, y) d\xi + O(\delta LB^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi(x, y) = (e^{ixy} - e^{-ixy})(e^{iy} - 1)^{-1}$ with $x = \delta^{-1}H$ and $y = t/\xi a c$ by the elementary formula

$$\sum_{0 < |h| \leq x} e^{ihy} = \chi(x, y) + O(1).$$

Notice that $y \ll \Delta T/LB = T^{-\varepsilon}$ and $xy \gg HT/LB = T^{\varepsilon}$, therefore by iterated partial integration with respect to ξ we get

$$\int g(\xi a) g(\xi c) \chi(x, y) d\xi \ll T^{-100}$$

which shows that the total contribution of the constant terms is absorbed by the earlier error term.

Finally we arrive at the following general formula

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(f, g; M, N) &= \sum_{0 < \delta \leq \Delta} \sum_{0 < \delta/|h| \leq H} \sum_{0 < \delta/|k| \leq K} \sum_{(a, c) = 1} \beta_{ca} \beta_{ac} e\left(-hk \frac{\bar{a}}{c}\right) F(h, k, a, c) \\ &\quad + O(T^{2\varepsilon}(T+MN)LMN) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(9) \quad F(h, k, a, c) = 2\pi a c \iint \xi g(\xi a) g(\xi c) f(2\pi \xi \eta a c) e(\xi \bar{h} + \eta \bar{h}) d\xi d\eta.$$

Now, let us specify β_b to that given by (8). We have $b = \delta a = mn$, hence writing $m = \mu d$ with $\mu | \delta^\infty$, $(d, \delta) = 1$, we see that $n = vr$ and $a = qrd$ where $v = \delta/(\mu, \delta)$ and $q = \mu/(\mu, \delta)$. With this notation, we get

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{J}(f, g; M, N) = \sum_{0 < \delta \leq \Delta} \sum_{\mu | \delta^\infty} \sum_{0 < d | h| \leq H} \sum_{0 < c | k| \leq K} \sum_{(c, e) = 1} \beta_{bc} \times \\ \times \sum_{(d, \delta c) = 1} a_{\mu d} \sum_{(r, e) = 1} b_{rr} e \left(-hk \frac{qr\bar{d}}{c} \right) F(h, k, qrd, e) + O(T^{3\epsilon} (T + MN) LMN).$$

3. An upper bound for sums of Kloosterman type. To proceed further we need the following

LEMMA 1. Let $C, D, U, V \geq 1$ and $|e(u, v)| \leq 1$. We then have

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq c \leq C \\ (c, d) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq d \leq D \\ (c, d) = 1}} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq u \leq U \\ (u, v) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq v \leq V \\ (u, v) = 1}} e(u, v) e \left(u \frac{qvd}{c} \right) \right| \\ \ll (CDUV)^{1/2 + \epsilon} \{ (CD)^{1/2} + (U + V)^{1/4} [CD(U + eV)(C + eV^2) + eUV^2 D^2]^{1/4} \}.$$

Proof. Split up the outer sum into sums of the type

$$\mathcal{X}(C, D, U, V) = \sum_{C < c \leq 2C} \sum_{D < d \leq 2D} \left| \sum_u \sum_v \right|.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$\mathcal{X}^2(C, D, U, V) \leq CD \sum_{(c, d) = 1} \sum_{(c, d) = 1} g(c, d) \left| \sum_u \sum_v \right|^2 \\ = CD \sum_{\substack{1 \leq u_1, u_2 \leq U \\ 1 \leq v_1, v_2 \leq V}} e(u_1, v_1) \overline{e(u_2, v_2)} \sum_{(c, qv_1 v_2 d) = 1} g(c, d) e \left((u_1 v_2 - u_2 v_1) \frac{qv_1 v_2 d}{c} \right) \\ = CD \sum_{1 \leq r \leq R} \sum_{|n| \leq N} b(n, r) \sum_{(c, er) = 1} \sum_{(d, e) = 1} g(c, d) e \left(n \frac{rd}{c} \right)$$

say, where $g(c, d)$ is any function which majorizes the characteristic function of the cube $[C, 2C] \times [D, 2D]$, $R = eV^2$, $N = 2UV$ and

$$b(n, r) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq v_1, v_2 \leq V \\ qv_1 v_2 = r}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq u_1, u_2 \leq U \\ u_1 v_2 - u_2 v_1 = n}} e(u_1, v_1) \overline{e(u_2, v_2)}.$$

The terms with $n = 0$ contribute at most

$$4C^2 D^2 \sum_r |b(0, r)| \ll C^2 D^2 \sum_{1 \leq q \leq UV} \tau^2(q) \ll C^2 D^2 UV (\log UV)^4.$$

For estimating the remaining sum we appeal to Theorem 12 of [1] giving the upper bound

$$(CDUV)^\epsilon CD [C(R + N)(C + DR) + C^2 D \sqrt{(R + N)R} + D^2 NR]^{1/2} \times \\ \times \left(\sum_{n, r} |b(n, r)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

We have

$$\sum_{n, r} |b(n, r)|^2 \ll V^\epsilon \sum_{1 \leq v_1, v_2 \leq V} \sum_n \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq u_1, u_2 \leq U \\ u_1 v_2 - u_2 v_1 = n}} 1 \right|^2 \\ = V^\epsilon \sum_{1 \leq v_1, v_2 \leq V} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \leq U \\ (u_1 - u_3) v_2 = (u_2 - u_4) v_1}} 1 \\ \ll (UV)^{3\epsilon} U^2 V (U + V).$$

Finally, by elementary calculations one completes the proof of Lemma 1.

4. Proof of Theorem 2. Lemma 1 is almost applicable to the sum $\mathcal{J}_{\delta\mu}(f, g; M, N) = \sum_h \sum_k \sum_c \sum_d \sum_r$ from (10) with only a minor objection, that the variables c, d, r in the weight function $F(h, k, qrd, e)$ need be separated. This is, however, an easy problem which can be solved in various standard ways. The technique based on applying Mellin's transform for the kernel functions $g(\xi qrd)$, $g(\xi c)$ and $f(2\pi\xi\eta qrd e)$ in the integral representation (9) is standard, so we skip details. Having done it, we apply Lemma 1 with $C = \delta^{-1}MN$, $D = \mu^{-1}M$, $U = \delta^{-2}HK = \delta^{-2}M^2 N^2 T^{3\epsilon-1}$ and $V = v^{-1}N$ giving

$$\mathcal{J}_{\delta\mu}(f, g; M, N) \ll T^\epsilon (\delta M^{-1} N^{-1} LT) (e^{-1/2} \delta^{-2} M^2 N^2 T^{-1/2}) \times \\ \times \left\{ \left(\frac{M^2 N}{\delta\mu} \right)^{1/2} + \left(\frac{M^2 N^2}{\delta^2 T} + \frac{N}{v} \right)^{1/4} \left[\frac{M^2 N}{\delta\mu} \left(\frac{M^2 N^2}{\delta^2 T} + \frac{eN}{v} \right) \left(\frac{MN}{\delta} + \frac{eN^2}{v^2} \right) + \frac{eM^2 N^4}{\delta^2 v^2 \mu^2 T} \right]^{1/4} \right\} \\ \ll \delta^{-5/4} \mu^{-1/4} T^{3\epsilon} LMN \{ T^{1/2} M^{3/4} N + T^{1/2} MN^{1/2} + M^{7/4} N^{3/2} \}.$$

Hence, by (10), the summation over $\mu | \delta^\infty$ and $\delta \leq \Delta$ completes the proof of Theorem 2.



5. Proof of Theorem 4. We first rearrange the polynomial $M(s)$ by applying the celebrated identity of R. C. Vaughan [5]. Since the arguments are well-known we shall be very brief. Thus $M(s)$ can be regarded as the product of two Dirichlet's polynomials having either the shape

$$(11) \quad M_1(s)N_1(s)$$

or

$$(12) \quad M_2(s)L_2(s),$$

where $M_1(s), N_1(s), M_2(s)$ and $L_2(s)$ are Dirichlet's polynomials of length M_1, N_1, M_2, L_2 respectively satisfying

$$M_1N_1 \leq M, \quad W < N_1 < M_1,$$

$$M_2L_2 \leq M, \quad M_2 < W^2$$

and with coefficients bounded by the divisor function. Moreover $L_2(s)$ is a partial sum of the Riemann zeta-function. Here W is any parameter at our disposal. We choose $W = T^{1/5}$, so for polynomial (11), by Theorem 2 we get

$$\int_0^T |\zeta M_1 N_1(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^2 dt \ll T^\epsilon (T + T^{1/2} M^{3/4} N_1^{1/4} + T^{1/2} M N_1^{-1/2} + M^{7/4} N_1^{-1/4}) \\ \ll T^\epsilon (T + T^{1/2} M^{7/8} + T^{2/5} M + T^{-1/20} M^{7/4})$$

which implies (5). For the polynomial (12), if $M_2 > W$ the arguments are very similar to those of the first one, and if $M_2 < W$ we apply Theorem 3 getting

$$\int_0^T |\zeta M_2 L_2(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^2 dt \ll T^{1+\epsilon}.$$

References

[1] J.-M. Deshouillers and H. Iwaniec, *Kloosterman sums and Fourier coefficients of cusp forms*, Invent. Math. 70 (1982), pp. 219-288.
 [2] — — *Power mean-values for the Riemann zeta-function*, Mathematika 29 (1982), pp. 202-212.
 [3] C. Hooley, *On the greatest prime factor of a cubic polynomial*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 303/304 (1978), pp. 21-50.
 [4] H. Iwaniec, *On mean values for Dirichlet polynomials and the Riemann zeta-function*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 22 (1980), pp. 39-45.
 [5] R.-C. Vaughan, *Sommes trigonométriques sur les nombres premiers*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris A-285 (1977), pp. 981-983.

Received on 5. 11. 1982

(1324)

Reducibility of lacunary polynomials, IV

by

A. SCHINZEL (Warszawa)

The aim of this paper is to make a further contribution to the problem of reducibility of polynomials

$$(1) \quad f(x) = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k a_j x^{n_j} \quad (0 = n_0 < n_1 < \dots < n_k, a_0 a_k \neq 0)$$

for fixed integral coefficients a_j and variable exponents n_j . The non-reciprocal irreducible factors of $f(x)$ can be found by means of Theorem 2 in [3] and as to reciprocal factors the conjecture proposed in [2] implies the existence of a constant $C(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k)$ such that either all reciprocal irreducible factors of f are cyclotomic or $\sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_j n_j = 0$ for suitable integers γ_j satisfying

$$0 < \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} |\gamma_j| \leq C(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k).$$

We shall prove

THEOREM. *If f is given by (1) with a_j integral, then either all reciprocal irreducible factors of f are cyclotomic or there exist integers $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ satisfying*

$$(2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_j n_j = 0,$$

$$(3) \quad 0 < \max_{j=1, \dots, k} |\gamma_j| \leq \max_{0 \leq j \leq k} \frac{\log a_j^2}{\log 2}$$

and the number of reciprocal non-cyclotomic factors of f does not exceed the total number of prime factors of (a_0, a_k) or finally the following system of