

ACTA ARITHMETICA XL (1982)

On some arithmetical properties of Lucas and Lehmer numbers

b٦

K. GYÖRY (Debrecen)

As is well known, the Lucas numbers u_n are defined by

$$u_n = \frac{a^n - \beta^n}{a - \beta}, \quad n > 0,$$

where $\alpha + \beta$ and $\alpha\beta$ are relatively prime non-zero rational integers and α/β is not a root of unity, while the Lehmer numbers u_n satisfy

$$u_n = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta} & \text{for } n \text{ odd,} \\ \\ \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2} & \text{for } n \text{ even,} \end{cases}$$

where $(\alpha + \beta)^2$ and $\alpha\beta$ are relatively prime non-zero rational integers and α/β is not a root of unity. The Lucas and Lehmer numbers are rational integers.

Let p_1, \ldots, p_s be rational primes with $\max(p_i) = P$ and denote by

S the set of rational integers which have only these primes as prime factors. In our joint paper [6] with Kiss and Schinzel we proved that if u_n is a Lucas or a Lehmer number with n > 6 and $u_n \in S$ then

$$(1) n \leq \max\{C_1, P+1\}$$

with $C_1 = e^{452} 4^{67}$ and

(2)
$$\max\{|a|, |\beta|, |u_n|\} < C_2$$

where C_2 is an effectively computable positive number depending only on P and s. In proving this theorem we combined an explicit form of a result of Schinzel [10] (i.e. a theorem of Stewart [15]) on Lucas and Lehmer numbers with the effective estimates obtained for the solutions of the Thue-Mahler equation ([3], [13], [7]).

Recently, I have obtained ([5], Corollary 1) improved and explicit upper bounds for the integer solutions of the Thue-Mahler equation, subject to the weaker condition that the form occurring in the equation has at least three distinct linear factors. This together with Stewart's theorem [15] enables us to establish the following improvements of (2).

K. Györy

THEOREM 1. Let u_n be a Lucas number or a Lehmer number defined as above with n > 6. If $u_n \in S$, then

(3)
$$\max\{|\alpha|, |\beta|, |u_n|\} < \exp\{(20n\varphi(n))^{s\varphi(n)(3\varphi(n)/4+11/2)+13\varphi(n)+24} \times s^{s\varphi(n)(\varphi(n)+11/2+11\varphi(n)+20} I^{s\varphi(n)/2} (\log P)^{s\varphi(n)/2+9}\}$$

where $\varphi(n)$ denotes Euler's function.

From (1) and Theorem 1 we obtain the following

THEOREM 2. Let $u_n \in S$ be as in Theorem 1 with n > 6. If $n \leqslant C_1$ then

$$\max\{|a|,\,|\beta|,\,|u_n|\} < \exp\big\{\!\! \left(C_1^{2sC_1} s^{sC_1} P (\log P)^s \right)^{\!C_1} \!\! \right\}$$

and if $n > C_1$ then

(4')
$$\max\{|\alpha|, |\beta|, |u_n|\} < \exp\{(sP^{7/4})^{sP^2}\}.$$

P(m) and $\omega(m)$ will signify the greatest prime factor and the number of distinct prime factors of m. From (2) it follows that $P(u_n) \to \infty$ as $|u_n| \to \infty$ with n > 6. Theorem 2 implies this result in a quantitative form. The following theorem allows us to get some new information about the arithmetical structure of Lucas and Lehmer numbers.

THEOREM 3. Let u_n be a Lucas or a Lehmer number with n > 6 and $|u_n| > C_3$ where $C_3 = \exp \exp \{4C_1^3 \log C_1\}$. Then

$$4sP^2\log P > \log\log |u_n|$$

and

370

(6)
$$P > \frac{1}{2} (\log \log |u_n|)^{1/3}$$

where $P = P(u_n)$ and $s = \omega(u_n)$.

We remark that by the results of Carmichael [1], Ward [18] and Schinzel [9] we have $P(u_n) \ge n-1$ for all sufficiently large integers n. Recently Stewart [16] and Shorey and Stewart [11] obtained more precise lower bounds for $P(u_n)$ in terms of n for "almost all" positive integers n (i.e. except for a set of integers n of asymptotic density zero). Stewart [14], [16], Erdős and Shorey [4] and Shorey and Stewart [11] established good lower estimates for $P(u_n)$ as n runs through certain special but important sets of integers. These estimates do not imply (5) and (6), because the lower bounds in our Theorem 3 depend on u_n in place of n.

COROLLARY. Let S be as above. Then the equation

$$\frac{u^x - v^x}{u - v} = w$$

in integers x, u, v, w with x > 3, $u > v \ge 1$, (u, v) = 1, $w \in S$ implies $x \le P$ and

8)
$$\max(u, w) < \exp\left\{s^{8P(P+30)/2}(20P^2)^{8P(P+6)+14(P+2)}\right\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. We follow the proof of the theorem of [6]. Suppose that $u_n \in S$ is a Lucas number or a Lehmer number with n > 6. Write $\alpha\beta = B$ and $\alpha + \beta = A$ or $(\alpha + \beta)^2 = A$ according as u_n is a Lucas or a Lehmer number. Putting $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = E$, we have $E = A^2 - 2B$ or E = A - 2B and (E, B) = 1.

We denote the dth eyelotomic polynomial in x and y by $\Phi_a(x, y)$. We have

$$u_n := \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta} = \prod_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d > 1}} \Phi_d(\alpha, \beta), \quad n > 0,$$

OJ,

$$u_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2} = \prod_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d \geqslant 3}} \Phi_d(\alpha, \beta) \quad \text{for } n \text{ even.}$$

Let $d \ge 3$ and $\zeta = e^{2\pi t/d}$. Then

$$\Phi_d(\alpha,\beta) = F_d(E,B),$$

where

(9)
$$F_d(z, 1) = \prod_{\substack{(t, d) = 1 \\ 1 \le t \le d/2}} (z - (\zeta^t + \zeta^{-t}))$$

is an irreducible polynomial of degree $\varphi(d)/2$ with rational integer coefficients. Since $\Phi_2(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$, we obtain in both cases

(10)
$$G(E,B) = \prod_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d \geqslant 3}} F_d(E,B) \in S$$

where G(x, y) is a homogeneous polynomial with rational integer coefficients and with at least three distinct linear factors in its factorization.

(10) is a Time-Mahler equation in E, B, so we may apply Corollary 1 of [5]. Denote by $K=K_n$ the maximal real subfield of the nth cyclotomic field and let $k=\varphi(n)/2$, R_K and k_K be the degree, the regulator and the class number of K. |G| and |G| will signify the degree and the maximum of the absolute values of the coefficients of G. Then we have

(11)
$$\max(|E|, |B|) < \exp\left(|G|^2 \left(25(k+sk+2)k\right)^{2sk^2+11sk+22k+20} \times P^k (\log P)^6 R_K \log^3(R_K h_K) (R_K + h_K \log P)^{8k+2} \times \left(R_K + sh_K \log P + |G| \log |G|\right)\right).$$

It is clear that

(12)
$$|G| \leqslant (n-1)/2$$
 and $|G| \leqslant 3^{n/2}$.

Further, by a well-known explicit estimate of Siegel [12]

(13)
$$R_K h_K < 4 |D_K|^{1/2} (\log |D_K|)^{k-1}$$

where D_K denotes the discriminant of K. As is known, D_K^2 divides the discriminant of the nth cyclotomic field which can be estimated from above by $n^{\varphi(n)}$ (see, e.g., [2]). So

$$|D_K| \leqslant n^{\varphi(n)/2}.$$

Furthermore, by a theorem of Pohst [8] we have $R_{\mathbf{K}} \ge 0.373$. This together with (13), (14), (12) and (11) give

$$\begin{split} \max(|E|\,,\,|B|) < \exp\big\{ & \big(20n \varphi(n) \big)^{s\varphi(n)/3+(n)/4+11/2)+13\varphi(n)+23} \times \\ & \times s^{s\varphi(n)(\varphi(n)+11)/2+11\varphi(n)+20} P^{\varphi(n)/2} (\log P)^{s\varphi(n)/2+9} \big\} = C_4 \,. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\max(|a|, |\beta|, |u_n|) < (3C_4)^n$$

and this implies (3).

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $p_1, ..., p_s$ denote the distinct prime divisors of u_n . In case $n \leq C_1$ we deduce from (4) that

 $(15) \qquad \log\log|u_n| < C_1(2sC_1\log C_1 + sC_1\log s + \log P + s\log\log P).$

Since $P \leqslant C_1$ contradicts the assumption $|u_n| > C_3$, hence $P > C_1$ and (15) yields (5). If $n > C_1$, (5) immediately follows from (4'). Since for x > 1 we have $\pi(x) \leqslant 2x/\log x$ (see e.g. [17]), it follows that $s \leqslant \pi(P) \leqslant 2P/\log P$. Thus (5) implies (6).

I owe this reference [17] to Professor A. Schinzel.

Proof of the Corollary. Let x, u, v, w be an arbitrary but fixed solution of (7) with x > 3, $u > v \ge 1$, (u, v) = 1 and $w \in S$. In [6] we proved that $x \le P$. When x > 6, we may apply Theorem 1 to the equation (7) and (8) follows. For x = 4, 5 and 6 we may employ Corollary 1 of [5] and this also gives (8).

References

- [1] R. D. Carmichael, On the numerical factors of the arithmetic forms $a^n \pm \beta^n$, Ann. of Math. (2) 15 (1913), pp. 30-70.
- [2] J. W. S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, Algebraic number theory, London and New York, 1967.
- [3] J. Coates, An effective p-adic analogue of a theorem of Thue, II. The greatest prime factor of a binary form, Acta Arith. 16 (1970), pp. 399-412.
- [4] P. Erdös and T. N. Shorey, On the greatest prime factor of 2^p-1 for a prime p and other expressions, ibid. 30 (1976), pp. 257-265.
- [5] K. Györy, Explicit upper bounds for the solutions of some diophantine equations, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. Al. Math. 5(1980), pp. 3-12.

- [6] K. Györy, P. Kiss, and A. Schinzel, A note on Lucas and Lehmer sequences and their applications to diophantine equations, Collog. Math. to appear.
- [7] S. V. Kotov and V. G. Sprindžuk, The Thuc-Mahler equation in relative fields and approximation of algebraic numbers by algebraic numbers (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR 41 (1977), pp. 723-751.
- [8] M. Polist, Regulatorabschätzungen für total reelle algebraische Zahlkörper, J. Number Theory 9 (1977), pp. 459–492.
- [9] A. Schinzel, The intrinsic divisors of Lehmer numbers in the case of negative discriminant, Ark. Mat. 4 (1962), pp. 413-416.
- [10] Primitive divisors of the expression Aⁿ-Bⁿ in algebraic number fields, J. Reine Augew. Math. 268/269 (1974), pp. 27-33.
- [11] T. N. Shorey and C. L. Stewart, On divisors of Fermat, Fibonacci, Lucas and Lehmer numbers II, J. London Math. Soc. 23(1981), pp. 17-23.
- [12] C. L. Siegel, Abschätzung von Einheiten, Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen, Math. Phys. Kl. (1969), pp. 71-86.
- [13] V. G. Sprindžuk, Rational approximation to algebraic numbers (Russian), lzv. Akad. Nauk SSSR 35 (1971), pp. 991-1007.
- [14] C. L. Stewart, The greatest prime factor of $a^n b^n$, Acta Arith. 26 (1975), pp. 427-433.
- [15] Primitive divisors of Lucas and Lehmer numbers, in: Transcendence theory: Advances and applications (ed. A. Baker and D. W. Masser), pp. 79-92, London-New York-San Francisco 1977.
- [16] On divisors of Fermat, Fibonacci, Lucas and Lehmer numbers, Proc. London Math. Soc. 24 (1977), pp. 425-447.
- [17] E. Trost, Primzahlen, 2to Auflage, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1968.
- [18] M. Ward, The intrinsic divisors of Lehmer numbers, Ann. of Math. (2) 62 (1955), pp. 230-236.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE KOSSUTH LAJOS UNIVERSITY H-4010 Debreen 10, Hungary

Received on 13.12.1979

(1188)