

- [8] S. Ramanujan, *Highly composite numbers*, Proc. London Math. Soc. Ser. 2, 14 (1915), p. 347-409; Collected papers, p. 78-128.
- [9] A. Selberg, *On the normal density of primes in small intervals and the difference between consecutive primes*, Arch. math. Naturvid. 47 (6) (1943), p. 87-105.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES
 U.E.R. DES SCIENCES
 123, rue Albert Thomas
 87060 Limoges Cedex, France

Reçu le 27. 1. 1978
 et dans la forme modifiée le 4. 6. 1978

(1037)

On a question of Lehmer and the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial

by

E. DOBROWOLSKI (Wrocław)

1. In 1933 D. H. Lehmer [5] posed the following question:

Let a be a non-zero algebraic integer of degree n , $a_1 = a, a_2, \dots, a_n$ its conjugates over the rationals and let

$$M(a) = \prod_{i=1}^n \max\{1, |a_i|\}.$$

Is it true that for every positive ε there exists an algebraic integer a such that $1 < M(a) < 1 + \varepsilon$?

Clearly, $M(a) \geq 1$ and Kronecker's theorem [3] asserts that $M(a) = 1$ implies that a is a root of unity.

In the case where a is not reciprocal (i.e. when a and $1/a$ are not conjugate) Lehmer's question was answered in the negative in 1971 by C. J. Smyth [8]. He showed that if β_0 denotes the real root of the equation $x^3 - x - 1 = 0$ and a is not reciprocal, then either $M(a) \geq \beta_0$ or a is a root of unity. This implies the well-known Siegel's result that β_0 is the smallest PV-number.

In the same year, P. E. Blanksby and H. L. Montgomery [2] showed in the general case that if a is not a root of unity, then

$$M(a) \geq 1 + \frac{1}{52n \log 6n}.$$

An estimation on $M(a)$ of the same order was recently obtained by C. L. Stewart [9] who used a different argument. Stewart's proof is based on a construction of an auxiliary polynomial with small coefficients.

In this paper we modify the method of Stewart and prove

THEOREM 1. *Let a be non-zero algebraic integer of degree n . If ε is an arbitrary positive constant and $n > n_0(\varepsilon)$, and*

$$M(a) \leq 1 + (1 - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{\log \log n}{\log n} \right)^3,$$

then a is a root of unity.

An easy computation shows that if we replace $1 - \varepsilon$ by $1/1200$, then the assertion of our theorem holds for all n .

With Lehmer's problem is closely connected a conjecture of A. Schinzel and H. Zassenhaus [6] concerning $\overline{|a|} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_i|$. They conjectured that there exist a positive constant C such that the inequality

$$\overline{|a|} \leq 1 + \frac{C}{n}$$

implies that a is a root of unity.

The result of Smyth gives the positive answer for non-reciprocal a . In the general case our theorem gives

COROLLARY. Let a be a non-zero algebraic integer of degree n . If ε is an arbitrary positive constant and $n > n_0(\varepsilon)$, and

$$\overline{|a|} \leq 1 + \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{n} \left(\frac{\log \log n}{\log n} \right)^3,$$

then a is a root of unity.

Let f be a polynomial with integral coefficients. Denote:

$|f|$ — the degree of f ,

$\|f\|$ — the sum of squares of its coefficients,

$\omega(f)$ — the number of distinct irreducible factors of f ,

$\Omega(f)$ — the number of irreducible factors of f counted with multiplicities,

$\Omega_1(f)$ — the number of non-cyclotomic irreducible factors of f counted with multiplicities.

In [7] A. Schinzel conjectured that if $f(0) \neq 0$ then for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(A) \quad \Omega_1(f) = O(|f|^\varepsilon (\log \|f\|)^{1-\varepsilon}),$$

$$(B) \quad \Omega(f) = O(|f|^\varepsilon (\log \|f\|)^{1-\varepsilon}),$$

$$(C) \quad \omega(f) = o(|f|^\varepsilon (\log \|f\|)^{1-\varepsilon}) \text{ (as } |f| \text{ tends to infinity).}$$

Also A. Schinzel observed that Theorem 1 implies (A). Next the author of this paper and A. Schinzel noticed that (B) and (C) are false in the general case.

More precisely, we have

THEOREM 2. (i) If f is a polynomial with $f(0) \neq 0$ and ε is an arbitrary positive number, then

$$\Omega_1(f) = O(|f|^\varepsilon (\log \|f\|)^{1-\varepsilon}).$$

(ii) For every positive $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ and every n there exists a polynomial f with $f(0) \neq 0$ and $|f| > n$ such that

$$\omega(f) > c |f|^\varepsilon (\log \|f\|)^{1-\varepsilon} \quad \text{with} \quad c = c(\varepsilon) > 0.$$

(iii) For every positive c there exists a polynomial f with $f(0) \neq 0$ and $|f| > c$ such that

$$\Omega(f) > c |f|^{1/2} (\log \|f\|)^{1/2}.$$

The author is very grateful to Professor A. Schinzel for helpful comments which allow to improve the constant of Theorem 1 from $4/27$ to $1 - \varepsilon$.

2. The proofs are based on three lemmas, the first of which is a slightly modified Stewart's [9] version of Siegel's lemma. For the convenience of the reader we give full details.

LEMMA 1. Let b_{ij} ($1 \leq i \leq N$, $1 \leq j \leq M$) be algebraic integers in a field K , such that for each j not all b_{ij} ($1 \leq i \leq N$) are zero. Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ and let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n$ denote the embeddings of K in the complex numbers. If $N > Mn$, then the system of equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^N b_{ij} x_i = 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq M)$$

has a solution in rational integers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N , not all of which are zero, whose absolute values are at most

$$Y = \left(2\sqrt{2} (N+1) \left(\prod_{j=1}^M \prod_{k=1}^n \max_i |\sigma_k(b_{ij})| \right)^{1/nM} \right)^{nM/(N-nM)}.$$

Proof. Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{r_1}$ be the real embeddings of K and $\sigma_{r_1+1}, \dots, \sigma_n$ be the complex with $\sigma_{r_1+r_2+i} = \overline{\sigma_{r_1+i}}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r_2$ and $n = r_1 + 2r_2$. Put

$$\tau_i = \begin{cases} \sigma_i & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq r_1, \\ \operatorname{Re} \sigma_i & \text{for } r_1 < i \leq r_1 + r_2, \\ \operatorname{Im} \sigma_i & \text{for } r_1 + r_2 < i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Let $0 \leq y_i \leq Y$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and $\eta = [Y] - Y + 1 > 0$. For $(Y + \eta)^N$ N -tuples we have

$$\left| \tau_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^N b_{ij} y_i \right) \right| \leq NY \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |\tau_k(b_{ij})| = A_{kj}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$.

Thus the numbers $\tau_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^N b_{ij} y_i \right)$ lie in the intervals $I_{kj} = [-A_{kj}, A_{kj}]$ with lengths $2A_{kj}$. Now divide each of the intervals I_{kj} into L_j equal parts. If

$$(1) \quad \prod_{j=1}^M L_j^n < (Y + \eta)^N,$$

then by pigeon-hole principle there exist two different N -tuples (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N) and $(y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_N)$ such that

$$\left| \tau_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^N b_{ij} y_i \right) - \tau_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^N b_{ij} y'_i \right) \right| \leq \frac{2NY}{L_j} \max |\tau_k(b_{ij})|$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq M$.

Put $x_i = y_i - y'_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Then $\max |x_i| \leq Y$ and not all x_i 's are zero. To prove the lemma it remains to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N b_{ij} x_i = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, M.$$

On the left-hand sides we have algebraic integers and thus it suffices to show that absolute values of their norms are less than 1. For $k \leq r_1$ we have

$$\left| \sigma_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^N b_{ij} x_i \right) \right| = \left| \tau_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^N b_{ij} x_i \right) \right| \leq \frac{2NY}{L_j} \max |\sigma_k(b_{ij})|.$$

For $r_1 + r_2 \geq k > r_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sigma_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^N b_{ij} x_i \right) \sigma_{k+r_2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N b_{ij} x_i \right) \right| &= \left(\operatorname{Re} \sigma_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^N b_{ij} x_i \right) \right)^2 + \left(\operatorname{Im} \sigma_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^N b_{ij} x_i \right) \right)^2 \\ &\leq 2 \left(\frac{2NY}{L_j} \right)^2 \max |\sigma_k(b_{ij}) \sigma_{k+r_2}(b_{ij})|. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$l_j = \left(\frac{Y^N}{\prod_{i=1}^M \prod_{k=1}^n \max |\sigma_k(b_{ij})|} \right)^{1/nM} \prod_{k=1}^n \max |\sigma_k(b_{ij})|^{1/n}$$

and $L_j = [l_j]$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$.

Now (1) is satisfied and our choice of Y assures that all the L_j are positive numbers. The choice of Y implies also the relations

$$2\sqrt{2}Y \prod_{k=1}^n \max |\sigma_k(b_{ij})|^{1/n} > 1 > L_j - l_j$$

and

$$2\sqrt{2}(N+1)Y \prod_{k=1}^n \max |\sigma_k(b_{ij})|^{1/n} - l_j = 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| N_{K/Q} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N b_{ij} x_i \right) \right| &= \left| \prod_{k=1}^n \sigma_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^N b_{ij} x_i \right) \right| \leq 2^{r_2} \left(\frac{2NY}{L_j} \right)^n \prod_{k=1}^n \max |\sigma_k(b_{ij})| \\ &\leq \left(1 + L_j^{-1} \left(2\sqrt{2}NY \prod_{k=1}^n \max |\sigma_k(b_{ij})|^{1/n} - L_j \right) \right)^n \\ &< \left(1 + L_j^{-1} \left(2\sqrt{2}(N+1)Y \prod_{k=1}^n \max |\sigma_k(b_{ij})|^{1/n} - l_j \right) \right)^n = 1. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. If α is a non-zero algebraic integer of degree n , then either

(i) $\alpha_i^r \neq \alpha_j^s$ for rational integers $r > s \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, and

(ii) $\left| \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} (\alpha_i^p - \alpha_j) \right| \geq p^n$ for prime numbers p

hold or α is a root of unity.

Proof. (i) If $\alpha_i^r = \alpha_j^s$, then α_i^r and α_i^s are conjugates and there exists a $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(K/Q)$ (where $K = Q(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$) such that $\sigma(\alpha_i^r) = \alpha_i^s$. Furthermore, there exists a rational integer k such that $\sigma^k = \operatorname{id}_K$. For this k we have

$$\alpha_i^{rk} = \sigma^k(\alpha_i^{rk}) = (\sigma^k(\alpha_i^r))^{k-1} = (\sigma^{k-1}(\alpha_i^{r(k-1)}))^s = \dots = \alpha_i^{sk}$$

which means that α is a root of unity.

(ii) Define

$$f(X) = \prod_{i=1}^n (X - \alpha_i) \quad \text{and} \quad f_p(X) = \prod_{i=1}^n (X - \alpha_i^p).$$

Then $f(X) = f_p(X) + pg(X)$, $g(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, and

$$\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} (\alpha_i^p - \alpha_j) = \prod_{i=1}^n (f_p(\alpha_i^p) + pg(\alpha_i^p)) = p^n \prod_{i=1}^n g(\alpha_i^p).$$

If α is not a root of unity, then by (i) $\prod_{i,j} (\alpha_i^p - \alpha_j) \neq 0$ and $\prod_{i=1}^n g(\alpha_i^p)$ is a non-zero rational integer and its absolute value is at least 1.

LEMMA 3. If α is an algebraic number of degree n and

$$P = \{p: \deg(\alpha^p) < n\}$$

(the letter p being reserved for prime numbers), then

$$|P| \leq \frac{\log n}{\log 2}.$$

Proof. For integers s and j ($1 \leq j \leq n$), write

$$I(s, j) = \{i: \alpha_i^s = \alpha_j^s\}.$$

The sets $I(s, j)$ have the following properties:

- (i) $|I(s, j)| = |I(s, i)|$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, and different sets $I(s, i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are disjoint;
- (ii) if $(r, s) = 1$, then $|I(r, i) \cap I(s, j)| \leq 1$;
- (iii) if $(r, s) = 1$, then $|I(rs, j)| \geq |I(r, j)| \cdot |I(s, j)|$.

Equality (i) is obvious. To prove (ii) observe that

$$\begin{aligned} k, l \in I(s, j) \cap I(r, i) &\Rightarrow \alpha_k^s = \alpha_i^s \text{ and } \alpha_k^r = \alpha_l^r \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha_k^{(r,s)} = \alpha_l^{(r,s)} \Rightarrow \alpha_k = \alpha_l \Rightarrow k = l. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain (iii) consider the inequality

$$|I(rs, j)| \geq \left| \bigcup_{i \in I(r, j)} I(s, i) \right|.$$

By (ii), each component of the sum on the right appears exactly one time and, by (i), these components have the same cardinality $|I(s, i)|$. This proves (iii).

Finally,

$$2^{2^{|P|}} \leq \prod_{p \in P} |I(p, i)| \leq \left| I\left(\prod_{p \in P} p, i\right) \right| \leq n;$$

hence

$$|P| \leq \frac{\log n}{\log 2}.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Assume that α is not a root of unity. Put in Lemma 1:

$$(2) \quad N = n \left[\varepsilon^{-1/2} \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} \right]^2, \quad M = 2 \left[\varepsilon^{-1} \frac{\log n}{\log \log n} \right],$$

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{d^{j-1}}{da^{j-1}} (\alpha^{i-1}) \Big|_{\alpha=a} & \text{for } j > 1, \\ \alpha^{i-1} & \text{for } j = 1, \end{cases} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

i.e.,

$$[b_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \alpha & 1! & \dots & 0 \\ \alpha^2 & 2\alpha & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \alpha^{N-1} & (N-1)\alpha^{N-2} & \dots & (N-1)(N-2)\dots(N-M+1)\alpha^{N-M} \end{bmatrix}$$

Lemma 1 then assures the existence of a non-trivial integer solution x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N of the equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^N b_{ij} x_i = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, M,$$

which satisfy

$$(3) \quad \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} |x_i| \leq Y = (2\sqrt{2}(N+1)N^{(M-1)/2}M(\alpha)^{N/n}n^{M/(N-nM)}).$$

Now we set

$$a_i = x_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

and

$$F(X) = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i X^{i-1}.$$

Our selection of b_{ij} assures that

$$F(\alpha) = F^{(1)}(\alpha) = F^{(2)}(\alpha) = \dots = F^{(M-1)}(\alpha) = 0$$

which means that

$$f(X)^M | F(X).$$

Assume without lost of generality that

$$(4) \quad \log M(\alpha) = A(n) \left(\frac{\log \log n}{\log n} \right)^3 \quad \text{with } A(n) < 1.$$

Then (3) gives

$$(5) \quad Y \leq N^{2\varepsilon^{-1} + o(1)}$$

where $o(1)$ denotes a function of n tending to 0.

We assert that for each prime p from the interval

$$(6) \quad \left(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n} \right)^2 < p < \frac{2-\varepsilon}{A(n)} \frac{(\log n)^2}{\log \log n},$$

we have

$$F^{(r)}(\alpha^p) = 0$$

for every $n > n_0(\varepsilon)$ and every r from the interval

$$(7) \quad 0 \leq r \leq 2\varepsilon^{-1} - 1 - p\varepsilon^{-1}A(n) \frac{\log \log n}{(\log n)^2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Indeed, suppose that $F^{(r)}(\alpha^p) \neq 0$ and r satisfies (6). Since

$$f(X)^{M-r} | F^{(r)}(X),$$

we have by Lemma 2

$$\left| \prod_{i=1}^n F^{(r)}(\alpha_i^p) \right| \geq \left| \prod_{i=1}^n f(\alpha_i^p)^{M-r} \right| \geq p^{n(M-r)}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\left| \prod_{i=1}^n F^{(r)}(\alpha_i^p) \right| \leq (N^{r+1} Y)^n M(a)^{pN}$$

and we get

$$Y N^{r+1} M(a)^{\frac{pN}{n}} \geq p^{M-r}$$

Hence by (4), (5), and (6)

$$\begin{aligned} (M-r)\log p &\leq (r+1+2\varepsilon^{-1}+o(1))\log N + p\frac{N}{n}\log M(a) \\ &\leq (4\varepsilon^{-1}-\varepsilon/4+o(1))\log n. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(M-r)\log p \geq (4\varepsilon^{-1}-o(1))\log n$$

and we get a contradiction for n large enough.

Since $F^{(r)}(\alpha^p) = 0$ for all primes p from the interval (6) and all r from (7), $F(X)$ is divisible by $f_p(X)^{V_p}$ with

$$V_p = \left[2\varepsilon^{-1} - p\varepsilon^{-1}A(n) \frac{\log \log n}{(\log n)^2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right].$$

By Lemma 3 the degree of $f_p(X)$ is equal to n for all primes p with no more than $\left\lfloor \frac{\log n}{\log 2} \right\rfloor$ exceptions.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N}{n} &\geq \sum_{\substack{(\log n)^2 < p < \frac{2-\varepsilon}{4}(\log n)^2 \\ A(n)\log \log n}} \left[2\varepsilon^{-1} - p\varepsilon^{-1}A(n) \frac{\log \log n}{(\log n)^2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right] - \frac{\log n}{\log 2} 2\varepsilon^{-1} \\ &\geq \frac{(2\varepsilon^{-1}-1-\varepsilon/4)(2-\varepsilon+o(1)) \cdot (\log n)^2}{2A(n)} - \frac{(\log n)^2}{(\log \log n)^2} \\ &\quad - \varepsilon^{-1}A(n) \frac{(2-\varepsilon)^2 - o(1)}{4A(n)^2} \frac{(\log n)^2}{(\log \log n)^2} \end{aligned}$$

and we get

$$A(n) \geq 1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon^3/8 - o(1)$$

which proves Theorem 1.

The assertion of the Corollary follows for reciprocal a from the inequality

$$|\alpha|^{n/2} \geq M(a).$$

For a non-reciprocal the assertion follows from Smyth's result [8].

4. Proof of Theorem 2. (i) Assume that $f(0) \neq 0$. Put

$$M(f) = a_f \prod_{i=1}^n \max\{1, |\alpha_i|\}$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ are the zeros of the polynomial f listed with proper multiplicity, and a_f is its leading coefficient.

Let

$$(8) \quad f(X) = f_0(X) \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(X)^{\beta_i}$$

where f_i are for $i > 0$ distinct non-cyclotomic polynomials and f_0 is a product of cyclotomic factors.

Then

$$M(f) = \prod_{i=1}^m M(f_i)^{\beta_i}.$$

If f_i is not a monic polynomial, then

$$M(f_i) \geq a_{f_i} \geq 2.$$

If f_i is monic, then Theorem 1 gives

$$M(f_i) \geq 1 + c \left(\frac{\log \log |f_i|}{\log |f_i|} \right)^3 \quad (\text{where } c > 0).$$

This result however is non-trivial only for $|f_i| > 2$, but if $|f_i| \leq 2$, then direct computation gives $M(f_i) \geq (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$. Hence in all cases we have

$$(9) \quad \log M(f_i) \geq \frac{1}{(\log |f_i|)^3} \geq \frac{1}{|f_i|^\varepsilon}.$$

On the other hand, Landau [4] showed that

$$M(f) \leq \|f\|^{1/2}.$$

Thus (9) gives

$$\log \|f\| \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\beta_i}{|f_i|^\varepsilon}.$$

By comparison of the degrees of polynomials in (8) we get

$$|f| \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i |f_i|.$$

Finally, Hölder's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1(f) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^m (\beta_i |f_i|)^\varepsilon \left(\frac{\beta_i}{|f_i|^\varepsilon} \right)^{1-\varepsilon} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i |f_i| \right)^\varepsilon \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\beta_i}{|f_i|^\varepsilon} \right)^{1-\varepsilon} \\ &\leq |f|^\varepsilon (\log \|f\|)^{1-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) We use Lemma 1 to construct a suitable polynomial F divisible by a high power of the polynomial $x-1$. To this end, put

$$N = M^2 + 2M, \quad a = 1, \quad n = 1$$

in formula (3) (Section 3). We get a polynomial F with

$$(x-1)^M | F(X), \quad |F| = N$$

for which

$$h(F) \leq (2\sqrt{2}(N+1)N^{(M-1)/2})^{M/(N-M)} \leq 4M \quad \text{and} \quad \|F\| \leq 48M^4.$$

Let Φ_p , for a prime p , denote the p th cyclotomic polynomial. We have

$$\Phi_p(1) = \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta_p^i) = p$$

where ζ_p^i are the primitive p th roots of unity. Hence if $\Phi_p \nmid F$, then

$$\left| \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} F(\zeta_p^i) \right| \geq \left| \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta_p^i) \right|^M = p^M.$$

On the other hand,

$$\left| \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} F(\zeta_p^i) \right| \leq (|F| + 1) h(F)^{p-1} \leq (3M)^{3(p-1)}.$$

Thus $p \geq c_1 M$ where c_1 is an absolute positive constant and $\Phi_q \mid F$ for q prime and less than $c_1 M$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(F) &> \omega(F) \geq \pi(c_1 M) \geq M(\log M)^{-1} \\ &\geq M^{2\varepsilon} (\log M)^{1-\varepsilon} \geq |F|^\varepsilon (\log \|F\|)^{1-\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

provided that $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$.

(iii) Let

$$f(x) = \prod_{n=1}^N (x^n - 1)^{N-n+1}.$$

We shall prove that $f(x)$ fulfils desired conditions. For N tending to infinity we have the following asymptotic formulas:

$$(10) \quad |f| \sim \frac{1}{6} N^3,$$

$$(11) \quad \Omega(f) = \sum_{n=1}^N (N-n+1)d(n) \sim \frac{1}{2} N^2 \log N$$

where $d(n)$ denotes the number of divisors of n .

Furthermore,

$$(12) \quad \|f\| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \leq \max_{|z|=1} |f(z)|^2 = \max_{|z|=1} \left| z^{\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} (N-n)} f(z) \right|^2$$

$$= \max_{|z|=1} \left| \prod_{N>k>l \geq 1} (z^k - z^l) \right|^2 = \max_{|z|=1} \left| \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & z & \dots & z^{N-1} \\ 1 & z^2 & \dots & z^{2(N-1)} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & z^{N-1} & \dots & z^{(N-1)^2} \end{bmatrix} \right|^2 \leq N^{2N}.$$

The same estimation for $\max_{|z|=1} |f(z)|$ was obtained in a different way by

F. V. Atkinson in [1].

(10), (11), and (12) prove (iii).

References

- [1] F. V. Atkinson, *On a problem of Erdős and Szekeres*, Canad. Math. Bull. 4 (1961), pp. 7-12.
- [2] P. E. Blanksby and H. L. Montgomery, *Algebraic integers near the unit circle*, Acta Arith. 28 (1971), pp. 355-369.
- [3] L. Kronecker, *Zwei Sätze über Gleichungen mit ganzzahligen Coefficienten*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 53 (1857), pp. 133-175.
- [4] E. Landau, *Sur quelques théorèmes de M. Petrovitch relatifs aux zéros des fonctions analytiques*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 33 (1905), pp. 1-11.
- [5] D. H. Lehmer, *Factorization of certain cyclotomic functions*, Ann. Math. (2) 34 (1933), pp. 461-479.
- [6] A. Schinzel and H. Zassenhaus, *A refinement of two theorems of Kronecker*, Mich. Math. J. (1965), pp. 81-84.
- [7] A. Schinzel, *On the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial*, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 13 (1974), pp. 305-314.
- [8] C. J. Smyth, *On the product of conjugates outside the unit circle of an algebraic integer*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 3 (1971), pp. 169-175.
- [9] C. L. Stewart, *Algebraic integers whose conjugates lie near the unit circle*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 196 (1978), pp. 169-176.

WROCLAW UNIVERSITY
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS

Received on 1. 6. 1978
and in revised form on 14. 10. 1978

(1076)