

- [4] H. Hasse, *Über die Dichte der Primzahlen p , für die eine vorgegebene ganzrationale Zahl $a \neq 0$ von gerader bzw. ungerader Ordnung mod p ist*, Math. Ann. 166 (1966), pp. 19–23.
- [5] – *Über die Dichte der Primzahlen p , für die eine vorgegebene ganzrationale Zahl $a \neq 0$ von durch eine vorgegebene Primzahl $l \neq 2$ teilbarer bzw. unteilbarer Ordnung mod p ist*, *ibid.* 162 (1965), pp. 74–76.
- [6] W. Narkiewicz, *Elementary and analytic theory of algebraic numbers*, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa 1974.
- [7] W. Sierpiński, *Sur une décomposition des nombres premiers en deux classes*, Collect. Math. 10 (1958), pp. 81–83; – siehe auch Problem 29, Elem. d. Math. 14 (1959), p. 60.
- [8] А. В. Соколовский, *Теорема о нулях дзета-функции Дедекинда и расстояние между „соседними“ простыми идеалами*, Acta Arith. 23 (1968), pp. 321–334.
- [9] K. Wiertelak, *On the density of some sets of primes, II*, *ibid.*, this volume, pp. 197–210.
- [10] K. M. Bartz, *On a theorem of A. V. Sokolovskii*, *ibid.*, this volume, pp. 113–126.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY
Poznań

Received on 13. 2. 1976
and in revised form on 13. 6. 1976

(812)

On the density of some sets of primes, II

by

K. WIERTELAK (Poznań)

I. Denote by K an algebraic number field generated by an algebraic number θ . Denote further by n the degree of K and by Δ the discriminant of K .

The Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_K(s)$, $s = \sigma + it$ is defined for $\sigma > 1$ by the absolutely convergent series

$$\zeta_K(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} F(m) m^{-s},$$

where $F(m)$ denotes the number of ideals of the field K , having the norm equal to m .

The function $\zeta_K(s)$ can be continued over the whole complex plane as a regular function, except $s = 1$, where there is a simple pole.

In the region $\sigma > 1$ we have

$$(1.1) \quad -\frac{\zeta'_K(s)}{\zeta_K(s)} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} G(m) m^{-s},$$

where

$$G(m) = \sum_{(Np)^t = m} \log Np$$

and series in (1.1) is absolutely convergent in this region (see [1], p. 89).

It was proved by E. Landau that $\zeta_K(s) \neq 0$ in the region

$$(1.2) \quad \sigma \geq 1 - \frac{1}{nC_1 \log t}, \quad t \geq C_2,$$

where C_1 is a positive numerical constant and the constant C_2 depends on the field K (see [1], p. 105). E. Landau did not express C_2 in terms of the degree n and the discriminant Δ of the field K . The purpose of this paper is to express C_2 of (1.2) in terms of the degree n and the discriminant Δ of the field K , to extend (1.2) to $-\infty < t < +\infty$ and also

to determine the remainder-term in the prime ideal formula in terms of n and Δ in the case of K being a normal extension of the field of rational numbers and in the general case, under the hypothesis that there are no exceptional real zeros of $\zeta_K(s)$.

2. Write

$$\Psi(x, K) = \sum_{m \leq x} G(m), \quad \Psi_1(x, K) = \sum_{m \leq x} (x-m)G(m), \quad \Pi(x, K) = \sum_{N \leq x} 1.$$

We shall prove the following lemmas, which were given without proofs and applied in the first paper of this series (see [6], Lemmas 1 and 2).

LEMMA A. If K is a normal extension of the field Q of rational numbers and if C_4, C_5 are any positive numerical constants, then there exists a numerical constant $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \Pi(x, K) = \text{li } x + O\left(x \exp\left(-C_3 \frac{\log^{1/2} x}{x^{1/2}}\right)\right),$$

provided

$$(2.2) \quad 1 \leq |\Delta| \leq \log^{C_4} x, \quad 1 \leq n \leq C_5 \frac{\log_2 x}{\log_3 x},$$

and the constant implied by the O -notation depends only on C_4 and C_5 .

LEMMA B. Write

$$(2.3) \quad \omega(x, \Delta, n) = \frac{\log x}{\max(n^{1/2} \log^{1/2} x, \log |\Delta|)}.$$

If K is a normal extension of Q and if there exists a numerical constant $C_6 > 0$ such that $\zeta_K(s) \neq 0$ for $s > 1 - \frac{C_6}{\log(2|\Delta|)}$, then there exist numerical constants C_7 and C_8 such that

$$(2.4) \quad \Pi(x, K) = \text{li } x + O\left(x \exp(-C_7 \omega(x, \Delta, n))\right)$$

for $1 \leq |\Delta| \leq \exp\left(C_8 \frac{\log x}{\log_3 x}\right)$, $x \geq e^e$ and the constant in the O -symbol is numerical.

3. The proofs of Lemmas A and B will rest on the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. $\zeta_K(s) \neq 0$, for $\sigma > 1$, $-\infty < t < +\infty$ (see [1], p. 141).

LEMMA 2. In the region $-\varepsilon/2 \leq \sigma \leq 3$ ($0 < \varepsilon < 2$) we have the estimate

$$(3.1) \quad |\zeta_K(s)(s-1)| \leq (C_9(\varepsilon))^n |\Delta|^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} (|t|+1)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}n+1}.$$

Proof (compare [5], Lemma 7). Consider

$$(3.2) \quad f(s) = \frac{\zeta_K(s)}{\zeta_K(1-s)}.$$

From the functional equation for $\zeta_K(s)$ it follows that

$$(3.3) \quad f(s) = \left(\frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma(s)}\right)^{r_2} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(1-s))}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}s)}\right)^{r_1} \Delta^{1/2-s} 2^{r_2(2s-1)} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}(2s-1)},$$

where $n = 2r_2 + r_1$.

We estimate $f(s)$ on the line $s = -\varepsilon/2 + it$, using the following inequality (see [3], p. 395):

$$(3.4) \quad |\Gamma(s)| = (2\pi)^{1/2} |t|^{\sigma-1/2} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t|} (1 + O(|t|^{-1})) \quad (s = \sigma + it)$$

for $t \rightarrow \infty$. The constant in O depends only on σ .

From (3.3) and (3.4) we get

$$|f(-\varepsilon/2 + it)| \leq (C_{10}(\varepsilon))^n |\Delta|^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} (|t|+1)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}n}$$

for $-\infty < t < +\infty$.

Since

$$|\zeta_K(1 + \varepsilon/2 - it)| \leq \zeta_K(\varepsilon/2 + 1) \leq \left(\frac{2 + \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)^n,$$

we have from (3.2)

$$(3.5) \quad \left|\zeta_K\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + it\right)\right| \leq (C_{11}(\varepsilon))^n |\Delta|^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} (|t|+1)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}n}.$$

Write

$$(3.6) \quad G(s) = \frac{\zeta_K(s)(s-1)}{(s+1)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}n+1}}.$$

From (3.5) we have

$$(3.7) \quad |G(-\varepsilon/2 + it)| \leq (C_{12}(\varepsilon))^n |\Delta|^{(1+\varepsilon)/2}.$$

On the other hand,

$$(3.8) \quad |G(3 + it)| \leq C_{13}^n.$$

Using the estimate

$$|(s-1)\zeta_K(s)| \leq a \exp((n+1)|t|)$$

(see [5], Lemma 5), which is valid in the strip $-1 < \sigma \leq 3$, where a depends

on K , we get

$$(3.9) \quad |G(s)| \leq a \exp((n+1)|t|)$$

for $-\varepsilon/2 \leq \sigma \leq 3$.

From (3.7)–(3.9) and the well-known theorem of Phragmén–Lindelöf

we get

$$(3.10) \quad |G(s)| \leq (C_{14}(\varepsilon))^n |\Delta|^{(1+\varepsilon)/2}$$

in the strip $-\varepsilon/2 \leq \sigma \leq 3$.

From (3.6) and (3.10) follows (3.1).

Remark. Similarly, we can prove that for $0 \leq \sigma \leq 3$

$$|\zeta_K(s)| \leq C^n (1 + (|\Delta| |t|^n)^{1-\sigma}) \log^n(|\Delta| |t|), \quad |t| \geq 2,$$

$$|(s-1)\zeta_K(s)| \leq C^n (1 + |\Delta|^{1-\sigma}) \log^n(2|\Delta|), \quad |t| \leq 2.$$

LEMMA 3. In the region $1 < \sigma \leq 3$, $-\infty < t < +\infty$,

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{1}{|\zeta_K(s)|} \leq \frac{(|\Delta| 2^n)^M}{\sigma - 1},$$

where M is a numerical constant, $M > 1$.

Proof. For $\sigma > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\zeta_K(s)|} &= \left| \prod_p (1 - (Np)^{-s}) \right| \leq \prod_p (1 + (Np)^{-\sigma}) \\ &< \prod_p (1 - (Np)^{-\sigma})^{-1} = \zeta_K(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, owing to Lemma 2, we have (3.11).

LEMMA 4. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive constant $C_{15}(\varepsilon)$ such that for all fields K which are normal extensions of Q we have the inequality

$$(3.12) \quad \text{res}_1 \zeta_K(s) \geq (C_{15}(\varepsilon))^{-n} |\Delta|^{-\varepsilon}$$

(see [2], p. 187).

LEMMA 5. Let $f(s)$ be a function regular in the circle $|s - s_0| \leq r$ and satisfying the inequality

$$\left| \frac{f(s)}{f(s_0)} \right| \leq M.$$

If $f(s) \neq 0$ in the region $|s - s_0| \leq r/2$, $\text{Re}(s - s_0) > 0$, then

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Re} \frac{f'}{f}(s_0) &\geq -\frac{4}{r} \log M, \\ \text{Re} \frac{f'}{f}(s_0) &\geq -\frac{4}{r} \log M + \text{Re} \frac{h}{s - \varrho_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where ϱ_1 denotes an arbitrary zero of order h of the function $f(s)$ in the region $|s - s_0| \leq r/2$, $\text{Re}(s - s_0) \leq 0$.

LEMMA 6. Let $f(s)$ be a function regular in the circle $|s - s_0| \leq r$ and satisfying the conditions

$$\left| \frac{f(s)}{f(s_0)} \right| \leq M, \quad \left| \frac{f'}{f}(s_0) \right| \leq \frac{A}{r} \log M \quad (A > 0).$$

If $f(s) \neq 0$ in the region $|s - s_0| \leq r$, $\text{Re}(s - s_0) > -2r_1$, where $0 < r_1 < r/4$, then

$$\left| \frac{f'}{f}(s) \right| \leq \frac{B}{r} \log M, \quad |s - s_0| \leq r_1,$$

where B depends on A , and is independent of f , r , r_1 , M .

For the proofs of Lemmas 5 and 6 see [3], pp. 384–385.

LEMMA 7. There exists a numerical constant $C_{16} > 0$ such that $\zeta_K(s) \neq 0^*$ in the region

$$(3.14) \quad \sigma > 1 - \frac{C_{16}}{\log(|\Delta| (|t| + 2)^n)}, \quad -\infty < t < +\infty,$$

except the hypothetical real simple zero β_1 of $\zeta_K(s)$.

Proof. We shall first prove that $\zeta_K(s) \neq 0$ for any $A > 0$ in the region

$$(3.15) \quad \sigma > 1 - \frac{C_{17}}{\log(|\Delta| (t + 2)^n)}, \quad t \geq \frac{A}{\log(|\Delta| 2^n)},$$

where C_{17} depends on A .

Let $\varrho = \beta + i\gamma$ be a zero of $\zeta_K(s)$ such that $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $\gamma \geq \frac{A}{\log(|\Delta| 2^n)}$. Choose

$$\sigma_0 = 1 + \frac{C_{18}}{\log(|\Delta| (2\gamma + 3)^n)},$$

where C_{18} is a sufficiently small constant depending on A and

$$s_0 = \sigma_0 + \gamma i, \quad s'_0 = \sigma_0 + 2\gamma i, \quad |\Delta| (2\gamma + 3)^n = T.$$

From Lemmas 2 and 3 it follows that there exists a constant $M_0 > 0$ such that

$$(3.16) \quad |(s-1)\zeta_K(s)| \leq (|\Delta| (|t| + 2)^n)^{M_0},$$

in the strip $0 \leq \sigma \leq 3$ and

$$(3.17) \quad \frac{1}{|\zeta_K(s)|} < \frac{(|\Delta| 2^n)^{M_0}}{\sigma - 1}$$

for $1 < \sigma \leq 3$.

Hence we get in the circles $|s - s_0| \leq 1$, $|s - s'_0| \leq 1$, $|s - \sigma_0| \leq 1$, the estimates

$$\left| \frac{\zeta_K(s)(s-1)}{\zeta_K(s_0)(s_0-1)} \right| \leq \frac{T^{M_0}(|\Delta|2^n)^{M_0}}{(\sigma_0-1)^2} \leq \frac{T^{3M_0}}{C_{18}^2},$$

$$\left| \frac{\zeta_K(s)(s-1)}{\zeta_K(s'_0)(s'_0-1)} \right| \leq \frac{T^{3M_0}}{C_{18}^2}, \quad \left| \frac{\zeta_K(s)(s-1)}{\zeta_K(\sigma_0)(\sigma_0-1)} \right| \leq \frac{T^{3M_0}}{C_{18}^2}.$$

We apply Lemma 5 to the function $(s-1)\zeta_K(s)$ in the circle $|s - s_0| \leq 1$.

If $\beta > \sigma_0 - 1/2$, then

$$-\operatorname{Re} \frac{\zeta'_K}{\zeta_K}(s_0) < \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{s_0-1} + 4 \log \frac{T^{3M_0}}{C_{18}^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_0 - \beta}.$$

But

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{s_0-1} \leq \frac{1}{|s_0-1|} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \leq \frac{\log(|\Delta|2^n)}{A}.$$

Hence

$$-\operatorname{Re} \frac{\zeta'_K}{\zeta_K}(s_0) < 12 \left(1 + \frac{1}{12A}\right) M_0 \log T + 8 \log C_{18}^{-1} - \frac{1}{\sigma_0 - \beta}.$$

Similarly, from Lemma 5 for the circles $|s - s'_0| \leq 1$ and $|s - \sigma_0| \leq 1$ we get

$$-\operatorname{Re} \frac{\zeta'_K}{\zeta_K}(s'_0) < 12 \left(1 + \frac{1}{24A}\right) M_0 \log T + 8 \log C_{18}^{-1},$$

$$-\frac{\zeta'_K}{\zeta_K}(\sigma_0) < \frac{1}{\sigma_0-1} + 12 M_0 \log T + 8 \log C_{18}^{-1}.$$

Since

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left(3 \frac{\zeta'_K}{\zeta_K}(\sigma_0) + 4 \frac{\zeta'_K}{\zeta_K}(s_0) + \frac{\zeta'_K}{\zeta_K}(s'_0) \right) \geq 0,$$

we have

$$\frac{3}{\sigma_0-1} + 36 M_0 \log T + 24 \log C_{18}^{-1} + 48 M_0 \left(1 + \frac{1}{12A}\right) \log T +$$

$$+ 32 \log C_{18}^{-1} - \frac{4}{\sigma_0 - \beta} + 12 \left(1 + \frac{1}{24A}\right) M_0 \log T + 8 \log C_{18}^{-1} \geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$\sigma_0 - \beta \geq \frac{4(\sigma_0 - 1)}{3 + 96 \left(1 + \frac{1}{12A}\right) M_0 C_{18} + 64 C_{18} \log C_{18}^{-1}}$$

and

$$1 - \beta \geq \left(\frac{4}{3 + 96 \left(1 + \frac{1}{12A}\right) M_0 C_{18} + 64 C_{18} \log C_{18}^{-1}} - 1 \right) (\sigma_0 - 1).$$

Since $\lim_{C_{18} \rightarrow 0} C_{18} \log C_{18}^{-1} = 0$, it follows that for sufficiently small C_{18}

$$(3.18) \quad \beta < 1 - \frac{C_{18}}{7 \log(|\Delta|(2\gamma+3)^n)}.$$

We therefore draw the conclusion that each zero

$$\rho = \beta + \gamma i, \quad \beta > \sigma_0 - \frac{1}{2}, \quad \gamma \geq \frac{A}{\log(|\Delta|2^n)}$$

satisfies inequality (3.18).

Hence $\zeta_K(s) \neq 0$ in the region (3.15).

In the next step we shall prove that $\zeta_K(s) \neq 0$ for sufficiently small B and C_{19} in the region

$$(3.19) \quad \sigma > 1 - \frac{C_{19}}{\log(|\Delta|3^n)}, \quad 0 < t \leq \frac{B}{\log(|\Delta|3^n)}.$$

Put

$$\sigma_0 = 1 + \frac{C_{20}}{\log(|\Delta|3^n)} = 1 + \frac{C_{20}}{\log T_1}$$

where C_{20} is sufficiently small.

Suppose

$$(3.20) \quad B \leq \frac{1}{2} C_{20} \leq \frac{1}{4} \log 2.$$

Suppose further that $\rho_1 = \beta + \gamma_1 i$ is a zero of $\zeta_K(s)$ such that

$$\beta > \sigma_0 - \frac{1}{4}, \quad 0 < \gamma_1 \leq \frac{B}{\log(|\Delta|3^n)} \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

From (3.16), (3.17) we get in the circle $|s - \sigma_0| \leq 1$ the estimate

$$\left| \frac{\zeta_K(s)(s-1)}{\zeta_K(\sigma_0)(\sigma_0-1)} \right| < \frac{T^{3M_0}}{C_{20}^2}.$$

Since ρ_1 is contained in the circle $|s - \sigma_0| \leq 1/2$ and $\operatorname{Im} \rho_1 > 0$, it follows that there exists in this circle another zero of $\zeta_K(s)$, namely $\rho_2 = \bar{\rho}_1 = \beta - i\gamma_1$.

Applying Lemma 5 to the function $(s-1)\zeta_K(s-1)$ in $|s - \sigma_0| \leq 1$, we get

$$\frac{\zeta'_K}{\zeta_K}(\sigma_0) + \frac{1}{\sigma_0-1} \geq -12 M_0 \log(|\Delta|3^n) - 8 \log C_{20}^{-1} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0 - \rho_1} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0 - \rho_2}.$$

Hence

$$(3.21) \quad \frac{2(\sigma_0 - \beta)}{(\sigma_0 - \beta)^2 + \gamma_1^2} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_0 - 1} + 12M_0 \log(|\Delta|3^n) + 8 \log C_{20}^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, from (3.20) we have

$$(3.22) \quad \gamma_1 \leq \frac{B}{\log(|\Delta|3^n)} = \frac{B(\sigma_0 - 1)}{C_{20}} \leq \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_0 - \beta).$$

From inequalities (3.21), (3.22) we at once infer that

$$\frac{8}{5(\sigma_0 - \beta)} < \frac{1}{\sigma_0 - 1} + 12M_0 \log(|\Delta|3^n) + 8 \log C_{20}^{-1}$$

and for a sufficiently small C_{20}

$$\beta < 1 - \frac{1}{5}(\sigma_0 - 1).$$

Therefore (3.19) follows.

In the last step of the proof we shall show that there exists a numerical constant C_{21} such that the function $\zeta_K(s)$ has not more than one simple zero on the segment

$$(3.23) \quad 1 - \frac{C_{21}}{\log(|\Delta|3^n)} < s < 1.$$

Put

$$\sigma_0 = 1 + \frac{C_{22}}{\log(|\Delta|3^n)} = 1 + \frac{C_{22}}{\log T_1},$$

where C_{22} is a sufficiently small numerical constant.

Suppose that $\rho_i = \beta_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) are such zeros of $\zeta_K(s)$ that

$$(3.24) \quad \beta_i \geq \sigma_0 - \frac{1}{2}, \quad \beta_2 \leq \beta_1.$$

From (3.16), (3.17) we get in the circle $|s - \sigma_0| \leq 1$ the estimate

$$\left| \frac{\zeta_K(s)(s-1)}{\zeta_K(\sigma_0)(\sigma_0-1)} \right| < \frac{T_1^{3M_0}}{C_{22}^2}.$$

Hence owing to Lemma 5

$$\frac{\zeta'_K(\sigma_0)}{\zeta_K(\sigma_0)} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0 - 1} \geq -12M_0 \log(|\Delta|3^n) - 8 \log C_{22}^{-1} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0 - \beta_1} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0 - \beta_2},$$

and owing to (3.24)

$$\frac{2}{\sigma_0 - \beta_2} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_0 - 1} + 12M_0 \log(|\Delta|3^n) + 8 \log C_{22}^{-1}.$$

Therefore, if C_{22} is sufficiently small

$$\beta_2 < 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{C_{22}}{\log(|\Delta|3^n)},$$

and this means that on the segment (3.23) the function $\zeta_K(s)$ has not more than one simple zero.

From (3.15), (3.19) and (3.23) Lemma 7 follows.

LEMMA 8. Suppose that K is a normal extension of the field Q of rational numbers. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(3.25) \quad \zeta_K(s) \neq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad s > 1 - \frac{(C(\varepsilon))^n}{|\Delta|^{\varepsilon}}.$$

Remark. If K is a quadratic field, then Lemma 8 implies the well-known theorem of Siegel on exceptional real zeros of $L(s, \chi)$ for real characters $\chi \pmod{k}$.

Proof. Suppose $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Suppose further that there exists a real zero β'_1 , $0 < \beta'_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ of $\zeta_K(s)$. Then the function

$$\frac{\zeta_K(s)}{s^{r_1+r_2-1}(s-\beta'_1)}$$

is regular in the circle $|s - \beta'_1| \leq \beta'_1 + \varepsilon/2$. Hence from Lemma 2 and the maximum-modulus theorem we get

$$\left| \frac{\zeta_K(s)}{s^{r_1+r_2-1}(s-\beta'_1)} \right| \leq (C_{23}(\varepsilon))^n |\Delta|^{(1+\varepsilon)/2}$$

for $|s - \beta'_1| \leq \beta'_1 + \varepsilon/2$.

If $s = 0$, we get

$$\frac{|\Delta|^{1/2}}{\beta_1'^{r_1+r_2-1} \pi^{r_2}} \operatorname{res}_1 \zeta_K(s) \leq (C_{23}(\varepsilon))^n |\Delta|^{(1+\varepsilon)/2}.$$

Hence

$$(3.26) \quad \beta_1' \geq (C_{24}(\varepsilon))^n |\Delta|^{-\varepsilon/2} \operatorname{res}_1 \zeta_K(s).$$

Since the zeros of $\zeta_K(s)$ either lie on $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ or occur in pairs symmetrical about this line, from Lemma 4 and from (3.26) we get Lemma 8.

LEMMA 9. Write

$$E_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there is a real zero in the region (3.14),} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If K is any algebraic number field, then there exist numerical constants C_{25} and C_{26} such that

$$(3.27) \quad \Psi(x, K) = x - E_1 \frac{x^{\beta_1}}{\beta_1} + O(x \exp(-C_{25} \omega(x, \Delta, n)))$$

for

$$1 \leq |\Delta| \leq \exp\left(C_{26} \frac{\log x}{\log^2 x}\right), \quad x \geq e^e,$$

and the constant implied by the O -notation is numerical.

Proof. Let us deduce the following formula:

$$(3.28) \quad -\frac{\zeta'_K}{\zeta_K}(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{E_1}{s-\beta_1} + O(\log(|\Delta|(t+2)^n))$$

valid in the region

$$(3.29) \quad \sigma \geq 1 - \frac{C_{27}}{\log(|\Delta|(t+2)^n)},$$

where C_{27} is a numerical constant and the constant in O depends on C_{27} .

Put $t_0 \geq 0$, $s_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0$,

$$1 + \frac{C_{27}}{\log(|\Delta|(t_0+2)^n)} \leq \sigma_0 \leq 2.$$

From (3.16) and (3.17) we get in the circle $|s - \sigma_0| \leq 1$ the inequality

$$\left| \frac{\zeta_K(s)(s-1)}{\zeta_K(\sigma_0)(\sigma_0-1)} \right| \leq \frac{(|\Delta|(t_0+2)^n)^{4M_0}}{C_{27}^2}.$$

Hence from Lemma 5

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\zeta'_K}{\zeta_K}(\sigma_0) &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma_0-1} + 16M_0 \log(|\Delta|(t_0+2)^n) + 8 \log C_{27}^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{M_1 \log(|\Delta|(t_0+2)^n)}{C_{27}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get

$$(3.30) \quad \left| \frac{\zeta'_K}{\zeta_K}(\sigma_0) \right| \leq \frac{M_1 \log(|\Delta|(t_0+2)^n)}{C_{27}}.$$

Write

$$f(s) = \zeta_K(s)(s-1)(s-\beta_1)^{-E_1}.$$

From (3.30) we get

$$(3.31) \quad \left| \frac{f'(s_0)}{f(s_0)} \right| = \left| \frac{\zeta'_K}{\zeta_K}(s_0) + \frac{1}{s_0-1} - \frac{E_1}{s_0-\beta_1} \right| \leq \left| \frac{\zeta'_K}{\zeta_K}(\sigma_0) \right| + \frac{2}{\sigma_0-1} \leq \frac{M_2 \log(|\Delta|(t_0+2)^n)}{C_{27}}.$$

Therefore (3.28) is valid for $\sigma \geq 1 + \frac{C_{27}}{\log(|\Delta|(t+2)^n)}$.

Suppose $t_0 \geq 0$, $s_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0$, $\sigma_0 = 1 + \frac{C_{27}}{\log(|\Delta|(t_0+2)^n)}$. In the

circle $|s - s_0| \leq 1$ we have the inequality

$$(3.32) \quad \left| \frac{f(s)}{f(s_0)} \right| \leq \frac{(|\Delta|(t_0+2)^n)^{M_3}}{C_{27}^2}.$$

Applying Lemma 5 to the function $f(s)$ with $r = 1$,

$$r_1 = \frac{2C_{27}}{\log(|\Delta|(t_0+2)^n)} < \frac{1}{2}, \quad C_{27} < \frac{C_{16}}{6}$$

and using (3.31), (3.32), we get

$$\left| \frac{f'}{f}(s) \right| \leq M_4 \log(|\Delta|(t_0+2)^n)$$

in the circle $|s - s_0| \leq r_1$.

Hence

$$(3.33) \quad -\frac{\zeta'_K}{\zeta_K}(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{E_1}{s-\beta_1} + O(\log(|\Delta|(t+2)^n))$$

in the strip

$$1 - \frac{C_{27}}{\log(|\Delta|(t+2)^n)} \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \frac{3C_{27}}{\log(|\Delta|(t+2)^n)}.$$

From (3.31) and (3.33) follows (3.28).

In order to get (3.27) we shall estimate the function $\Psi_1(x, K)$. From the well-known formula

$$(3.34) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C-\infty i}^{C+\infty i} \frac{y^{s-1}}{s(s+1)} ds = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < y \leq 1, \\ 1 - 1/y, & y \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

for $C > 1$, $y > 0$, we get for $x \geq 1$

$$\frac{\Psi_1(x)}{x^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C-\infty i}^{C+\infty i} \frac{x^{s-1}}{s(s+1)} \left(-\frac{\zeta'_K}{\zeta_K}(s) \right) ds.$$

Hence

$$(3.35) \quad \frac{\Psi_1(x)}{x^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C-\infty i}^{C+\infty i} \frac{x^{s-1}}{s(s+1)} \left(-\frac{\zeta'_K}{\zeta_K}(s) - \frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{E_1}{s-\beta_1} \right) ds +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C-\infty i}^{C+\infty i} \left(\frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{E_1}{s-\beta_1} \right) \frac{x^{s-1}}{s(s+1)} ds \\
& = \frac{1}{2} - E_1 \frac{x^{\beta_1-1}}{\beta_1(\beta_1+1)} + \\
& + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C-\infty i}^{C+\infty i} \frac{x^{s-1}}{s(s+1)} \left(-\frac{\zeta'_K}{\zeta_K}(s) - \frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{E_1}{s-\beta_1} \right) ds + O(x^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

We shall estimate the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C-\infty i}^{C+\infty i} \frac{x^{s-1}}{s(s+1)} \left(-\frac{\zeta'_K}{\zeta_K}(s) - \frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{E_1}{s-\beta_1} \right) ds$$

by the use of (3.28).

We define the contour L consisting of the following parts:

$$L_1: s = C + it, \quad -T \leq t \leq T,$$

$$L_2: s = \sigma + iT, \quad 1 - \frac{C_{27}}{\log(|\Delta|(T+2)^n)} \leq \sigma \leq C \quad (\text{see (3.29)}),$$

$$L_3: s = 1 - \frac{C_{27}}{\log(|\Delta|(t+2)^n)} + it, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

and of L'_2, L'_3 situated symmetrically to L_2, L_3 .

Let

$$F(s) = -\frac{\zeta'_K}{\zeta_K}(s) - \frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{E_1}{s-\beta_1}.$$

From the Cauchy formula we get

$$(3.36) \quad \int_{L_1} \frac{x^{s-1}}{s(s+1)} F(s) ds = - \int_{L_2+L_3+L'_2+L'_3} \frac{x^{s-1}}{s(s+1)} F(s) ds.$$

From (3.28) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.37) \quad & \int_{L_2} \frac{x^{s-1}}{s(s+1)} F(s) ds \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} 0, \\
& \left| \int_{L_3} \frac{x^{s-1}}{s(s+1)} F(s) ds \right| \leq C_{28} \int_0^T \frac{x^{\frac{C_{27}}{\log(|\Delta|(t+2)^n)}}}{(2+t)^2} \log(|\Delta|(t+2)^n) dt \\
& = C_{28} \int_1^{T+1} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{C_{27}}{\log(|\Delta|(u+1)^n)} \log x - C_{27} \log(u+1)\right)}{(u+1)^{2-C_{27}}} \log(|\Delta|(u+1)^n) du.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\min_{u \geq 1} \left(\frac{C_{27}}{\log(|\Delta|(u+1)^n)} \log x + C_{27} \log(u+1) \right) \\
\geq \frac{C_{29} \log x}{\max(n^{1/2} \log^{1/2} x, \log |\Delta|)} = C_{29} \omega(x, \Delta, n)
\end{aligned}$$

($n < C \log(2|\Delta|)$, see [2], p. 184), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.38) \quad & \left| \int_{L_3} \frac{x^{s-1}}{s(s+1)} F(s) ds \right| \\
& \leq C_{30} \exp(-C_{29} \omega(x, \Delta, n)) \int_1^\infty \frac{\log(|\Delta|(u+1)^n)}{(u+1)^{2-C_{27}}} du \\
& \leq C_{31} \exp(-C_{29} \omega(x, \Delta, n)) \log(2|\Delta|).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$(3.39) \quad \left| \int_{L'_3} \frac{x^{s-1}}{s(s+1)} F(s) ds \right| \leq C_{31} \exp(-C_{29} \omega(x, \Delta, n)) \log(2|\Delta|)$$

and

$$(3.40) \quad \int_{L_2} \frac{x^{s-1}}{s(s+1)} F(s) ds \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

From (3.35)–(3.40) for $1 \leq |\Delta| \leq \exp\left(C_{32} \frac{\log x}{\log_2^2 x}\right)$ (C_{32} is a sufficiently small numerical constant) we have

$$(3.41) \quad \frac{\Psi_1(x, K)}{x^2} = \frac{1}{2} - E_1 \frac{x^{\beta_1-1}}{\beta_1(\beta_1+1)} + O\left(\exp(-C_{33} \omega(x, \Delta, n))\right).$$

Suppose $x > C_{34}$, $h = h(x)$, $0 < h < x/2$. Hence owing to (3.41) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.42) \quad & \frac{\Psi_1(x \pm h, K) - \Psi_1(x, K)}{h} \\
& = x \pm \frac{h}{2} - E_1 \frac{x^{\beta_1}}{\beta_1} + O(hx^{\beta_1-1}) + O\left(\frac{x^2}{h} \exp(-C_{33} \omega(x, \Delta, n))\right).
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.42) and in view of the inequality

$$\frac{1}{h} (\Psi_1(x, K) - \Psi_1(x-h, K)) \leq \Psi(x, K) \leq \frac{1}{h} (\Psi_1(x+h, K) - \Psi_1(x, K))$$

with $h = \frac{x}{2} \exp\left(-\frac{C_{33}}{2} \omega(x, \Delta, n)\right)$ Lemma 9 follows.

4. Proof of Lemmas A and B. We shall use the following formulae:

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{G(m)}{\log m} = \int_2^x \frac{\Psi(t, K)}{t \log^2 t} dt + \frac{\Psi(x, K)}{\log x},$$

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{G(m)}{\log x} = \Pi(x, K) + \frac{1}{2} \Pi(x^{1/2}, K) + \frac{1}{3} \Pi(x^{1/3}, K) + \dots$$

$$= \Pi(x, K) + O\left(\frac{n}{\log x} x^{1/2}\right).$$

From Lemma 9 and Lemma 8 for $\varepsilon = 1/4C_4$ it follows that

$$(4.3) \quad \Psi(x, K) = x + O\left(x \exp\left(-C_{25} \frac{\log^{1/2} x}{n^{1/2}}\right)\right)$$

$$\text{for } 1 \leq |\Delta| \leq \log^{C_4} x, \quad 1 \leq n \leq C_5 \frac{\log_2 x}{\log_3 x}.$$

Hence owing to (4.1)

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{G(m)}{\log m} = \text{li } x + O\left(x \exp\left(-C_{25} \frac{\log^{1/2} x}{n^{1/2}}\right)\right).$$

Combining (4.2) and (4.4), we get Lemma A. Similarly, the proof of Lemma B follows.

References

- [1] E. Landau, *Einführung in die elementare und analytische Theorie der algebraischen Zahlen und der Ideale*, Leipzig and Berlin 1927.
 [2] S. Lang, *Algebraic numbers*, London 1964.
 [3] K. Prachar, *Primzahlverteilung*, Berlin 1957.
 [4] A. B. Соколовский, Теорема о нулях дзета-функции Дедекинда и расстояние между „соседними“ простыми идеалами, *Acta Arith.* 23 (1968), pp. 321–334.
 [5] W. Staś, Über einige Anwendung der Methode von Turán auf die Theorie des Restgliedes im Primidealsatz, *ibid.* 5 (1959), pp. 179–195.
 [6] K. Wiertelak, On the density of some sets of primes, *I*, *ibid.*, this volume, pp. 183–196.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY
 Poznań

Received on 13. 2. 1976
 and in revised form on 18. 6. 1976

(813)

On the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function and L -series

by

K. RAMACHANDRA (Bombay)

1. Introduction. The object of this paper is to prove some theorems of which two typical ones are as follows.

THEOREM 1. Let $\beta_0 + i\gamma_0$ be any zero of $\zeta(s)$ with $\beta_0 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\gamma_0 \geq 100$. With every positive constant λ not exceeding $\frac{1}{2}$ and every complex number $1 + i\mu$ (μ real) let $D_\lambda(1 + i\mu)$ denote the disc $|1 + i\mu - s| \leq \lambda$. Then there exist effective positive absolute constants C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 (depending only on λ) such that for all Y satisfying $C_1 \log \log \gamma_0 \leq Y \leq C_2(1 - \beta_0)^{-1}$ there holds

$$\sum_{\rho} e^{-Y(1-\beta)} > C_3(Y(1-\beta_0))^{-1} - C_4,$$

where $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ runs over all the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ which lie in $D_\lambda(1 + i\gamma_0)$ and $D_\lambda(1 + 2i\gamma_0)$.

Remark. Since we let C_1, C_2, \dots, C_9 depend on λ , in Theorems 1, 2 the upper bounds like $Y \leq C_2(1 - \beta_0)^{-1}$ are plainly unnecessary. But we have retained them only for trivial reasons. However, we have good reasons to retain it in Theorem 3 which we state at the end of the paper.

THEOREM 2. Let $\beta_1 + i\gamma_1$ and $\beta_2 + i\gamma_2$ be two zeros of $\zeta(s)$ with $\beta_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta_2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $100 \leq \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 \leq 2\gamma_1$, $10^{-8} \leq \gamma_2 - \gamma_1$ (10^{-8} is unimportant and can be replaced by smaller positive constant as well). Then there exist effective positive absolute constants C_5, C_6, C_7, C_8 and C_9 (depending only on λ) such that for all Y satisfying

$$C_5 \log \log \gamma_1 \leq Y \leq C_6 \min((1 - \beta_1)^{-1}, (1 - \beta_2)^{-1})$$

and all γ_1, γ_2 satisfying

$$\gamma_2 - \gamma_1 < \exp(C_7 (\log \log \gamma_1)^{3/2} (\log \log \log \gamma_1)^{-2})$$

there holds

$$\sum_{\rho} e^{-Y(1-\beta)} > C_8 Y^{-1} \min((1 - \beta_1)^{-1}, (1 - \beta_2)^{-1}) - C_9,$$

where $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ runs over all the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ which lie in $D_\lambda(1 + i\gamma_1)$ and $D_\lambda(1 + i\gamma_2)$.