

Fourier analysis used in analytic number theory

by

TIKAO TATUZAWA (Tokyo)

In my previous papers [7], [8] and [6], I inadvertently used the second mean value theorem

$$(1) \quad \int_0^{a_1} \dots \int_0^{a_n} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \chi_{\lambda_1}(t_1) \dots \chi_{\lambda_n}(t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n \\ = f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \int_{\xi_1}^{a_1} \dots \int_{\xi_n}^{a_n} \chi_{\lambda_1}(t_1) \dots \chi_{\lambda_n}(t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n \\ 0 \leq \exists \xi_j \leq a_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq n),$$

for some positive non-decreasing function of many variables being

$$(2) \quad \chi_\lambda(t) = \frac{\sin 2\pi\lambda t}{\pi t},$$

unaware until recently, that I could not succeed in proving (1) effectively. This theorem was used to deduce the Dirichlet integral theorem

$$(3) \quad \lim_{\substack{\lambda_j \rightarrow \infty \\ (1 \leq j \leq n)}} \int_0^{a_1} \dots \int_0^{a_n} \{f(t_1 + x_1, \dots, t_n + x_n) - \\ - f(x_1, \dots, x_n)\} \chi_{\lambda_1}(t_1) \dots \chi_{\lambda_n}(t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n = 0,$$

or something like this. Hence arises the next question, namely for what sort of functions the satisfactory result (3) could be obtained.

In this note I shall introduce some new theorems which seem to be useful for investigating analytic number theory, though some extensions will be expected for these results (see [1], [6]).

Let

$$\xi = \varrho_1 x_1 + \dots + \varrho_n x_n, \quad \eta = \omega_1 y_1 + \dots + \omega_n y_n, \quad \mu = \omega_1 t_1 + \dots + \omega_n t_n.$$

Writing

$$J(\mu) = \int_X E(-\mu\xi) \left(\int_{P[1]} E(\xi\eta^k) dy \right)^s dx,$$

Siegel proved, for $s \geq k+2$,

$$(4) \quad J(\mu) = |\bar{d}|^{(1-s)^2} \prod_{l=1}^{r_1} F(\mu^{(l)}) \prod_{m=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2} H(\mu^{(m)})$$

by means of the Fourier transformation (see [4]). My method introduced in [8] was wrong and I could not follow this line in approach.

Finally I wish to offer my sincere apology and also express my thanks to Professor Siegel, who has given me fruitful sources of Fourier analysis.

1. Preliminary lemmas. Let there be an integrable function $\varphi(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ over the interval

$$\{(u_1, \dots, u_n); 0 \leq u_j \leq A_j (1 \leq j \leq n)\},$$

and let us represent $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ by the integral

$$(5) \quad \int_0^{t_1} \dots \int_0^{t_n} \varphi(u_1, \dots, u_n) du_1 \dots du_n$$

in the above interval, substituting u for t .

First of all I shall prove the following

LEMMA 1. *Let*

$$0 \leq b_j \leq b_j + x_j \leq c_j + x_j \leq A_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

The function represented by (5) satisfies the inequality

$$(6) \quad \left| \int_{b_1}^{c_1} \dots \int_{b_n}^{c_n} \{f(t_1 + x_1, \dots, t_n + x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n)\} \chi_{\lambda_1}(t_1) \dots \chi_{\lambda_n}(t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n \right| \leq A \cdot B,$$

$\chi_\lambda(t)$ being defined as (2) and A, B being defined as follows:

$$A = \left| \int_0^{x_1+b_1} \dots \int_0^{x_n+b_n} - \int_0^{x_1} \dots \int_0^{x_n} \varphi(u_1, \dots, u_n) du_1 \dots du_n \right| + \left\{ \int_0^{x_1+c_1} \int_0^{x_2+b_2} \dots \int_0^{x_n+b_n} + \dots + \int_0^{x_1+b_1} \int_0^{x_{n-1}+b_{n-1}} \int_0^{x_n+c_n} + \dots + \int_0^{x_1+c_1} \int_0^{x_2+c_2} \int_0^{x_3+b_3} \dots \int_0^{x_n+b_n} + \dots + \int_0^{x_1+b_1} \int_0^{x_2+b_2} \dots \int_0^{x_{n-1}+c_{n-1}} \int_0^{x_n+c_n} + \dots + \int_0^{x_1+c_1} \int_0^{x_2+c_2} \dots \int_0^{x_n+c_n} |\varphi(u_1, \dots, u_n)| du_1 \dots du_n \right\},$$

and

$$B = \text{Max}_{\substack{b_j \leq \xi_j \leq c_j \\ (1 \leq j \leq n)}} \left| \int_{\xi_1}^{c_1} \int_{\xi_2}^{c_2} \dots \int_{\xi_n}^{c_n} \chi_{\lambda_1}(t_1) \chi_{\lambda_2}(t_2) \dots \chi_{\lambda_n}(t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n \right|.$$

To prove (6), we divide the interval $[b_j, c_j]$ by points

$$b_j = \xi_{j0} < \xi_{j1} < \dots < \xi_{jk_j} = c_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq n),$$

we denote by Δ the subdivision

$$I = \bigcup_{j_1=0}^{k_1-1} \dots \bigcup_{j_n=0}^{k_n-1} I_{j_1 \dots j_n},$$

where

$$I = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n); b_j \leq t_j < c_j (1 \leq j \leq n)\},$$

$$I_{j_1 \dots j_n} = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n); \xi_{1j_1} \leq t_1 < \xi_{1,j_1+1}, \dots, \xi_{nj_n} \leq t_n < \xi_{n,j_n+1}\},$$

and we write

$$\delta(\Delta) = \text{Max}_{\substack{0 \leq s \leq k_j-1 \\ (1 \leq j \leq n)}} (\xi_{j,s+1} - \xi_{js}).$$

Now we introduce the step function

$$f_\Delta(t_1 + x_1, \dots, t_n + x_n), \quad (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in I,$$

such that its value is

$$f(\xi_{1j_1} + x_1, \dots, \xi_{nj_n} + x_n)$$

when (t_1, \dots, t_n) belongs to $I_{j_1 \dots j_n}$. Consequently,

$$(7) \quad \int_{b_1}^{c_1} \dots \int_{b_n}^{c_n} \{f_\Delta(t_1 + x_1, \dots, t_n + x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n)\} \chi_{\lambda_1}(t_1) \dots \chi_{\lambda_n}(t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n = \sum_{\Delta} \{f(\xi_{1j_1} + x_1, \dots, \xi_{nj_n} + x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n)\} \times \int_{\xi_{1j_1}}^{\xi_{1,j_1+1}} \dots \int_{\xi_{nj_n}}^{\xi_{n,j_n+1}} \chi_{\lambda_1}(t_1) \dots \chi_{\lambda_n}(t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n.$$

From

$$\int_{\xi_{1j_1}}^{\xi_{1,j_1+1}} \dots \int_{\xi_{nj_n}}^{\xi_{n,j_n+1}} \chi_{\lambda_1}(t_1) \dots \chi_{\lambda_n}(t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n = \int_{\xi_{1j_1}}^{c_1} \int_{\xi_{2j_2}}^{c_2} \dots \int_{\xi_{n-1,j_{n-1}}}^{c_{n-1}} \int_{\xi_{nj_n}}^{c_n} - \int_{\xi_{1,j_1+1}}^{c_1} \int_{\xi_{2j_2}}^{c_2} \dots \int_{\xi_{nj_n}}^{c_n} - \dots - \int_{\xi_{1j_1}}^{c_1} \int_{\xi_{2j_2}}^{c_2} \dots \int_{\xi_{n,j_n+1}}^{c_n} + \dots + (-1)^n \int_{\xi_{1,j_1+1}}^{c_1} \dots \int_{\xi_{n,j_n+1}}^{c_n},$$

(7) can be represented by

$$(8) \quad \int_{\Delta} \int_{\xi_{1j_1}}^{c_1} \dots \int_{\xi_{nj_n}}^{c_n} \chi_{\lambda_1}(t_1) \dots \chi_{\lambda_n}(t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n \{ f(\xi_{1j_1} + x_1, \dots, \xi_{nj_n} + x_n) - f(\xi_{1,j_1-1} + x_1, \dots, \xi_{nj_n} + x_n) - \dots - f(\xi_{1j_1} + x_1, \dots, \xi_{n,j_n-1} + x_n) + f(\xi_{1,j_1-1} + x_1, \xi_{2,j_2-1} + x_2, \dots, \xi_{nj_n} + x_n) + \dots + f(\xi_{1j_1} + x_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1,j_{n-1}-1} + x_{n-1}, \xi_{n,j_n-1} + x_n) - \dots + (-1)^n f(\xi_{1,j_1-1} + x_1, \dots, \xi_{n,j_n-1} + x_n) \}$$

by using the extended abel transformation. The expression (8) shows only the standard term when $0 < j_1, \dots, 0 < j_n$. From the assumption (5), (8) becomes

$$\int_{\Delta} \int_{\xi_{1j_1}}^{c_1} \dots \int_{\xi_{nj_n}}^{c_n} \chi_{\lambda_1}(t_1) \dots \chi_{\lambda_n}(t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n \times \int_{\xi_{1,j_1-1}+x_1}^{\xi_{1j_1}+x_1} \dots \int_{\xi_{n,j_n-1}+x_n}^{\xi_{nj_n}+x_n} \varphi(u_1, \dots, u_n) du_1 \dots du_n.$$

Hence we get the desired result (6) by making $\delta(\Delta) \rightarrow 0$. We often use the following relation to perform induction:

LEMMA 2.

$$(9) \quad f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = f(0, t_2, \dots, t_n) + \dots + f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, 0) - f(0, 0, t_3, \dots, t_n) - \dots - f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-2}, 0, 0) + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} f(0, \dots, 0) + \int_0^{t_1} \dots \int_0^{t_n} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial u_1 \dots \partial u_n} f(u_1, \dots, u_n) du_1 \dots du_n.$$

2. On the Fourier series. Let $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ be of period 1 for each variable and integrable over the unit cube

$$I = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n); 0 \leq t_j \leq 1 \ (1 \leq j \leq n)\}.$$

According to Hecke [3], the Fourier coefficients of $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ are defined by

$$a(m_1, \dots, m_n) = \int_I \dots \int f(t_1, \dots, t_n) e^{-2\pi i(m_1 t_1 + \dots + m_n t_n)} dt_1 \dots dt_n.$$

The formal infinite sum

$$\lim_{\substack{l_j \rightarrow \infty \\ (1 \leq j \leq n)}} S(l_1, \dots, l_n)$$

is termed the Fourier series of $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, where

$$S(l_1, \dots, l_n) = \sum_{|m_1| \leq l_1} \dots \sum_{|m_n| \leq l_n} a(m_1, \dots, m_n) e^{2\pi i(m_1 x_1 + \dots + m_n x_n)}.$$

THEOREM 1. Let a function $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ defined as (5) over the unit cube I be of period 1 for each variable. Then the Fourier series of $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ converges to $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Proof. From the definition, it follows that

$$(10) \quad S(l_1, \dots, l_n) = \sum_{|m_1| \leq l_1} \dots \sum_{|m_n| \leq l_n} \int_I \dots \int f(t_1, \dots, t_n) e^{2\pi i(m_1(x_1-t_1) + \dots + m_n(x_n-t_n))} dt_1 \dots dt_n = \sum_{|m_1| \leq l_1} \dots \sum_{|m_n| \leq l_n} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \dots \int f(x_1+t_1, \dots, x_n+t_n) e^{2\pi i(m_1 t_1 + \dots + m_n t_n)} dt_1 \dots dt_n.$$

Noting that

$$(11) \quad \sum_{|m| \leq l} e^{2\pi i m t} = \frac{\sin(2l+1)\pi t}{\sin \pi t}, \quad \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \frac{\sin(2l+1)\pi t}{\sin \pi t} dt = 1,$$

we have

$$S(l_1, \dots, l_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \dots \int \{ f(x_1+t_1, \dots, x_n+t_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \} \tau_{l_1}(t_1) \dots \tau_{l_n}(t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n,$$

where

$$\tau_l(t) = \frac{\sin(2l+1)\pi t}{\sin \pi t}.$$

It suffices to prove

$$(12) \quad \lim_{\substack{l_j \rightarrow \infty \\ (1 \leq j \leq n)}} \int \dots \int \{ f(x_1+t_1, \dots, x_n+t_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \} \tau_{l_1}(t_1) \dots \tau_{l_n}(t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n = 0.$$

To prove (12), we divide the integral in the following way:

$$(13) \quad \int_0^{1/2} \dots \int_0^{1/2} = \int_0^{c_1} \dots \int_0^{c_n} + \int_0^{1/2} \int_0^{1/2} \dots \int_0^{1/2} + \dots + \int_0^{1/2} \dots \int_0^{1/2} \int_0^{c_n} - \int_0^{1/2} \int_0^{1/2} \int_0^{1/2} \dots \int_0^{1/2} - \dots - \int_0^{1/2} \int_0^{1/2} \dots \int_0^{1/2} \int_0^{c_{n-1}} \int_0^{1/2} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} \int_0^{1/2} \int_0^{1/2} \dots \int_0^{1/2}, \quad 0 < c_j < 1/2 \ (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

We know from the second mean value theorem that

$$\int_{\xi}^{1/2} \tau_i(t) dt = \int_{\xi}^{1/2} \frac{\pi t}{\sin \pi t} \chi_i(t) dt = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{\eta}^{1/2} \chi_i(t) dt$$

where $0 \leq \xi \leq \eta \leq 1/2$. Noting this relation, we now apply Lemma 1 to each term of the right-hand side of (13), replacing $\chi(t)$ by $\tau(t)$. Firstly, by taking c_j sufficiently small, we can make

$$\int_0^{c_1} \int_0^{c_2} \dots \int_0^{c_n}$$

sufficiently small in modulus, since A can do so in (6). Secondly, by taking l_j sufficiently large, we can make other terms of the right-hand side of (13) sufficiently small in modulus, since B can do so in (6).

THEOREM 2. *Let $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ be a function such that*

$$\frac{\partial^{p_1+\dots+p_n}}{\partial t_1^{p_1} \dots \partial t_n^{p_n}} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \quad (p_j = 0 \text{ or } 1)$$

are of period 1 for each variable and continuous over I . Then the Fourier series of $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ converges to $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Proof. Noting the relation (9) of Lemma 2, and using Theorem 1 we can deduce the desired result by induction.

THEOREM 3. *Let $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ be integrable over the whole n -dimensional Euclidean space T and let*

$$\frac{\partial^{p_1+\dots+p_n}}{\partial t_1^{p_1} \dots \partial t_n^{p_n}} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \quad (p_j = 0 \text{ or } 1)$$

be continuous over T . If the series

$$\sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{k_n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^{p_1+\dots+p_n}}{\partial t_1^{p_1} \dots \partial t_n^{p_n}} f(t_1+k_1, \dots, t_n+k_n)$$

are all uniformly convergent over T , then

$$(14) \quad \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{k_n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_1+k_1, \dots, x_n+k_n) = \lim_{l_j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{|m_1| \leq l_1 \\ (1 \leq j \leq n)}} \dots \sum_{|m_n| \leq l_n} e^{2\pi i(m_1 x_1 + \dots + m_n x_n)} \times \int_T \dots \int f(t_1, \dots, t_n) e^{-2\pi i(m_1 t_1 + \dots + m_n t_n)} dt_1 \dots dt_n.$$

Proof. We only note that

$$\sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{k_n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_I \dots \int f(t_1+k_1, \dots, t_n+k_n) e^{-2\pi i(m_1 t_1 + \dots + m_n t_n)} dt_1 \dots dt_n = \int_T \dots \int f(t_1, \dots, t_n) e^{-2\pi i(m_1 t_1 + \dots + m_n t_n)} dt_1 \dots dt_n.$$

For the Poisson summation formula the following formulation, due to Bochner, is convenient to use (see [1], [9]), as well as Theorem 3.

THEOREM 4. *Let $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ be continuous and integrable over the whole space T . If the series of the left-hand side of (14) is uniformly convergent over the unit cube I cited at the beginning of §2, then the formula (14) holds whenever the right-hand side of (14) is convergent.*

Here I shall prove the following Theorem 5 instead of Theorem 4, which can easily be deduced from the former, because such a formulation will probably give rise to further questions in Fourier analysis.

THEOREM 5. *Let $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ be of period 1 for each variable and bounded and integrable over the unit cube I . If the Fourier series of $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is convergent, then it equals $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ whenever the function is continuous at (x_1, \dots, x_n) .*

Proof. Define

$$\sigma(p_1, \dots, p_n) = \frac{1}{p_1 \dots p_n} \sum_{l_1=0}^{p_1-1} \dots \sum_{l_n=0}^{p_n-1} S(l_1, \dots, l_n).$$

Noting (11) and also

$$\sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \frac{\sin(2l+1)\pi u}{\sin \pi u} = \frac{\sin^2 p \pi u}{\sin^2 \pi u}, \quad \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \frac{\sin^2 p \pi u}{\sin^2 \pi u} du = p,$$

we have from (10)

$$\sigma(p_1, \dots, p_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \dots \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \{f(t_1+x_1, \dots, t_n+x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n)\} \times \frac{\sin^2 p_1 \pi t_1}{p_1 \sin^2 \pi t_1} \dots \frac{\sin^2 p_n \pi t_n}{p_n \sin^2 \pi t_n} dt_1 \dots dt_n.$$

We divide the right integral in the following way:

$$\int_{-\delta_1}^{\delta_1} \dots \int_{-\delta_n}^{\delta_n} + \left(\int_{-1/2}^{-\delta_1} + \int_{\delta_1}^{1/2} \right) \int_{-1/2}^{-\delta_2} \dots \int_{\delta_2}^{1/2} + \dots + \int_{-1/2}^{-\delta_{n-1}} \dots \int_{\delta_{n-1}}^{1/2} \left(\int_{-\delta_n}^{-1/2} + \int_{\delta_n}^{1/2} \right) - \left(\int_{-1/2}^{-\delta_1} + \int_{\delta_1}^{1/2} \right) \left(\int_{-1/2}^{-\delta_2} + \int_{\delta_2}^{1/2} \right) \dots - \dots - \int_{-1/2}^{-\delta_{n-1}} \dots \int_{\delta_{n-1}}^{1/2} \left(\int_{-\delta_n}^{-1/2} + \int_{\delta_n}^{1/2} \right) \times \left(\int_{-1/2}^{-\delta_n} + \int_{\delta_n}^{1/2} \right) + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} \left(\int_{-1/2}^{-\delta_1} + \int_{\delta_1}^{1/2} \right) \dots \left(\int_{-1/2}^{-\delta_n} + \int_{\delta_n}^{1/2} \right).$$

For any given positive ε , we can take positive δ_j ($1 \leq j \leq n$) such that

$$|f(x_1 + t_1, \dots, x_n + t_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n)| < \varepsilon$$

provided $|t_j| \leq \delta_j$. Since

$$\left(\int_{-1/2}^{-\delta} + \int_{\delta}^{1/2} \right) \frac{\sin^2 p\pi u}{p \sin^2 \pi u} du \leq \frac{1}{p \sin^2 \pi \delta} \leq \frac{1}{4p\delta^2} \quad (0 < \delta < 1/2),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma(p_1, \dots, p_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n)| &< \varepsilon + 2M \left(\frac{1}{4p_1 \delta_1^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{4p_n \delta_n^2} \right) + \\ &+ 2M \left(\frac{1}{4p_1 \delta_1^2} \frac{1}{4p_2 \delta_2^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{4p_{n-1} \delta_{n-1}^2} \frac{1}{4p_n \delta_n^2} \right) + \\ &+ \dots + 2M \frac{1}{4p_1 \delta_1^2} \dots \frac{1}{4p_n \delta_n^2} \\ &= \varepsilon + 2M \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{1}{4p_1 \delta_1^2} \right) \dots \left(1 + \frac{1}{4p_n \delta_n^2} \right) - 1 \right\} < 2\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

by letting $p_j \rightarrow \infty$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$), M being the maximum modulus of f in I .

Hence, if we could deduce from the assumption $S(l_1, \dots, l_n) \rightarrow A$ ($l_j \rightarrow \infty$) that

$$\sigma(p_1, \dots, p_n) \rightarrow A \quad (p_j \rightarrow \infty),$$

we may conclude that

$$A = f(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

To prove this, we divide the sum

$$\sum_{k_1=0}^{p_1-1} \dots \sum_{k_n=0}^{p_n-1} S(k_1, \dots, k_n)$$

in the following way ($0 < l < p_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$)):

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k_1=0}^{l-1} \dots \sum_{k_n=0}^{l-1} + \sum_{k_1=l}^{p_1-1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{l-1} \dots \sum_{k_n=0}^{l-1} + \dots + \sum_{k_1=0}^{l-1} \dots \sum_{k_{n-1}=0}^{l-1} \sum_{k_n=l}^{p_n-1} + \\ &+ \sum_{k_1=l}^{p_1-1} \sum_{k_2=l}^{p_2-1} \sum_{k_3=0}^{l-1} \dots \sum_{k_n=0}^{l-1} + \dots + \sum_{k_1=0}^{l-1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{l-1} \dots \sum_{k_{n-1}=l}^{p_{n-1}-1} \sum_{k_n=l}^{p_n-1} + \dots + \sum_{k_1=l}^{p_1-1} \dots \sum_{k_n=l}^{p_n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, for example,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k_1=l}^{p_1-1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{l-1} \dots \sum_{k_n=0}^{l-1} &= \int_I \dots \int f(t_1 + x_1, \dots, t_n + x_n) \times \\ &\times \left(\frac{\sin^2 p_1 \pi t_1}{\sin^2 \pi t_1} - \frac{\sin^2 l \pi t_1}{\sin^2 \pi t_1} \right) \frac{\sin^2 l \pi t_2}{\sin^2 \pi t_2} \dots \frac{\sin^2 l \pi t_n}{\sin^2 \pi t_n} dt_1 \dots dt_n \end{aligned}$$

is smaller than $M(p_1 + l)^{n-1}$ in modulus. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \sum_{k_1=0}^{p_1-1} \dots \sum_{k_n=0}^{p_n-1} S(k_1, \dots, k_n) - \sum_{k_1=l}^{p_1-1} \dots \sum_{k_n=l}^{p_n-1} S(k_1, \dots, k_n) \right| \\ &\leq M \{ l^n + l^{n-1}((l + p_1) + \dots + (l + p_n)) + \dots + \\ &\quad + l((l + p_1) \dots (l + p_{n-1}) + \dots + (l + p_2) \dots (l + p_n)) \} \\ &= M \{ (2l + p_1) \dots (2l + p_n) - (l + p_1) \dots (l + p_n) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, if

$$|S(k_1, \dots, k_n) - A| < \varepsilon$$

for $k_j > l$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$), then we have

$$A_1 \leq \sigma(p_1, \dots, p_n) \leq A_2,$$

where

$$A_1 = (A - \varepsilon)(p_1 - l) \dots (p_n - l) - M \{ (p_1 + 2l) \dots (p_n + 2l) - (p_1 + l) \dots (p_n + l) \},$$

$$A_2 = (A + \varepsilon)(p_1 - l) \dots (p_n - l) + M \{ (p_1 + 2l) \dots (p_n + 2l) - (p_1 + l) \dots (p_n + l) \}.$$

We can therefore complete the proof:

$$\lim_{\substack{p_j \rightarrow \infty \\ (1 \leq j \leq n)}} \frac{\sigma(p_1, \dots, p_n)}{p_1 \dots p_n} = A.$$

3. On the Fourier integrals. Let $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ be integrable over the whole space T . The integral

$$\hat{f}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \int_T \dots \int f(t_1, \dots, t_n) e^{-2\pi i(x_1 t_1 + \dots + x_n t_n)} dt_1 \dots dt_n$$

is termed the Fourier transform of $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. As is well known, $\hat{f}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is bounded and continuous over the whole space.

Noting

$$\int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} e^{2\pi i u t} du = \frac{\sin 2\pi \lambda t}{\pi t} = \chi_{\lambda}(t),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\lambda_1}^{\lambda_1} \dots \int_{-\lambda_n}^{\lambda_n} \hat{f}(u_1, \dots, u_n) e^{2\pi i(u_1 x_1 + \dots + u_n x_n)} du_1 \dots du_n \\ &= \int_T \dots \int f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \left\{ \int_{-\lambda_1}^{\lambda_1} \dots \int_{-\lambda_n}^{\lambda_n} e^{2\pi i(u_1(x_1 - t_1) + \dots + u_n(x_n - t_n))} du_1 \dots du_n \right\} dt_1 \dots dt_n \\ &= \int_T \dots \int f(t_1 + x_1, \dots, t_n + x_n) \chi_{\lambda_1}(t_1) \dots \chi_{\lambda_n}(t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n \end{aligned}$$

by Fubini's theorem. Replacing b_j ($1 \leq j \leq n$) by 0 and letting c_j ($1 \leq j \leq n$) to be ∞ in (6), we can infer

$$\lim_{\substack{\lambda_j \rightarrow \infty \\ (1 \leq j \leq n)}} \int_0^{\infty} \dots \int_0^{\infty} \{f(t_1 + x_1, \dots, t_n + x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n)\} \times \\ \times \chi_{\lambda_1}(t_1) \dots \chi_{\lambda_n}(t_n) dt_1 \dots dt_n = 0$$

for the function defined as (5). Thus we get

THEOREM 6. *Let $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ be a function defined as (5) over the whole space T . Then the Fourier inversion formula*

$$(15) \quad \lim_{\substack{\lambda_j \rightarrow \infty \\ (1 \leq j \leq n)}} \int_{-\lambda_1}^{\lambda_1} \dots \int_{-\lambda_n}^{\lambda_n} \hat{f}(t_1, \dots, t_n) e^{2\pi i(x_1 t_1 + \dots + x_n t_n)} dt_1 \dots dt_n = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

holds.

Noting the relation (9), we also have

THEOREM 7. *Let $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ be a function such that*

$$\frac{\partial^{p_1 + \dots + p_n}}{\partial t_1^{p_1} \dots \partial t_n^{p_n}} f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \quad (p_j = 0 \text{ or } 1)$$

are continuous and integrable over T . Then the Fourier inversion formula (15) also holds.

References

- [1] S. Bochner, *Lectures on Fourier Integrals*, Princeton 1959.
- [2] H. Cramer, *Mathematical Methods of Statistics*, Princeton 1946.
- [3] E. Hecke, *Vorlesungen über die Theorie der algebraischen Zahlen*, Leipzig 1923.
- [4] C. L. Siegel, *Sums of m -th powers of algebraic integers*, Ann. of Math. 46 (1945), pp. 313-339.
- [5] T. Tatzuzawa, *Some results in the Fourier analysis*, Nagoya Math. Journ. 27 (1966), pp. 55-59.
- [6] — *On the Fourier series and integrals of the function with many variables*, Scientific papers of the College of General Education, Univ. of Tokyo 19 (1969), pp. 25-33.
- [7] — *On the Waring problem in an algebraic number field*, Seminar on Modern Methods in Number Theory, Tokyo 1971.
- [8] — *On Waring's problem in algebraic number fields*, Acta Arith. 24 (1973), pp. 37-60.
- [9] — *On the extended Hecke theta-formula*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov 132 (1973), pp. 206-210.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF GENERAL EDUCATION
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

Received on 23.3.1974

(546)

The Diophantine equation $y^2 = Dx^4 + 1$, II

by

J. H. E. COHN (London)

Ljunggren [4] has shown by a deep and complicated method that the equation of the title, where D is a positive integer not a square, has at most two solutions in positive integers x, y . Elementary methods have been employed for special values of D which specify the solutions more closely ([1], [3]).

Conditions of a simple type have been found under which there are no such solutions ([2], [5], [6]). We prove

THEOREM. *Let $D \neq 2$ be an integer such that $u^2 - Dv^2 = 2\varepsilon$ has solutions where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Then neither $y^2 = Dx^4 + 1$ nor $y^2 = 4Dx^4 + 1$ has a solution with $x > 0$ unless at least one of the equations $X^4 - DY^4 = 2\varepsilon$ or $4X^4 - DY^4 = 2\varepsilon$ has solutions.*

We shall require the following result, which is due to Nagell ([7], Theorems 8, 11).

LEMMA. *Let $D > 2$ be an integer, not a square. Then*

(i) *if the equation $u^2 - Dv^2 = 2$ has solutions in integers u, v then there is exactly one class of solutions, which is therefore ambiguous; if $a = U + VD^{1/2}$ is the fundamental solution, then $\frac{1}{2}a^2$ is the fundamental solution of $u^2 - Dv^2 = 1$;*

(ii) *ditto for the equation $u^2 - Dv^2 = -2$;*

(iii) *at most one of the equations $u^2 - Dv^2 = -1, 2$ and -2 has solutions in integers.*

Proof of the theorem. Let $a = U + VD^{1/2}$ be the fundamental solution of $u^2 - Dv^2 = 2\varepsilon$ and let $\beta = \frac{1}{2}a^2$. If either $y^2 = Dx^4 + 1$ or $y^2 = 4Dx^4 + 1$ has any solution with $x > 0$, let x be the smallest positive integer which provides a solution of either of them. Then

$$y + x^2 D^{1/2} \text{ or } y + 2x^2 D^{1/2} = \beta^n, \quad n \geq 1,$$

i.e.

$$x^2 \text{ or } 2x^2 = \frac{\beta^n - \beta'^n}{2D^{1/2}}.$$