

## A sharpening of the bounds for linear forms in logarithms III

by

A. BAKER (Cambridge)

*In memory of Professors  
Yu. V. Linnik and L. J. Mordell*

**1. Introduction.** Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be non-zero algebraic numbers with degrees at most  $d$  and suppose that the height of  $a_j$  is at most  $A_j$  ( $\geq 4$ ). Further let  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  be rational integers with absolute values at most  $B$  ( $\geq 4$ ), and let

$$A = b_1 \log a_1 + \dots + b_n \log a_n,$$

where the logarithms are assumed to have their principal values. We prove:

**THEOREM.** *If  $A \neq 0$  then  $|A| > B^{-C\Omega \log \Omega}$ , where*

$$\Omega = \log A_1 \dots \log A_n,$$

*and  $C$  is an effectively computable number depending only on  $n$  and  $d$ .*

The theorem improves upon the recent work of Stark [7] which itself refined several earlier results in this field. It does not, however, include the theorems of the first two memoirs of this series [3], [4], nor indeed those of [5] or [7] wherein, in particular, the linear form  $A$  possesses algebraic and not merely rational integer coefficients; and it would be of much interest to eliminate  $\log \Omega$  and to generalize  $A$  so as to incorporate these results.

The estimate of [7] was recently utilized by Stark [8] to strengthen the bound for the size of the solutions of the Diophantine equation  $y^2 = x^3 + k$  obtained in [2], and moreover a special version was employed by Shorey [6] to sharpen certain theorems concerning the distribution of the primes; it seems likely that these results will admit still further improvement in the light of the work here<sup>(1)</sup>.

<sup>(1)</sup> Added in proof. The work of this series has recently been applied by R. Tijdeman to show that the famous conjecture of Catalan is, in principle, decidable.

**2. Main theory.** We signify by  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , where  $n \geq 2$ , algebraic numbers as in § 1, and we denote by  $K$  the field which they generate over the rationals; further we denote by  $c_1, c_2, \dots$  numbers greater than 1 that can be specified in terms of  $n$  and  $d$  only. We suppose that there exist rational integers  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ , with  $b_n \neq 0$ , having absolute values at most  $B$ , such that  $|A| < B^{-C\Omega \log \Omega}$ , where  $C = C(n, d)$  is assumed sufficiently large. We proceed to prove that then, for any  $c_1$ , there exists  $c_2$  and a prime  $p$  with  $c_1 < p < c_2$  such that  $K(a_1^{1/p}, \dots, a_n^{1/p})$  is not an extension of  $K$  of degree  $p^n$ ; we shall show in § 4 that this suffices to establish the theorem.

The notation of [3] will be adopted without change, except that we now define  $L_j = [k^{1-1/(4n)} \Omega \log \Omega / \log A_j]$  ( $0 \leq j \leq n$ ), where  $A_0 = \Omega$ . It is then readily verified that Lemmas 5, 6 and 7 of [3] are valid with  $A$  replaced by  $\Omega^2$  and  $L = L_0 \log \Omega$ ; also one easily checks that Lemma 8 of [3] holds with the range of  $m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}$  extended to cover all non-negative integers with

$$(1) \quad m_0 + \dots + m_{n-1} \leq c_3^{-1} k \Omega \log \Omega$$

for some  $c_3$  as above. We now take  $q$  to be a prime  $p$  between  $k^{1/2}$  and  $2k^{1/2}$  exclusive and we assume that  $K(a_1^{1/p}, \dots, a_n^{1/p})$  is an extension of  $K$  of degree  $p^n$ . Then, for any integers  $\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_n$  between 0 and  $p-1$  inclusive, (2) of [3] holds with  $l$  replaced by  $l/p$  and with the  $p(\lambda_{-1}, \dots, \lambda_n)$  other than those such that  $\lambda_j \equiv \lambda'_j \pmod{p}$  for all  $j$ , equated to 0. This gives

$$(2) \quad \sum_{\lambda_{-1}=0}^{L'_{-1}} \dots \sum_{\lambda_n=0}^{L'_n} p(\lambda_{-1}, \lambda_0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) A'(l/p) \alpha_1^{\lambda_1 l} \dots \alpha_n^{\lambda_n l} = 0$$

for all  $l$  with  $1 \leq l \leq hp$ ,  $(l, p) = 1$ , where

$$L'_{-1} = L_{-1}, \quad L'_0 = L_0, \quad L'_j = [(L_j - \lambda'_j)/p] \quad (1 \leq j \leq n), \quad \mu_j = \lambda'_j + p\lambda_j,$$

and  $A'$  is defined like  $A$  but with  $\lambda_j$  replaced by  $\mu_j$ . In fact (2) holds with  $A'$  replaced by  $A$ ; for clearly  $A(b_n \mu_r - b_r \mu_n; m_r)$  is a polynomial in  $\gamma_r = \lambda_r - b_r \mu_n / b_n$  with coefficients independent of the  $\lambda$ 's and with degree  $m_r$ , whence arguing by induction with respect to  $m_1 + \dots + m_{n-1}$  as in the proof of Lemma 7, we infer that (2) remains valid if the product over  $r$  in  $A'$  is replaced by  $\gamma_1^{m_1} \dots \gamma_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}}$ , and the required result then follows on taking linear combinations. Thus we have shown that from the validity of (2) of [3] for  $1 \leq l \leq h$  and  $m_0 + \dots + m_{n-1} \leq k \Omega \log \Omega$  we obtain

$$\sum_{\lambda_{-1}=0}^{L'_{-1}} \dots \sum_{\lambda_n=0}^{L'_n} p'(\lambda_{-1}, \dots, \lambda_n) A(l/p) \alpha_1^{\lambda_1 l} \dots \alpha_n^{\lambda_n l} = 0$$

for all  $l$  with  $1 \leq l \leq hp$ ,  $(l, p) = 1$ , and all  $m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}$  satisfying (1), where the  $p'(\lambda_{-1}, \dots, \lambda_n)$  are integers given by some subset of the  $p(\lambda_{-1}, \dots, \lambda_n)$ , which, for a suitable choice of  $\lambda'_{-1}, \dots, \lambda'_n$ , are not all 0.

We shall demonstrate in the next section that the argument can be repeated and one obtains, for each positive integer  $J$ , an equation as above with  $L'_j \leq L_j/p^J$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) and with  $l/p$  replaced by  $l/p^J$ , valid for all  $l$  with  $1 \leq l \leq hp^J$ ,  $(l, p) = 1$ , and all  $m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}$  satisfying (1) with  $c_3$  replaced by  $p^{-J}$ . The process is continued until  $L'_j = 0$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ), which occurs for some  $J$  such that  $p^J \leq k \Omega \log \Omega$ . There remains then only the sum over  $\lambda_{-1}$  and  $\lambda_0$ , and the required contradiction follows from Lemma 2 of [3] as in § 4 of that paper; this establishes the assertion at the beginning.

**3. Inductive argument.** We require the proposition that for each integer  $J = 0, 1, \dots$ , with  $p^J \leq k \Omega \log \Omega$ , there exist integers  $p^{(J)}(\lambda_{-1}, \dots, \lambda_n)$ , not all 0, with absolute values at most  $\Omega^{c_4 2^{Jk}}$ , such that

$$(3) \quad \sum_{\lambda_{-1}=0}^{L_{-1}^{(J)}} \dots \sum_{\lambda_n=0}^{L_n^{(J)}} p^{(J)}(\lambda_{-1}, \dots, \lambda_n) A(l/p^J) \alpha_1^{\lambda_1 l} \dots \alpha_n^{\lambda_n l} = 0$$

for all integers  $l$  with  $1 \leq l \leq hp^J$ ,  $(l, p) = 1$ , and all non-negative integers  $m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}$  with

$$(4) \quad m_0 + \dots + m_{n-1} \leq p^{-J} k \Omega \log \Omega,$$

where  $L_{-1}^{(J)} = L_{-1}$ ,  $L_0^{(J)} = L_0$  and  $L_j^{(J)} \leq L_j/p^J$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) for all  $J$ .

The assertion holds for  $J = 0$  by Lemma 1 of [3]. We assume the result for  $J = K$  and proceed to prove the validity for  $J = K + 1$ . For any non-negative integers  $m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}$  satisfying (4) with  $J = K$  we write

$$f(z) = \sum_{\lambda_{-1}=0}^{L_{-1}^{(K)}} \dots \sum_{\lambda_n=0}^{L_n^{(K)}} p^{(K)}(\lambda_{-1}, \dots, \lambda_n) A(z/p^K) \alpha_1^{\lambda_1 z} \dots \alpha_n^{\lambda_n z}.$$

It is then readily verified that

$$|f(z)| \leq \Omega^{c_5 2^{Kk}} c_6^{L|z|/p^K},$$

and furthermore that for any integer  $l$  with  $h < l/p^K \leq hk^{2^n}$ , either (3) holds with  $J = K$  or

$$|f(l)| \geq p^{-4hKL_0} \Omega^{-c_7 2^{Kk}(1 + \log(l/hp^K))} c_8^{-Ll/p^K};$$

these estimates follow in fact as in the proof of Lemma 6 of [3], on noting that the left-hand side of (3), multiplied by

$$p^{4hKL_0} \alpha_1^{L_{-1}^{(K)} l} \dots \alpha_n^{L_n^{(K)} l} (p(l; 2hp^K))^{m_0},$$

is an algebraic integer, and we have  $m_0 p^K \leq k \Omega \log \Omega$ . One deduces next, as in Lemma 7 of [3], that for some  $\varepsilon$  ( $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ) depending only on  $n$  and  $d$ , and for any integer  $J'$  with  $0 \leq J' < 2n/\varepsilon$ , (3) holds with  $J = K$

for all integers  $l$  with  $1 \leq l \leq hp^K k^{nJ}$  and all non-negative integers  $m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}$  satisfying (4) with  $k$  replaced by  $k/2^{2^J}$ ; the argument follows closely its earlier counterpart,  $h$  and  $\log A$  being replaced by  $hp^K$  and  $p^{-K} \Omega \log \Omega$  respectively and, since  $KL_0 \log p$  does not exceed  $k \Omega \log \Omega$ , one obtains the same estimates as in [3] for the numbers on the right of (12) and (13) with, say,  $K'$  in place of  $K$ . Similarly one sees that the analogue of Lemma 8 of [3] holds, that is, (3) is valid with  $l$  replaced by  $l/p$  for all  $l$  with  $1 \leq l \leq hkp^K$ ,  $(l, p) = 1$ , and all  $m_0, \dots, m_{n-1}$  satisfying (4) with  $J = K + 1$  (2). Finally one argues as in § 2 above, and this yields the required result.

**4. Proof of the theorem.** We adopt the notation of § 2 and record first two preliminary lemmas; here  $A$  denotes the maximum of  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  and  $D = d^n$ .

LEMMA 1. If  $A \neq 0$  then

$$\log |A| > -4nDB \log(dA).$$

LEMMA 2. If  $A = 0$  but  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  are not all 0 then in fact  $A = 0$  for some  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ , not all 0, with absolute values at most

$$(4^{n^2} D^2 \log A)^{(2n+1)^2}.$$

The first result is Lemma 6 of [1] and the second is a consequence of the main deduction of that paper; indeed it is clear that the conclusion of the last paragraph of § 2 of [1] holds when  $A = 0$ , and the required result follows on applying this with  $n' = n$ ,  $\delta = 1$  and  $H = B - 1$  ( $\geq B^{1/n}$ ), where  $B$ , the maximum of the absolute values of  $b_1, \dots, b_n$ , is chosen minimally.

We shall suppose, as we may without loss of generality, that  $A_1 \leq A_2 \leq \dots \leq A_n = A$  and that  $a_1 = -1$ . We can clearly suppose further that  $A > C'$ ,  $B > C'$  for some sufficiently large  $C' = C'(n, d)$ , for otherwise the theorem follows at once either from the result of [3] or from Lemma 1. We note also that if  $A \neq 0$ , then, by Lemma 1,

$$\log |A| > -cB \log A,$$

where  $c = 8nD$ , and thus, if  $|A| < B^{-C \log \Omega}$ , we have  $B \log A > (C/c) \Omega$ , whence

$$(5) \quad \log A_j < B^{c/C} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1).$$

We now apply the result of § 2 with  $c_1 = (4d)^n$ ; if  $p$  is the prime indicated there, then, for some  $m$  with  $0 \leq m < n$ ,  $a_{m+1}^{1/p}$  does not generate an extension of  $K(a_1^{1/p}, \dots, a_m^{1/p})$  of degree  $p$ . Hence, by Lemma 3 of [3]

(2) In the proofs of the analogues of Lemmas 7 and 8, the factors  $(x-l)$  in  $F(x)$  and  $E(x)$  with  $(l, p) > 1$  must be deleted; the arguments are not substantially affected.

we have

$$(6) \quad a_{m+1} = a_1^{r_1} \dots a_m^{r_m} \gamma^p$$

for some  $\gamma$  in  $K$  and some integers  $r_1, \dots, r_m$  with  $0 \leq r_j < p$ . We shall suppose that the height  $A' = A_{m+1}$  of  $a_{m+1}$  satisfies

$$(7) \quad \log A' < cB^{c/C},$$

and we verify first that this involves no loss of generality. In fact, if  $m \leq n-2$ , (7) is a weaker version of (5); thus we assume that  $m = n-1$ , whence  $A' = A$ . Clearly each conjugate of

$$\gamma = a_n^{1/p} a_1^{-r_1/p} \dots a_m^{-r_m/p}$$

has absolute value at most  $(dA)^{1/p} (dA_m)^m$ , and thus, by Lemma 4 of [3], the height of  $\gamma$  is at most  $(2dA_m)^{2mD} A^{2D/p}$ . This would be less than  $A^{1/2}$  if (7) did not hold, for then (5) would give  $A_m^n < A$ . But from (6) we see that

$$\log a_n = r_1 \log a_1 + \dots + r_m \log a_m + p \log \gamma$$

for some value of  $\log \gamma$ , and thus

$$A = b_1' \log a_1 + \dots + b_m' \log a_m + b_n' \log \gamma$$

where

$$b_j' = b_j + b_n r_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq m), \quad b_n' = b_n p.$$

The integers  $b_j'$  plainly have absolute values at most  $2pB$  and hence, on modifying  $b_1'$  if necessary so as to make  $\log \gamma$  principal-valued, we see that the theorem would follow by induction on  $A$ . It suffices therefore to assume that (7) is valid.

We now construct, as far as possible, a sequence  $\gamma_1 = \gamma, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \dots$  of elements of  $K$  such that  $\gamma_l = a_1^{s_{l1}} \dots a_m^{s_{lm}} \gamma_{l+1}^p$  ( $l = 1, 2, \dots$ ), where the  $r_{ij}$  are integers with  $0 \leq r_{ij} < p$ . Clearly we have

$$(8) \quad a_{m+1} = a_1^{s_{11}} \dots a_m^{s_{1m}} \gamma_1^{p^l},$$

where the  $s_{ij}$  are integers with  $0 \leq s_{ij} < p^l$ , and from this we deduce as above that the height of  $\gamma_l$  is at most  $(2dA')^{2nD}$ . Let  $H$  be the bound specified in Lemma 2 with the latter number in place of  $A$  and with  $n+1$  in place of  $n$ . We distinguish two cases according as the sequence terminates for some  $l$  with  $p^l \leq H$  or it does not. In the latter case, let  $l$  be the least integer with  $p^l > H$ . From (8), taking logarithms, and Lemma 2, we see that there exist integers  $b', b_1', \dots, b_{m+1}'$ , not all 0, with absolute values at most  $H$ , such that

$$b_1' \log a_1 + \dots + b_{m+1}' \log a_{m+1} + b' \log \gamma_l = 0,$$

and, on utilizing (8) again and eliminating  $\gamma_l$ , we obtain

$$(9) \quad b_1'' \log a_1 + \dots + b_{m+1}'' \log a_{m+1} = 0$$

for some integer  $b_1''$ , where

$$b_j'' = p^l b_j - b' s_{lj} \quad (1 < j \leq m), \quad b_{m+1}'' = p^l b_{m+1}' + b'.$$

Now the  $b_j''$  ( $j > 1$ ) are integers with absolute values at most  $2pH^2$ ; thus all  $b_j''$  have absolute values at most  $2npH^2$  and, by (7), this is less than  $B$  if  $C$  is sufficiently large. Further, from (9), we can plainly express  $b_{m+1}'' A$  as a linear form in the  $\log a_j$  with  $j \neq m+1$  and with integer coefficients having absolute values at most  $2B^2$ . Hence, if  $b_{m+1}'' \neq 0$ , the theorem follows by induction on  $n$ . If  $b_{m+1}'' = 0$  then, since  $p^l > H$ , we have  $b' = 0$  and so  $b_j'' \neq 0$  for some  $j \leq m$ ; in this case the elimination of  $\log a_j$  furnishes the desired conclusion.

It remains to consider the possibility that the sequence terminates for some  $l$  with  $p^l \leq H$ . From (8) we see that  $A$  can be expressed as a linear form in the  $\log a_j$  with  $a_{m+1}$  replaced by  $\gamma_l$  and with integer coefficients having absolute values at most  $2nHB$ ; further, from (7), this is less than  $B^2$  if  $C$  is sufficiently large. Furthermore, since by supposition the sequence terminates, we deduce from Lemma 3 of [3] that  $\gamma_l^{1/p}$  generates an extension of  $K(a_1^{1/p}, \dots, a_m^{1/p})$  of degree  $p$ . Recalling that  $\gamma_l$  has height  $A''$ , say, where  $\log A'' / \log A'$  is bounded in terms of  $n$  and  $d$  only, it follows that the hypotheses of § 2 hold with  $\gamma_l$  substituted for  $a_{m+1}$  and with a reduced value of  $C$ . After at most  $n$  such substitutions this contradicts the result of § 2 (since the choice of  $p$  there depends only on  $n$  and  $d$ ) and the contradiction proves the theorem.

#### References

- [1] A. Baker, *Linear forms in the logarithms of algebraic numbers IV*, *Mathematika* 15 (1968), pp. 204–216.
- [2] — *Contributions to the theory of Diophantine equations II; The Diophantine equation  $y^2 = x^3 + k$* , *Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London A263* (1968), pp. 193–208.
- [3] — *A sharpening of the bounds for linear forms in logarithms*, *Acta Arith.* 21 (1972), pp. 117–129.
- [4] — *A sharpening of the bounds for linear forms in logarithms II*, *Acta Arith.* 24 (1973), pp. 33–36.
- [5] — *A central theorem in transcendence theory*, in *Diophantine approximation and its applications* (Academic Press, 1973), pp. 1–23.
- [6] T. N. Shorey, *On gaps between numbers with a large prime factor II*, *Acta Arith.* 25 (1974), pp. 365–373.
- [7] H. M. Stark, *Further advances in the theory of linear forms in logarithms*, in *Diophantine approximation and its applications*, Academic Press, 1973, pp. 255–293.
- [8] — *Effective estimates of solutions of some diophantine equations*, *Acta Arith.* 24 (1973), pp. 251–259.

Received on 25. 8. 1973

(442)

#### Применения дисперсионного метода в проблеме Гольдбаха

Б. М. Бредихин, Н. А. Яковлева (Куйбышев)

1. Многие аддитивные задачи с простыми числами решаются с помощью метода оценки тригонометрических сумм, открытого И. М. Виноградовым [5], в соединении с теоремами, касающимися распределения простых чисел в арифметических прогрессиях с медленно растущей разностью. При сведении тригонометрических сумм по простым числам к двойным суммам фундаментальной является идея И. М. Виноградова по „сглаживанию” таких сумм.

В основе дисперсионного метода, разработанного Ю. В. Линником [8], также лежит идея „сглаживания” наряду с рассуждениями, имеющими свои истоки в классической работе П. Л. Чебышева *О средних величинах* (см. [12]).

Эта же идея используется в методе большого решета, созданного Ю. В. Линником [9] и позволившего получить ряд теорем, относящихся к распределению простых чисел в арифметических прогрессиях в среднем.

В самое последнее время Ю. В. Линник (совместно с одним из авторов данной статьи) рассмотрел применения дисперсионного метода и теорем о простых числах к некоторым тернарным аддитивным задачам (см. [2]–[4]).

В работе [4] дано новое доказательство теоремы Виноградова о представлении нечетных чисел суммами трех простых чисел (ради простоты берутся нечетные числа, не содержащие малых простых делителей).

Аналогично может быть изучено уравнение

$$(1) \quad p + p_1 - p_2 = p_3,$$

где  $p, p_1, p_2, p_3$  пробегает простые числа,  $p + p_1 \leq n$ . Пусть  $Q(n)$  — число решений уравнения (1). Почти буквальным повторением рассуждений работы [4] (с предварительным фиксированием  $p_3$ ) может быть доказана следующая теорема: