

## On mean values of Dirichlet polynomials with real characters

by

MATTI JUTILA (Turku)

*To the memory of Yu. V. Linnik*

**1. Introduction.** The problem concerning the mean square of the Dirichlet polynomials

$$(1) \quad f(s; \chi) = \sum_1^N a_n \chi(n) n^{-s},$$

where  $\chi$  runs over a set  $K$  of Dirichlet characters and  $s$  for each  $\chi$  runs over a set of "well-spaced" points in the complex plane, can be reduced to the problem of estimating expressions of the type

$$(2) \quad \sum_{\chi \in K} \int_{T_0}^{T_0+T} |f(a+it, \chi)|^2 dt.$$

It is desirable to have "hybrid" estimates, i.e. estimates in which neither the summation nor the integration is carried out trivially.

In the case when  $K$  consists of the primitive characters having modulus  $\leq X$ , such "hybrid" results were obtained independently and by different methods by Montgomery [6] and the author [2]. Later Gallagher [1] found a device (Lemma 1 below) which effected a considerable technical simplification. Gallagher's lemma, combined with the large sieve inequality of Linnik-Bombieri, yields the following result (see [1], or [7], Th. 7.1):

$$(3) \quad \sum_{q \leq X} \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* \int_{T_0}^{T_0+T} |f(it, \chi)|^2 dt \ll (X^2 T + N) \sum_1^N |a_n|^2,$$

where the star denotes the primitivity of the character.

If  $K$  is the set of the *real* primitive characters having modulus  $\leq X$ , then the inequality (3) of course gives an estimate for (2), but owing to the extra non-real characters in (3), such an estimate may be crude or

trivial. Our aim is to show that, using in Gallagher's lemma a mean value theorem for real character sums, obtained by the author in [3] (see Lemma 2 below), one can in some cases prove something better.

To formulate our mean value theorem, we introduce some notation.

Any real character arises from Kronecker's symbol  $\left(\frac{D}{n}\right)$ , and this character will be denoted by  $\chi_D$ . The modulus of  $\chi_D$  is  $|D|$ , and if we write  $D = da^2$ , where  $d$  is a fundamental discriminant, then  $\chi_d$  is the primitive character, equivalent to  $\chi_D$ . All real non-principal characters are of the form  $\chi_D$  with  $D$  not a square and with  $D \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{4}$ . A sum over such values of  $D$  will be denoted by  $\sum'_D$ . The constant implied by the symbol  $\ll$  or  $O(\ )$  is absolute unless otherwise indicated.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $X \geq 3$ ,  $N \geq 2$  be natural numbers, let  $a_n, n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , be any complex numbers, and define  $f(s, \chi)$  by (1). Write

$$Z_k = \sum_1^N |a_n|^k.$$

Then for any real  $T_0$  and any positive  $T$  we have

$$(4) \quad \sum'_{|D| \leq X} \int_{T_0}^{T_0+T} |f(it, \chi_D)|^2 dt \ll TX \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n \leq N \\ mn = a^2}} |a_m a_n| + (TX)^{1/2} N^{15/8} Z_{16}^{1/8} \log^7 N.$$

From this we obtain the following analogous result for values of Dirichlet polynomials (for details, see Montgomery [7], Ch. 7).

**COROLLARY.** Let a finite set  $A(D)$  of complex numbers  $s = \sigma + it$  be given for each  $D$  with  $|D| \leq X$ . Let  $T_0, T, \alpha, \delta$  be real numbers such that

$$T_0 + \delta/2 \leq t \leq T_0 + T - \delta/2, \quad \sigma \geq \alpha, \quad |t - t'| \geq \delta$$

for each  $s \in A(D)$  and for any two different  $s, s' \in A(D)$ . Write

$$Z_k(\alpha) = \sum_1^N |a_n|^k n^{-k\alpha}.$$

Then we have

$$(5) \quad \sum'_{|D| \leq X} \sum_{s \in A(D)} |f(s, \chi_D)|^2 \ll (\delta^{-1} + \log N)(\log \log N) \times \left\{ TX \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n \leq N \\ mn = a^2}} |a_m a_n| (mn)^{-\alpha} + (TX)^{1/2} N^{15/8} Z_{16}(\alpha)^{1/8} \log^6 N \right\}.$$

As an application of the corollary we prove the following density theorem for  $L$ -functions.

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $N(a, T, \chi)$  be the number of zeros of the function  $L(s, \chi)$  in the rectangle

$$\alpha \leq \sigma \leq 1, \quad |t| \leq T.$$

Then for  $\frac{1}{2} \leq a < 1, T \geq 1, \varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$(6) \quad \sum'_{|D| \leq X} N(a, T, \chi_D) \ll_\varepsilon (XT)^{(7-6a)/(6-4a)+\varepsilon}.$$

In [4] we proved a density theorem of the same type but weaker for increasing  $T$ . Similarly as in [4], one might conjecture that

$$(7) \quad \sum'_{|D| \leq X} N(a, T, \chi_D) \ll_\varepsilon (XT)^{3/2-a+\varepsilon}.$$

As an arithmetical application of Theorem 2 we mention the following mean value estimate for character sums over primes.

**THEOREM 3.** For  $X \geq 3, \gamma > 0, \varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$(8) \quad \sum'_{|D| \leq X} \left| \sum_{p \leq X^\gamma} \chi_D(p) \right| \ll_\varepsilon X^{h(\gamma)+\varepsilon},$$

where

$$(9) \quad h(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1 + \gamma/2 & \text{for } 0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{3}{2}(1 + \gamma) - \sqrt{2}\gamma & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq 2, \\ \frac{1}{2} + \gamma & \text{for } \gamma > 2. \end{cases}$$

If the conjecture (7) is true, then we have in (8)

$$(10) \quad h(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1 + \gamma/2 & \text{for } 0 < \gamma \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} + \gamma & \text{for } \gamma > 1. \end{cases}$$

For example, from (9) we have  $h(1) = 3 - \sqrt{2} = 1.585\dots$ , whereas (10) would give  $h(1) = 1.5$ . For comparison we note that for any sequence  $M$  of natural numbers we have

$$(11) \quad \sum'_{|D| \leq X} \left| \sum_{\substack{n \leq X^\gamma \\ n \in M}} \chi_D(n) \right| \ll (X^{3/4+\gamma} + X^{1+\gamma/2})(\log X)^\gamma$$

if  $X^\gamma \geq 2$ . This is an easy corollary of Lemma 3 of the next section. In the above example this gives the exponent 1.75. If  $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then the assertion of Theorem 3 follows from (11). Hence (8) is "non-trivial", i.e. reflects special properties of the prime number sequence, only in the case  $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$ .

**2. Lemmas.** First we quote the two basic lemmas.

LEMMA 1 (Gallagher [1]). *If the series*

$$S(s) = \sum_1^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$$

is absolutely convergent for  $\text{Res} \geq 0$  (hence in particular if  $a_n = 0$  for  $n > N$ ), then

$$\int_{-T}^T |S(it)|^2 dt \ll T^2 \int_0^{\tau Y} \left| \sum_y^{\tau y} a_n \right|^2 y^{-1} dy,$$

where  $\tau = \exp(T^{-1})$  and  $T > 0$ .

LEMMA 2 (Jutila [2]). *For  $X \geq 3$ ,  $Y \geq 1$  we have*

$$\sum_{|D| \leq X}' \left| \sum_{1 \leq n \leq Y} \chi_D(n) \right|^2 \ll XY \log^3 X.$$

From Lemma 2 we deduce the following

LEMMA 3. *For  $X \geq 3$ ,  $N \geq 2$  we have*

$$(12) \quad \sum_{|D| \leq X}' \left| \sum_1^N a_n \chi_D(n) \right|^2 \ll X \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n \leq N \\ mn = a^2}} |a_m a_n| + X^{1/2} N^{7/4} Z_8^{1/4} \log^6 N.$$

Proof. Put  $p(n) = 0$  or 1 according to whether  $n$  is a square or not. Then the expression on the left of (12) is

$$(13) \quad \ll X \sum_{1 \leq m, n \leq N} (1 - p(mn)) |a_m a_n| + \sum_{1 \leq m, n \leq N} p(mn) |a_m a_n| \left| \sum_{|D| \leq X}' \left( \frac{D}{mn} \right) \right|.$$

We shall estimate the second sum here by Lemma 2. Write

$$S(r) = \left| \sum_{|D| \leq X}' \left( \frac{D}{r} \right) \right|.$$

Then the sum under consideration is

$$(14) \quad \sum_1^{N^2} p(r) b_r S(r) \ll \left\{ \sum_1^{N^2} b_r^2 \sum_1^{N^2} p(r) S^2(r) \right\}^{1/2},$$

where

$$(15) \quad b_r = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n \leq N \\ mn=r}} |a_m a_n|.$$

By Lemma 2 and the quadratic reciprocity law it is easily seen that

$$(16) \quad \sum_1^{N^2} p(r) S^2(r) \ll N^2 X \log^3 N.$$

By (15),

$$\sum_1^{N^2} b_r^2 = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq h, k, m, n \leq N \\ hk=mn}} |a_h a_k a_m a_n| \leq \sum_{\substack{1 \leq h, k, m, n \leq N \\ hk=mn}} (|a_h|^4 + |a_k|^4 + |a_m|^4 + |a_n|^4).$$

Hence, writing  $d(n)$  for the number of divisors of  $n$ , we have

$$(17) \quad \sum_1^{N^2} b_r^2 \ll \sum_{h=1}^N |a_h|^4 \sum_{k=1}^N d(hk) \ll \sum_{h=1}^N |a_h|^4 d(h) \sum_{k=1}^N d(k) \ll Z_8^{1/2} (N \log^3 N)^{1/2} N \log N,$$

the last step by Schwarz's inequality and by the well-known formulas for the sum functions of  $d(n)$  and  $d^2(n)$ .

The assertion (12) now follows from (13), (14), (16), and (17).

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.** If  $T \leq 1$ , then we may apply Lemma 3 pointwise and integrate trivially to obtain (4). Hence only the case  $T > 1$  remains.

By Lemma 1 we have

$$(18) \quad \sum_{|D| \leq X}' \int_{T_0}^{T_0+T} |f(it, \chi_D)|^2 dt \ll T^2 \sum_{|D| \leq X}' \int_0^{\tau Y} \left| \sum_y^{\tau y} a_n \chi_D(n) n^{-iT_0} \right|^2 y^{-1} dy \ll T^2 \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{y \leq m, n \leq yr} |a_m a_n| S(mn) y^{-1} dy = \sum_1^{N^2} c_r S(r),$$

where

$$c_r = T^2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n \leq N \\ mn=r}} |a_m a_n| \int_{y_1}^{y_2} y^{-1} dy,$$

$$y_1 = \tau^{-1} \max(m, n), \quad y_2 = \max(y_1, \min(m, n)).$$

Obviously

$$(19) \quad c_r \ll T \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n \leq N \\ m \leq n \leq mx \\ mn=r}} |a_m a_n|.$$

Hence

$$\sum_1^{N^2} c_r S(r) \ll XT \sum_{1 \leq m, n \leq N} (1 - p(mn)) |a_m a_n| + \sum_1^{N^2} p(r) S(r) c_r.$$

To complete the proof, we need an estimate for the sum

$$(20) \quad \sum_1^{N^2} p(r) S(r) e_r \ll \left\{ \sum_1^{N^2} p(r) S^2(r) \sum_1^{N^2} e_r^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$

By (19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^{N^2} e_r^2 &\ll T^2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq h, k, m, n \leq N \\ h \leq k \leq hr \\ m \leq n \leq nr \\ hk = mn}} |a_h a_k a_m a_n| \\ &\ll T^2 \sum_{h=1}^N |a_h|^4 \sum_{h \leq k \leq hr} d(hk) + T^2 \sum_{k=1}^N |a_k|^4 \sum_{kr^{-1} \leq h \leq k} d(hk). \end{aligned}$$

The first double sum is

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll T^2 \sum_{h=1}^N |a_h|^4 d(h) \sum_{h \leq k \leq h+N(\tau-1)} d(k) \\ &\ll T^2 \left\{ \sum_1^N |a_h|^8 d^2(h) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{h=1}^N \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{N(\tau-1)} d(h+\nu) \right)^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\ll T^2 \left\{ \sum_1^N |a_h|^8 d^2(h) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq \mu, \nu \leq N(\tau-1)} \sum_{h=1}^N d(h+\mu) d(h+\nu) \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\ll T^2 Z_{16}^{1/4} (N \log^{15} N)^{1/4} \left( \sum_{0 \leq \mu, \nu \leq N(\tau-1)} N \log^3 N \right)^{1/2} \\ &\ll TZ_{16}^{1/4} N^{7/4} (\log N)^{21/4} \end{aligned}$$

and the second double sum is estimated similarly.

This and (16), substituted into (20), complete the proof of Theorem 1.

**4. Proof of Theorem 2.** Put  $L = \log XT$ . From the zeros under consideration we pick out a subset  $A$  (a set of "good" zeros) satisfying the following conditions:

(i) if  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  and  $\rho' = \beta' + i\gamma'$  are counted in  $A$  as zeros of the same  $L(s, \chi_D)$ , then  $|\gamma - \gamma'| \geq 2L^2$ ;

(ii) the region  $\sigma > \beta + L^{-1}$ ,  $|t - \gamma| \leq L^2$  does not contain any zero of  $L(s, \chi_D)$  if  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  is counted in  $A$  as a zero of  $L(s, \chi_D)$ .

It is easily seen that it suffices to find an upper estimate for the cardinality of the set  $A$ .

For any zero  $\rho$  of  $L(s, \chi_D)$  we can construct a Dirichlet polynomial

$$(21) \quad F(s, \chi_D) = \sum_{n \leq n \leq y} b_n \chi_D(n) n^{-s}$$

with  $|b_n| \leq d(n)$  such that  $|F(\rho, \chi_D)| \geq 1$ . If  $\varepsilon > 0$  is fixed and  $XT \gg_\varepsilon 1$ , then for  $\rho \in A$  we may choose in (21)

$$x = (XT)^\varepsilon, \quad y = (XT)^{1/2 + 8\varepsilon}$$

(see [5], Lemma 2).

Split up the polynomial  $F(s, \chi_D)$  into a sum of  $\ll L$  polynomials of the type

$$(22) \quad \sum_N^{N'} b_n \chi_D(n) n^{-s}$$

with  $N \ll N' \ll N$ . Then for any "good" zero  $\rho$  of  $L(s, \chi_D)$  at least one of these polynomials is  $\geq L^{-1}$  at  $\rho$ .

To apply the corollary of Theorem 1, we first raise each polynomial (22) to a suitable integral power. This power  $u$  is chosen in such way that  $N^u$  is "near"  $(XT)^{1/2}$  since then the corollary gives the best estimate for the number of "large" values of the polynomials. Given a number  $z$  with  $y^{1/2} \leq z \leq y$ , an integer  $u$  exists such that  $z \leq N^u \leq z^2$ . Hence by the corollary the cardinality of the set  $A$  is

$$(23) \quad \ll_\varepsilon (TXz^{1-2\varepsilon} + (TX)^{1/2} (z^2)^{2-2\varepsilon}) L^{c(\varepsilon)},$$

where  $c(\varepsilon)$  is a constant depending on  $\varepsilon$ . The optimal choice for  $z$  is

$$z = \max\{(XT)^{1/(6-4\varepsilon)}, (XT)^{1/4+4\varepsilon}\}.$$

Putting this into (23) we obtain the required estimate.

**5. Proof of Theorem 3.** We use the classical formula

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \chi_D(n) A(n) = - \sum_{|\operatorname{Im} \rho| \leq T} \rho^{-1} x^\rho + O(xT^{-1} \log^2(|D|x) + x^{1/4} \log(|D|x)),$$

where  $x \geq T \geq 2$ ,  $x$  is of the form  $N + \frac{1}{2}$  with integral  $N$ , and  $\rho$  runs over the zeros of  $L(s, \chi_D)$ , except possibly the zero  $1 - \beta_1$  if there exists an exceptional zero  $\beta_1$  of  $L(s, \chi_D)$  (see [8], Satz 4.6). It suffices to prove an estimate of the type (8) for this modified sum, where  $x = X^\gamma$ .

The  $O$ -term is negligible if  $T = X$ . The sum over the zeros is estimated by absolute values. Using Theorem 2 and partial summation, we see that the function  $h(\gamma)$  is determined from the condition

$$h(\gamma) = \max_{1 \leq a \leq 1} \{\gamma a + (7 - 6a)/(6 - 4a)\},$$

and (9) is obtained by a short calculation. The conditional result (10) is verified similarly.

## References

- [1] P. X. Gallagher, *A large sieve density estimate near  $\sigma = 1$* , *Inventiones Math.* 11 (1970), pp. 329–339.
- [2] M. Jutila, *A statistical density theorem for  $L$ -functions with applications*, *Acta Arith.* 16 (1969), pp. 207–216.
- [3] — *On character sums and class numbers*, *J. Number Theory* 5 (1973), pp. 203–214.
- [4] — *A density estimate for  $L$ -functions with a real character*, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A I*, 508 (1972), 10 pp.
- [5] — *On a density theorem of H. L. Montgomery for  $L$ -functions*, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A I*, 520 (1972), 13 pp.
- [6] H. L. Montgomery, *Mean and large values of Dirichlet polynomials*, *Inventiones Math.* 8 (1969), pp. 334–345.
- [7] — *Topics in Multiplicative Number Theory*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 227, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1971.
- [8] K. Prachar, *Primzahlverteilung*, Berlin 1957.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF TURKU  
Turku, Finland

Received on 17. 7. 1973

(436)

## On sets of integers containing no $k$ elements in arithmetic progression

by

E. SZEMERÉDI (Budapest)

*Dedicated to the memory  
of Yu. V. Linnik*

**1. Introduction.** In 1926 van der Waerden [15] proved the following startling theorem: *If the set of integers is arbitrarily partitioned into two classes then at least one class contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions.* It is well known and obvious that neither class must contain an infinite arithmetic progression. In fact, it is easy to see that for any sequence  $a_n$  there is another sequence  $b_n$ , with  $b_n > a_n$ , which contains no arithmetic progression of three terms, but which intersects every infinite arithmetic progression. The finite form of van der Waerden's theorem goes as follows: *For each positive integer  $n$ , there exists a least integer  $f(n)$  with the property that if the integers from 1 to  $f(n)$  are arbitrarily partitioned into two <sup>(1)</sup> classes, then at least one class contains an arithmetic progression of  $n$  terms.* (For a short proof, see the note of Graham and Rothschild [7].) However, the best upper bound on  $f(n)$  known at present is extremely poor. The best lower bound known, due to Berlekamp [3], asserts that  $f(n) > n2^n$ , which improves previous results of Erdős, Rado and W. Schmidt.

More than 40 years ago, Erdős and Turán [4] considered the quantity  $r_k(n)$ , defined to be the greatest integer  $l$  for which there is a sequence of integers  $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_l \leq n$  which does not contain an arithmetic progression of  $k$  terms. They were led to the investigation of  $r_k(n)$  by several things. First of all the problem of estimating  $r_k(n)$  is clearly interesting in itself. Secondly,  $r_k(n) < n/2$  would imply  $f(k) < n$ , i.e., they hoped to improve the poor upper bound on  $f(k)$  by investigating  $r_k(n)$ . Finally, an old question in number theory asks if there are arbitra-

<sup>(1)</sup> In fact, van der Waerden proved this for partitions into  $r$  classes for any positive integer  $r$ .