From (38) and Lemmas 7 and 9 we have

$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}\prod\limits_{i=1}^{9}T(\gamma_{i})K(a)K(\beta)dad\beta=\int\limits_{U(r)}\prod\limits_{i=1}^{9}T(\gamma_{i})K(a)K(\beta)dad\beta+o(P^{5}),$$

as  $P\rightarrow\infty$  through  $\mathscr{P}$ . Thus, by (34), for some positive constant D

$$N(P) \geqslant DP^5 + o(P^5)$$

as  $P\to\infty$  through  $\mathscr P$  which gives N(P)>0, and the proof is complete.

## References

- [1] B. J. Birch and H. Davenport, On a theorem of Davenport and Heilbronn, Acta Math. 100 (1964), pp. 259-279.
- [2] J. W. S. Cassels, An Introduction to Diophantine Approximation, Cambridge 1957.
- [3] R. J. Cook, Simultaneous quadratic equations, J. London Math. Soc. 4 (1971), pp. 319-326.
- [4] A note on a lemma of Hua, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), 23 (1972), pp. 287-288.
- [5] H. Davenport, Analytic Methods for Diophantine Equations and Diophantine Inequalities, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 1962.
- [6] and H. Heilbronn, On indefinite quadratic forms in five variables, J. London Math. Soc. 21 (1946), pp. 185-193.
- [7] Wolfgang M. Schmidt, Linear forms with algebraic coefficients, I, J. Number Theory 3 (1971), pp. 253-277.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, Cardiff

Received on 6. 11. 1972 (343)

ACTA ARITHMETICA XXV (1974)

## Halving an estimate obtained from Selberg's upper bound method

by

R. R. HALL (Heslington)

Introduction. In many applications of Selberg's upper bound method, an unnecessary constant factor appears in the final estimate, due to the fact that we can only sieve up to approximately  $\sqrt{x}$ .

At present this restriction seems unavoidable, and arises from the necessity of squaring in order to obtain a non-negative sifting function, viz.

$$s^{(+)}(n) = \left(\sum_{d|n} \lambda_d\right)^2.$$

As an example, let K be any positive integer whose greatest prime factor does not exceed x. Following van Lint and Richert [1], we arrive at the estimate

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leqslant x \\ (n,K)=1}} 1 \leqslant \frac{\varphi(K)}{K} x \left(\frac{1}{\log z} + \frac{z^2}{x}\right) \prod_{p \leqslant x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1},$$

by a careful application of Selberg's method. Choosing z optimally, Mertens' formula gives

$$\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{n} \leqslant x \\ (\boldsymbol{n}, K) = 1}} 1 \leqslant 2e^{\gamma} \frac{\varphi(K)}{K} \, x \, \bigg( 1 + O\left(\frac{\log\log x}{\log x}\right) \! \bigg).$$

The factor  $e^{\nu}$  really is necessary, as the Prime Number Theorem shows, but apart from the error term, the estimate becomes best possible if we strike out the factor 2 on the right. The object of this note is to obtain a general result of this kind.

THEOREM. Let f(n) be defined on the positive integers and satisfy

$$f(1) = 1, \quad 0 \leqslant f(n) \leqslant 1$$

and

$$f(nm) \leqslant f(n)f(m)$$
 provided  $(n, m) = 1$ .

Halving an estimate

349

Then

$$egin{align} T_f(x) &= \sum_{n \leqslant x} f(n) \ &\leqslant e^{\gamma} x igg( 1 + Oigg( rac{\log\log x}{\log x} igg) igg) \prod_{n \leqslant x} igg( 1 - rac{1}{p} igg) igg( 1 + rac{f(p)}{p} \, + rac{f(p^2)}{p^2} + \ldots igg). \end{split}$$

Apart from the error term, this is best possible, and if we set

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (n, K) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we obtain the estimate mentioned above without the factor 2. It would be interesting to have similar, best possible, results for the twin prime problem, and the problem of estimating  $\pi(x+y) - \pi(x)$  and other cases, and I hope to extend the scope of my result.

I would like to take this opportunity of thanking Professor H.-E. Richert for reading an earlier version of the manuscript and writing to me about it. Professor Richert suggested that it should be possible to remove the factor  $\log \log x$  from the error term: I have not succeeded in doing this yet.

Before embarking on the proof, we require the following lemma.

LEMMA. There exists an absolute constant A such that for all x > 1 and positive integers h,

$$\sum_{n \le x} \left( \log x - \sum_{n \mid n} \log p \right)^h \le xh! \exp\left( A\sqrt{h} \right).$$

Proof. Let m denote the square-free kernel of n, that is

$$m = \prod_{p|n} p.$$

Then

$$(x/m)^t = (x/n)^t \sum_{d|n} g(d)$$

where g(d) is positive or zero and is defined by the relation

$$\prod_{p}\left(1+rac{p^t-1}{p^{2s}-p^{s+t}}
ight)=\sum_{d=1}^{\infty}rac{g\left(d
ight)}{d^s}$$

the product running over all primes p. Therefore

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n \leqslant x} \left(\frac{x}{m}\right)^t &= \sum_{n \leqslant x} \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^t \sum_{d \mid n} g(d) \\ &= \sum_{d \leqslant x} \frac{g(d)}{d^t} \sum_{n \leqslant t \mid d} \left(\frac{x}{r}\right)^t \leqslant \frac{x}{1-t} \sum_{d \leqslant x} \frac{g(d)}{d} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leqslant t < 1 \,. \end{split}$$

Setting t = 1 - u we deduce that

$$\sum_{n\leqslant x}\sum_{h=0}^{\infty}\frac{(1-u)^h}{h!}\Big(\log x-\sum_{p\mid n}\log p\Big)^h\leqslant \frac{x}{u}\prod_p\bigg(1+\frac{p^{1-u}}{p^2(1-p^{-u})}\bigg).$$

All the terms on the left are positive, therefore the inequality holds if we restrict our attention to a fixed h. Moreover,

$$1-p^{-u} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\min(1, u\log p)$$

and so

$$\frac{(1-u)^h}{h!} \sum_{n \leqslant x} \left( \log x - \sum_{p \mid n} \log p \right)^h \leqslant \frac{x}{u} \prod_p \left( 1 + 2p^{-1-u} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{2}{up \log p} \right).$$

It follows that there exists an absolute constant B so that for  $0 < u \le 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \left( \log x - \sum_{n \mid n} \log p \right)^h \leqslant xh! \exp\left\{ \frac{B}{u} - h \log (1 - u) \right\}.$$

We select  $u = 1/2\sqrt{h}$  and this gives the result stated.

Proof of the theorem. We may write

$$T_f(x)\log x = \sum_{n \leqslant x} f(n) \sum_{p^a||n} \Lambda(p^a) + \sum_{n \leqslant x} f(n) \left(\log x - \sum_{p|n} \log p\right)$$

where as usual,  $p^{\alpha}||n|$  denotes that  $p^{\alpha}||n|$ ,  $p^{\alpha+1}||n|$ , that is,  $(p, n/p^{\alpha}) = 1$ . We estimate the second sum on the right by Holder's inequality, using the lemma. Thus for  $h \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,

$$\sum_{n \geq n} f(n) \Big( \log x - \sum_{n \mid n} \log p \Big) \leqslant \Big( T_f(x) \Big)^{1-1/h} \, \big( x h \,! \exp{(A \sqrt{h})} \big)^{1/h},$$

here we need that  $0 \leq f(n) \leq 1$ . Hence

$$\sum_{n \leqslant x} f(n) \left( \log x - \sum_{p \mid n} \log p \right) \leqslant h T_f(x) \left( \frac{x}{T_f(x)} \right)^{1/h},$$

$$\sum_{n\leqslant x} f(n) \sum_{p^{lpha} | n} \Lambda(p^{lpha}) = \sum_{d\leqslant x} \Lambda(d) \sum_{\substack{m\leqslant x/d \ (m,d)=1}} f(md) \ \leqslant \sum_{d\leqslant x} \Lambda(d) \sum_{\substack{m\leqslant x/d \ m\leqslant x}} f(m) \leqslant \sum_{m\leqslant x} f(m) \psi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right).$$

Therefore

$$T_f(x)\log x \leqslant x \sum_{m \leqslant x} \frac{f(m)}{m} + \sum_{m \leqslant x} f(m) \left| \psi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) - \frac{x}{m} \right| + ChT_f(x) \left(\frac{x}{T_f(x)}\right)^{1/h}.$$

Since f(1) = 1, if  $T_f(x) \le x/\log x$  this estimate holds without the last term on the right. Therefore in any case.

$$T_f(x)\log x \leqslant x \sum_{m \leqslant x} \frac{f(m)}{m} + \sum_{m \leqslant x} f(m) \left| \psi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) - \frac{x}{m} \right| + ChT_f(x)(\log x)^{1/h}.$$

We select

$$h = [\log \log x] + 1 \geqslant 1$$

provided  $x \ge e$ , and deduce that

$$T_f(x) \left( \log x + O(\log \log x) \right) \leqslant x \sum_{m \leqslant x} \frac{f(m)}{m} + \sum_{m \leqslant x} f(m) \left| \psi \left( \frac{x}{m} \right) - \frac{x}{m} \right|.$$

We apply this estimate twice. Initially, we employ Tchebycheff's result that  $\psi(x) \leq x$ , and Mertens' formula. We obtain

$$T_f(x) \leqslant x \prod_{p \leqslant x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p} + \frac{f(p^2)}{p^2} + \ldots\right).$$

We now use this preliminary result to estimate the sum

$$\sum_{m \le x} f(m) \left| \psi\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) - \frac{x}{m} \right|$$

more effectively. We need the Prime Number Theorem, in the relatively weak form

$$\psi(x) = x + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right).$$

Then the sum above is

$$\begin{split} &\ll \sum_{m \leqslant x} f(m) \sum_{d \leqslant x/m} \frac{1}{\log^2 2d} \\ &\ll \sum_{d \leqslant x} \frac{1}{\log^2 2d} \, T_f \left( \frac{x}{d} \right) \\ &\ll \sum_{d \leqslant \sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{\log^2 2d} \, T_f \left( \frac{x}{d} \right) + x \sum_{d > \sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{d \log^2 2d} \\ &\ll x \prod_{p \leqslant \sqrt{x}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{f(p)}{p} + \frac{f(p^2)}{p^2} + \ldots \right) + \frac{x}{\log x} \\ &\ll \frac{x}{\log x} \prod_{p \leqslant \sqrt{x}} \left( 1 + \frac{f(p)}{p} + \frac{f(p^2)}{p^2} + \ldots \right). \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$T_f(x)\left(\log x + O(\log\log x)\right) \leqslant x\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)\right) \prod_{p \leqslant x} \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p} + \frac{f(p^2)}{p^2} + \ldots\right).$$

By Mertens' formula, in the form

$$\prod_{p \leqslant x} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) = \frac{e^{-r}}{\log x} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right) \right)$$

we obtain our result.

## Reference

[1] J. H. van Lint and H.-E. Richert, On primes in arithmetic progressions, Acta Arith. 11 (1965), pp. 209-216.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS YORK UNIVERSITY Heslington, York