

## References

- [1] Coddington and Levinson, *Theory of ordinary differential equations*, 1955.  
 [2] N. I. Fel'dman, *Estimation of the absolute value of a linear form from logarithms of certain algebraic numbers* (Russian), *Mat. Zametki* 2 (1967), pp. 245-256.  
 [3] C. F. Osgood, *The simultaneous diophantine approximation of certain  $k$ -th roots*, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 67 (1970), pp. 75-86.  
 [4] — *On the simultaneous diophantine approximation of values of certain algebraic functions*, *Acta Arith.* 19 (1971), pp. 343-385.  
 [5] — *Some theorems on diophantine approximation*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 123 (1966), pp. 64-87.  
 [6] B. Rosser, *Explicit bounds for some functions of prime numbers*, *Amer. J. Math.* 63 (1941), pp. 212-232.

MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER  
 NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY  
 Washington, D.C.

Received on 10. 10. 1972

(336)

## On the upper asymptotic density of $(0, r)$ -primitive sequences

by

H. G. MELJER (Delft)

1. In this paper  $A$  will denote a subsequence of the sequence of positive integers. For a set  $V$  we denote by  $A(V) = A(V, A)$  the number of elements of  $A \cap V$ . Moreover we put

$$\underline{d}A = \liminf \frac{A([1, n])}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{d}A = \limsup \frac{A([1, n])}{n}$$

for the lower and upper asymptotic density of  $A$ ; if  $\underline{d}A = \bar{d}A$  we write  $dA$  for the asymptotic density of  $A$ .

A sequence  $A = (a_i)$  is called *primitive* if  $a_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{a_j}$  if  $i \neq j$ . For a survey of the theory of primitive sequences we refer to [5], chapter V and [4]. We only state here three well-known results, see [5], p. 244-245.

THEOREM 1. *If  $A$  is a primitive sequence, then  $\bar{d}A < \frac{1}{2}$ .*

THEOREM 2. (Behrend [1].) *For every primitive sequence,  $\underline{d}A = 0$ .*

THEOREM 3. (Besicovitch [2].) *Corresponding to every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a primitive sequence  $A$ , depending on  $\varepsilon$ , such that  $\bar{d}A > \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$ .*

Let  $r$  be a positive integer. We will call in this paper a sequence  $A = (a_i)$   $(0, r)$ -primitive if  $a_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{a_j}$  if  $i \neq j$ . In the following sections we give estimations for  $\bar{d}A$  of  $(0, r)$ -primitive sequences, similar to the Theorems 1 and 3.

2. In this section we study  $(0, r)$ -primitive sequences with  $r$  odd.

THEOREM 4. *Let  $r$  be an odd positive integer. If  $A$  is a  $(0, r)$ -primitive sequence then  $\bar{d}A \leq \frac{1}{4}$ .*

Proof. Let  $n$  be a positive integer and  $a_1, \dots, a_t$  the elements of  $A$  not exceeding  $n$ . Let  $a'_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ) denote the greatest odd divisor of  $a_i$  and  $A' = (a'_i)_{i=1}^t$ . Since  $a'_i = a'_j$  implies  $a_i | a_j$  or  $a_j | a_i$  all numbers  $a'_i$  are distinct.

We construct a one-to-one correspondance between the odd integers in  $[1, \frac{1}{2}n]$  and the odd integers in  $(\frac{1}{2}n+r, n+r]$ . To every odd integer  $c$  in  $[1, \frac{1}{2}n]$  there exists exactly one integer of the form  $2^k c$  in  $(\frac{1}{2}n, n]$  and

therefore exactly one odd integer of the form  $2^k c + r$  in  $(\frac{1}{2}n + r, n + r]$ . Put  $f(c) = 2^k c + r$ . If  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are distinct odd integers in  $[1, \frac{1}{2}n]$  then  $f(c_1) \neq f(c_2)$  and the relation between  $c$  and  $f(c)$  is one-to-one.

We prove that from a pair  $c, f(c)$  at most one occurs in  $A'$ . Suppose  $c \in A'$  and  $f(c) = 2^k c + r \in A'$ . Then  $c \in A'$  implies that there is an element  $a_i \in A$  with  $a_i = 2^h c$  where  $0 \leq h \leq k$ . On the other hand  $f(c) \in A'$  implies, since  $f(c) > \frac{1}{2}n$ , that  $f(c) \in A$ . However,  $f(c) = 2^k c + r \equiv r \pmod{a_i}$ , which is a contradiction. This proves the theorem.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $r$  be an odd positive integer and  $\varepsilon$  a positive real number. There exists a  $(0, r)$ -primitive sequence  $A$  such that  $\bar{d}A > \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* According to Theorem 3 there exists a primitive sequence  $A_0 = (a_i)$  such that  $\bar{d}A_0 > \frac{1}{2} - 2\varepsilon$ . Then  $A = (2a_i)$  is a  $(0, r)$ -primitive sequence satisfying the condition of the theorem.

3. If  $r$  is even the situation is more complicated and we have not succeeded in solving the problem entirely. First we prove a result similar to Theorem 3 and Theorem 5.

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $r$  be an even integer and  $\varepsilon$  a positive real number, then there exists a  $(0, r)$ -primitive sequence  $A$ , such that  $\bar{d}A > \frac{7}{24} - \varepsilon$ .*

The proof is based on two lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $r$  be an even positive integer and  $T$  an arbitrary positive integer. There exists a  $(0, r)$ -primitive sequence  $A_T$  in  $[T, 3T]$  such that  $A([T, 3T], A_T) > \frac{7}{24} \cdot 3T - 2r$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A_T$  consist of the integers in  $[T, 2T]$  which are modulo  $2r$  congruent to one of the numbers  $0, 1, 2, \dots, r-1$  and of the integers in  $(2T, 3T]$  which are modulo  $2r$  congruent to one of the odd numbers  $1, 3, 5, \dots, r-1$  or modulo  $4r$  to one of the even numbers  $3r, 3r+2, \dots, 4r-2$ .

Obviously  $A_T$  is a  $(0, r)$ -primitive sequence. Moreover

$$A([T, 2T], A_T) \geq \left(\frac{T}{2r} - 1\right) r$$

and

$$A((2T, 3T], A_T) \geq \left(\frac{T}{2r} - 1\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2}r + \left(\frac{T}{4r} - 1\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2}r$$

and Lemma 1 follows.

For a sequence  $A$  we denote by  $B(A)$  the set consisting of all distinct positive multiples of elements of  $A$ . It holds (for a proof see [5], p. 256):

**LEMMA 2.** (Erdős [3].) *Let  $S_T$  denote the set of integers lying in the interval  $(T, 2T]$ . Then*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} dB(S_T) = 0.$$

Writing  $[T, 3T] = [T, 2T] \cup (\frac{3}{2}T, 3T]$  we see that the same result holds with  $S_T$  replaced by the set  $U_T$  of all integers lying in  $[T, 3T]$ .

*Proof of Theorem 6.* Let  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{24}$  and put  $\varepsilon_k = \frac{3}{4}(\frac{1}{2})^{k+1}\varepsilon$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). In view of Lemma 2 we may choose an infinite sequence  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  of positive integers satisfying

$$d_k = dB(U_{T_k}) < \varepsilon_k$$

and

$$T_{k+1} > (3T_k)!$$

In  $[T_k, 3T_k]$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) we take the set of integers  $A_{T_k}$  from Lemma 1. Let  $G_0$  be the union of these sets  $A_{T_k}$ , then by Lemma 1,

$$A([1, 3T_k], G_0) > \frac{7}{24} \cdot 3T_k - 2r.$$

Let  $G$  be the  $(0, r)$ -primitive sequence obtained from  $G_0$  by removing from  $A_{T_k}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) all those integers which belong to  $B_{k-1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} B(A_{T_i})$  and to  $r + B_{k-1}$ . We observe that  $B(A_{T_i})$  can be represented as the union of a number of congruence classes to the modulus  $(3T_i)!$ . Thus, since  $T_k > (3T_i)!$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ ), the set  $[T_k, 3T_k]$  contains at most

$$2dB(A_{T_i}) \cdot 2T_k < 4d_i T_k < 4\varepsilon_i T_k$$

members of  $B(A_{T_i})$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ ). Hence

$$A([1, 3T_k], G) > \frac{7}{24} \cdot 3T_k - 2r - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 8\varepsilon_i T_k > \frac{7}{24} \cdot 3T_k - 2r - 3\varepsilon T_k.$$

From this inequality Theorem 6 follows.

We continue by proving two theorems similar to the Theorems 1 and 4.

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $r = 2a$ , where  $a$  is an odd positive integer. If  $A$  is a  $(0, r)$ -primitive sequence, then  $\bar{d}A \leq \frac{5}{16}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n$  be a positive integer. We divide the elements of  $A$  in  $[1, n]$  into two classes  $E = E_n$  and  $O = O_n$ , the set of even and the set of odd elements. Let for  $a_i \in E$  the integer  $a'_i$  denote the greatest odd divisor of  $a_i$ . As in the proof of Theorem 4 the elements  $a'_i$  are distinct and differ from the elements in  $O$ . Put  $E' = (a'_i)$ , where  $a_i \in E$  and  $A' = A'_n = E' \cup O$ .

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4 we make a one-to-one correspondence between the odd integers in  $[1, \frac{1}{2}n]$  and the odd integers in  $(\frac{1}{2}n + a, \frac{3}{2}n + a]$ , such that if  $v(c)$  in  $[1, \frac{1}{2}n]$  and  $c$  in  $(\frac{1}{2}n + a, \frac{3}{2}n + a]$  are corresponding odd integers then  $c = 2^{k(c)}v(c) + a$  for some integer  $k(c)$ .

Let  $c$  be an odd integer in  $(\frac{1}{2}n + a, \frac{3}{2}n]$  and let  $c$  belong to  $E'$ . We will show that then  $v(c) \in A'$ . Since  $c > \frac{1}{2}n$ , obviously  $2c \in A$ . Suppose

$v(c) \in A'$ , then there exists an element  $a_i \in A$  with  $a_i = 2^h v(c)$  ( $0 \leq h \leq k(c) + 1$ ) and then

$$2c = 2(2^{k(c)} v(c) + a) \equiv 2a \pmod{a_i},$$

which is a contradiction.

Consider now two odd integers  $c$  and  $c-r$  in  $(\frac{1}{4}n + a, \frac{1}{2}n]$  with corresponding  $v(c)$  and  $v(c-r)$  in  $[1, \frac{1}{4}n]$ . We will show that at least one of them does not occur in  $A'$ . Suppose that they all belong to  $A'$ . Then  $c \in E'$  or  $c \in O$ . If  $c \in E'$ , then  $v(c) \notin A'$ ; contradiction. If, on the other hand,  $c \in O$ , then since  $A$  is a  $(0, r)$ -primitive sequence  $c-r \notin O$ , therefore  $c-r \in E'$ , which implies  $v(c-r) \notin A'$ ; contradiction.

From this we get

$$(1) \quad \limsup \frac{A([1, \frac{1}{2}n], A'_n)}{n} \leq \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{4}.$$

If  $c$  is an odd integer in  $(\frac{1}{2}n, n]$  and  $c \in A'$ , then obviously  $c \in A$ . Therefore from a pair of odd integers  $c, c+r$  in  $(\frac{1}{2}n, n]$  at most one occurs in  $A'$ . Hence

$$(2) \quad \limsup \frac{A((\frac{1}{2}n, n], A'_n)}{n} \leq \frac{1}{8}.$$

From (1) and (2) follows Theorem 7.

**THEOREM 8.** Let  $r = 4b$ , where  $b$  is a positive integer. If  $A$  is a  $(0, r)$ -primitive sequence, then

$$\bar{d}A \leq \begin{cases} \frac{21}{64} & \text{if } b \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{43}{128} & \text{if } b \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Let  $n$  be a positive integer. We define  $E, O, E'$  and  $A'$  as in the proof of Theorem 7. As above we see that (2) holds.

Consider the interval  $(\frac{1}{4}n, \frac{1}{2}n]$ . Let  $c$  be an odd integer in  $(\frac{1}{4}n, \frac{1}{2}n]$ , such that  $c \in E'$ . Then, obviously  $2c \in A$ . This implies  $2c-r \notin A$  and  $c-2b \notin A$ . Therefore the odd integer  $c-2b$  does not occur in  $A'$ .

We will show now that from a set of four odd integers  $c-6b, c-4b, c-2b, c$  in  $(\frac{1}{4}n, \frac{1}{2}n]$  at least one does not occur in  $A'$ . Suppose that the four integers are elements from  $A'$ . Then  $c \in E'$  or  $c \in O$ . If  $c \in E'$  then  $c-2b \notin A'$ ; contradiction. If, on the other hand,  $c \in O$ , then  $c-4b \notin O$ , thus  $c-4b \in E'$  and  $c-6b \notin A'$ ; contradiction. Hence

$$(3) \quad \limsup \frac{A((\frac{1}{4}n, \frac{1}{2}n], A'_n)}{n} \leq \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{8}.$$

For an estimation of  $A([1, \frac{1}{4}n], A')$  we distinguish two cases: 1°  $b$  odd; 2°  $b$  even.

*ad 1.* Similar to the proof of Theorem 4 we make a one-to-one correspondence between the odd integers  $v(c)$  in  $[1, \frac{1}{8}n]$  and the odd integers  $c$  in  $(\frac{1}{8}n + b, \frac{1}{4}n + b]$ , such that  $c = 2^{k(c)} v(c) + b$  for some integer  $k(c)$ .

Let  $c$  be an odd integer in  $(\frac{1}{8}n + b, \frac{1}{4}n]$  with  $c \in E'$ . Then  $2c \in A$  or  $4c \in A$ . Now  $2c \in A$  implies  $2c-4b \notin A$  and  $c-2b \notin A$ , therefore  $c-2b \notin A'$ . On the other hand,  $4c \in A$  gives  $v(c) \notin A'$ , since  $v(c) \in A'$  implies that there exists an  $a_i \in A$  with  $a_i = 2^h v(c)$  ( $0 \leq h \leq k+2$ ) and then

$$4c \equiv 4(2^{k(c)} v(c) + b) \equiv 4b \pmod{a_i},$$

which is a contradiction. Hence if  $c$  is odd in  $(\frac{1}{8}n + b, \frac{1}{4}n]$  and  $c \in E'$ , then  $c-2b \notin A'$  or  $v(c) \notin A'$ .

Consider the four odd integers  $c-6b, c-4b, c-2b, c$  in  $(\frac{1}{8}n + b, \frac{1}{4}n]$  with corresponding elements in  $(1, \frac{1}{8}n]$ . With a similar argument as above we see that at least one of them does not occur in  $A'$ . Therefore

$$(4) \quad \limsup \frac{A([1, \frac{1}{4}n], A'_n)}{n} \leq \frac{7}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{8}.$$

*ad 2.* We divide  $[1, \frac{1}{4}n]$  into two parts,  $[1, \frac{1}{8}n]$  and  $(\frac{1}{8}n, \frac{1}{4}n]$ . If  $c$  is an odd integer in  $(\frac{1}{8}n, \frac{1}{4}n]$  and  $c \in E'$ , then  $2c \in A$  or  $4c \in A$ . If  $4c \in A$  then, as above,  $c-b \notin A'$ . If, on the other hand,  $2c \in A$ , then  $2c-4b \notin A$  and  $c-2b \notin A$ . Then  $c-2b \in A'$  if and only if  $4c-8b \in A$ ; in the last case, however,  $c-3b \notin A'$ . Therefore  $2c \in A$  implies  $c-2b \notin A'$  or  $c-3b \notin A'$ . Hence  $c \in E'$  implies that at least one of the elements  $c-b, c-2b$  and  $c-3b$  does not occur in  $A'$ .

From this we can prove as above that from the eight odd elements  $c-it, t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 7$ , in  $(\frac{1}{8}n, \frac{1}{4}n]$  at least one does not occur in  $A'$ . Hence

$$(5) \quad \limsup \frac{A((\frac{1}{8}n, \frac{1}{4}n], A'_n)}{n} \leq \frac{7}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{16}.$$

Moreover, trivially

$$(6) \quad \limsup \frac{A([1, \frac{1}{8}n], A'_n)}{n} \leq \frac{1}{16}.$$

If  $b$  is odd the theorem follows from (2), (3) and (4); if  $b$  is even we get Theorem 8 from (2), (3), (5) and (6).

4. For special values of  $r$  we can derive upper bounds for  $\bar{d}A$  which are lower than the values given in Theorem 7 and Theorem 8. We treat here the case  $r = 2$ , for which we prove the following result.

THEOREM 9. If  $A$  is a  $(0, 2)$ -primitive sequence, then  $\bar{d}A \leq \frac{7}{24} + \frac{1}{144}$ .

Proof. Let  $n$  be a positive integer and let  $E, O, E'$  and  $A'$  be defined as in the proof of Theorem 7. We will also use the one-to-one correspondence from the proof of Theorem 7 between the odd integers  $v(o)$  in  $[1, \frac{1}{2}n]$  and the odd integers  $e$  in  $(\frac{1}{4}n+1, \frac{1}{2}n+1]$ . We define three subsets of the set of odd integers in  $[1, n]$ :

1° the set  $C_1$  of odd integers in  $(\frac{1}{2}n, \frac{3}{4}n]$ ,

2° the set  $C_2$  consisting of the odd integers in  $(\frac{1}{3}n+1, \frac{1}{2}n+1]$  with the corresponding odd integers in  $[1, \frac{1}{4}n]$ ;

3° the set  $C_3$  consisting of the odd integers in  $(\frac{1}{4}n+1, \frac{1}{3}n]$  with the corresponding odd integers in  $[1, \frac{1}{4}n]$  and the set of odd integers in  $(\frac{2}{3}n, n]$ .

ad  $C_1$ . As in the proof of relation (2) we see that from a pair of odd integers  $e, e+2$  in  $(\frac{1}{2}n, \frac{3}{4}n]$  at most one occurs in  $A'$ . Therefore

$$(7) \quad \limsup \frac{A(C_1, A'_n)}{n} \leq \frac{1}{16}.$$

ad  $C_2$ . From the proof of relation (1) it follows that from a pair of odd integers  $e, e+2$  in  $(\frac{1}{3}n+1, \frac{1}{2}n]$  with corresponding odd integers  $v(o), v(o+2)$  in  $[1, \frac{1}{4}n]$  at least one does not occur in  $A'$ . Hence

$$(8) \quad \limsup \frac{A(C_2, A'_n)}{n} \leq \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{6}.$$

ad  $C_3$ . We recall that if  $e$  is an odd integer in  $(\frac{1}{4}n+1, \frac{1}{3}n]$  and  $e \in O$ , then  $e+2 \notin O$ . Therefore we can divide the odd integers in  $(\frac{1}{4}n+1, \frac{1}{3}n]$  into sets  $\{e, e+2, \dots, e+2s\}$  with

$$e, e+2, \dots, e+2s-2 \notin O, \quad e+2s \in O \quad \text{and} \quad s \geq 1.$$

(It is possible that there remain two sets: a first one consisting of one single integer  $e \in O$  and a last one  $\{e, \dots, e+2s\}$  with  $e+2s \notin O$ . It is easy to check, however, that they do not disturb our arguments below.)

Consider a set  $D$  which is the union of the following three sets: a) a set of  $s+1$  consecutive odd integers  $\{e, e+2, \dots, e+2s\}$  in  $(\frac{1}{4}n+1, \frac{1}{3}n]$  with  $e, \dots, e+2s-2 \notin O, e+2s \in O$ ; b) the set of corresponding integers  $\{v(e), \dots, v(e+2s)\}$  in  $[1, \frac{1}{4}n]$ ; c) the set of  $3s+3$  consecutive odd integers  $\{3e-2, 3e, 3e+2, \dots, 3e+6s-2, 3e+6s, 3e+6s+2\}$  in  $(\frac{2}{3}n, n]$ .

We derive an upper bound for  $A(D, A')$ .

If  $e+2\sigma$  ( $0 \leq \sigma \leq s-1$ ) occurs in  $E'$  then, as in the proof of Theorem 7,  $v(e+2\sigma) \notin A'$ . Therefore from a pair  $e+2\sigma, v(e+2\sigma)$  ( $0 \leq \sigma \leq s-1$ ) at most one occurs in  $A'$ .

Furthermore, since  $e+2s \in O$ , the odd integers  $3e+6s$  and  $3e+6s+2$  do not occur in  $A'$ .

Finally, from two consecutive odd integers in  $\{3e-2, \dots, 3e+6s-2\}$  at most one occurs in  $A'$ .

Therefore we get

$$A(D, A') \leq \begin{cases} s+2 + \frac{1}{2}(3s+1) & \text{if } 3s+1 \text{ is even,} \\ s+2 + \frac{1}{2}(3s+1) + \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } 3s+1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For  $s$  odd we write  $s+2 + \frac{1}{2}(3s+1) = \frac{5}{2}(s+1)$  and for  $s$  even we have

$$s+2 + \frac{1}{2}(3s+1) + \frac{1}{2} = \left\{ \frac{5}{2} + \frac{1}{2(s+1)} \right\} (s+1) \leq \left( \frac{5}{2} + \frac{1}{6} \right) (s+1).$$

This implies

$$(9) \quad \limsup \frac{A(C_3, A'_n)}{n} \leq \left( \frac{5}{2} + \frac{1}{6} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{24}.$$

From (7), (8) and (9) we get Theorem 9.

#### References

- [1] F. Behrend, *On sequences of integers not divisible one by another*, J. London Math. Soc. 10 (1935), pp. 42-44.
- [2] A. S. Besicovitch, *On the density of certain sequences of integers*, Math. Ann. 110 (1934), pp. 336-341.
- [3] P. Erdős, *Note on sequences of integers no one of which is divisible by any other*, J. London Math. Soc. 10 (1935), pp. 126-128.
- [4] P. Erdős, A. Sárközy and E. Szemerédi, *On divisibility properties of sequences of integers*, Number Theory (Colloq. János Bolyai Math. Soc., Debrecen, 1968), pp. 35-49, Amsterdam 1970.
- [5] H. Halberstam and K. F. Roth, *Sequences*, vol. I, Oxford 1966.

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
Delft, Netherlands

Received on 18. 11. 1972

(353)