

A note on zeta-functions of algebraic number fields

by

R. BRAUER (Cambridge, Mass.)

to C. L. Siegel

It has first been shown by E. Artin [2] that if k is an algebraic number field⁽¹⁾ and K a normal extension field with icosahedral Galois group relative to k , then the quotient of the zeta-functions $\zeta_K(s)/\zeta_k(s)$ is an entire function of the complex variable s . Later, H. Aramata showed that Artin's method can be used to prove the same statement when K is a normal extension of the algebraic number field k with arbitrary Galois group; cf. Aramata [1], Brauer [4]. We shall give here further results of the same nature. It will be shown that if Ω_1 and Ω_2 are two algebraic number fields which are both normal over their intersection k , then

$$\zeta_K(s)\zeta_k(s)/(\zeta_{\Omega_1}(s)\zeta_{\Omega_2}(s))$$

is an entire function. More generally, we shall prove the following

THEOREM. *Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_m$ be algebraic number fields which are normal over the field k and for which Ω_j intersects the compositum of $\Omega_{j+1}, \Omega_{j+2}, \dots, \Omega_m$ in k ; ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$). For any non-empty subset T of the set $M = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, let Ω_T denote the compositum of the fields Ω_j with $j \in T$. If $T = \emptyset$ is the empty set, we set $\Omega_{\emptyset} = k$. Set $\varepsilon(T)$ equal to 1 or -1 according as to whether $M - T$ contains an even or an odd number of elements. Then*

$$(1) \quad \xi(s) = \prod_{T \subseteq M} (\zeta_{\Omega_T}(s))^{\varepsilon(T)}$$

is an entire function of s .

Proof. We begin with some elementary field theoretic observations. We set $K = \Omega(M)$. If n_j denotes the degree of Ω_j over k ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$),

⁽¹⁾ All algebraic number fields considered are assumed to be of finite degree over the field of rational numbers.

we show easily by induction that for any subset T of M , the degree of $\Omega(T)$ over k is given by

$$(2) \quad [\Omega(T) : k] = \prod_{j \in T} n_j.$$

For two subsets T_1 and T_2 , the compositum of $\Omega(T_1)$ and $\Omega(T_2)$ is $\Omega(T_1 \cup T_2)$. Using (2), we see that the intersection of $\Omega(T_1)$ and $\Omega(T_2)$ is $\Omega(T_1 \cap T_2)$.

Let $H(T)$ denote the Galois group of K relative to $\Omega(T)$. In particular, $H(\emptyset)$ is the Galois group G of K relative to k . If we set $H_j = H(M - \{j\})$, then H_j is a normal subgroup of G and we see that, for any subset T of M , we have

$$(3) \quad H(T) = \prod_{j \in T} H_j$$

where the product is direct. In particular,

$$(4) \quad G = H_1 \times H_2 \times \dots \times H_m.$$

We note that the order of H_j is n_j . Thus, the order $|H(T)|$ of $H(T)$ is given by

$$(5) \quad |H(T)| = \prod_{j \in T} n_j.$$

We can express the zeta-function $\zeta_{\Omega(T)}(s)$ as an Artin L -function, Artin [3]. If $I_{H(T)}$ denotes the principal character of $H(T)$, we have

$$\zeta_{\Omega(T)}(s) = L(s, I_{H(T)}, K/\Omega(T)).$$

If φ is a character of a subgroup H of G , we denote by φ^G the induced character of G . Then

$$\zeta_{\Omega(T)}(s) = L(s, (I_{H(T)})^G, K/k).$$

It follows from (1) that

$$(6) \quad \xi(s) = L(s, \psi, K/k)$$

where we set

$$\psi = \sum_{T \subseteq M} \varepsilon(T) (I_{H(T)})^G.$$

Consider an element a of G and set

$$(7) \quad a = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_m$$

with $\alpha_j \in H_j$. The definition of induced characters shows that

$$(8) \quad (I_{H(T)})^G(a) = (1/|H(T)|) \sum_{\beta} I_{H(T)}(\beta a \beta^{-1})$$

where β ranges over those elements of G for which $\beta^{-1} a \beta \in H(T)$. If no such β exists, the expression (8) is zero. Now, (4) shows that no β exists

if $\alpha_j \neq 1$ for some $j \in T$. On the other hand, if we have $\alpha_j = 1$ for all $j \in T$, then $\beta^{-1} a \beta \in H(T)$ for all $\beta \in G$ and we find from (8) and (5) that

$$(I_{H(T)})^G(a) = |G| / \prod_{j \in T} n_j = \prod_{j \in T} n_j.$$

Let M_a denote the subset of M consisting of those indices j for which $\alpha_j = 1$ in (7). We have now shown that

$$(I_{H(T)})^G(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } T \not\subseteq M_a, \\ \prod_{j \in T} n_j & \text{for } T \subseteq M_a. \end{cases}$$

This implies that

$$\psi(a) = \sum_{T \subseteq M_a} \varepsilon(T) \prod_{j \in T} n_j = (-1)^m \sum_{T \subseteq M_a} \prod_{j \in T} (-n_j) = (-1)^m \sum_{j \in M_a} (1 - n_j).$$

If ϱ_j denotes the regular character of H_j , i.e. if

$$\varrho_j = (I_1)^{H_j},$$

our result can be written in the form

$$(9) \quad \psi(a) = (\varrho_1(a_1) - 1)(\varrho_2(a_2) - 1) \dots (\varrho_m(a_m) - 1).$$

As has been shown in [1] or [4], for any finite group H , the character $(I_1)^H - I_H$ can be expressed as a linear combination of characters of H induced by non-principal characters of degree 1 of subgroups of H such that the coefficients are non-negative rational numbers. Then (9) shows that ψ is a linear combination with non-negative rational coefficients of characters of G induced by non-principal characters of degree 1 of subgroups of G . On account of Artin's results, this implies that $\xi(s)$ cannot have a pole for any finite s . Since the zeta-functions are meromorphic, it is now clear from (1) that $\xi(s)$ is an entire function as we wanted to show.

References

- [1] H. Aramata, *Über die Teilbarkeit der Zetafunktionen*, Proc. Acad. Japan 9 (1933), pp. 31-34.
- [2] E. Artin, *Über die Zetafunktionen gewisser algebraischer Zahlkörper*, Math. Ann. 89 (1923), pp. 147-156; *Collected Papers*, pp. 95-104, 1965.
- [3] — *Zur Theorie der L-Reihen mit allgemeinen Gruppencharakteren*, Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Hamburgischen Universität 8 (1930), pp. 292-306; *Collected Papers*, pp. 165-179, 1965.
- [4] R. Brauer, *On the zeta-functions of algebraic number fields*, Amer. J. Math. 69 (1947), pp. 243-250.

Received on 15. 11. 1972

(351)