

## On the application of Turán's method to the theory of Dirichlet $L$ -functions

by

K. WIERTELAK (Poznań)

1. Consider  $\Psi(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n)$ , where  $\Lambda(n)$  is the Mangoldt function, and denote by  $\Delta(x)$  the remainder-term of the prime-number formula

$$\Delta(x) = \Psi(x) - x.$$

P. Turán proved the following theorem ([4], p. 150):

If  $\gamma_1$  is the supremum of the numbers  $\gamma$  for which

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta(x) = O(xe^{-c_1 \log^{\gamma} x}),$$

where  $c_1$  is a numerical constant, and  $\gamma_2$  is the infimum of the numbers  $\gamma'$  for which the function of Riemann  $\zeta(s) \neq 0$  in the region

$$(1.2) \quad \sigma > 1 - \frac{c_2}{\log^{\gamma'} |t|}, \quad |t| \geq c_3,$$

where  $c_2, c_3$  are constants, then

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{1 + \gamma_2} \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma_2 = \frac{1}{\gamma_1} - 1.$$

The subject of this note is to prove a similar theorem for the case of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions  $L(s, \chi)$ ,  $\chi \pmod{k}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ .

2. Let us introduce the following notations

$$\Psi(x, k, l) = \sum_{\substack{n=l \pmod{k} \\ n \leq x}} \Lambda(n),$$

$$\Psi(x, \chi) = \sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n) \Lambda(n),$$

where  $k, l$  are integers,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $0 < l \leq k$ ,  $(l, k) = 1$  and  $\chi$  is a character mod  $k$ .

Let us denote

$$E_0 = E_0(\chi, k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi = \chi_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi \neq \chi_0; \end{cases}$$

$$E_1 = E_1(\chi, k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi = \chi_1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi \neq \chi_1, \end{cases}$$

where  $\chi_0$  is the principal character and  $\chi_1$  is the exceptional character mod  $k$ .

Let us introduce further the following remainders

$$\Delta(x, k, l) = \Psi(x, k, l) - \frac{x}{\varphi(k)} + \frac{\chi_1(l)}{\varphi(k)} \cdot \frac{x^{\beta_1}}{\beta_1},$$

$$\Delta(x, \chi) = \Psi(x, \chi) - E_0 x + E_1 \frac{x^{\beta_1}}{\beta_1},$$

where  $\beta_1$  denotes the exceptional real zero of  $L(s, \chi_1)$  (if there exists such a zero; [1], p. 140).

3. The solution of the problem stated under 1 reduces essentially to the conversion of the following theorem of T. Tatzuza (see [3] and [1], p. 319).

If  $\prod_{x \bmod k} L(s, \chi) \neq 0$ ,  $s \neq \beta_1$  in the region

$$(3.1) \quad \sigma \geq 1 - \frac{c_1}{\max\{\log k, \log^{\gamma'}(|t|+3)\}}, \quad 0 < \gamma \leq 1,$$

then

$$(3.2) \quad |\Delta(x, k, l)| \leq c \frac{x}{\varphi(k)} \exp \left\{ -c_2 \frac{\log x}{\max(\log k, \log^{\gamma/(1+\gamma)} x)} \right\}$$

for

$$1 \leq k \leq \exp \left( c_3 \frac{\log x}{\log \log x} \right),$$

where  $c, c_1, c_2, c_3$  are numerical constants.

We will prove the following theorems.

THEOREM 1. If  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ ,  $\omega(k)$  is a positive valued function, and

$$(3.3) \quad \Delta(x, k, l) < c_1 \frac{x}{\varphi(k)} \exp \left\{ -c_2 \frac{\log x}{\max(\log k, \log^{\gamma/(1+\gamma)} x)} \right\}$$

for  $x \geq \omega(k)$  and any  $l$ ,  $0 < l \leq k$ ,  $(l, k) = 1$ , then

$$\prod_{x \bmod k} L(s, \chi) \neq 0$$

in the region

$$(3.4) \quad \sigma > 1 - \frac{1}{30} \cdot \frac{(c_2/2)^{1+\gamma}}{\max\{\max(1, (c_2/2)^{1+\gamma}) \log k, \log^{\gamma'} |t|\}},$$

$$|t| \geq \max \left( c_3, k^{1/\beta_1}, \frac{1}{k} \exp \frac{\log \omega(k)}{\log k} \right),$$

where  $c_3$  is a constant depending only on  $c_1, c_2$  and  $\gamma$ . In the case  $k = 1$  the term  $\frac{1}{k} \exp \frac{\log \omega(k)}{\log k}$  has to be omitted.

From this theorem we will deduce

THEOREM 2. If  $\gamma_1$  is the supremum of the numbers  $\gamma$  for which

$$(3.5) \quad |\Delta(x, k, l)| < c_1 \frac{x}{\varphi(k)} \exp \left\{ -c_2 \frac{\log x}{\max(\log k, \log^{1-\gamma} x)} \right\}$$

with

$$x \geq \omega(k), \quad 0 < l \leq k, \quad (l, k) = 1,$$

where  $\omega(k)$  is any function satisfying the condition

$$\exp \log^2 k \leq \omega(k) \leq \exp(A \log^2 k) \quad (A \text{ constant } \geq 1)$$

and if  $\gamma_2$  is the infimum of  $\gamma'$  for which  $\prod_{x \bmod k} L(s, \chi) \neq 0$ ,  $s \neq \beta_1$  in the region

$$(3.6) \quad \sigma > 1 - \frac{c_3}{\max\{\log k, \log^{\gamma'}(|t|+3)\}},$$

$c_2, c_3$  are constants, then

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{1 + \gamma_2}.$$

Theorem 2 solves completely the problem stated above.

4. Proof of Theorem 1 will rest on four lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$  be complex numbers such that

$$|z_1| \geq |z_2| \geq \dots \geq |z_n|, \quad |z_1| \geq 1$$

and let  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$  be any complex numbers.

Then, if  $m$  is positive and  $N \geq h$ , there exists an integer  $\nu$  such that  $m \leq \nu \leq m + N$ ,

$$(4.1) \quad |b_1 z_1^\nu + b_2 z_2^\nu + \dots + b_h z_h^\nu| \geq \left( \frac{1}{48e^2} \cdot \frac{N}{2N+m} \right)^N \min_{1 \leq j \leq h} |b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_j|.$$

This lemma is Turán's second main theorem (see [4], p. 52).

LEMMA 2. For  $\sigma > 1$ ,  $\xi > 1$  we have

$$(4.2) \quad \left| (-1)^r \sum_{n \geq \xi} \frac{\Delta(n) \chi(n)}{n^s} \cdot \frac{\log^{r+1}(n/\xi)}{(r+1)!} + \sum_{\rho} \frac{\xi^{\rho-s}}{(\rho-s)^{r+2}} - E_0 \frac{\xi^{1-s}}{(1-s)^{r+2}} \right| < c_4 \frac{\log k (|t|+2)}{\xi^{3/2}},$$

where  $r$  is a positive integer and  $\rho$  runs through all zeros of  $L(s, \chi)$  in the strip  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ .

Proof of Lemma 2 does not essentially differ from that of lemma contained in [2], p. 315, and can be dropped.

LEMMA 3. For  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $|t| \geq 2$  we have

$$(4.3) \quad |L(s, \chi)| < c(1 + (k|t|)^{1-\sigma}) \log k |t|$$

and for  $\sigma > 1$

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{1}{|L(s, \chi)|} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\sigma-1}.$$

It is easily seen that (4.3) can be deduced from [1], pp. 113-116. The proof of (4.4) is trivial.

LEMMA 4. If  $s_0 = 1 + \mu + it'$ ,  $0 < \mu \leq 1/40$ ,  $R = 16\mu$ ,  $t' \geq 10$  and  $N_1$  stands for the number of roots of  $L(s, \chi)$ ,  $\chi \pmod k$  in the circle  $|s - s_0| \leq R/2$ , then

$$(4.5) \quad N_1 < 25\mu \log kt' + c_6 \log \log kt' + \log \frac{1+\mu}{\mu}.$$

This lemma follows from Lemma 3 by the use of Jensen inequality (compare [4], p. 187).

5. Proof of Theorem 1. It can easily be shown that

$$|\Delta(x, \chi)| \leq \sum_{\substack{1 \leq l \leq k \\ (l, k)=1}} |\Delta(x, k, l)|.$$

Hence owing to (3.3) we have for  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ ,  $x \geq \omega(k)$ , the estimate

$$(5.1) \quad |\Delta(x, \chi)| < c_1 x \exp \left\{ -c_2 \frac{\log x}{\max(\log k, \log^{1+\gamma} x)} \right\}$$

for any  $\chi \pmod k$ .

Let  $t$  be positive and

$$(5.2) \quad f_{N_1 N_2}(\chi, t) = \sum_{N_1 \leq n \leq N_2} \chi(n) \Delta(n) \exp(-it \log n).$$

From the definition of  $\Delta(x, \chi)$  we get for  $n > 1$

$$(5.3) \quad \chi(n) \Delta(n) = \Delta(n, \chi) - \Delta(n-1, \chi) + E_0 - E_1 \frac{n^{\beta_1} - (n-1)^{\beta_1}}{\beta_1}.$$

Hence

$$(5.4) \quad |f_{N_1 N_2}(\chi, t)| \leq E_0 \left| \sum_{N_1 \leq n \leq N_2} \exp(-it \log n) \right| + \left| \sum_{N_1 \leq n \leq N_2} [\Delta(n, \chi) - \Delta(n-1, \chi)] \exp(-it \log n) \right| + E_1 \left| \sum_{N_1 \leq n \leq N_2} \frac{n^{\beta_1} - (n-1)^{\beta_1}}{\beta_1} \exp(-it \log n) \right| = E_0 J_1 + J_2 + E_1 J_3.$$

By partial summation we have

$$J_2 \leq |\Delta(N_2, \chi)| + |\Delta(N_1-1, \chi)| + \sum_{N_1 \leq n \leq N_2} |\Delta(n, \chi)| \left| 1 - \exp \left\{ -it \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \right\} \right|.$$

Let  $t$  be such that with the above  $c_2$  and  $\gamma$

$$(5.5) \quad 1 + t^2 \leq \exp \left\{ \left( \frac{2}{c_2} \log t \right)^{1+\gamma} \right\}$$

and  $N_1, N_2$  such that

$$(5.6) \quad \exp \left\{ \max \left( \left( \frac{2}{c_2} \log t \right)^{1+\gamma}, \left( \frac{2}{c_2} \log t \log k \right), \log \omega(k) \right) \right\} \leq \frac{N}{2} \leq N_1 < N_2 \leq N.$$

Hence

$$J_2 < c_6 N t \exp \left\{ -c_2 \frac{\log N}{\max(\log k, \log^{1+\gamma} N)} \right\}.$$

From (5.6) we get

$$\exp \left\{ -c_2 \frac{\log N}{\max(\log k, \log^{(1+\nu)} N)} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{t^2}.$$

Hence

$$(5.7) \quad J_2 < c_7 \frac{N}{t}.$$

The terms  $J_1, J_3$  can also be estimated from above by  $e \frac{N}{t}$  (see [4], p. 157).

From (5.4) it follows the estimate

$$(5.8) \quad |f_{N_1 N_2}(\chi, t)| < c_8 \frac{N}{t}$$

if (5.5) and (5.6) are satisfied.

Consider

$$(5.9) \quad 1 < \sigma \leq \frac{3}{2}, \quad t \geq 2.$$

By partial summation we get from (5.8)

$$(5.10) \quad \left| \sum_{N_1 \leq n \leq N_2} \chi(n) \frac{A(n)}{n^s} \right| < c_9 \frac{N^{1-\sigma}}{t}.$$

6. Choose

$$(6.1) \quad \eta \geq \exp \left\{ \max \left( \left( \frac{2}{c_2} \log t \right)^{1+\nu}, \left( \frac{2}{c_2} \log t \log k \right), \log \omega(k) \right) \right\}.$$

Applying (5.10) with

$$(6.2) \quad N_1^j = \eta \cdot 2^j, \quad N_2^j = \eta \cdot 2^{j+1}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

we have

$$(6.3) \quad \left| \sum_{n \geq \eta} \chi(n) \frac{A(n)}{n^s} \right| < c_{10} \frac{\eta^{1-\sigma}}{t(\sigma-1)}.$$

Suppose

$$(6.4) \quad \xi \geq \exp \left\{ \max \left( \left( \frac{2}{c_2} \log t \right)^{1+\nu}, \left( \frac{2}{c_2} \log t \log k \right), \log \omega(k) \right) \right\},$$

where  $r$  denotes a positive integer.

Multiplying (6.3) by  $\frac{1}{\eta} \log^r \left( \frac{\eta}{\xi} \right)$  and integrating over  $\langle \xi, +\infty \rangle$  we get

$$(6.5) \quad \left| \sum_{n \geq \xi} \frac{\chi(n) A(n)}{n^s} \log^{r+1} \left( \frac{\eta}{\xi} \right) \right| < \frac{c_{11}}{t(\sigma-1)} \frac{(r+1)! \xi^{1-\sigma}}{(\sigma-1)^{r+1}}.$$

From Lemma 2 it follows

$$(6.6) \quad \left| \sum_{\rho} \frac{\xi^{\rho-s}}{(\rho-s)^{r+2}} - E_0 \frac{\xi^{1-s}}{(1-s)^{r+2}} \right| < c_{12} \left( \frac{\xi^{1-\sigma}}{t(\sigma-1)^{r+2}} + \frac{\log k(t+2)}{\xi} \right).$$

Hence (see [4], p. 190)

$$(6.7) \quad \left| \sum_{\rho} \frac{\xi^{\rho-s}}{(\rho-s)^{r+2}} \right| < c_{13} \frac{\xi^{1-\sigma}}{t} \left( \frac{1}{(\sigma-1)^{r+2}} + \log k(t+2) \right).$$

7. Supposing our Theorem 1 is false there exist zeros

$$\rho^* = \sigma^* + it^*, \quad t^* \rightarrow \infty$$

of  $L(s, \chi)$ ,  $\chi \pmod{k}$ , for which

$$(7.1) \quad \sigma^* > 1 - \frac{1}{30} \frac{(c_2/2)^{1+\nu}}{\max\{\max(1, (c_2/2)^{1+\nu}) \log k, \log^{\nu} t^*\}}.$$

Let  $t^*$  be such that

$$(7.2) \quad t^* > \max \left\{ e^e, \exp \left( 40^{1/\nu} \left( \frac{c_2}{2} \right)^{(1+\nu)/\nu} \right), \exp \left( \frac{2}{c_2} \right)^{1+\nu}, k^{1/\nu}, \frac{1}{k} \exp \frac{\log \omega(k)}{\log k} \right\} = T_0.$$

Put

$$(7.3) \quad s = s_1 = 1 + \frac{(c_2/2)^{1+\nu}}{\log^{\nu} t^*} + it^* = \sigma_1 + it^*,$$

$$(7.4) \quad \xi = \exp((r+2)\lambda),$$

where

$$(7.5) \quad 10 \log t^* \leq r+2 \leq 12.5 \log t^*$$

and

$$(7.6) \quad \lambda = \left( \frac{2}{c_2} \right)^{1+\nu} \max \left\{ \max \left( 1, \left( \frac{c_2}{2} \right)^{1+\nu} \right) \log k, \log^{\nu} t^* \right\}.$$

It is easily seen that the condition (5.5) and (6.4) are satisfied and the condition (5.9) owing to (7.2) becomes

$$(7.7) \quad 1 < \sigma_1 = 1 + \frac{(c_2/2)^{1+\nu}}{\log^{\nu} t^*} < 1 + \frac{1}{40}.$$

Hence inequality (6.7) can be used. Multiplying (6.7) by

$$|\xi^{s_1 - \rho^*} (s_1 - \rho^*)^{r+2}| = \xi^{\sigma_1 - \sigma^*} (\sigma_1 - \sigma^*)^{r+2},$$

we get

$$(7.8) \quad \left| \sum_{\rho} \xi^{\rho - \sigma^*} \left( \frac{s_1 - \rho^*}{s_1 - \rho} \right)^{r+2} \right| < c_{13} \frac{\xi^{1-\sigma^*}}{t} \left( \left( \frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma^*}{\sigma_1 - 1} \right)^{r+2} + (\sigma_1 - \sigma^*) \log k(t+2) \right).$$

Under (7.2), (7.5) and (7.7) we have

$$(\sigma_1 - 1)^{r+2} \log k(t+2) < \left(\frac{1}{40}\right)^{r+2} \log t^9(t+2) = O(1),$$

and from (7.1), (7.3) and (7.5)

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma^*}{\sigma_1 - 1}\right)^{r+2} < \left(1 + \frac{1 - \sigma^*}{\sigma_1 - 1}\right)^{r+2} < \left(1 + \frac{1}{30}\right)^{r+2} < t^{*5/12}.$$

Therefore from (7.8) we get the estimate

$$(7.9) \quad \left| \sum_{\rho} \xi^{\rho - \rho^*} \left(\frac{s_1 - \rho^*}{s_1 - \rho}\right)^{r+2} \right| < c_{14} \frac{\xi^{1-\sigma^*}}{t^{*7/12}}.$$

8. Let us estimate the part of the sum (7.9) for which  $t_\rho \geq t^* + 8$ . Owing to (7.5) and [1], Theorem (3.3), p. 220, we get

$$S_1 = \left| \sum_{t_\rho \geq t^* + 8} \xi^{\rho - \rho^*} \left(\frac{s_1 - \rho^*}{s_1 - \rho}\right)^{r+2} \right| < c_{15} \xi^{1-\sigma^*} \frac{1}{t^*}.$$

Similarly we can prove that the sums for

$$t^* + 6(\sigma_1 - \sigma^*) \leq t_\rho \leq t^* + 8, \quad 0 < t_\rho \leq t^* - 6(\sigma_1 - \sigma^*),$$

and

$$|t_\rho - t^*| \leq 6(\sigma_1 - \sigma^*), \quad \sigma_\rho < 1 - 3(\sigma_1 - \sigma^*)$$

are absolutely less than

$$c_{16} \xi^{1-\sigma^*} \frac{1}{t^*}.$$

Therefore, owing to (7.9) it follows

$$(8.1) \quad V = \left| \sum_{\substack{|t_\rho - t^*| \leq 6(\sigma_1 - \sigma^*) \\ \sigma_\rho \geq 1 - 3(\sigma_1 - \sigma^*)}} \left( e^{\lambda(\rho - \rho^*)} \frac{s_1 - \rho^*}{s_1 - \rho} \right)^{r+2} \right| < c_{17} \frac{\xi^{1-\sigma^*}}{t^{*7/12}}.$$

9. To estimate  $V$  from below we use Lemma 1. We choose

$$z_j = e^{\lambda(\rho - \rho^*)} \frac{s_1 - \rho^*}{s_1 - \rho}$$

and

$$(9.1) \quad m = 10 \log t^*.$$

In order to define  $N$  we have to estimate the number of roots of  $L(s, \chi)$ ,  $\chi \pmod k$ , in the region

$$(9.2) \quad 1 - 3(\sigma_1 - \sigma^*) \leq \sigma < 1, \quad |t - t^*| \leq 6(\sigma_1 - \sigma^*).$$

We will apply Lemma 4. Let  $s_0 = s_1 = \sigma_1 + it^*$ ,  $\mu = \left(\frac{c_2}{2}\right)^{1+\gamma} \frac{1}{\log^\gamma t^*}$  and let  $d$  to be the maximal distance of points in (9.2) from  $s_1$ . Hence

$$d = |(\sigma_1 - 1) + 3(\sigma_1 - \sigma^*) + i6(\sigma_1 - \sigma^*)|.$$

From (7.1) we have

$$1 - \sigma^* < \frac{1}{30} \mu, \quad \sigma_1 - \sigma^* = (\sigma_1 - 1) + (1 - \sigma^*) < \frac{31}{30} (\sigma_1 - 1).$$

Hence  $d < 7.5\mu < 8\mu$ . So the region (9.2) is contained in the circle  $|s - s_1| \leq 8\mu$ .

Owing to Lemma 4 we can take

$$N = 250\mu \log t^* + c_{18} \log \log t^*,$$

if the interval  $(m, m + N)$  is contained in (7.5).

This condition is satisfied by such  $N$  because

$$N < 2.5 \log t^* \quad \text{for} \quad t^* > \max(T_0, c_{19}(\gamma)) = T_1.$$

From Lemma 1 there exist  $\nu = r + 2$  that

$$\begin{aligned} V &\geq \left( \frac{250\mu \log t^* + c_{18} \log \log t^*}{48e^2 \cdot 15 \log t^*} \right)^{250\mu \log t^* + c_{18} \log \log t^*} \\ &> \left( \frac{1}{48e^2} \frac{250\mu \log t^*}{15 \log t^*} \right)^{250\mu \log t^*} \cdot \left( \frac{c_{18} \log \log t^*}{15 \log t^*} \right)^{c_{18} \log \log t^*} \\ &> \exp \left\{ -250\gamma \left( \frac{c_2}{2} \right)^{1+\gamma} \log^{1-\gamma} t^* \cdot \log \log t^* - c_{20}(\gamma) \log^{1-\gamma} t^* (\log \log t^*)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From this estimate and from (8.1) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{1-\sigma^*} &> \frac{t^{*7/12}}{c_{17}} \times \\ &\times \exp \left\{ -250\gamma \left( \frac{c_2}{2} \right)^{1+\gamma} \log^{1-\gamma} t^* \cdot \log \log t^* - c_{20}(\gamma) \log^{1-\gamma} t^* (\log \log t^*)^2 \right\} > t^{*7/16} \end{aligned}$$

for  $t^* > \max(T_1, c_{21}(\gamma))$ .

Taking into account (7.4)–(7.6), we get

$$\frac{7}{16} \log t^* < (1-\sigma)(r+2)\lambda$$

$$< (1-\sigma^*) \cdot 12.5 \log t^* \cdot \left(\frac{2}{c_2}\right)^{1+\gamma} \max \left\{ \max \left(1, \left(\frac{c_2}{2}\right)^{1+\gamma}\right) \log k, \log^\gamma t^* \right\}.$$

Hence

$$1-\sigma^* > \frac{7}{200} \cdot \left(\frac{c_2}{2}\right)^{1+\gamma} \frac{1}{\max \{ \max(1, (c_2/2)^{1+\gamma}) \log k, \log^\gamma t^* \}}$$

and this leads to a contradiction to (7.1). This proves Theorem 1.

**10. Proof of Theorem 2.** We know already that if (3.6) is satisfied with a  $\gamma'$ ,  $0 < \gamma' \leq 1$ , then (3.5) follows with  $\gamma = \frac{1}{1+\gamma'}$ . This is the first part of the proof.

In the second part we have to show that if  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$  and

$$(10.1) \quad |\Delta(x, k, l)| < c_1 \frac{x}{\varphi(k)} \exp \left\{ -c_2 \frac{\log x}{\max(\log k, \log^{\gamma(1+\gamma)} x)} \right\}$$

for  $x \geq \omega(k)$  and  $0 < l \leq k$ ,  $(l, k) = 1$ , where

$$(10.2) \quad \exp \log^2 k \leq \omega(k) \leq \exp(A \log^2 k),$$

then  $\prod_{\chi \bmod k} L(s, \chi) \neq 0$ ,  $s \neq \beta_1$ , in the region

$$(10.3) \quad \sigma > 1 - \frac{c_3}{\max \{ \log k, \log^\gamma (|t|+3) \}},$$

where the constant  $c_3$  depends only on  $A$ ,  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $\gamma$ .

From (10.1), (10.2) and Theorem 1 we have  $\prod_{\chi \bmod k} L(s, \chi) \neq 0$  in the region

$$(10.4) \quad \sigma > 1 - \frac{c_4}{\max \{ \log k, \log^\gamma |t| \}}, \quad t \geq \max(c_5, k^A).$$

Let  $k$  be chosen such that  $k^A \geq c_5$ . In this case the region (10.4) can obviously be defined as

$$(10.5) \quad \sigma > 1 - \frac{c_4}{\max \{ \log k, \log |t| \}}, \quad t > k^A.$$

It is easy to verify that from Lemma 3 it follows that  $\prod_{\chi \bmod k} L(s, \chi) \neq 0$  in the region

$$(10.6) \quad \sigma > 1 - \frac{c_6}{\max \{ \log k, \log |t| \}}, \quad t \geq 3.$$

From (10.5) and (10.6) we have  $\prod_{\chi \bmod k} L(s, \chi) \neq 0$  for  $k^A \geq c_5$  in the region

$$(10.7) \quad \sigma > 1 - \frac{c_7}{\max \{ \log k, \log^\gamma |t| \}}, \quad t \geq 3.$$

It remains to discuss the case  $1 \leq k \leq c_5^{1/A}$ . In this case from (10.4) it follows that  $\prod_{\chi \bmod k} L(s, \chi) \neq 0$  in the region

$$(10.8) \quad \sigma > 1 - \frac{c_4}{\max \{ \log k, \log^\gamma |t| \}}, \quad t \geq c_5.$$

From (10.7), (10.8) and [1], pp. 118–122, Theorem 2 follows.

#### References

- [1] K. Prachar, *Primzahlverteilung*, Berlin 1957.
- [2] W. Staś, *Über die Dichte der Nullstellen der Dirichletschen L-Funktionen*, Acta Arith. 6 (1961), pp. 313–323.
- [3] T. Tatzuza, *On the number of primes in an arithmetic progression*, Jap. J. Math. 21 (1951), pp. 93–111.
- [4] P. Turán, *Eine Neue Methode in der Analysis und deren Anwendungen*, Budapest 1953 und die chinesische umgearbeitete und erweiterte Auflage dieses Buches, Peking 1956.
- [5] — *On the remainder-term of the prime-number formula, II*, Acta Math. Hung. 1 (1950), pp. 155–166.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY  
Poznań

Received on 15. 5. 1970