

- [14] E. Noether, *Ein algebraisches Kriterium für absolute Irreducibilität*, Math. Ann. 85 (1922), pp. 26–33.
- [15] O. Perron, *Algebra*, I, de Gruyter, 3rd ed., 1951.
- [16] F. K. Schmidt, *Analytische Zahlentheorie in Körpern der charakteristik p* , Math. Zeitschr. 33 (1931), pp. 1–32.
- [17] R. A. Smith, *The distribution of rational points on hypersurfaces defined over a finite field*, Mathematika 17(1970), pp. 328–332.
- [18] S. Uchiyama, *On a multiple exponential sum*, Proc. Japan Acad. 32 (1956), pp. 748–749.
- [19] B. van der Waerden, *Modern Algebra*, New York 1949, I, Ch. V, § 40.
- [20] A. Weil, *On some exponential sums*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U. S. A., 34 (1948), pp. 204–207.
- [21] — *Sur les courbes algébriques et les variétés qui s'en déduisent*, Paris 1948.
- [22] — *On the Riemann Hypothesis for function-fields*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U. S. A., 27 (1941), pp. 345–347.
- [23] — *Sur les critères d'équivalence en géométrie algébrique*, Math. Annalen 128 (1954), pp. 95–127.
- [24] — *Foundations of Algebraic Geometry*, New York, A. M. S. Colloq. Pub. 29 (1946).
- [25] E. Weiss, *Algebraic Number Theory*, (McGraw-Hill, 1963), 4–9.
- [26] K. S. Williams, *Pairs of consecutive residues of polynomials*, Canad. J. of Math. 19 (1967), pp. 655–666 (sec. (1.15)).
- [27] O. Zariski, *Pencils on an algebraic variety and a new proof of a theorem of Bertini*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 50 (1941), pp. 48–70.

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
Toronto, Canada

Received on 8. 3. 1970

ACTA ARITHMETICA
XVIII (1971)

The multiplicity of partial coverings of space

by

L. FEW (London)

1. Let K be a convex body in n -dimensional space. Consider a system of translates of K such that no point of space belongs to more than $h-1$ of the translates. This system is an $(h-1)$ -fold packing. Let the proportion of space belonging to at least one of the bodies be δ , and let

$$(1) \quad k = -\log(1-\delta).$$

We prove that, provided n is sufficiently large, and

$$(2) \quad n4^{-n} < \delta < 1 - e^{-n/6},$$

there is such a system with $h-1 = [l]$, where

$$(3) \quad l = \frac{n \log 4(n+1) - 2ke - \log \delta - \frac{1}{2} \log n + n}{\log n - \log 2ke},$$

and we also prove that the density of the system is greater than $2k$ and $\sim 2k$.

These results are illustrated by examples in § 7.

This paper uses methods of Erdős and Rogers [1], and the notation of that paper is used where convenient.

2. In this section we take K to be a Lebesgue measurable set with finite positive measure V . Let \mathcal{A} be the lattice of all points with integral coordinates, and suppose that all the distinct translates of K by the vectors of \mathcal{A} are disjoint.

Let the N points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N be chosen at random in the cube C of points x with

$$0 \leq x_i \leq 1 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Consider the system of sets

$$(4) \quad K + x_i + g \quad (1 \leq i \leq N, g \in \mathcal{A})$$

and, for $0 \leq h \leq N$, the set E_h of points belonging to just h of the sets (4). Then, given K and h , the density $\delta(E_h)$ of the set E_h is a function of

x_1, \dots, x_N , and it has been proved by Erdős and Rogers [1] that the mean value, $\mathcal{M}(\delta(E_h))$, of this density over all choices of the points x_1, \dots, x_N in C is

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{M}(\delta(E_h)) = \frac{N!}{h!(N-h)!} V^h (1-V)^{N-h}.$$

3. Now take K to be a convex body with volume V . By a result of Rogers and Shephard [3] there is a lattice A_1 of determinant $4^n V$ such that the distinct translates of K by the vectors of A_1 are disjoint. Thus, after applying a suitable linear transformation to K , we may suppose that the volume V of K is 4^{-n} and that the distinct translates of K , by the vectors of the lattice A of all points with integral coordinates, are disjoint.

Let F_h be the set of points covered by at least h bodies of the system

$$K + x_i + g \quad (1 \leq i \leq N, g \in A),$$

and let E_0 be the set of points belonging to no body of the system

$$(1-\eta)K + x_i + g \quad (1 \leq i \leq N, g \in A),$$

where $0 < \eta < 1$. Then it follows from (5) that

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\delta(F_h)) &= \sum_{t=h}^N \frac{N!}{t!(N-t)!} V^t (1-V)^{N-t} \\ &= \frac{N!}{h!(N-h)!} V^h (1-V)^{N-h} \sum_{t=0}^{N-h} \frac{h!(N-h)!}{(h+t)!(N-h-t)!} \left(\frac{V}{1-V}\right)^t, \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{M}(\delta(E_0)) = (1 - (1-\eta)^N V)^N.$$

4. It follows from (1) and (2) that

$$(8) \quad k < \frac{1}{6}n$$

so that

$$(9) \quad \log n - \log 2ke > \log 3 - 1 = a > 0.$$

Let

$$(10) \quad V = 4^{-n}, \quad N^* = 2ke4^n, \quad N = [N^*] + 1.$$

We have, by (1) and (2),

$$(11) \quad k > \delta > n4^{-n},$$

so that, by (10),

$$(12) \quad N > n.$$



By (3), (10) and (2)

$$(13) \quad \frac{l}{N^*} < \frac{n \log 16e(n+1)}{k4^n (\log n - \log 2ke)}.$$

Let

$$f(k) = k(\log n - \log 2ke),$$

so that

$$f'(k) = \log n - \log 2ke^2.$$

Thus, if $n4^{-n} \leq k \leq n/2e^2$, we have from (13)

$$(14) \quad \frac{l}{N^*} < \frac{n \log 16e(n+1)}{n(n \log 4 - \log 2e)} = o(1),$$

and, if $n/2e^2 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{6}n$, we have from (13),

$$(15) \quad \frac{l}{N^*} < \frac{6n \log 16e(n+1)}{an4^n} = o(1)$$

where a is defined in (9). Thus by (2), (8), (11), (14) and (15)

$$(16) \quad \frac{l}{N^*} = o(1).$$

Hence

$$(17) \quad \frac{h}{N} \leq \frac{l+1}{N^*} = o(1)$$

by (16) and (12). Hence, by (17) and (12),

$$(18) \quad N-h = N \left(1 - \frac{h}{N}\right) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (3), (2), (8) and (11),

$$h > \frac{n \log n - \frac{1}{2}ne - \frac{1}{2} \log n}{n \log 4 - \log 2e}$$

so that

$$(19) \quad h \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (3), (10) and (2)

$$lV < \frac{n \log 16e(n+1)}{a4^n} = o(1)$$

so that

$$(20) \quad hV < (l+1)V = o(1).$$

By (10), (3) and (8)

$$\frac{N^* V}{h} < \frac{2ke(\log n - \log 2ke)}{n \log n - \frac{1}{2}ne - \frac{1}{2} \log n}.$$

The right-hand side of this inequality, treated as a function of k with n fixed, is maximum when $k = n/(2e^2)$. Hence

$$\frac{N^* V}{h} < n \{e(n \log n - \frac{1}{2} n e - \frac{1}{2} \log n)\} = o(1)$$

so that, using (10) and (19),

$$(21) \quad \frac{(N+1)V}{h+1} < \frac{(N^*+2)V}{h} = \frac{N^*V}{h} + \frac{2V}{h} = o(1),$$

and, similarly,

$$(22) \quad \frac{NV}{h} = o(1).$$

Also, since $1-V > \frac{1}{2}$ by (10), we have by (21),

$$(23) \quad \frac{(N-h)V}{(h+1)(1-V)} < \frac{2(N+1)V}{h+1} < 1$$

for n sufficiently large.

5. In the sum in (6) the ratio of the $(t+1)$ st term to the t th term is

$$\frac{(N-h-t)V}{(h+t+1)(1-V)} \leq \frac{(N-h)V}{(h+1)(1-V)} < 1$$

by (23). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta = \mathcal{M}(\delta(E_h)) &\leq \frac{N!}{h!(N-h)!} V^h (1-V)^{N-h} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(N-h)V}{(h+1)(1-V)} \right\}^t \\ &= \frac{N!}{h!(N-h)!} V^h (1-V)^{N-h} \left\{ \frac{(h+1)(1-V)}{(h+1)-(N+1)V} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Stirling's formula, which we may by (12), (18) and (19)

$$\begin{aligned} \log \Delta &\leq (N-h) \log \left(1 + \frac{h}{N-h} \right) - h \log \frac{h}{NV} + (N-h) \log(1-V) - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 - \frac{h}{N} \right) - \log \left(1 - \frac{(N+1)V}{h+1} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \log h - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (20), (17) and (21),

$$(24) \quad \log \Delta < F(h, N) - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + o(1)$$

where

$$(25) \quad F(h, N) = h - h \log h + h \log NV - NV - \frac{1}{2} \log h.$$

Now,

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial h} = \log \frac{NV}{h} - \frac{1}{2h} < 0$$

by (22) and (19). Hence

$$F(h, N) \leq F(l, N).$$

Also

$$\frac{\partial F(l, N)}{\partial N} = \frac{l}{N} - V$$

so that the error in replacing N by N^* in $F(l, N)$ is at most $\frac{l}{N^*} + V = o(1)$ by (16) and (10). Hence, from (24), (25) and (10),

$$\log \Delta \leq l - l \log l + l \log 2ke - 2ke - \frac{1}{2} \log l - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + o(1).$$

Hence, substituting for $n \log 4(n+1) - \log \delta$ from (3),

$$\begin{aligned} (26) \quad \log \Delta - \log \delta + n \log 4(n+1) &\leq l(1 - \log l + \log n) + \frac{1}{2}(\log n - \log l - \log 2\pi - 2n) + o(1) \\ &< l \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n} - \log l + \log n \right) + \frac{1}{2}(\log n - \log l - 2n - 1) = g(l) \end{aligned}$$

for n sufficiently large. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dg}{dl} &= \frac{1}{2n} - \log l + \log n - \frac{1}{2l}, \\ \frac{d^2g}{dl^2} &= -\frac{1}{l} + \frac{1}{2l^2} < 0 \end{aligned}$$

by (19). When $l = n$, $dg/dl = 0$, so that $g(l) \leq g(n)$, and, by (26),

$$\log \Delta - \log \delta + n \log 4(n+1) < g(n) = 0.$$

Hence

$$(27) \quad \mathcal{M}(\delta(E_h)) < \delta \eta^n V \quad \text{where} \quad \eta = 1/(n+1).$$

With this choice of η we have, from (7), (10) and (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathcal{M}(\delta(E_0)) &< -NV \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1} \right)^n < -\frac{NV}{e} \\ &< -\frac{N^*V}{e} = -2k = \log(1-\delta)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(28) \quad \mathcal{M}(\delta(E_0)) < (1-\delta)^2,$$

and, from (27) and (28)

$$(1-\delta) \mathcal{M}(\delta(F_h)) + \eta^n V \mathcal{M}(\delta(E_0)) < \{\delta(1-\delta) + (1-\delta)^2\} \eta^n V = (1-\delta) \eta^n V.$$

Hence we can choose points x_1, \dots, x_N so that

$$(1-\delta) \delta(F_h) + \eta^n V \delta(E_0) < (1-\delta) \eta^n V.$$

Thus, with this choice of x_1, \dots, x_N

$$(29) \quad \delta(F_h) < \eta^n V$$

and

$$(30) \quad \delta(E_0) < 1-\delta.$$

6. We prove that the system of sets

$$(31) \quad (1-\eta)K + x_i + g \quad (1 \leq i \leq N, g \in A),$$

where $\eta = 1/(n+1)$ and x_1, \dots, x_N are chosen as in § 5, has the properties stated in § 1.

Since, by (30), $\delta(E_0) < 1-\delta$, it follows that the proportion of space belonging to at least one set of the system is at least δ . The density of the system (31) is $NV(1-\eta)^n \sim 2k$ by (10). Also $NV(1-\eta)^n > 2k$.

Suppose that a point x of space is covered h or more times by sets of the system (31). Then each point of the set

$$\eta K + x$$

is covered at least h times by sets of the system

$$K + x_i + g \quad (1 \leq i \leq N, g \in A).$$

Hence F_h contains the union

$$\bigcup_{g \in A} \{\eta K + x + g\}.$$

No two distinct sets of this union have any common point and the density of the union is $\eta^n V$. Hence $\delta(F_h) \geq \eta^n V$ which contradicts (29). This completes the proof that the system (31) has the required properties.

7. We illustrate the results stated in § 1. If $\delta < 1 - \exp(-1/8e^2)$ it is easily proved that $h-1 < n$. If β is a constant and $\delta = n^{-\beta}$ then $h \sim n/(1+\beta)$, and if $\delta = \beta^{-n}$ then $h \sim (\log n)/(\log \beta)$.

It follows from (2) and (3) that

$$(32) \quad 2k > (n/e)(16ne)^{-n/(n-1)}.$$

By a result of Few [2] there are h -fold packings of equal spheres with density at least

$$(33) \quad \delta_1 \left(\frac{2h}{h+1} \right)^{n/2}$$

where δ_1 is the density of the closest packing of equal spheres. Since it is only known that $\delta_1 > Cn2^{-n}$, where C is a constant, the result (33) only ensures that there is an h -fold packing whose density δ_h satisfies

$$(34) \quad \delta_h > Cn \left\{ \frac{h}{2(h+1)} \right\}^{n/2}.$$

The density of the $(h-1)$ -fold packing (31) is at least $2k$, so that there are $(h-1)$ -fold packings whose density is greater than the right-hand side of (32). For large n this lower bound is better than that given by (34), with h replaced by $h-1$ provided

$$h-1 > \frac{2 \log 16ne}{\log 2}.$$

References

- [1] P. Erdős and C. A. Rogers, *Covering space with convex bodies*, Acta Arith. 7 (1962), pp. 281-285.
- [2] L. Few, *Multiple packing of spheres*, Journ. London Math. Soc. 39 (1964), pp. 51-54.
- [3] C. A. Rogers and G. C. Shephard, *The difference body of a convex body*, Arch. Math. 8 (1957), pp. 220-233.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE
London

Received on 8. 3. 1970