

Nun sei bei genügend großem  $C = c_4$

$$\log x > \log^2 \frac{x}{y}, \quad \log \frac{x}{y} = C \frac{\log_2 k}{\theta},$$

(3.11)

$$\xi = \max(y^C, k^C), \quad \Delta = \frac{\log(x/y)}{\log y}$$

und

$$(3.12) \quad X = \sqrt{x}.$$

Insbesondere ist dann wegen (1.4) die Bedingung (2.5) und für  $u = 1 - \lambda$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq \theta/8$ ,  $|v| \leq k/4$  die Bedingung (2.6) erfüllt.

Wir dürfen daher die Siebgleichung von Hilfssatz 5 mit den Hilfssätzen 3, 7, 8 und 9 unter Verwendung des Residuensatzes umformen. Dabei wird (3.10) in Verbindung mit (3.7), (3.8) und (3.9) in den Fällen (3.1) und (3.2) benötigt. Der Vergleich der beiden Hilfssätze 10 und 11 führt nunmehr zu der Abschätzung

$$\int_0^{\theta/8} \left( \sum_{n < X} \frac{1 + \chi_1(n)}{n^{1-\lambda}} A(n) (\log n) x^{-\lambda} + O(x^{-\lambda} (1 + \delta(\log^2 \xi) \log x)) \right) + \\ + O\left( \delta(\log^2 x) \int_1^X \left( \frac{\eta}{x} \right)^\lambda \frac{d\eta}{\eta} \right) d\lambda \\ \ll \Delta^3 \log x + \Delta + \frac{1}{\log x} + \delta \log^2 x,$$

wegen (3.11) und (3.12) erhält man also

$$\sum_{p < X} \frac{1 + \chi_1(p)}{p} \log^2 p \ll \frac{\log_2 k}{\theta} + \delta(\log^3 x + \log^3 k)$$

und damit wegen (1.1) und (1.4) sofort (1.2) bei genügend großem  $c_2$ .

#### Literaturverzeichnis

- [1] S. Knapowski, *On Linnik's theorem concerning exceptional zeros*, Publ. Math. Debrecen (1962), S. 168-178.  
 [2] K. Prachar, *Primzahlverteilung*, Berlin 1957.  
 [3] P. Turán, *Eine neue Methode in der Analysis und deren Anwendungen*, Budapest 1953.  
 [4] — *A note on the real zeros of Dirichlet's L-functions*, Acta Arith. 5 (1959), S. 309-314.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 1. 3. 1969

## On the Siegel formula for ternary skew-hermitian forms

by

S. RAGHAVAN and S. S. RANGACHARI (Bombay)

**§ 1. Introduction.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a simple algebra over an algebraic number field  $k$  and let  $\iota$  be an involution in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is the total-matrix algebra  $\mathfrak{M}_m(\mathfrak{K})$  of  $m$ -rowed matrices over a division-algebra  $\mathfrak{K}$  with an involution  $\xi \rightarrow \tilde{\xi}$  and the involution  $\iota$  takes  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $h^{-1} \cdot \iota \tilde{x} \cdot h$  for a fixed  $m$ -rowed nonsingular matrix  $h$  satisfying the condition  ${}^t h = \eta h$ ,  $\eta = \pm 1$ . Let  $X$  be a left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module of rank  $n$  and let  $G$  be the group of elements  $u$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  for which  $u \cdot u^\iota = 1$ .  $G$  is precisely the group of  $u$  in  $\mathfrak{M}_m(\mathfrak{K})$  for which  ${}^t u \cdot h \cdot u = h$ . Let  $\delta, \delta'$  be the dimensions over  $k$  of  $\mathfrak{K}$  and of the space of elements  $\xi$  in  $\mathfrak{K}$  for which  $\tilde{\xi} = \eta \xi$  and let  $\varepsilon = \delta'/\delta$ . Then for  $m > 2n + 4\varepsilon - 2$ , Weil has proved in [10] that the tempered measure  $\mathcal{E}(\Phi)$  defined by means of the "Eisenstein-Siegel series" on the space  $\mathcal{S}(X_A)$  of Schwartz-Bruhat functions  $\Phi$  associated with the adèle-space  $X_A$  attached to  $X$ , coincides with the tempered measure  $I(\Phi)$  defined by means of the "theta series" associated with  $G$ .

For  $m = 2n + 4\varepsilon - 2$ , the Eisenstein-Siegel series does not make sense, since it does not in general converge absolutely. It has been proved in [4] that when  $n = 1$ ,  $m = 4$ ,  $\varepsilon = 1$ ,  $k = \mathcal{Q}$ , the field of rational numbers, and  $G$  is the orthogonal group of a quadratic form of index not exceeding 1 and with rational integral coefficients, one can define by using a limiting process, an Eisenstein-Siegel series and identify it with the corresponding measure  $I(\Phi)$  defined by means of theta series.

Here we take up the case when  $\mathcal{A}$  is the total matrix-algebra  $\mathfrak{M}_3(\mathcal{D})$  over an indefinite quaternion division algebra  $\mathcal{D}$  with the rational number field  $\mathcal{Q}$  as centre and with an involution  $\sim$  (of the first kind). Let  $h$  be the matrix of a non-degenerate skew-hermitian form defined over  $X$  which is now a vector-space of dimension 3 over  $\mathcal{D}$ . As pointed out earlier, the main difficulty here is that the Eisenstein-Siegel series  $\mathcal{E}(\Phi)$  as defined by Weil [10] does not converge absolutely and we have to modify its definition by following an idea of Hecke and Siegel [5]. However the "theta series"  $I(\Phi)$  makes sense even in this case as shown by Weil [10].

**§ 2. Notation and definitions.**  $D$  stands for an indefinite quaternion division algebra over the field  $Q$  of rational numbers and let  $\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}$  be the involution given in  $D$ . Let  $R (= Q_\infty), Q_p$  denote respectively the field of real numbers and the field of  $p$ -adic numbers (for a prime  $p$ ). We denote  $D \otimes_Q Q_v$  by  $D_v$  for a valuation  $v$  of  $Q$  and denote the discriminant of  $D$  by  $d$ . The involution  $\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}$  of  $D$  extends in an obvious way to  $D_v$ . By  $\sigma_0(\alpha)$  and  $N_0(\alpha)$ , we mean respectively the reduced trace and norm of  $\alpha \in D$ . They also extend to  $D_v$ . For elements  $\alpha \in D$ , we take the representation as two-rowed square matrices with elements in a (real) quadratic extension  $K$  of  $Q$ . If

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then " $\alpha = -\bar{\alpha}$ " is equivalent to the fact that the two-rowed real matrix  $\alpha J$  is symmetric.

Let  $X$  be a left vector space of dimension 3 over  $D$  and  $\mathfrak{O}$ , a maximal order in  $D$ . Let  $f(x)$  be a non-degenerate skew-hermitian form given on  $X$ . Taking the standard lattice  $\mathfrak{O}^3$  in  $X$ , let  $S = (s_{ij})$  be the associated 3-rowed skew-hermitian matrix. We may assume that  $s_{ij} \in \mathfrak{O}$ . Regarding  $S$  as a 6-rowed square matrix with elements in  $K$ , we see that

$$S \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & J \end{pmatrix}$$

is symmetric, where 0 denotes the 2-rowed zero matrix. We denote by  $\delta(S)$  the discriminant of  $S$ .

Let  $N_0(x)$  be the quaternary form derived from the reduced norm  $N_0(x)$ , taking a fixed base of  $\mathfrak{O}$  over the ring  $Z$  of rational integers. We denote by  $D^-$  the set of  $\alpha \in D$  with  $\alpha = -\bar{\alpha}$ . Similarly we define  $D_v^-$ . The restriction of  $N_0(x)$  to  $D^-$  (resp.  $D_v^-$ ) is denoted by  $N_0^-(x)$ . For any order  $\mathfrak{O}_1$  in  $D$ , we set  $\mathfrak{O}_1^- = D^- \cap \mathfrak{O}_1$ .

We observe that since  $D$  is indefinite,  $D \otimes_Q R$  is isomorphic to the algebra  $\mathfrak{M}_2(R)$  of all 2-rowed real matrices. For almost all  $v$ ,  $D_v$  is isomorphic to the algebra  $\mathfrak{M}_2(Q_v)$  of 2-rowed matrices over  $Q_v$ . We reserve the letter  $p$  to denote a non-archimedean prime.

We denote by  $Ps, P$  and  $Mp$ , the pseudo-symplectic group  $Ps(X|\mathcal{A})$ , the parabolic group  $P(X|\mathcal{A})$  and the metaplectic group  $Mp(X|\mathcal{A})$  as defined in [9], respectively. We denote by  $Ps_Q, P_Q$  and  $Mp_Q$ , the  $Q$ -rational points of these groups respectively. Further  $Ps_A, P_A$  and  $Mp_A$  will denote the 'adelizations' of these groups. We denote the 'adelization' of  $X$  by  $X_A$  and the space of Schwartz-Bruhat functions on  $X_A$  by  $\mathcal{S}(X_A)$ .

For a matrix  $Y$ ,  ${}^tY$  and  $\sigma(Y)$  denote the transpose and trace respectively. The ring of integers in  $Q_p$  is denoted by  $Z_p$ . For  $a \in Q_p$ ,  $|a|_p$  is the  $p$ -adic value of  $a$  normalized suitably.

**§ 3. Bessel potentials.** Let  $s$  be a real variable and let  $s > 0$ . For  $X \in \mathfrak{M}_2(R) = D \otimes_Q R$ , we define the Bessel potential  $G_{s,\infty}(X)$  as the function in  $L^1(\mathfrak{M}_2(R))$  whose Fourier transform  $G_{s,\infty}^*(Y)$  is just the function  $(\det(E + {}^tY Y))^{-s}$ . We know from [1], [2] that

- i)  $G_{s,\infty}(X) \geq 0$  for  $X \in D \otimes_Q R$ ,
- ii)  $\int_{\mathfrak{M}_2(R)} G_{s,\infty}(X) dX = 1 = \text{value of } G_{s,\infty}^*(Y) \text{ at } Y = 0$ ,
- iii)  $|G_{s,\infty}(X)| \leq c_1 e^{-c_2(|\lambda_1| + |\lambda_2|)} |\lambda_1 \lambda_2|^{-s}$ , for suitable  $\nu$  and constants  $c_1, c_2$ , where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are the eigenvalues of  $X$  (using 7.3 and (5.7') of [2]).

For the  $p$ -adic completions  $D_p = D \otimes_Q Q_p$  of  $D$ , the Bessel potential  $G_{s,p}(X)$  for real  $s > 0$  is defined as follows. Let for  $Y \in D_p$ , the first elementary divisor  $\lambda(Y)$  be the greatest common divisor of the elements of (the two-rowed matrix representation of)  $Y$  when  $D$  is unramified at  $p$  and the exact power of a generator of the unique prime ideal in  $D_p$  when  $D$  is ramified at  $p$ . We see that  $\lambda(Y)$  is well-defined and in the former case, we see indeed that any  $Y \in D_p$  may be written as  $\lambda(Y) Y_1$  with  $Y_1$  primitive and integral and  $|\lambda(Y)|_p$  is a power of  $p$ . Now  $G_{s,p}(X)$  is defined as that function in  $L^1(D_p)$  whose Fourier transform  $G_{s,p}^*(Y)$  is  $(\text{Max}(1, |\lambda(Y)|_p))^{-s}$ . Then  $G_{s,p}(x)$  has the following properties:

- i)  $G_{s,p}(X) \geq 0$  for  $X \neq 0$  in  $D_p$ ,
- ii)  $\int_{D_p} G_{s,p}(X) dX_p = 1$  for the "normalized measure"  $dX_p$ ,
- iii)  $G_{s,p}(X)$  has support contained in  $\mathfrak{M}_2(Z_p)$  for  $D$  unramified at  $p$  and in the unique maximal order  $\mathfrak{O}_p$  of  $D_p = D \otimes_Q Q_p$  in the case when  $D$  is ramified at  $p$ .

Let  $D_A$  be the adèle-space corresponding to the affine variety  $D$ . Then, for real  $s > 0$  and for  $X \in D_A$ , the Bessel potential  $G_s(X)$  is defined on  $D_A$  as the function in  $L^1(D_A)$  whose Fourier transform  $G_s^*(Y)$  is defined as

$$G_s^*(Y) = \det(E + {}^tY_\infty \cdot Y_\infty)^{-\frac{s}{2}} \prod_p (\text{Max}(1, |\lambda(Y_p)|_p))^{-s}$$

for  $Y = (Y_\infty, \dots, Y_p, \dots) \in D_A$ .

**§ 4. The Eisenstein-Siegel series.** Associated to a Schwartz-Bruhat function  $\phi$  on  $D_A^m$ , the Eisenstein-Siegel series is defined for  $m > 3$ , as follows. Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the algebra of all  $m$ -rowed matrices over  $D$ . Let  $Ps$  and  $P$  denote respectively the pseudo-symplectic group and the parabolic group associated with  $D_Q^m$  considered as an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module of rank 1.

(See [10].) For  $\sigma \in P_Q$ , define  $(r_Q(\sigma)\Phi)(0) = \Phi(0)$  and for  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Ps}_Q$  with  $\gamma \neq 0$ , define

$$(1) \quad (r_Q(\sigma)\Phi)(0) = \int_{D_A^m} \Phi(x^* \gamma) \chi(\frac{1}{2} \gamma \delta f(x^*)) dx_A^*$$

where  $\chi = \prod_v \chi_v$  is the fixed character of  $D_A$  setting it in duality with itself. Then Weil defines the Eisenstein-Siegel series  $E(\Phi)$  associated with  $\Phi$  by

$$(2) \quad E(\Phi) = \sum_{\sigma \in P_Q / P_Q} (r_Q(\sigma)\Phi)(0)$$

where  $\sigma$  runs over a complete set of representatives of the left cosets of  $\text{Ps}_Q$  modulo  $P_Q$ . We may rewrite (2) as

$$E(\Phi) = \Phi(0) + \sum_{i^* \in (D_Q^m)^* = -D_Q^-} F_\Phi^*(i^*)$$

where  $i^*$  runs over all the elements of  $D_Q$  such that  $i^* = -\tilde{i}^*$  and where

$$(3) \quad F_\Phi^*(i^*) = \int_{D_A^m} \Phi(x) \chi(i^* f(x)) dx_A.$$

In (1) and (3),  $dx_A^*$  and  $dx_A$  denote the Tamagawa measure on  $D_A^m$ . The series (2) is known to converge absolutely only for  $m > 3$ . In the case  $m = 3$  which is our concern, we modify the definition of  $E(\Phi)$  by introducing a parameter  $s > 0$ . For real  $s > 0$ , we introduce the series

$$(4) \quad E(\Phi, s) = \Phi(0) + \sum_{i^* \in D_Q^-} F_\Phi^*(i^*) G_s^*(i^*)$$

where for every Schwartz-Bruhat function  $\Phi$  on  $D_A^3$ , the corresponding  $F_\Phi^*$  is defined by (3) and  $i^*$  runs over all the skew-symmetric elements of  $D_Q$ . The convergence of the series (4) may be proved as follows just as in [10]. In fact, let  $\text{Mp}_A$  be the adèle-group corresponding to the metaplectic group  $\text{Mp}$  associated with  $\text{Ps}$ . Let  $\pi$  be the canonical projection from  $\text{Mp}_A$  to the adèle-group  $\text{Ps}_A$  associated with  $\text{Ps}$ . There exists then a function  $f_{\Phi, s}$  on  $\text{Ps}_A$  defined by

$$f_{\Phi, s}(\pi(\mathbf{s})) = (\mathbf{s}\Phi)(0) \lambda_\infty^{-s}(\sigma_\infty) \prod_p |\eta(\gamma, \delta)|_p^{-s}$$

where  $\mathbf{s}$  is an element of  $\text{Mp}_A$  with  $\pi(\mathbf{s}) = (\sigma, f)$  and  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = (\sigma_\infty, \sigma_p, \dots)$  being the "first component" of  $\pi(\mathbf{s})$ ,

$$|\eta(\gamma, \delta)|_p = \begin{cases} |N_0(\gamma)|_p \text{Max}(1, |\lambda(\gamma^{-1}\delta)|_p) & \text{if } D_p \simeq \mathfrak{M}_2(\mathcal{O}_p), \\ |\gamma|_p \text{Max}(1, |\lambda(\gamma^{-1}\delta)|_p) & \text{if } D \text{ is ramified at } p. \end{cases}$$

For non-archimedean primes  $p$ ,  $\lambda(\gamma^{-1}\delta)$  is as defined on page 329 and  $\lambda_\infty(\sigma_\infty)$  is the product of the two simple roots  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2^{-1}$  and  $\lambda_2^2$  of  $\sigma_\infty$  regarded as an element of  $\text{Sp}(4, \mathbf{R})$ . For any  $p \in P_A$ , we have

$$f_{\Phi, s}(p\pi(\mathbf{s})) = \psi(p) |\mu|_A^{-s}$$

where  $\psi(p) = |\mu|_A^{-1/2}$  for  $p$  of the form  $t(q)d(\mu)$  with  $t(q), d(\mu)$  having their "first components"  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \mu^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$  respectively. We apply the Godement criterion for the convergence of Eisenstein series as in [10], in order to conclude that the series  $E(\Phi, s)$  defined by (4) converges absolutely for real  $s > 0$ , uniformly for  $\Phi$  lying in a compact subset of  $\mathcal{S}(D_A^3)$ .

It is now immediate that the series

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i^* \in D_Q^-} F_\Phi^*(g^* + i^*) G_s^*(g^* + i^*)$$

converges absolutely for  $s > 0$  and uniformly for  $g^*$  and  $\Phi$  lying in compact subsets of  $D_A$  and  $\mathcal{S}(D_A^3)$  respectively. In fact, for all  $i^* \in D_Q^-$ , we have

$$(6) \quad c_3 \leq \frac{G_s^*(g^* + i^*)}{G_s^*(i^*)} \leq c_4$$

for suitable constants  $c_3, c_4$  depending only on  $s$  and the compact set to which  $g^*$  belongs. This results from the existence of constants  $c_5, c_6$  such that for  $X \in D_Q^-$ ,

$$c_5 \leq \det(E + (X + Y)^2)^{-s} (\det(E + X^2))^s \leq c_6,$$

provided that  $Y$  lies in a compact set of  $D_A^-$ . Further

$$F_\Phi^*(g^* + i^*) = F_{\Phi_{g^*}}^*(i^*), \quad \text{where } \Phi_{g^*}(x) = \Phi(x) \chi(g^* f(x)).$$

A similar result holds also for the Bessel potential  $G_{s, p}^*$  and the inequalities (6) are immediate. Now when  $\Phi$  and  $g^*$  lie in compact subsets of  $\mathcal{S}(D_A^3)$  and  $D_A$  respectively, so does  $\Phi_{g^*}$  and hence the convergence of the series is a consequence of the uniform convergence of  $E(\Phi, s)$ .

Remark 1. Denoting  $F_\Phi^*(g^*) G_s^*(g^*)$  by  $F_{\Phi, s}^*(g^*)$  for  $g^* \in D_A$ , we have just seen that  $\sum_{i^* \in D_Q^-} |F_{\Phi, s}^*(g^* + i^*)|$  converges uniformly for  $\Phi$

and  $g^*$  lying in compact subsets of  $\mathcal{S}(D_A^3)$  and  $D_A$  respectively. By the same arguments as in [10], we see that  $F_{\Phi, s}^*(g^*) \in L_1(D_A)$  for every  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(D_A^3)$ .



**§ 5. A Poisson summation formula.** Our object is to define the Eisenstein-Siegel series  $E(\Phi)$  as  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} E(\Phi, s)$  for every  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{D}_A^3)$  if it exists. In order to study the behaviour of  $E(\Phi, s)$  as  $s$  tends to 0 from above, we need to prove a Poisson summation formula, as in [10]. It suffices to assume that  $0 < s < 1$ . Let  $\mathbf{D}_A^-$  denote the adèle-space corresponding to  $\mathbf{D}_Q^-$  and  $d^-x_A$  the canonical measure on  $\mathbf{D}_A^-$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $W$  be a compact neighbourhood of  $\mathbf{0}$  in  $\mathbf{D}_A^-$  and let  $\varphi_W$  be a non-negative continuous function on  $\mathbf{D}_A^-$  with support contained in  $W$  such that  $\int \varphi_W(x) d^-x_A = 1$ . For  $g, h \in \mathbf{D}_A^-$ , define*

$$t_W(h) = (\tilde{\varphi}_W * G_s * \delta_{(g)} * \varphi_W)(h)$$

where  $*$  denotes the convolution-product in  $L_1(\mathbf{D}_A^-)$  and  $\delta_{(g)}$  stands for the Dirac distribution with mass 1 at  $g$ . For  $\Phi \in L_1((\mathbf{D}_A^-)^3)$ , if the integral

$$S(\Phi, g) = \int_{\mathbf{D}_A^-} F_\Phi^*(-g^*) G_s^*(g^*) \chi(-gg^*) d^-g_A^*$$

converges absolutely, then

$$(7) \quad S(\Phi, g) = \lim_{W \rightarrow \{0\}} \int_{\mathbf{D}_A^3} \Phi(x) t_W(f(x)) dx_A$$

the limit being taken over a filter of neighbourhoods  $W$  of  $\mathbf{0}$  in  $\mathbf{D}_A^-$ . If  $S(\Phi, g)$  converges uniformly for  $\Phi$  lying in a bounded subset of  $L_1((\mathbf{D}_A^-)^3)$ , then the limit taken over  $W$  is also uniform on that bounded subset.

**Proof.** The proposition is a consequence of Lemma 1 of Weil [10], by taking  $\mathbf{D}_A^-$  and  $(\mathbf{D}_A^-)^3$  for  $G$  and  $X$  respectively and  $\tau(g^*) = G_s^*(g^*) \times \chi(-gg^*)$ . In view of Remark 1, the conditions of the proposition are fulfilled for  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}((\mathbf{D}_A^-)^3)$  and we have therefore the expression (7) for  $S(\Phi, g)$  as a limit with respect to  $W$ .

Denoting  $S(\Phi, g)$  as  $F_{\Phi, s}(g)$ , this is, by definition, the value at  $g$  of the Fourier transform of  $F_{\Phi, s}^*(g^*)$ . Now clearly  $F_{\Phi, s}(g)$  is continuous, bounded and non-negative for non-negative functions  $\Phi$  in  $\mathcal{S}((\mathbf{D}_A^-)^3)$ . Hence  $F_{\Phi, s}^*(g^*)$  is a continuous function of positive type and is the Fourier transform of a bounded positive measure, which is nothing but  $F_{\Phi, s}(g)$ . Hence  $F_{\Phi, s}(g)$  is in  $L_1(\mathbf{D}_A^-)$ . Now any  $\Phi$  in  $\mathcal{S}((\mathbf{D}_A^-)^3)$  may be written as the difference of two non-negative functions in  $\mathcal{S}((\mathbf{D}_A^-)^3)$  so that  $F_{\Phi, s}(g)$  is in  $L_1(\mathbf{D}_A^-)$  for every  $\Phi$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{D}_A^3)$ . Thus  $F_{\Phi, s}^*(g^*)$  is the Fourier transform of  $F_{\Phi, s}$  for every  $\Phi$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{D}_A^3)$ .

**Remark 2.** As  $s$  tends to  $\mathbf{0}$ ,  $F_{\Phi, s}$  tends to  $F_\Phi^*$  so that  $F_{\Phi, s}$  tends to  $F_\Phi$ . From [10], we know however that  $F_\Phi(\gamma) = \int \Phi d\mu_\gamma$  with support of the measure  $\mu_\gamma$  being contained in  $f^{-1}(\{\gamma\})$ .

**Remark 3.** If  $\Phi(x) = \prod_v \Phi_v(x_v)$  with  $\Phi_v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{D}_v^3)$ , then we see that

$$F_{\Phi, s}(g) = \prod_v F_{\Phi_v, s}(g_v) \quad \text{for } g = (g_v),$$

where

$$F_{\Phi_v, s}(g_v) = \int_{\mathbf{D}_v^-} F_{\Phi_v}^*(-g_v^*) G_{s, v}^*(g_v^*) \chi_v(-g_v g_v^*) d g_v^*.$$

Applying Lemma 1 of Weil [10], to

$$G = \mathbf{D}_v^-, \quad \chi = \chi_v \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(g_v^*) = G_{s, v}^*(g_v^*) \chi_v(-g_v g_v^*),$$

we see that

$$F_{\Phi_v, s}(g_v) = \lim_{W_v \rightarrow \{0\}} \int_{\mathbf{D}_v^3} \Phi_v(x_v) t_{W_v}(f(x_v)) dx_v$$

the limit being taken over compact neighbourhoods  $W_v$  tending to  $\mathbf{0}$ .

We shall compute  $F_{\Phi_v, s}(g_v)$  explicitly, for a special function  $\Phi_p$ , namely, the characteristic function  $\varphi_p$  of a lattice  $L_p$  in  $\mathbf{D}_p^3$ . If  $\mathfrak{O}_p$  denotes the (standard) maximal order in  $\mathbf{D}_p$ , we assume that the given skew-hermitian form  $f(x)$  has on  $L_p$  its values in  $\mathfrak{O}_p$  and we denote  $F_{\Phi_p, s}(g_p)$  by  $b_p(s, g_p)$ . We further suppose that  $\chi_p(g_p) = e^{2\pi i \langle \sigma_0(g_p) \rangle}$  for  $g_p \in \mathbf{D}_p$ ; here  $\sigma_0$  denotes the reduced trace from  $\mathbf{D}_p$  to  $\mathfrak{Q}_p$  and for any  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{Q}_p$ ,  $\langle \alpha \rangle$  denotes its "principal part". For a lattice  $M$ , let  $M'$  denote its  $\chi_p$ -dual.

We choose a special filter of neighbourhoods  $W_p$ , namely  $W_p = p^{n_p} \mathfrak{M}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  if  $\mathbf{D}$  is unramified at  $p$  and  $W_p = p^{n_p} \mathfrak{O}_p$  if  $\mathbf{D}_p$  is a division algebra with  $\mathfrak{O}_p$  as its unique maximal order. We may then set  $\varphi_{W_p}(g_p) = p^{3n_p}$  or  $\mathbf{0}$  according as  $g_p$  is in  $W_p$  or not. The Fourier transform  $\varphi_{W_p}^*$  of  $\varphi_{W_p}$  has support contained in  $p^{-n_p} \mathfrak{M}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  or  $p^{-n_p} \mathfrak{O}_p'$  according as  $\mathbf{D}$  is unramified or ramified at  $p$ . We have therefore

$$\begin{aligned} t_{W_p}(f(x_p) + g_p) &= \int_{\mathbf{D}_p^-} \varphi_{W_p}^*(g_p^*) \chi_p(g_p^*(f(x_p) + g_p)) (\text{Max}(1, |\lambda(g_p^*)|_p))^{-s} d^-g_p^* \\ &= \int_{p^{-n_p} \mathfrak{O}_p'} \chi_p(g_p^*(f(x_p) + g_p)) (\text{Max}(1, |\lambda(g_p^*)|_p))^{-s} d^-g_p^*, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{\mathfrak{O}}_p = \mathbf{D}_p^- \cap \mathfrak{M}_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  or  $\mathbf{D}_p^- \cap \mathfrak{O}_p'$  and in the sequel  $e_p$  is the measure of  $\hat{\mathfrak{O}}_p$ .

Hence writing

$$p^{-n_p} \hat{\mathfrak{O}}_p = \hat{\mathfrak{O}}_p \bigcup_{v=1}^{n_p} p^{-v} (\hat{\mathfrak{O}}_p - p \hat{\mathfrak{O}}_p)$$





we see that

$$\begin{aligned} t_{W_p}(f(x_p) + g_p) &= \sum_{v=1}^{n_p} p^{-3vs} \int_{p^{-v}(\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_p - p\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_p)} + \dots + \int_{\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_p} \chi_p(g_p^*(f(x_p) + g_p)) d^- g_p^* \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{n_p} p^{-3vs} \left( \int_{p^{-v}\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_p} - \int_{p^{-(v-1)}\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_p} \right) + \begin{cases} \varrho_p, & \text{if } f(x_p) + g_p \in \mathfrak{D} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{n_p} p^{-3vs} \left[ p^{3v} \int_{\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_p} \chi_p \left( \frac{g_p^*}{p^v} (f(x_p) + g_p) \right) d^- g_p^* - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - p^{3(v-1)} \int_{\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_p} \chi_p (p^{-(v-1)} g_p^* (f(x_p) + g_p)) d^- g_p^* \right] + \begin{cases} \varrho_p \\ 0 \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $f(x_p) + g_p \notin \hat{\mathfrak{D}}_p$ , then  $t_{W_p}(f(x_p) + g_p) = 0$ . We may therefore assume that  $f(x_p) + g_p \in \hat{\mathfrak{D}}_p$ , i.e.  $g_p \in \hat{\mathfrak{D}}_p$ . Denoting  $\int_{L_p} dx_p$  by  $m(L_p)$ , we

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathfrak{D}_p^3} \Phi_p(x_p) t_{W_p}(f(x_p) + g_p) dx_p \\ &= \int_{L_p} \left[ 1 + \sum_{v=1}^{n_p} p^{-3vs} \left\{ p^{3v} \int_{\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_p} \chi_p \left( \frac{g_p^*}{p^v} (f(x_p) + g_p) \right) d^- g_p^* - \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - p^{3(v-1)} \int_{\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_p} \chi_p \left( \frac{g_p^*}{p^{v-1}} (f(x_p) + g_p) \right) d^- g_p^* \right\} \right] \\ &= m(L_p) \left[ 1 + \sum_{v=1}^{n_p} p^{-3vs} \left\{ p^{3v} p^{-12v} \sum_{x_p \in L_p \bmod p^v L_p} \int_{\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_p} \chi_p (p^{-v} g_p^* (f(x_p) + g_p)) d^- \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - p^{3(v-1)} p^{-12(v-1)} \sum_{x_p \in L_p \bmod p^{v-1} L_p} \int_{\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_p} \chi_p (p^{-(v-1)} g_p^* (f(x_p) + g_p)) d^- \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

For  $x_p$  in  $L_p$  modulo  $p^v L_p$ , we have

$$\int_{\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_p} \chi_p (p^{-v} g_p^* (f(x_p) + g_p)) d^- g_p^* = \begin{cases} \varrho_p, & \text{if } f(x_p) + g_p \in p^v \hat{\mathfrak{D}}_p \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

since  $\chi_p$  is a non-trivial character of  $\mathfrak{D}_p$ .

Denote by  $A_{p^v, L_p}(f(x), \mu)$  the number of distinct  $x_p$  in  $L_p$  mod  $p^v L_p$  for which  $f(x) - \mu \in p^v \hat{\mathfrak{D}}_p$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\varrho_p^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{D}_p^3} \Phi_p(x_p) t_{W_p}(f(x_p) + g_p) dx_p \\ &= m(L_p) \left[ 1 + \sum_{v=1}^{n_p} p^{-3vs} \{ D_{p^v, L_p}(f(x_p), -g_p) - D_{p^{v-1}, L_p}(f(x_p), -g_p) \} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where, by definition, for  $v \geq 0$

$$(8) \quad D_{p^v, L_p}(f(x_p), -g_p) = p^{-9v} A_{p^v, L_p}(f(x_p), -g_p).$$

Setting

$$B_{p^v, L_p} = B_{p^v, L_p}(f(x_p), -g_p) = D_{p^v, L_p}(f(x_p), -g_p) - D_{p^{v-1}, L_p}(f(x_p), -g_p),$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{\varrho_p m(L_p)} \int_{\mathfrak{D}_p^3} \Phi_p(x_p) t_{W_p}(f(x_p) + g_p) dx_p = 1 + \sum_{v=1}^{n_p} p^{-3vs} B_{p^v, L_p}(f(x_p), -g_p).$$

We see then that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{W_p \rightarrow \{0\}} \int_{\mathfrak{D}_p^3} \Phi_p(x_p) t_{W_p}(f(x_p) + g_p) dx_p &= \lim_{n_p \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathfrak{D}_p^3} \Phi_p(x_p) t_{p^{n_p} \hat{\mathfrak{D}}_p}(f(x_p) + g_p) dx_p \\ &= \varrho_p m(L_p) b_{L_p}(s, -g_p) \end{aligned}$$

where  $b_{L_p}(s, -g_p) = 1 + \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} p^{-3vs} B_{p^v, L_p}(f(x_p), -g_p)$ .

Referred to a base of  $\mathfrak{D}^3$  in  $\mathfrak{D}^3$ , let the skew-hermitian form  $f(x)$  be represented by the matrix  $S$  with elements in a maximal order  $\mathfrak{O}$  of  $D$ . Denote by  $D = D(\Phi, f)$  the product of 2, odd primes  $p$  dividing  $\delta(S)$ , odd primes  $p$  for which  $\Phi_p$  is not the characteristic function of the standard lattice  $\mathfrak{D}_p^3$  in  $\mathfrak{D}_p^3$  and the odd primes  $p$  over which  $D$  is ramified. Then for a prime  $p$  not dividing  $D_1 = N_0(g)D$ , we can show that  $B_{p^v, \mathfrak{D}_p^3}(f(x_p), -g) = 0$  for  $v > 1$  and further that

$$B_{p, \mathfrak{D}_p^3}(f(x_p), -g) = - \left( \frac{N_0(g)}{p} \right) p^{-5} - \left( \frac{\delta(S)}{p} \right) \left( 1 + \left( \frac{N_0(g)}{p} \right) p \right) p^{-3}.$$

Further, we observe that there exists an integer  $D_2$  divisible by  $D_1$  such that for a prime  $p$  not dividing  $D_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad b_{L_p}(s, -g) &= 1 - p^{-3s} \left[ \left( \frac{N_0(g)}{p} \right) p^{-5} + \left( \frac{\delta(S)}{p} \right) p^{-3} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{N_0(g)}{p} \right) p \right) \right] \\ &= 1 - p^{-5-3s} \left( \frac{N_0(g)}{p} \right) - \left( \frac{\delta(S)}{p} \right) p^{-3-3s} - \left( \frac{N_0(g) \delta(S)}{p} \right) p^{-2-3s}. \end{aligned}$$

For functions  $\Phi = \prod_v \Phi_v(x_v)$  in  $\mathcal{S}(D_A^3)$  with  $\Phi_p$  equal to the characteristic function of  $\mathfrak{D}_p^3$ , we have then for  $g \neq 0$  in  $\mathfrak{D}^-$  that,

$$(10) \quad F_{\mathfrak{O}, s}(g) = \prod_{p|D_1} b_{L_p}(s, -g) \prod_{p \nmid D_1} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\delta(S) N_0(g)}{p} \right) p^{-2-3s} + O(p^{-3s}) \right)$$

by choosing  $W_p = p^{n_p} \mathfrak{D}_p$  and  $n_p = -\log(|n|_p) / \log p$  and taking the limit as  $n$  tends to infinity.



If  $g = 0$  and if  $p$  is a prime not dividing a suitable multiple  $D_p$  of  $D$  we can show that

$$(11) \quad |B_{p^v, \mathfrak{D}_p^3}(f(x), 0)| \leq p^{-(v-1/4)}, \quad v \geq 2$$

and that

$$B_{p, \mathfrak{D}_p^3}(f(x), 0) = p^{-\delta}(p^3 - p^2) + \left(\frac{-\delta(S)}{p}\right) p^{-3}(p^2 - 1).$$

Now

$$(12) \quad b_{L_p}(s, 0) = 1 + p^{-(1+s)} \left(\frac{-\delta(S)}{p}\right) + p^{-(3+s)} - p^{-(4+s)} - \left(\frac{-\delta(S)}{p}\right) p^{-(3+s)} + M_p(s)$$

where  $|M_p(s)| \leq \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} p^{-(v-1/4)+v|s|} \leq p^{-3/2}$  for small  $s$ . Thus, from (12) we see that  $\prod_{p|D_3} b_{L_p}(s, 0)$  converges absolutely for  $s > 0$ , provided that  $-\delta(S)$  is not a square.

It remains then to consider the case when  $-\delta(S)$  is a square. In this case  $f(x)$  cannot be a zero-form (i.e.  $f(x)$  cannot represent 0 non-trivially); for, if it did, there would exist  $\lambda = -\bar{\lambda} \neq 0$  such that  $\delta(S) \in N_0(\lambda) \mathfrak{Q}^{*2}$ , i.e.  $N_0(\lambda) = -a^2$  for some  $a$  in  $\mathfrak{Q}^*$  since  $-\delta(S) \in \mathfrak{Q}^*$  i.e.  $\lambda^2 = -N_0(\lambda) = a^2$ , i.e.  $\lambda = \pm a \in \mathfrak{Q}^*$  contradicting the fact that  $\lambda = -\bar{\lambda} \neq 0$ . We now observe that  $f(x)$  represents 0 non-trivially in  $\mathfrak{D}_p^3$  if and only if there exists  $\lambda = -\bar{\lambda} \neq 0$  in  $\mathfrak{D}_p$  such that  $N_0(\lambda) = \delta(S)$ . Hence the set of primes  $p$  for which  $f(x)$  fails to represent zero in  $\mathfrak{L}$  is precisely the set of primes at which the norm-form  $N_0(x)$  of  $\mathfrak{D}$  fails to represent zero in  $\mathfrak{D}_p$ . (In view of  $-\delta(S)$  being a square, the norm form  $N_0(x)$  is just  $N_0^-(y) - \delta(S)x_0^2$ , writing  $x = x_0 + y$  with  $x_0 \in \mathfrak{Q}$ .) Thus the set of primes  $p$  for which  $f(x)$  does not represent 0 over  $\mathfrak{D}_p^3$  is even in number. (In the special case when  $-\delta(S)$  is a square, the Hasse Theorem for  $f(x)$  is just the Hasse-Brauer theorem for  $\mathfrak{D}$ .) Now  $\mathfrak{D}$  is a division algebra so that the number of primes  $p$  for which  $f(x)$  fails to represent in  $\mathfrak{D}_p^3$  is at least two. Let  $p_0, p_1$  be two primes such that  $f(x)$  does not represent 0 in  $\mathfrak{D}_{p_0}^3$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_{p_1}^3$ . Then, in view of Remark 2, we see that

$$\text{Lt}_{s \rightarrow 0} b_{p_i}(s, 0) = \text{Lt}_{s \rightarrow 0} F_{\mathfrak{D}_{p_i}, s}(0) = F_{\mathfrak{D}_{p_i}}(0) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1.$$

Now we see that

$$(13) \quad F_{\mathfrak{D}, s}(0) = b_{\infty}(s, 0) \zeta(s+1) \prod_{p|D_3} \{b_{L_p}(s, 0)(1 - p^{-(1+s)})\} \times \prod_{p \nmid D_3} (1 - p^{-(1+s)})(1 + p^{-(1+s)} - p^{-(4+s)} + M_p(s)) = b_{\infty}(s, 0) \zeta(s+1) \prod_{p|D_3} \{b_{L_p}(s, 0)(1 - p^{-(1+s)})\} \prod_{p \nmid D_3} (1 - p^{-(2+2s)} - p^{-(4+s)} + \dots$$

where  $\zeta(s)$  denotes the Riemann zeta function.

Since  $\mathfrak{D}$  is an indefinite quaternion algebra,  $N_0(x)$  represents 0 in  $\mathfrak{R}^3$  and hence by our remarks above,  $f(x)$  represents 0 in  $\mathfrak{D}_{\infty}^3$ . Hence we may assume that  $p_0$  and  $p_1$  are non-archimedean primes. Now, by (13)

$$F_{\mathfrak{D}, s}(0) = b_{\infty}(s, 0) b_{L_{p_0}}(s, 0) \zeta(s+1) (1 - p_0^{-(1+s)}) \times \prod_{p \nmid D_3} (1 - p^{-(2+2s)} - \dots) \prod_{\substack{p \nmid p_0 \\ p|D_3}} \{(1 - p^{-(1+s)}) b_{L_p}(s, 0)\}.$$

Since  $b_{L_{p_0}}(s, 0)$  vanishes at  $s = 0$ ,  $F_{\mathfrak{D}, s}(0)$  is regular at  $s = 0$ . Furthermore,

$$F_{\mathfrak{D}, s}(0) = b_{\infty}(s, 0) (1 - p_0^{-3s}) (1 + D_{p_0} p_0^{-3s} + \dots) (1/s + \gamma + \dots) \times (c_0 + c_1 s + \dots) \prod_{\substack{p|D_3 \\ p \neq p_0}} \{(1 - p^{-(1+s)}) b_{L_p}(s, 0)\}$$

has at  $s = 0$ , the constant term  $c \prod_{\substack{p|D_3 \\ p \neq p_0}} b_{L_p}(0, 0)$  and since  $b_{L_{p_1}}(0, 0) = 0$ , it follows that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} F_{\mathfrak{D}, s}(0) = 0.$$

PROPOSITION 2. For any  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{A}}^3)$  and for  $s > 0$ , let

$$\hat{S}(\Phi) = \int_{(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{A}}^- / \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{Q}})^* - \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^-} F_{\mathfrak{D}}^*(-\gamma^*) G_s^*(\gamma^*) d\gamma^* = \sum_{\gamma^* \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^-} F_{\mathfrak{D}}^*(-\gamma^*) G_s^*(\gamma^*).$$

(This series converges absolutely, uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{A}}^3)$ .)

Then  $\hat{S}$  defines a tempered measure on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{A}}^3)$  and further

$$\hat{S}(\Phi) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^-} F_{\mathfrak{D}, s}(\gamma).$$

Before we prove Proposition 2, we need to introduce some notation. For  $x$  in  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{A}}^-$ , denote by  $\hat{x}$  the image of  $x$  under the homomorphism  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{A}}^- \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{A}}^- / \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^-$  (which may be identified with  $(A_{\mathfrak{Q}} / \mathfrak{Q})^3$ ). Corresponding to the Bessel potential  $G_s$  on  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{A}}^-$ , we define its "periodization"  $\hat{G}_s$  on  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{A}}^- / \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^-$  as a distribution by the "scalar product formula",

$$(14) \quad \hat{G}_s(\hat{\varphi}) = (\hat{G}_s^*, \hat{\varphi}^*)_{\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^-} = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^-} G_s^*(\gamma) \varphi^*(\gamma)$$

where  $\varphi$  is any function in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{A}}^-)$  with compact support and  $\hat{\varphi}(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^-} \varphi(g + \gamma)$  for any  $g \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{A}}^-$ . Since  $\varphi_p$  is the characteristic function of  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^-$  for almost all primes  $p$ , the summation over  $\gamma$  on the right hand side of (14) is carried out essentially over the elements of  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{A}}^- \cap \mathfrak{D}^-$  for



an order  $\mathfrak{D}_1$  in  $\mathbf{D}_Q$ . The convergence of the series on the right hand side may be verified as follows:

$$\left| \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbf{D}_Q^-} G_s^*(\gamma) \varphi^*(\gamma) \right| = \left| \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_1 \cap \mathbf{D}^-} G_{s,\infty}^*(\gamma) \left( \prod_p G_{s,p}^*(\gamma) \varphi_p^*(\gamma) \right) \varphi_\infty^*(\gamma) \right|$$

$$= a' \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_1 \cap \mathbf{D}^-} G_{s,\infty}^*(\gamma) \varphi_\infty^*(\gamma),$$

since  $G_{s,p}^*(\gamma) \leq 1$  and  $G_{s,p}^*(\gamma) = 1$  for almost all  $p$ . Now since  $\varphi_\infty \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{D}_\infty)$  we know that, for any  $\beta > 0$ ,  $|\varphi_\infty^*(\gamma)| \leq c(\beta)(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-\beta}$  where  $\gamma = x_1\omega_1 + x_2\omega_2 + x_3\omega_3$ . Here  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$  is a  $Q$ -base of  $\mathbf{D}^-$ ,  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  being rational numbers with bounded denominator  $d$  (uniform for all  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_1 \cap \mathbf{D}^-$ ). Since

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_1 \cap \mathbf{D}^-} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{-\beta} (1 + \lambda(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2))^{-s} < c_7 d^{-\beta} \zeta^3(2\beta) < \infty,$$

our assertion is true.

For any  $g \in \mathbf{D}_A^- / \mathbf{D}_Q^-$ , we define the distribution  $t_W(g) = (\tilde{\varphi}_W * G_s * \varphi_W)(g)$  where  $W$  is a compact neighbourhood of 0 in  $\mathbf{D}_A^-$  such that for any two distinct  $x, y$  in  $W$ ,  $x - y$  lies in  $\mathbf{D}_Q^-$ . We take  $\dot{W} = W + \mathbf{D}_Q$ . Let  $\varphi_1$  be a non-negative continuous function with support contained in  $W$  and  $\int_{\mathbf{D}_A^-} \varphi_1 d^{-x_A} = 1$ . Then  $\tilde{\varphi}_W(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbf{D}_Q^-} \varphi_W(g + \gamma)$ . Now  $t_W(g)$  is a continuous function on  $\mathbf{D}_A^- / \mathbf{D}_Q^-$  with the Fourier coefficient

$$c_\gamma = \int_{\mathbf{D}_A^- / \mathbf{D}_Q^-} t_W(g) \chi(g\gamma) d g_A^- = |\varphi_W^*(\gamma)|^2 G_s^*(\gamma).$$

For every non-archimedean prime  $p$ , we know that  $\tilde{\varphi}_W * G_s * \varphi_W$  has support contained in  $\mathfrak{D}_p^-$  (choosing  $W_p = p^{n_p} \mathfrak{D}_p$  as before). Hence in the series  $\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbf{D}_Q^-} (\tilde{\varphi}_W * G_s * \varphi_W)(g + \gamma)$ , the summation is over the elements of  $\mathfrak{D}_1 \cap \mathbf{D}^-$  for an order  $\mathfrak{D}_1$  in  $\mathbf{D}$ . Further

$$(\tilde{\varphi}_W * G_{s,\infty} * \varphi_{W_\infty})(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} (\tilde{\varphi}_W * \varphi_{W_\infty})(y) G_{s,\infty}(x - y) dy$$

$$= \int_{W_\infty^{(1)}} (\tilde{\varphi}_W * \varphi_{W_\infty})(y) G_{s,\infty}(x - y) dy$$

where  $W_\infty^{(1)}$  = support of  $\tilde{\varphi}_W * \varphi_{W_\infty}$ . For  $|x| \geq 2c_7$  (depending only on  $W_\infty^{(1)}$ ), we know that  $G_{s,\infty}(x) \leq c_8 e^{-c_9|x|^\infty}$  so that

$$(15) \quad |(\tilde{\varphi}_W * G_{s,\infty} * \varphi_{W_\infty})(x)| \leq c_{10} e^{-c_{11}|x|^\infty} \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 2c_7.$$

(If  $x = x_1\omega_1 + x_2\omega_2 + x_3\omega_3$ , then  $|x|_\infty^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$  and  $\frac{1}{2}\sigma(x) = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2$  where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are the eigenvalues of  $xJ^{-1}$ ). Hence the series

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbf{D}_Q^-} (\tilde{\varphi}_W * G_s * \varphi_W)(g + \gamma)$$

is majorized by

$$c_{12} \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{-c_{13}(n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2)} d^{-1\mathbf{Z}}$$

for a suitable integer  $d = d(\mathfrak{D}^-)$  and uniformly for  $g$  lying in a compact subset of  $\mathbf{D}_A^-$ . It converges to a continuous function on  $\mathbf{D}_A^- / \mathbf{D}_Q^-$  whose Fourier coefficients are given by

$$c'_\gamma = \int_{\mathbf{D}_A^- / \mathbf{D}_Q^-} \sum_{\gamma_1 \in \mathbf{D}_Q^-} (\tilde{\varphi}_W * G_s * \varphi_W)(g + \gamma_1) \chi(g\gamma_1) d^{-g_A}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbf{D}_A^-} (\tilde{\varphi}_W * G_s * \varphi_W)(g) \chi(g\gamma) d^{-g_A}$$

in view of the uniform convergence of the series  $\sum_{\gamma_1}$ , i.e.

$$c'_\gamma = |\varphi_W^*(\gamma)|^2 G_s^*(\gamma) = c_\gamma.$$

Thus we obtain

$$t_W(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbf{D}_Q^-} (\tilde{\varphi}_W * G_s * \varphi_W)(g + \gamma).$$

Proof of Proposition 2. Applying Lemma 1 of Weil [10] to the case where  $G = \mathbf{D}_A^- / \mathbf{D}_Q^-$ ,  $X = \mathbf{D}_A^3$  and  $\tau(g^*) = G_s^*(g^*)$ , we get

$$\dot{S}(\Phi) = \lim_{W \rightarrow \{0\}} \int_{\mathbf{D}_A^3} \Phi(x) t_W(\widehat{f(x)}) dx_A,$$

the limit being taken over compact neighbourhoods  $W$  of 0 in  $\mathbf{D}_A^- / \mathbf{D}_Q^-$ . We now assume  $\Phi$  to have compact support  $C$  in  $\mathbf{D}_A^3$  so that  $f(C)$  is again compact. Since the series

$$E(\Phi, s) = \Phi(0) + \sum_{i \in \mathbf{D}_Q^-} F_\Phi^*(i^*) G_s^*(i^*)$$

converges uniformly on  $f(C)$ , we have

$$(16) \quad \dot{S}(\Phi) = \lim_{W \rightarrow \{0\}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbf{D}_Q^-} \int_{\mathbf{D}_A^3} \Phi(x) t_W(f(x) + \gamma) dx_A.$$



Since  $\Phi_p$  has compact support  $C_p$ , only those  $\gamma$  for which  $f(C_p) + \gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_p^- \cap \mathfrak{D}_p$  for every  $p$  would give a non-zero contribution to  $\hat{S}(\Phi)$  so that the summation in the series (16) over  $\gamma$  is just over the elements of  $\mathfrak{D}_1 \cap \mathfrak{D}^-$  for an order  $\mathfrak{D}_1$  in  $\mathfrak{D}_Q$ . Using the estimate (15) for  $t_{W\infty}(f(x) + \gamma)$  we see that

$$\left| \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_Q^-} \int \Phi(x) t_{W\infty}(f(x) + \gamma) dx_A \right| \leq c_{14} \|\Phi\|_1 \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_1 \cap \mathfrak{D}^-} e^{-c_{15}|\gamma|_\infty},$$

where  $\|\Phi\|_1$  is the norm of  $\Phi$  in  $L_1(\mathfrak{D}_A^3)$ . Thus the series

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_Q^-} \int \Phi(x) t_{W\infty}(f(x) + \gamma) dx_A$$

converges uniformly with respect to  $W$  and we may interchange in the series above for  $\hat{S}(\Phi)$ , the order of the summation over  $\gamma$  and the process of taking the limit over  $W$  so that

$$\hat{S}(\Phi) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_Q^-} \lim_{W \rightarrow (0)} \int \Phi(x) t_W(f(x) + \gamma) dx_A = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_Q^-} F_{\Phi, s}(\gamma).$$

Hence, for functions  $\Phi$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{D}_A^3)$  with compact support,

$$\hat{S}(\Phi) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_Q^-} F_{\Phi, s}(\gamma).$$

In other words, they coincide as measures. But  $\hat{S}$  is a tempered distribution and for  $\Phi \geq 0$ , we know that  $\hat{S}(\Phi) \geq 0$  so that for all non-negative  $\Phi$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{D}_A^3)$ , we may conclude the absolute convergence of the series  $\sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_Q^-} F_{\Phi, s}(\gamma)$  and hence for all  $\Phi$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{D}_A^3)$ .

PROPOSITION 3. For  $\Phi$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{D}_A^3)$  the series  $\sum_{0 \neq \gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_Q^-} F_{\Phi, s}(\gamma)$  converges uniformly for  $s$  lying in the interval  $[0, 1]$  and also for  $\Phi$  lying in a compact subset of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{D}_A^3)$ .

Proof. Let  $\Phi = \prod_p \Phi_p(x_p)$  where  $\Phi_p$  is the characteristic function of  $\mathfrak{D}_p^3$  for almost all primes  $p$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}$  being an order in  $\mathfrak{D}$ . We take  $D_2$  divisible by  $D$  and  $N_0(\gamma)$  as on p. 335. Then using formula (9) for  $F_{\Phi_p, s}(c) = b_{L_p}(s, -\gamma)$  for  $p \nmid D_2$ , we have

$$\left| \prod_{p \nmid D_2} F_{\Phi_p, s}(\gamma) \right| \leq \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n, D_2)=1}}^{\infty} n^{-2} < c_{16}.$$

We now take primes  $q$  dividing  $D_2$ . In this case

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\Phi_q, s}(\gamma) &= b_{L_q}(s, \gamma) = 1 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} B_{q^\nu, L_q}(f(x), -\gamma), \\ B_{q^\nu, L_q}(f(x), -\gamma) &= D_{q^\nu} - D_{q^{\nu-1}} = q^{-9\nu} A_{q^\nu, L_q} - q^{-9(\nu-1)} A_{q^{\nu-1}, L_q} \\ &= q^{-12\nu} \sum_{\substack{\omega = -\bar{\omega} \\ \omega \in \mathfrak{D}_q^3 \text{ mod } q^\nu \mathfrak{D}_q^-}} \sum_{\substack{x \text{ mod } q^\nu \mathfrak{D}_q^3 \\ x \in \mathfrak{D}_q^3}} e^{2\pi i q^{-\nu} \sigma_0((f(x) - \gamma)\omega)} \\ &\quad - q^{-12(\nu-1)} \sum_{\substack{\omega_1 = -\bar{\omega}_1 \\ \omega_1 \text{ mod } q^{\nu-1} \mathfrak{D}_q^-}} \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathfrak{D}_q^3 \text{ mod } q^{\nu-1} \mathfrak{D}_q^3}} e^{2\pi i q^{-(\nu-1)} \sigma_0((f(x) - \gamma)\omega_1)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{q^\nu \omega \in \mathfrak{D}_q^3 \\ \omega \text{ mod } \mathfrak{D}_q^-}} q^{-12\nu} \sum_{\substack{x \text{ mod } q^\nu \mathfrak{D}_q^3 \\ x \in \mathfrak{D}_q^3}} e^{2\pi i \sigma_0((f(x) - \gamma)\omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus using the easily proved estimate for Gauss sums, namely

$$\left| \sum_{x \text{ mod } \mathfrak{D}_q^-} e^{2\pi i \sigma_0(\bar{x} S x \omega^{-1})} \right| \leq 8N_0(\delta(S)) |d|^{3/2} N_0^3(\gamma),$$

where  $d$  is the discriminant of  $\mathfrak{D}$ , and where  $a\mathfrak{D} + \mathfrak{D}\bar{\gamma} = \mathfrak{D}$ , we have

$$|b_{L_q}(s, \gamma)| \leq 1 + c_{17} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} q^{-3\nu s} q^{-12\nu} q^{6\nu} q^{3\nu} \leq c_{18} (1 - q^{-3})^{-1}.$$

Thus for primes  $q$  dividing  $D_2$   $|F_{\Phi_q, s}(\gamma)| \leq c_{19} m(\mathfrak{D}_q) (1 - q^{-3})^{-1}$ . Hence

$$\left| \prod_{q|D_2} F_{\Phi_q, s}(\gamma) \right| \leq c_{20} \prod_{q|D_2} (1 - q^{-3})^{-1} \leq c_{21} \log \log |N_0(\gamma)|_\infty.$$

Thus

$$|F_{\Phi, s}(\gamma)| \leq c_{21} (\log \log |N_0(\gamma)|_\infty) F_{\Phi_\infty, s}(\gamma).$$

We proceed to estimate  $F_{\Phi_\infty, s}(\gamma)$ . Let us observe that  $F_{\Phi_\infty, s}(x) = (G_{s, \infty} * F_{\Phi_\infty})(x)$ . (The equality holds first in the sense of distributions but since  $G_{s, \infty}$  is tempered and since  $F_\Phi$  is bounded, they are equal as functions.) We have  $F_{\Phi_\infty}(\gamma) = O(|\gamma|_\infty^{-\nu})$  for every  $\nu > 0$ . (The constants in the  $O$ -estimate may depend on  $\nu$ .) Further, for  $\gamma \neq 0$  in  $\mathfrak{D}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{\Phi_\infty, s}(\gamma)| &= \left| \int_{\mathfrak{R}^3} G_{s, \infty}(\gamma) F_{\Phi_\infty}(\gamma - x) d^{-x} \right| \leq \left| \int_{|\alpha|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}|\gamma|_\infty} \right| + \left| \int_{|\alpha|_\infty > \frac{1}{2}|\gamma|_\infty} \right| \\ &\leq c_{22} |\gamma|_\infty^{-\nu} \int_{|\alpha|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}|\gamma|_\infty} G_{s, \infty}(x) d^{-x} + c_{23} e^{-c_{24}|\gamma|_\infty^{1/2}} \int_{|\alpha|_\infty > \frac{1}{2}|\gamma|_\infty} |F_{\Phi_\infty}(\gamma - x)| d^{-x} \\ &\leq c_{25} |\gamma|_\infty^{-\nu} + c_{23} e^{-c_{24}|\gamma|_\infty^{1/2}} \|F_{\Phi_\infty}\|_1, \quad \text{since } \int_{\mathfrak{R}^3} G_{s, \infty} d^{-x} = 1 \\ &\leq c_{26} |\gamma|_\infty^{-\nu} \end{aligned}$$





this being valid uniformly in  $s$ , for  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ . Here  $\|F_{\phi_\infty}\|_1$  is the norm of  $F_{\phi_\infty}$  in  $L_1(\mathcal{D}_\infty^-)$ . Choosing  $\nu = 2$ , we derive the uniform convergence of  $\sum_{\gamma \neq 0} F_{\phi, s}(\gamma)$ .

From Proposition 3, it is clear that  $\sum_{0 \neq \gamma \in \mathcal{D}_\infty^-} F_{\phi, s}(\gamma)$  is a continuous function of  $s$  for  $0 \leq s \leq 1$  and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} F_{\phi, s}(\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} F_{\phi, s}(\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \neq 0} F_\phi(\gamma).$$

We have still to consider the constant term  $F_{\phi, s}(0)$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\phi, s}(0) &= F_{\phi_\infty, s}(0) \prod_{\mathfrak{q} | \mathcal{D}_2} F_{\phi_{\mathfrak{q}}, s}(0) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathcal{D}_2} F_{\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}, s}(0) \\ &= F_{\phi_\infty, s}(0) \prod_{\mathfrak{q} | \mathcal{D}_2} F_{\phi_{\mathfrak{q}}, s}(0) \times \\ &\times \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathcal{D}_2} \left\{ (1 + p^{-(1+s)}) \left( \frac{-\delta(S)}{p} \right) + p^{-(3+s)} - p^{-(4+s)} - p^{-(3+s)} \left( \frac{-\delta(S)}{p} \right) + M_p(s) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $M_p(s) = O(p^{-3/2})$  for small  $s$ . If  $-\delta(S)$  is not a square, the product  $\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathcal{D}_2}$  converges absolutely, uniformly for  $s \geq 0$ . Hence, in this case  $F_\phi(0) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} F_{\phi, s}(0)$  exists. Moreover, if  $f(x)$  does not represent 0 nontrivially in  $\mathcal{D}^3$ , then  $U(0)_\mathcal{Q} = \emptyset$  and hence by a result of Springer [6]  $U(0)_\mathcal{A} = \emptyset$  so that at least one factor in  $F_\phi(0) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} F_{\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}}(0)$  vanishes and consequently  $F_\phi(0) = 0$ . If  $-\delta(S)$  is a square, then we know from page 336 that  $f(x)$  does not represent 0 in  $\mathcal{D}^3$  nontrivially and as we have seen already on p. 337, we have in this case as well that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} F_{\phi, s}(0) = 0$ .

**§ 6. The Siegel formula.** For  $i \in \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{Q}^-$ , denote by  $U(i)_\mathcal{Q}$  the set  $\{x \in \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{Q}^3 \mid f(x) = i, x \neq 0\}$ . Let, for  $i \in \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{Q}^-$ ,  $U(i)_\mathcal{Q} \neq \emptyset$  and let  $\xi_i \in U(i)_\mathcal{Q}$ . Denote by  $H_i$ , the isotropy subgroup of  $\xi_i$  in  $G_\mathcal{Q}$  where  $G$  is the (special) orthogonal group of  $f(x)$  (being defined over  $\mathcal{Q}$ ). Let  $(dg)_\mathcal{A}$  be an invariant gauge-form on  $G_\mathcal{A}$  and let  $\lambda(dh_i)_\mathcal{A} = \prod_{\mathfrak{v}} \lambda_{\mathfrak{v}}(dh_i)_{\mathfrak{v}}$  be an invariant gauge-form on  $(H_i)_\mathcal{A}$  with suitable convergence factors  $\lambda_p$ . Then

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{v}} \lambda_{\mathfrak{v}}^{-1} \left( \frac{dg}{dh_i} \right)_{\mathfrak{v}} = \prod_{\mathfrak{v}} \lambda_{\mathfrak{v}}^{-1}(\vartheta_i)_{\mathfrak{v}},$$

where  $(\vartheta_i)_{\mathfrak{v}} = \left( \frac{dg}{dh_i} \right)_{\mathfrak{v}}$ , is a gauge-form on  $U(i)_\mathcal{A}$  with convergence factors  $\lambda_p^{-1}$ . By [8], we know that

$$(17) \quad \tau_\lambda(H_i) \int_{U(i)_\mathcal{A}} \Phi(g(\xi)) \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(\vartheta_i)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \int_{G_\mathcal{A}/G_\mathcal{Q}} \sum_{\xi \in U(i)_\mathcal{Q}} \Phi(g(\xi)) dg_\mathcal{A}$$

for any  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{A}^3)$ . Here  $\tau_\lambda(H_i)$  is the volume of  $(H_i)_\mathcal{A}/(H_i)_\mathcal{Q}$  with respect to the measure  $\lambda(dh_i)_\mathcal{A}$ . Formula (17) is valid even if  $U(i)_\mathcal{Q} = \emptyset$ , since then by the theorem of Springer [6] on the Hasse principle for skew-hermitian forms over  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $U(i)_\mathcal{A} = \emptyset$ . Thus both sides of (17) are zero and hence equal.

When  $i \neq 0$ , we know from [8] that  $H_i$  is the orthogonal group of a non-degenerate binary skew-hermitian form and choosing  $\lambda_p = 1$  for all  $p$ , we have by the classical isomorphism theorems ([10], p. 82) that  $\tau_\lambda(H_i) = 2$ . But  $F_\phi(i) = \prod_{\mathfrak{v}} F_{\phi_{\mathfrak{v}}}(i) = \int_{U(i)_\mathcal{v}} \Phi_{\mathfrak{v}} d\mu_{\mathfrak{v}}(i)$ . Now, for each  $\mathfrak{v}$ ,

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_\mathfrak{v}^3} \Phi_{\mathfrak{v}} dx_{\mathfrak{v}} = \int_{\mathcal{D}_\mathfrak{v}} |di|_{\mathfrak{v}} \int_{U(i)_\mathfrak{v}} \Phi_{\mathfrak{v}} d\mu(i)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_\mathfrak{v}^3 - \{*\}} \Phi_{\mathfrak{v}} dx_{\mathfrak{v}} = \int_{\mathcal{D}_\mathfrak{v}} |di|_{\mathfrak{v}} \int_{U(i)_\mathfrak{v}} \Phi_{\mathfrak{v}} \left( \frac{dx}{di} \right)_{\mathfrak{v}} = \int_{\mathcal{D}_\mathfrak{v}} |di|_{\mathfrak{v}} \int_{U(i)_\mathfrak{v}} \Phi_{\mathfrak{v}} |\vartheta_i|_{\mathfrak{v}}$$

where  $\{*\}$  denotes the set of points of  $\mathcal{D}_\mathfrak{v}^3$  of rank  $< 2$ . By Proposition 1 of Weil [10],  $\mu_{\mathfrak{v}} = (\vartheta_i)_{\mathfrak{v}}$  for every  $\mathfrak{v}$  and for  $i \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{Q}^-$ . Then, for every  $i \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{Q}^-$ , we have by the foregoing

$$(18) \quad F_\phi(i) = \prod_{\mathfrak{v}} \int_{U(i)_\mathfrak{v}} \Phi_{\mathfrak{v}} d\mu_{\mathfrak{v}}(i) = \int_{U(i)_\mathcal{A}} \Phi(\vartheta_i)_\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{G_\mathcal{A}/G_\mathcal{Q}} \sum_{\xi \in U(i)_\mathcal{Q}} \Phi(g\xi) |dg|_\mathcal{A}.$$

We now consider the case when  $i = 0$  and  $U(i)_\mathcal{Q} \neq \emptyset$ . First, let  $-\delta(S)$  be not a square. Then  $H_0$  is the semi-direct product of the special orthogonal group  $U$  of a skew-hermitian form in one variable, i.e.  $\hat{t}at$  with  $\alpha = -\bar{a}$  and  $N_\alpha(a) = -\delta(S)$  and the additive group  $S_1 = G_\alpha$ . Hence  $U$  is an anisotropic torus defined over  $\mathcal{Q}$  and contained in the group of elements of  $\mathcal{D}$ , of norm equal to  $\pm 1$ . It splits over the quadratic field  $\mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{-\delta(S)})$ . For  $U$ , we choose the convergence factors  $\lambda_p = (1 - \chi_0(p)p^{-1})$  where  $\chi_0(p) = \left( \frac{-\delta(S)}{p} \right)$ ,  $\lambda_\infty = 1$  and for  $S_1$ , we choose the convergence factors  $\lambda_p = 1$ . Hence, by [8],  $(\lambda_p)$  is a set of convergence factors also for  $H_0$ . From [3], we have

$$\tau_\lambda(H_0) = \tau_\lambda(U) \tau(G_\alpha) = \tau_\lambda(U) = 2 \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} (1 - \chi_0(p)p^{-1})^{-1} = 2L(1, \chi_0)$$

since  $\hat{U}_\mathcal{Q} = 1$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{U(0)_\mathcal{A}} \Phi(g\xi) \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}(\vartheta_0)_{\mathfrak{p}} &= \prod_{\mathfrak{q} | \mathcal{D}_2} \lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1} F_{\phi_{\mathfrak{q}}}(0) \times \\ &\times \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathcal{D}_2} (1 - \chi_0(p)p^{-1}) (1 + \chi_0(p)p^{-1} + p^{-3} - p^{-4} - p^3 \chi_0(p) + M_p(0)) \\ &= \prod_{\mathfrak{q} | \mathcal{D}_2} \lambda_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1} F_{\phi_{\mathfrak{q}}}(0) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathcal{D}_2} (1 - \beta_p(0)) \end{aligned}$$



where  $\beta_p(s) = p^{-2-2s} - (1 - \chi_0(p)p^{-(1+s)})(p^{-3-s} - p^{-4-s} - \chi_0(p)p^{-3-s} + M_p)$  and  $\beta_p(0) = O(p^{-2})$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (19) \quad F_\Phi(0) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} F_{\Phi,s}(0) = \prod_{q|D_2} F_{\Phi,q}(0) \times \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \prod_{p \nmid D_2} F_{\Phi,p,s}(0) \\
 &= \prod_{q|D_2} F_{\Phi,q}(0) \times \\
 &\quad \times \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \prod_{q|D_2} (1 - \chi_0(q)q^{-1-s}) L(1+s, \chi_0) \prod_{p \nmid D_2} (1 - \beta_p(s)) \\
 &= \prod_{q|D_2} \lambda_q^{-1} F_{\Phi,q}(0) L(1, \chi_0) \prod_{p \nmid D_2} (1 - \beta_p(0)) \\
 &= L(1, \chi_0) \int_{U(0)_A} \Phi(g\xi) \prod_p \lambda_p^{-1}(\Phi_0)_p \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \tau_\lambda(H_0) \int_{U(0)_A} \Phi(g\xi) \prod_p \lambda_p^{-1}(\Phi_0)_p \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{G_A/G_{\mathbb{Q}}} \sum_{\xi \in U(0)_{\mathbb{Q}}} \Phi(g\xi) |dg|_A.
 \end{aligned}$$

The relation above is valid even if  $-\delta(S)$  is not a square and if  $f(x)$  do not represent 0 non-trivially over  $D^3$ , since then  $F_\Phi(0) = 0$  and the right hand side is zero,  $U(0)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  being empty. In view of our remarks p. 336,  $f(x)$  cannot be a zero-form when  $-\delta(S)$  is a square and here again we know that  $F_\Phi(0) = 0$  from p. 343 and further  $U(0)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \emptyset$  so that the expression on the right hand side of (19) is zero, again. Thus (19) is valid in this case as well.

We now define  $E(\Phi) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} E(\Phi, s)$ . Then  $E$  is a positive temper measure on  $\mathcal{S}(D_A^3)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\Phi) &= \Phi(0) + \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i^* \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Q}}} F_\Phi^*(i^*) G_s^*(i^*) \\
 &= \Phi(0) + \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Q}}} F_{\Phi,s}(i) \quad (\text{by Proposition 2}) \\
 &= \Phi(0) + F_\Phi(0) + \sum_{0 \neq i \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Q}}} F_\Phi(i) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \Phi(0) \int_{G_A/G_{\mathbb{Q}}} |dg|_A + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Q}}} \int_{G_A/G_{\mathbb{Q}}} \sum_{\xi \in U(0)_{\mathbb{Q}}} \Phi(g\xi) |dg|_A,
 \end{aligned}$$

(in view of (18), (19) and in view of  $\tau(G)$  being equal to 2), i.e.

$$E(\Phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{G_A/G_{\mathbb{Q}}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Q}}} \Phi(g\xi) |dg|_A = I_\nu(\Phi)$$

where  $\nu$  is a normalized measure on  $G_A$  with  $\nu(G_A/G_{\mathbb{Q}}) = 1$ . Thus we have proved the following

**THEOREM.** For  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(D_A^3)$ ,

$$E(\Phi) = I_\nu(\Phi),$$

where  $E(\Phi)$  is defined as

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \Phi(0) + \sum_{i^* \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Q}}} F_\Phi^*(i^*) G_s^*(i^*) \right\}$$

and

$$I_\nu(\Phi) = \int_{G_A/G_{\mathbb{Q}}} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Q}}} \Phi(g\xi) d\nu(g).$$

**References**

- [1] M. Aronszajn and K. T. Smith, *Theory of Bessel potentials*, Part I, *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, 11 (1961), pp. 385-475.
- [2] C. S. Herz, *Bessel functions of matrix argument*, *Ann. of Math.* 61 (1955), pp. 474-523.
- [3] T. Ono, *On the Tamagawa number of algebraic tori*, *Ann. of Math.* 78 (1963), pp. 47-73.
- [4] S. Raghavan and S. S. Rangachari, *On the Siegel formula for quaternary quadratic forms over  $\mathbb{Q}$*  (unpublished).
- [5] C. L. Siegel, *Indefinite quadratische Formen und Funktionentheorie I, II*, *Math. Ann.* 124 (1951), pp. 17-54, 124 (1952), pp. 364-387.
- [6] T. A. Springer, *Hasse theorem for skew-hermitian forms over quaternion algebras* (unpublished).
- [7] M. H. Taibleson, *Harmonic analysis on n-dimensional vector spaces over local fields* (to appear).
- [8] A. Weil, *Adèles and algebraic groups*, *Lecture Notes*, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton.
- [9] — *Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires*, *Acta Math.* 111 (1964), pp. 143-211.
- [10] — *Sur la formule de Siegel dans la théorie des groupes classiques*, *Acta Math.* 113 (1965), pp. 1-87.

TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH  
and  
CENTRE FOR ADVANCED STUDY IN MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BOMBAY

Reçu par la Rédaction le 5. 3. 1969