

The table for  $k$  and  $n$  on page 54 is correct to  $k = 15$  and  $n = 588$ ; after which it should be

|     |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |              |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|
| $k$ | 16    | 17    | 18    | 19    | 20    | 21    | 22    | 23           |
| $n$ | 16100 | 16103 | 26750 | 26752 | 26754 | 26759 | 31397 | up to 135000 |

On page 55, statement (19) and the following two lines should read

$$(19) \quad |M(x) + 9| \leq Q\left(\frac{x}{219}\right) + \sum_{n \in N} Q\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + (231 - 23)Q\left(\frac{x}{135000}\right).$$

Using (10) in (19) we obtain

$$|M(x) + 9| \leq 0.01222x, \quad \text{for } x > 10^9.$$

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 10. 12. 1968*

### Corrigendum to the papers

### “On two theorems of Gelfond and some of their applications” and “On primitive prime factors of Lehmer numbers III”

(Acta Arithmetica 13 (1967), pp. 177–236 and 15 (1968), pp. 49–70)

by

A. SCHINZEL (Warszawa)

vol. 13: p. 197. Lemma 8. The assumption should be added that  $\eta_2/\eta$  is real,

p. 216 line -5 for  $\sigma \neq 0$  read  $\sigma \geq 0$ ;

vol. 15: p. 56 line 7 for  $\chi(1)$  read  $\chi(-1)$ ,

p. 61 line -10 for  $\Phi_n^{(-\varepsilon, \theta)}(\chi\chi_1, \sqrt{\alpha}, \sqrt{\beta})$

read  $\Phi_n^{(-\varepsilon, \theta)}(\chi\chi_1; \sqrt{\alpha}, -\sqrt{\beta})$ ,

line -9 for  $(\sqrt{\alpha} \pm \zeta_n^r \sqrt{\beta})$  read  $(\sqrt{\alpha} \pm \zeta_n^r \sqrt{\beta})^2$ ,

line -6 for  $(\sqrt{\alpha} - \zeta_n^r \sqrt{\beta})$  read  $(\sqrt{\alpha} - \zeta_{2n}^r \sqrt{\beta})^2$ ,

p. 63 line 4 for  $\tau(\chi^i)$  read  $\tau(\chi^i)^e$ ,

line 9 for  $\tau(\chi_k^i)$  read  $\tau(\chi_k^i)^e$ ,

line 13 for  $\tau(\chi_0^i)$  read  $\tau(\chi_0^i)^e$ .

Corrigendum to the paper  
"On ratio sets of sets of natural numbers"

Acta Arithmetica 15 (1969), pp. 273-278

by

T. ŠALÁT (Bratislava)

Page 278, lines 5-8 read as follows:

Let  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$ , where

$$A_k = \{2^{k+1} + 1, 2^{k+1} + 2, \dots, 2^{k+1} + 2^{k-1}\} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

It is easy to see that  $\delta_1(A) = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\delta_2(A) = \frac{2}{5}$  and it can easily be proved that  $(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{5}) \cap R(A) = \emptyset$ .

---