

**Corrigendum to the paper  
“A problem of Erdős concerning power residue sums”**

(Acta Arithmetica 13 (1968), pp. 131-149)

by

P.D.T.A. ELLIOTT (Nottingham)

The author would like to draw attention to the following oversights.

1. In the statement of Lemma 3 the condition that  $l$  be a power of  $q$  has been inadvertently omitted, and that  $q$  is a prime.

2. The results of Lemmas 4, 5 are correct only if all the  $q_i$  under consideration are odd. If one of the primes  $q_i$  has the value 2 a slight change has to be made. This is due to the fact that when  $m \geq 3$  the Galois group of the cyclotomic field generated by a primitive  $2^m$ -th root of unity is the direct product of cyclic groups of order 2 and  $2^{m-2}$ . Thus that cyclotomic field has two quadratic subfields, namely  $Q(\sqrt{-1})$  and  $Q(\sqrt{2})$ . Accordingly the definition of  $c(k)$  (with an attendant change in the definition of  $n_r$ ) becomes  $2^{-t}$ , where

$$t = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 2 \nmid k, \\ \text{the number of odd primes } q_i \text{ dividing } k \text{ and satisfying} \\ & q_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} & \text{if } 2 \parallel k, \\ \text{the number of odd primes } q_i \text{ dividing } k & \text{if } 4 \parallel k, \\ \text{the number of primes dividing } k & \text{if } 8 \mid k. \end{cases}$$

This change does not affect any succeeding argument. Indeed we only use the fact that  $t$  is bounded by  $k$ , and has the value zero when  $k$  is an odd prime.

3. On page 137 line 22 for  $2^{-l+r}$  read  $2^{-l}l^r$ .

We note that we do not mention separability in for example Lemma 1, since the fields we are considering are of characteristic zero and so automatically separable. Moreover, for each field  $F$ ,  $\bar{F}$  is its ring of integers.

Finally we notice that unless otherwise stated the primes  $q_i$  are arbitrary until we reach p. 146. For pp. 146-149 they then become the rational primes in increasing order.

UNIVERSITY OF NOTTINGHAM

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 12. 2. 1968*