Proof of Theorem 1. If we define

$$t_j = d\big(jN - a(1)\big),$$

then, by Lemma 2 with $k = r+1$,

$$t_j = t_{j-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{r}\Big(\sum_{\substack{a\in A' \\ w(a)=i}} q^{jN-a}\Big)\prod_{h=1}^{i-1}(1-q^{N\cdot(j-h)})\,t_{j-i}.$$

By definition of $d(m)$ for negative m, $t_0 = 1$ and $t_{-n} = 0$ for $n > 0$. Hence by Theorem 2 of [1], p. 129,

$$1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G(-A'_N; n)q^n = \lim_{j\to\infty} t_j = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\Big(1+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\Big(\sum_{\substack{a\in A' \\ w(a)=i}} q^{-a}\Big)q^{imN}\Big)$$

$$= \prod_{m=1}^{\infty}(1+q^{mN-a(1)})(1+q^{mN-a(2)})\ldots(1+q^{mN-a(r)})$$

$$= 1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F(-A_N; n)q^n.$$

Thus $G(-A'_N; n) = F(-A_N; n)$.

References

[1] G. E. Andrews, *On Schur's second partition theorem*, Glasgow Mathematical Journal 8 (1967), pp. 127-132.

[2] — *A general theorem on partitions with difference conditions*, Amer. J. Math. (to appear).

[3] P. Dienes, *The Taylor Series*, New York 1957.

[4] I. J. Schur, *Ein Beitrag zur additiven Zahlentheorie*, S.-B. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (1917), pp. 301-321.

[5] — *Zur additiven Zahlentheorie*, S.-B. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (1926), pp. 488-495.

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

Reçu par la Rédaction le 3. 11. 1967

ACTA ARITHMETICA
XIV (1968)

Corrigendum to the paper "On the zeros of L-functions"

(Acta Arithmetica 11 (1965), pp. 67-96)

by

E. Fogels (Riga)

The formula (34) of the paper in question should be replaced by the following:

$$(34^*)\quad V < \frac{e^{c_7\lambda}}{\log^2 T}\sum_{T^B<n<T^{3B}}\frac{\Lambda(n)}{n}\sum_{\substack{T^B<m<T^{3B} \\ m\equiv n(\mathrm{mod}\, D)}} h\,\frac{\Lambda(m)}{m}\Big|\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant V}\Big(\frac{m}{n}\Big)^{iw_j}\Big|.$$

Proof. By the arguments of § 9 and § 5 we have

$$V < e^{c_6\lambda}\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant V}\Big|\sum_{T^B<n<T^{3B}}\frac{\chi_j(n)\Lambda(n)R(n)}{n^{1+i(T_0+w_j)}}\Big|^2$$

$$\leqslant e^{c_6\lambda}\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant V}\sum_{\chi}\Big|\sum_{T^B<n<T^{3B}}\frac{\chi(n)\Lambda(n)R(n)}{n^{1+i(T_0+w_j)}}\Big|^2$$

$$= e^{c_6\lambda}\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant V}\sum_{\chi}\sum_{T^B<n<T^{3B}}\frac{\chi(n)\Lambda(n)R(n)}{n^{1+i(T_0+w_j)}}\sum_{T^B<m<T^{3B}}\frac{\overline{\chi(m)}\,\Lambda(m)\overline{R(m)}}{m^{1-i(T_0+w_j)}}$$

$$= e^{c_6\lambda}\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant V}\sum_{T^B<n<T^{3B}}\frac{\Lambda(n)R(n)}{n}\sum_{\substack{T^B<m<T^{3B} \\ m\equiv n(\mathrm{mod}\, D)}} h\,\frac{\Lambda(m)}{m}\overline{R(m)}\Big(\frac{m}{n}\Big)^{i(T_0+w_j)}$$

$$= e^{c_6\lambda}\sum_{T^B<n<T^{3B}}\frac{\Lambda(n)R(n)}{n}\sum_{\substack{T^B<m<T^{3B} \\ m\equiv n\,(\mathrm{mod}\, D)}} h\,\frac{\Lambda(m)}{m}\overline{R(m)}\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant V}\Big(\frac{m}{n}\Big)^{i(T_0+w_j)}$$

$$\leqslant e^{c_6\lambda}\sum_{T^B<n<T^{3B}}\frac{\Lambda(n)|R(n)|}{n}\sum_{\substack{T^B<m<T^{3B} \\ m\equiv n(\mathrm{mod}\, D)}} h\,\frac{\Lambda(m)}{m}|R(m)|\Big|\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant V}\Big(\frac{m}{n}\Big)^{i(T_0+w_j)}\Big|,$$

whence (34*) follows. Using (34*) instead of (34) we can proceed as in § 9.

The formula at the end of § 10 undergoes a similar exchange.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 15. 12. 1967