

[3] J. Barkley Rosser, *Real roots of real Dirichlet L-series*, J. Research Nat. Bur. Standards 45 (1950), pp. 505-514.

[4] W. E. Briggs, *Some constants associated with the Riemann zeta-function*, Michigan Math. J. 3 (1955/56), pp. 117-121.

For a general reference for constants and formulas, see *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, Applied Mathematics Series 55, Washington, Nat. Bur. Standards, 1964.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 22. 4. 1966

On the number of integer points in the displaced circles

by

A. A. YUDIN (Moscou)

Let a lattice of points with integer coordinates be given in the plane. Take a circle with the radius $\lambda^{1/2}$. Without loss of generality one can assume the centre of the circle to be a point $(u, v) \in G$, where G is the domain defined by the inequalities:

$$0 \leq u < 1, \quad 0 \leq v < 1.$$

Let $A(\lambda, u, v)$ denote the number of integer points inside the circle of the radius $\lambda^{1/2}$ with the centre in the point (u, v) . Then it is easy to show that

$$A(\lambda, u, v) = \pi\lambda + P(\lambda, u, v),$$

where $P(\lambda, u, v) = O(\lambda^\theta)$, $0 < \theta \leq 1/3$.

Kendall [4] proved that

$$(1) \quad \int_0^1 \int_0^1 P^2(\lambda, u, v) du dv = \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r(n)}{n} I_1^2(2\pi\sqrt{n\lambda}),$$

where $r(n)$ is the number of representations of the number n as the sum of two squares, $I_1(z)$ being Bessel's function.

By well known asymptotic behaviour of $I_1(z)$ it follows from (1) that

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 P^2(\lambda, u, v) du dv = O(\lambda^{1/2}).$$

We shall show below that

$$(2) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(\lambda, u, v)}{\lambda^{1/4} (\ln \ln \lambda)^{1/4 - \varepsilon}} > c > 0, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(\lambda, u, v)}{\lambda^{1/4} (\ln \ln \lambda)^{1/4 - \varepsilon}} < -c < 0$$

where ε is an arbitrarily small positive number, c is an absolute constant.

LEMMA 1.

$$(3) \quad \int_0^x P(\lambda, u, v) d\lambda = \frac{x}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(n)}{n} I_1(2\pi\sqrt{nx}),$$

where $\Phi(n) = \sum_{a^2+b^2=n} e^{2\pi i(au+bv)}$ and the sum is taken over all the representations of the number n as the sum of two squares.

The proof of Lemma 1 is analogous to the proof of a similar result in [5].

We introduce the following definitions: Let $\sigma(x)$ be some real-valued given function, and let

$$\bar{\sigma}(a, \beta) = \sup_{a \leq x \leq \beta} \sigma(x)$$

and

$$\underline{\sigma}(a, \beta) = \inf_{a \leq x \leq \beta} \sigma(x).$$

LEMMA 2. Let $\omega \geq 2\eta > 0$, $X\eta^2 \geq c > 1$ and $\sigma(t) = t^{-1/2} P(t^2, u, v)$, then

$$(4) \quad \underline{\sigma}(\omega - \eta, \omega + \eta) < -S_X(\omega) + O\left(\frac{1}{\omega}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\eta X^{1/4}}\right),$$

$$(5) \quad \bar{\sigma}(\omega - \eta, \omega + \eta) > -S_X(\omega) + O\left(\frac{1}{\omega}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\eta X^{1/4}}\right),$$

where

$$S_X(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq X} \left(1 - \frac{n^{1/2}}{X^{1/2}}\right) \frac{\Phi(n)}{n^{3/4}} \cos\left(2\pi n^{1/2} \omega + \frac{\pi}{4}\right).$$

The proof of Lemma 2 is analogous to the proof of theorem B1 from [3].

We multiply both sides of (3) by $\chi'(x)$, where $\chi(x)$ is a function having the continuous derivative on $\langle a, b \rangle$, $a < b$. Integrating both sides of (3) over the range a to b and applying (3), we get by partial integration

$$(6) \quad \int_a^b \chi(x) P(x, u, v) dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(n)}{n^{1/2}} \int_a^b x^{1/2} \chi(x) I_1(2\pi\sqrt{nx}) dx.$$

Now let

$$K(y) = \left(\frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}y}{\frac{1}{2}y}\right)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad k(x) = \begin{cases} 2\pi(1 - |x|) & \text{for } |x| < 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

It is known from the theory of Fourier integral that

$$(7) \quad k(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} K(y) e^{ixy} dy.$$

Choosing suitably $a, b, \chi(x)$ in (6) and replacing x by t^2 we obtain

$$(8) \quad \int_{\omega-\eta}^{\omega+\eta} K_U(t-\omega) \sigma(t) dt = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(n)}{n^{1/2}} \int_{\omega-\eta}^{\omega+\eta} t^{1/2} K_U(t-\omega) I_1(2\pi n^{1/2} t) dt,$$

where $K_U(\delta) = UK(U\delta)$, $U > 0$.

By the substitution $t = \omega + z/U$ in (8), we get

$$\int_{-\tilde{U}\eta}^{U\eta} K(z) \sigma\left(\omega + \frac{z}{U}\right) dz = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(n)}{n^{1/2}} \int_{-\tilde{U}\eta}^{U\eta} \left(\omega + \frac{z}{U}\right)^{1/2} K(z) I_1\left(2\pi n^{1/2} \left(\omega + \frac{z}{U}\right)\right) dz.$$

Using the asymptotic behaviour of Bessel's functions we obtain

$$(9) \quad \int_{-\tilde{U}\eta}^{U\eta} K(z) \sigma\left(\omega + \frac{z}{U}\right) dz = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(n)}{n^{3/4}} \int_{-\tilde{U}\eta}^{U\eta} K(z) \cos\left(2\pi n^{1/2} \left(\omega + \frac{z}{U}\right) + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) dz + O\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Phi(n)|}{n^{5/4}} \int_{-\tilde{U}\eta}^{U\eta} |K(z)| \left(\omega + \frac{z}{U}\right)^{-1} dz\right).$$

Now we study the remainder term in (9). It is of order $O(1/\omega)$ since $\omega + z/U \geq \omega - \eta \geq \frac{1}{2}\omega$ and the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Phi(n)|/n^{5/4}$ and the integral $\int_{-\tilde{U}\eta}^{U\eta} |K(y)| dy$ are convergent.

Hence

$$(10) \quad \int_{-\tilde{U}\eta}^{U\eta} K(z) \sigma\left(\omega + \frac{z}{U}\right) dz = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(n)}{n^{3/4}} \int_{-\tilde{U}\eta}^{U\eta} K(z) \cos\left(2\pi n^{1/2} \left(\omega + \frac{z}{U}\right) + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) dz + O\left(\frac{1}{\omega}\right).$$

We estimate the error on the right-hand side of (10) if the integral is taken over $(-\infty, \infty)$.

Denote

$$A_1 = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(n)}{n^{3/4}} \int_{U\eta}^{\infty} K(z) \cos\left(2\pi n^{1/2} \left(\omega + \frac{z}{U}\right) + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) dz$$

and

$$\Delta_2 = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(n)}{n^{3/4}} \int_{-\infty}^{-U\eta} K(z) \cos\left(2\pi n^{1/2} \left(\omega + \frac{z}{U}\right) + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) dz.$$

Since Δ_1 is not essentially different from Δ_2 one can estimate only Δ_1 . The n th integral is of order $O(1/U\eta)$. Integrating by parts and using the trivial estimates

$$K(y) = O(y^{-1}), \quad K'(y) = O(y^{-2}),$$

we get another one namely $O(1/n^{1/2}\eta)$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= O\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Phi(n)|}{n^{3/4}} \min\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2}\eta}, \frac{1}{U\eta}\right)\right) \\ &= O\left(\sum_{n < U^2} \frac{|\Phi(n)|}{n^{3/4}} \cdot \frac{1}{U\eta} + \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{n \geq U^2} \frac{|\Phi(n)|}{n^{5/4}}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{U^2\eta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-U\eta}^{U\eta} K(z) \sigma\left(\omega + \frac{z}{U}\right) dz \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(n)}{n^{3/4}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} K(z) \cos\left(2\pi n^{1/2} \left(\omega + \frac{z}{U}\right) + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) dz + O\left(\frac{1}{\omega}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{U^{1/2}\eta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In view of (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} K(z) \cos\left(2\pi n^{1/2} \left(\omega + \frac{z}{U}\right) + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) dz &= \operatorname{Re} e^{i(2\pi n^{1/2}\omega + \pi/4)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} K(z) e^{2\pi i n^{1/2} z/U} dz \\ &= \cos\left(2\pi n^{1/2} \omega + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) k\left(\frac{2\pi n^{1/2}}{U}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-U\eta}^{U\eta} K(z) \sigma\left(\omega + \frac{z}{U}\right) dz &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n \leq U^2/4\pi^2} 2\pi \frac{\Phi(n)}{n^{3/4}} \left(1 - \frac{n^{1/2}}{U/2\pi}\right) \cos\left(2\pi n^{1/2} \omega + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + \\ &+ O\left(\frac{1}{\omega}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{U^{1/2}\eta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now setting $U^2/4\pi^2 = X$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-U\eta}^{U\eta} K(z) \sigma\left(\omega + \frac{z}{U}\right) dz &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n \leq X} 2\pi \left(1 - \frac{n^{1/2}}{X^{1/2}}\right) \frac{\Phi(n)}{n^{3/4}} \cos\left(2\pi n^{1/2} \omega + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + \\ &+ O\left(\frac{1}{\omega}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\eta X^{1/4}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In view of $K(y) \geq 0$, we have

$$\underline{\sigma}(\omega - \eta, \omega + \eta) \int_{-U\eta}^{U\eta} K(z) dz \leq \int_{-U\eta}^{U\eta} K(z) \sigma\left(\omega + \frac{z}{U}\right) dz,$$

and hence

$$\underline{\sigma}(\omega - \eta, \omega + \eta) \leq \left\{ \int_{-U\eta}^{U\eta} K(z) \sigma\left(\omega + \frac{z}{U}\right) dz \right\} \left(\int_{-U\eta}^{U\eta} K(z) dz \right)^{-1}.$$

Since $k(0) = 2\pi$ we obtain

$$\left(\int_{-U\eta}^{U\eta} K(z) dz \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2\pi + 2 \int_{U\eta}^{\infty} K(z) dz} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\eta X^{1/4}}\right)\right).$$

Further we get

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\sigma}(\omega - \eta, \omega + \eta) &\leq -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n \leq X} \left(1 - \frac{n^{1/2}}{X^{1/2}}\right) \frac{\Phi(n)}{n^{3/4}} \cos\left(2\pi n^{1/2} \omega + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + \\ &+ O\left(\frac{1}{\eta X^{1/2}} \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{\Phi(n)}{n^{3/4}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\omega}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\eta X^{1/4}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{\eta X^{1/2}} \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{|\Phi(n)|}{n^{3/4}} = O\left(\frac{1}{\eta X^{1/4}}\right),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\sigma}(\omega - \eta, \omega + \eta) &\leq -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n \leq X} \left(1 - \frac{n^{1/2}}{X^{1/2}}\right) \frac{\Phi(n)}{n^{3/4}} \cos\left(2\pi n^{1/2} \omega + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + \\ &+ O\left(\frac{1}{\omega}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{X^{1/4}\eta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get (4). It is clear that (5) can be obtained in the same way.

LEMMA 3. Let $f(x)$ be defined and measurable on $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ and

$$\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0, \quad \int_0^1 f^2(x) dx = I > 0, \quad |f(x)| \leq M.$$

Then

$$\Delta = \max_{x \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle} f(x) \geq I/M.$$

Proof. From the conditions of Lemma 3 there follows

$$(11) \quad \int_{E_+} |f(x)| dx = \int_{E_-} |f(x)| dx$$

and

$$(12) \quad \int_{E_+} f^2(x) dx + \int_{E_-} f^2(x) dx = I$$

where

$$E_+ = \{x: f(x) > 0\}, \quad E_- = \{x: f(x) < 0\},$$

κ is defined by the equality

$$(13) \quad \kappa \int_{E_-} |f(x)| dx = \int_{E_-} f^2(x) dx.$$

Multiplying (11) by κ and adding it to (12) we get

$$\kappa \int_{E_+} |f(x)| dx + \int_{E_+} f^2(x) dx = I$$

or

$$(14) \quad \kappa \Delta \mu(E_+) + \Delta^2 \mu(E_+) \geq I.$$

Let us get now the upper estimate for $\mu(E_+)$. From (12) we have

$$I = \int_0^1 f^2(x) dx \leq \Delta^2 \mu(E_+) + M^2(1 - \mu(E_+))$$

or

$$(15) \quad \mu(E_+) \leq \frac{M^2 - I^2}{M^2 - \Delta^2}.$$

Then from (14) and (15) we get

$$I \leq \kappa \Delta \frac{M^2 - I^2}{M^2 - \Delta^2} + \Delta^2 \frac{M^2 - I^2}{M^2 - \Delta^2}.$$

As (13) implies $\kappa \leq M$, we get

$$\frac{M^2 - I}{M - \Delta} \Delta \geq I$$

or

$$\Delta \geq I/M.$$

Remark. The estimate of Lemma 3 cannot be improved. It is evident that

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -M & \text{for } x \in \left\langle 0, \frac{I}{I+M^2} \right\rangle, \\ \frac{I}{M} & \text{for } x \in \left(\frac{I}{I+M^2}, 1 \right) \end{cases}$$

satisfies all conditions of Lemma 3 and

$$\max_{x \in (0,1)} f(x) = I/M.$$

COROLLARY. Let $f(x)$ be measurable on $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ and

$$\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0, \quad \int_0^1 f^2(x) dx = I, \quad |f(x)| \leq M.$$

Then

$$\min_{x \in (0,1)} f(x) \leq -I/M.$$

LEMMA 4. Let $|z_j| = 1$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, N$) be complex numbers, let $S_k = \sum_{j=1}^N z_j^k$ and k_0 a prescribed integer with $N/2 < k_0 < N$. Then

$$\max_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq N \\ k \neq k_0}} |S_k| > \frac{1}{3}.$$

Proof. Let

$$(16) \quad M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{\substack{v=1, \dots, N \\ v \neq k_0}} |S_v|$$

(we may suppose $0 < M < 1$). Let $f(z) = z^N + a_1 z^{N-1} + \dots + a_N$ be the polynomial with z_j 's as zeros. According to Waring's formula

$$(17) \quad a_N = \sum \frac{(-1)^{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_N}}{\lambda_1! \dots \lambda_N!} \left(\frac{S_1}{1}\right)^{\lambda_1} \left(\frac{S_2}{2}\right)^{\lambda_2} \dots \left(\frac{S_N}{N}\right)^{\lambda_N},$$

where the summation refers to the nonnegative solutions of

$$(18) \quad \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + N\lambda_N = N.$$

Owing to $N/2 < k_0 < N$ the possible values of λ_{k_0} are 0 and 1, hence (17) can be written as

$$(19) \quad a_N = \frac{S_{k_0}}{k_0} \sum' + \sum'' \frac{(-1)^{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_N}}{\lambda_1! \dots \lambda_{k_0-1}! \lambda_{k_0+1}! \dots \lambda_N!} \times \\ \times \left\{ \left(\frac{S_1}{1}\right)^{\lambda_1} \dots \left(\frac{S_{k_0-1}}{k_0-1}\right)^{\lambda_{k_0-1}} \left(\frac{S_{k_0+1}}{k_0+1}\right)^{\lambda_{k_0+1}} \dots \left(\frac{S_N}{N}\right)^{\lambda_N} \right\},$$

where Σ' is extended over the nonnegative solutions of

$$(20) \quad \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + (k_0 - 1)\lambda_{k_0-1} + (k_0 + 1)\lambda_{k_0+1} + \dots + N\lambda_N = N - k_0$$

and Σ'' over those of

$$(21) \quad \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + (k_0 - 1)\lambda_{k_0-1} + (k_0 + 1)\lambda_{k_0+1} + \dots + N\lambda_N = N.$$

Since $|S_{k_0}| \leq N$, i.e. $|S_{k_0}/k_0| \leq N/k_0 < 2$ and $|a_N| \geq 1$, (16) and (19) give

$$(22) \quad 1 \leq 2 \sum' \frac{M^{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k_0-1} + \lambda_{k_0+1} + \dots + \lambda_N}}{\lambda_1! \dots \lambda_{k_0-1}! \lambda_{k_0+1}! \lambda_N! 1^{\lambda_1} \dots (k_0-1)^{\lambda_{k_0-1}} (k_0+1)^{\lambda_{k_0+1}} \dots N^{\lambda_N}} + \\ + \sum'' \frac{M^{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k_0-1} + \lambda_{k_0+1} + \dots + \lambda_N}}{\lambda_1! \dots \lambda_{k_0-1}! \lambda_{k_0+1}! \lambda_N! 1^{\lambda_1} \dots (k_0-1)^{\lambda_{k_0-1}} (k_0+1)^{\lambda_{k_0+1}} \dots N^{\lambda_N}}.$$

The first sum in (22) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{coeff } z^{N-k_0} \text{ in } \exp\left(M\left(\frac{z}{1} + \dots + \frac{z^{k_0-1}}{k_0-1} + \frac{z^{k_0+1}}{k_0+1} + \dots + \frac{z^N}{N}\right)\right) \\ &= \text{coeff } z^{N-k_0} \text{ in } \exp\left(M\left(\frac{z}{1} + \frac{z^2}{2} + \dots\right) - M\frac{z^{k_0}}{k_0}\right) \\ &= \text{coeff } z^{N-k_0} \text{ in } \frac{1}{(1-z)^M} \exp\left(-M\frac{z^{k_0}}{k_0}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Owing to $k_0 > N/2$ we have $N - k_0 < N/2$ and hence this is

$$\begin{aligned} (23) \quad &= \text{coeff } z^{N-k_0} \text{ in } \frac{1}{(1-z)^M} \left(1 - \frac{M}{k_0} z^{k_0}\right) \\ &\leq \text{coeff } z^{N-k_0} \text{ in } \frac{1}{(1-z)^M} = \left|\binom{-M}{N-k_0}\right| \\ &= M \left(1 - \frac{1-M}{2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1-M}{3}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{1-M}{N-k_0}\right) < M. \end{aligned}$$

The second sum in (22) is

$$\begin{aligned} (24) \quad & \text{coeff } z^N \text{ in } \exp\left(M\left(\frac{z}{1} + \dots + \frac{z^{k_0-1}}{k_0-1} + \frac{z^{k_0+1}}{k_0+1} + \dots + \frac{z^N}{N}\right)\right) \\ &= \text{coeff } z^N \text{ in } \frac{1}{(1-z)^M} \exp\left(-\frac{M}{k_0} z^{k_0}\right) < \text{coeff } z^N \text{ in } \frac{1}{(1-z)^M} \\ &= \left|\binom{-M}{N}\right| = M \left(1 - \frac{1-M}{2}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{1-M}{N}\right) < M. \end{aligned}$$

(22), (23), (24) give

$$1 \leq 3M. \quad \text{Q. e. d.}$$

LEMMA 5. Let be given a sequence of real numbers

$$1, \sqrt{2}, \dots, \sqrt{v_m},$$

where v_j are squarefree positive integers and let $\omega < m$.

Then there exists $T^* \leq \exp e^{3m}$ such that

$$|\{T^* \sqrt{v_j}\} - \varphi_j| \leq \frac{1}{\omega},$$

where $\{x\}$ is the fractional part of x .

The proof is obtained by the method of Bohr and Jessen [2]. Let

$$F(t) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^m e^{2\pi i(\sqrt{v_j}t - \varphi_j)}$$

and let

$$K_n(t) = \sum_{\tau=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|\tau|}{n}\right) e^{i\tau t} = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\sin \frac{1}{2} n t}{\sin \frac{1}{2} t}\right)^2$$

be Fejér's kernel.

Now we construct the kernel of Bochner-Fejér

$$K_n^*(t) = \prod_{s=1}^m K_n(2\pi(\sqrt{v_s}t - q_s)).$$

It follows hence

$$F(t)K_n^*(t) = 1 + \frac{n-1}{n}N + S(t),$$

where $S(t)$ is almost periodic polynomial. Fourier's exponent of $S(t)$ are not 0 because $\sqrt{v_j}$ are linearly independent, as it was proved by Besicovitch [1].

We have

$$\frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T F(t) K_n^*(t) dt = 1 + \frac{n-1}{n}m + \Delta,$$

where

$$|\Delta| \leq \frac{1}{2T} \cdot \frac{(2n)^{m+1}}{\min_{|j| \leq m} |l_0 + \dots + l_m \sqrt{v_m}|} = \Delta^*.$$

Let the minimum of $|l_0 + \dots + l_m \sqrt{v_m}|$ for $|l_j| \leq m$ be obtained for l'_0, \dots, l'_m . The number

$$\alpha = l'_0 + l'_1 \sqrt{v_1} + \dots + l'_m \sqrt{v_m}$$

belongs to the field $R(\sqrt{2}, \dots, \sqrt{v_m})$ and as it is easy to show

$$|N(\alpha)| = \prod |e_0 l'_0 + \dots + \varepsilon_m l'_m \sqrt{v_m}| \geq 1,$$

where the product is taken over all the combinations of $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$.

Using the inequality for the arithmetical and geometrical means, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |l'_0 + l'_1 \sqrt{2} + \dots + l'_m \sqrt{v_m}| &\geq \prod |e_0 l'_0 + \dots + \varepsilon_m l'_m \sqrt{v_m}|^{-1} \\ &\geq \{\exp(\exp(m + 2 \ln m))\}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the product is taken over all the combinations of ε_i .

Therefore if $n < m'$ we have

$$\Delta^* \leq \frac{e^{e^{2m}}}{T} \quad \text{for} \quad m \geq m_0 = 2(\gamma + 1).$$

Then in view of

$$\frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T K_n^*(t) dt \leq 1 + \Delta^*,$$

we get

$$\max_{-T \leq t \leq T} |F(t)| \geq 1 + m - \frac{m}{n} - \Delta^* m.$$

Putting here $n = 2m\omega$ and $T = \exp(\exp 3m)$ we obtain the existence of $T^* \leq \exp(\exp 3m)$ such that

$$|F(T^*)| \geq 1 + m + \delta, \quad \text{where} \quad |\delta| \leq 1/\omega.$$

Hence the lemma follows.

THEOREM.

$$(25) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(\lambda, u, v) - \pi\lambda}{\lambda^{1/4} (\ln \ln \lambda)^{1/4 - \varepsilon}} > c > 0$$

and

$$(26) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(\lambda, u, v) - \pi\lambda}{\lambda^{1/4} (\ln \ln \lambda)^{1/4 - \varepsilon}} < -c < 0$$

for all $(u, v) \in G$.

Proof of (26). Using Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 we get for sufficiently large X, ω, η and a suitable $c_1 > 0$

$$\sigma(\omega - \eta, \omega + \eta) \leq -c_1 \sum_{1 \leq n \leq X} \left(1 - \frac{n^{1/2}}{X^{1/2}}\right) \frac{\Phi(n)}{n^{3/4}} \cos\left(2\pi n^{1/2} \omega + \frac{\pi}{4}\right).$$

In the sequel we shall denote by c_s absolute constants different in general.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} Q(t) &= \sum_{1 \leq n \leq X} \left(1 - \frac{n^{1/2}}{X^{1/2}}\right) \frac{\Phi(n)}{n^{3/4}} \cos\left(2\pi n^{1/2} t + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\nu} \sum_q \left(1 - \frac{(\nu q^2)^{1/2}}{X^{1/2}}\right) \frac{\Phi(\nu q^2)}{(\nu q^2)^{3/4}} \cos\left(2\pi q \nu^{1/2} t + \frac{\pi}{4}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the external sum is taken over all the squarefree ν and the inner sum is taken over all $1 \leq q \leq [X/\nu]$.

Let

$$Q_q(t_\nu) = \sum_q \left(1 - \frac{(\nu q^2)^{1/2}}{X^{1/2}}\right) \frac{\Phi(\nu q^2)}{(\nu q^2)^{3/4}} \cos\left(2\pi q t_\nu + \frac{\pi}{4}\right),$$

where $t_\nu = \sqrt{\nu} t$.

Parseval's equality gives for $Q_q(t_\nu)$

$$\int_0^1 Q_q^2(t_\nu) dt_\nu = \frac{1}{2} \sum_q \left(1 - \frac{(\nu q^2)^{1/2}}{X^{1/2}}\right)^2 \frac{|\Phi(\nu q^2)|^2}{(\nu q^2)^{3/2}}$$

and it is obvious that

$$|Q_q(t_\nu)| \leq \sum_q \left(1 - \frac{(\nu q^2)^{1/2}}{X^{1/2}}\right) \frac{|\Phi(\nu q^2)|}{(\nu q^2)^{3/4}}.$$

In virtue of Lemma 3 there exists $0 \leq t^* \leq 1$ such that

$$Q_q(t_\nu^*) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_q \left(1 - \frac{(\nu q^2)^{1/2}}{X^{1/2}}\right)^2 \frac{|\Phi(\nu q^2)|^2}{(\nu q^2)^{3/2}} \right) \left(\sum_q \left(1 - \frac{(\nu q^2)^{1/2}}{X^{1/2}}\right) \frac{|\Phi(\nu q^2)|}{(\nu q^2)^{3/4}} \right)^{-1}.$$

Using Cauchy's inequality we get

$$Q_q(t_\nu^*) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\nu}{X}\right)^{1/4} \left(\sum_q \left(1 - \frac{(\nu q^2)^{1/2}}{X^{1/2}}\right)^2 \frac{|\Phi(\nu q^2)|^2}{(\nu q^2)^{3/2}} \right)^{1/2}.$$

If $\nu = p$, p is a prime number, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then as it is well known $r(p) = 8$, where $r(p)$ is the number of representations of p as the sum of two squares. Further it is known that

$$\frac{r(n_1 \cdot n_2)}{4} = \frac{r(n_1)}{4} \cdot \frac{r(n_2)}{4}$$

if $(n_1, n_2) = 1$.

Thus we get $r(k^2 p) = 8$, $1 \leq k \leq 8$ and $k \neq 5$ and it follows

$$\Phi(k^2 p) = \sum_{a^2 + b^2 = p} e^{2\pi i k(au + bv)}, \quad \text{where} \quad k \neq 5, \quad 1 \leq k \leq 8.$$

Using Lemma 4 we get for some $1 \leq k, \leq 8$, $k, \neq 5$ and for all $(u, v) \in G$ and $\nu = p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $p \leq X/2^{10}$

$$Q_q(t_\nu^*) \geq c_1 \left(\frac{\nu}{X}\right)^{1/4} \frac{1}{\nu^{3/4}}.$$

Now we apply Lemma 5 to $k\sqrt{\nu}$, $\nu \leq X$ and $\omega = \frac{1}{10\sqrt{X}}$, $\varphi_j = t_j^*$.

Therefore there exists $T \leq \exp(\exp 3X)$ such that

$$Q(T) \geq \frac{c_1}{X^{1/4}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq X/2^{10} \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} \frac{1}{p^{1/2}} \geq c_1 \frac{X^{1/4}}{\ln X}.$$

Hence

$$\sigma(T - \eta, T + \eta) \leq -c_1 (\ln \ln T)^{1/4} \varepsilon$$

for $T > T_0(\varepsilon)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

(26) is true, (25) follows by the same way, one has to use only the corollary of this lemma.

Remark. If we estimate the degree of linear independence more exactly (Lemma 5) the term $(\ln \ln \lambda)^{1/4 - \varepsilon}$ in (25) and (26) can be replaced by $\left(\frac{\ln \ln \lambda}{\ln \ln \ln \lambda} \right)^{1/4}$.

I wish to thank Professor P. Turán for reading the paper and making some valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] A. S. Besicovitch, *On the linear independence of fractional powers of integers*, J. London Math. Soc. 15 (1940), pp. 3-6.
 [2] H. Bohr and B. Jessen, *One more proof of Kronecker's theorem*, J. London Math. Soc. 7 (1932), pp. 274-275.
 [3] A. E. Ingham, *On two classical lattice-points problems*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 36 (1940), pp. 131-138.
 [4] D. J. Kendall, *On the number of lattice-points inside a random oval*, Quart. Job. Math. (Oxford), 19 (1948), pp. 1-26.
 [5] E. Landau, *Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie*, Leipzig 1927.
 [6] P. Turán, *Eine neue Methode in der Analysis und deren Anwendungen*, Budapest 1953.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 19. 2. 1967

Some notes on k -th power residues

by

P. D. T. A. ELLIOTT (Nottingham)

Let k be a positive integer and p a rational prime satisfying $p \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$. We then define $n_k(p)$ to be the least positive integer which is not a k th power \pmod{p} . For the remaining primes we define it to be zero.

It is a long standing conjecture that the estimate $n_k(p) = O(p^\varepsilon)$ holds for any fixed value of $\varepsilon > 0$. In an average sense this result is known to be true since there is a constant c_k for which

$$\sum_{p < x} n_k(p) \sim c_k x / \log x,$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. For a proof of this result we refer for example to Elliott [5].

If we assume an extended form of the Riemann hypothesis then the method of N. C. Ankeny [1] shows that

$$n_k(p) = O((\log p)^2).$$

In the other direction, Chowla showed that there is a positive constant c for which $n_k(p) > c \log p$ holds infinitely often. It is our present purpose to show that a similar result holds for certain other values of k .

THEOREM 1. *If k is an odd prime there is a constant $d_k > 0$ for which*

$$n_k(p) > d_k \log p$$

holds infinitely often.

For the duration of this theorem, we assume that k is an odd prime. We need two lemmas.

For an integer k let \mathbb{Q}_k denote the cyclotomic field obtained by adjoining the k th roots of unity to the field of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} . Let $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_k$ denote the ring of algebraic integers in this field. For any element a of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_k$ we use $[\alpha]$ to denote the principal ideal generated in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_k$ by a . Furthermore we take $\rho = \exp(2\pi i/k)$ and $\lambda = 1 - \rho$ which are both algebraic integers of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_k$.