

References

- [1] L. Carlitz, *Some theorems on permutation polynomials*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 68 (1962), pp. 120-122.
 [2] H. Davenport, *On character sums in finite fields*, Acta Math. 71 (1939), pp. 99-121.
 [3] L. E. Dickson, *Linear Groups with an Exposition of Galois Field Theory*, New York, Dover, 1958.
 [4] A. Weil, *On the Riemann hypothesis in function fields*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 27 (1941), pp. 97-98.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 19. 1. 1966

Further developments in the comparative prime-number theory VI

Accumulation theorems for residue-classes representing quadratic residues mod k

by

S. KNAPOWSKI (Poznań) and P. TURÁN (Budapest)

1. In this paper we return to the "modified Abelian means", introduced in paper [2] and further studied throughout [3] and [4]. Our present aim is to compare, in the sense of this means, the number of primes belonging to progressions $\equiv l_1 \pmod{k}$ resp. $\equiv l_2 \pmod{k}$, where both l_1 and l_2 are quadratic residues mod k . As before, we have to assume the Haselgrove-condition: there is an $E = E(k) > 0$ such that none of the $L(s, \chi)$ -functions mod k vanishes in

$$(1.1) \quad \sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad |t| \leq E(k), \quad s = \sigma + it.$$

In addition to (1.1), we have to assume what we call "a finite Riemann-Piltz hypothesis": with a suitable η satisfying ⁽¹⁾

$$(1.2) \quad 0 < \eta < \min \left(c_1, \left(\frac{E(k)}{8\pi} \right)^2 \right)$$

none of the $L(s, \chi)$ -functions mod k vanishes in

$$(1.3) \quad \sigma > \frac{1}{2}, \quad |t| \leq \frac{3}{\sqrt{\eta}}.$$

There is no loss of generality in supposing

$$(1.4) \quad E(k) \leq k^{-15},$$

this and (1.2) give automatically

$$(1.5) \quad \eta < k^{-30}.$$

With these provisions we can state the following:

⁽¹⁾ c_1 and later c_2, \dots stand for positive numerical constants.

THEOREM 1. If l_1, l_2 , satisfying $(l_1, k) = (l_2, k) = 1$, $l_1 \not\equiv l_2 \pmod{k}$, are both quadratic residues mod k , and (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) hold, then for every ⁽²⁾

$$(1.6) \quad T > e_3(\eta^{-3})$$

there are x_1, x_2 and ν_1, ν_2 with

$$(1.7) \quad T^{1-\sqrt{\eta}} \leq x_1, x_2 \leq T \log T,$$

$$(1.8) \quad 2\eta \log T \leq \nu_1, \nu_2 \leq 2\eta \log T + \log \log T$$

such that

$$\sum_{p=l_2(\text{mod } k)} \log p \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{\nu_1} \log^2 \frac{p}{x_1}} - \sum_{p=l_1(\text{mod } k)} \log p \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{\nu_1} \log^2 \frac{p}{x_1}} > T^{\frac{1}{2}-2\sqrt{\eta}}$$

and

$$\sum_{p=l_2(\text{mod } k)} \log p \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{\nu_2} \log^2 \frac{p}{x_2}} - \sum_{p=l_1(\text{mod } k)} \log p \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{\nu_2} \log^2 \frac{p}{x_2}} < -T^{\frac{1}{2}-2\sqrt{\eta}}.$$

Again, following the pattern of our paper [2], we can derive from Theorem 1 a direct comparison of the distribution of primes $\equiv l_1 \pmod{k}$ resp. $\equiv l_2 \pmod{k}$ in relatively short intervals.

This is given by

THEOREM 2. Under the conditions of Theorem 1 there are numbers U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4 satisfying

$$T^{1-4\sqrt{\eta}} \leq U_1 < U_2 \leq T^{1+4\sqrt{\eta}},$$

$$T^{1-4\sqrt{\eta}} \leq U_3 < U_4 \leq T^{1+4\sqrt{\eta}}$$

such that

$$\sum_{\substack{p=l_2(\text{mod } k) \\ U_1 \leq p < U_2}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{p=l_1(\text{mod } k) \\ U_1 \leq p < U_2}} 1 > T^{\frac{1}{2}-3\sqrt{\eta}}$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{p=l_2(\text{mod } k) \\ U_3 \leq p < U_4}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{p=l_1(\text{mod } k) \\ U_3 \leq p < U_4}} 1 < -T^{\frac{1}{2}-3\sqrt{\eta}}.$$

We wish to emphasize once more that we have not been able to prove a similar result in case where *exactly one* of the l_j 's is a quadratic residue and none of l_1, l_2 is $\equiv 1 \pmod{k}$.

The simplest case in which our present methods fail is that of $k = 5$, $l_1 = 2$ (or 3), $l_2 = 4$.

⁽²⁾ $e_3(\tau)$ stands for $\exp\{\text{expr}\}$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1 will be based on a number of lemmas. The first of them is a combination of Lemmas 1 and 6 of our paper [4].

LEMMA 1. Under the conditions of Theorem 1 there exists a prime $P_0 \equiv l_2 \pmod{k}$, satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad c_2 \varphi(k)^{5/2} \leq P_0 \leq c_3 \varphi(k)^{5/2},$$

such that

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{\varphi(k)} \operatorname{Re} \sum_x \{\bar{\chi}(l_1) - \bar{\chi}(l_2)\} \sum_{\rho(x)} e^{\frac{\tau_0}{4}(\rho^2 + 2\xi_0 \rho)} > c_4 P_0 \log^2 P_0,$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad \xi_0 = 2P_0^2 \log^3 P_0, \quad r_0 = P_0^{-2} \log^{-2} P_0,$$

and $\sum'_{\rho(x)}$ means that the summation is to be extended over the ρ 's with $|\operatorname{Im} \rho| \leq c_5 k^5$ ⁽³⁾.

Before formulating Lemma 2, we wish to explain our notation. We consider n complex numbers z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n with

$$1 = |z_1| \geq |z_2| \geq \dots \geq |z_n|$$

and such that

$$(2.4) \quad \kappa \leq |\arg z_j| \leq \pi \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

is true with a certain $0 < \kappa \leq \pi/2$; m being a non-negative integer, we suppose that for an h

$$(2.5) \quad |z_h| > \frac{4n}{m + n(3 + \pi/\kappa)}.$$

Further, we fix an h_1 with

$$(2.6) \quad |z_{h_1}| < |z_h| - \frac{2n}{m + n(3 + \pi/\kappa)}$$

and, given numbers b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n , define

$$(2.7) \quad B = \min_{h < w < h_1} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^w b_j;$$

⁽³⁾ c_5 can be thought of as being as large as we please, however, fixed; clearly, making c_1 in (1.2) sufficiently small (in dependence of c_5), we conclude from (1.2)-(1.3)-(1.4) that $|\operatorname{Im} \rho| < c_5 k^5$ implies $\operatorname{Re} \rho = \frac{1}{2}$. c_5 will be properly chosen in section 7.

if there is no h_1 satisfying (2.6), we put

$$(2.8) \quad B = \min_{h \leq w \leq n} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^w b_j.$$

We assert (proof to be found in [1]):

LEMMA 2. *If $B > 0$, there exist integer ν_1, ν_2 with*

$$(2.9) \quad m+1 \leq \nu_1, \nu_2 \leq m+n(3+\pi/\kappa)$$

such that

$$(2.10) \quad \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^n b_j z_j^{\nu_1} \geq \frac{B}{2n+1} \left\{ \frac{n}{24(m+n(3+\pi/\kappa))} \right\}^{2\nu_1} \left(\frac{|z_h|}{2} \right)^{m+n(3+\pi/\kappa)}$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^n b_j z_j^{\nu_2} \leq -\frac{B}{2n+1} \left\{ \frac{n}{24(m+n(3+\pi/\kappa))} \right\}^{2\nu_2} \left(\frac{|z_h|}{2} \right)^{m+n(3+\pi/\kappa)}$$

Next we have (proof to be found in [2]):

LEMMA 3. *If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots$ are real numbers with*

$$|\alpha_\nu| \geq U \quad (> 0),$$

further, if with a $\gamma > 1$

$$\sum_\nu \frac{1}{1+|\alpha_\nu|^\gamma} \leq V \quad (< \infty),$$

then every real interval of length $> 1/U$ contains a ξ -value such that

$$\|\alpha_\nu \xi + \beta_\nu\| \geq \frac{1}{24V} \cdot \frac{1}{1+|\alpha_\nu|^\gamma}$$

for every ν ; here, as usually, $\|x\|$ stands for the distance of x from the nearest integer.

We shall need two more lemmas.

LEMMA 4. *There exists a broken line W in the vertical strip $\frac{1}{5} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{1}{4}$, consisting of horizontal and vertical segments alternately, each horizontal strip of width 1 containing at most one horizontal segment, such that the inequality*

$$\left| \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) \right| < c_6 \varphi(k) \log^2 k (2+|t|)$$

holds along W for every L -function mod k .

This lemma can be proved following mutatis mutandis the Appendix III of [6]. The last lemma, which we need, is a simple consequence of a theorem of Siegel [5].

LEMMA 5. *Any L -function, modulo any $k \geq 1$ has at least one zero in*

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma < 1, \quad \tau \leq t \leq \tau + c_7$$

where real τ is arbitrary and c_7 numerical.

3. We pass over to the proof of Theorem 1. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_g$ resp. $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_g$ denote the solutions of $x^2 \equiv l_1 \pmod{k}$ resp. $x^2 \equiv l_2 \pmod{k}$. We consider the function

$$f(s) = \frac{1}{\varphi(k)} \left\{ \sum_{\chi} (\bar{\chi}(l_1) - \bar{\chi}(l_2)) \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) - \sum_{j=1}^g \sum_{\chi} (\bar{\chi}(\lambda_j) - \bar{\chi}(\mu_j)) \frac{L'}{L}(2s, \chi) \right\}.$$

Setting

$$\varepsilon_k(n, l_2, l_1) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } n \equiv l_1 \pmod{k}, \\ +1 & \text{if } n \equiv l_2 \pmod{k}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we can write (p standing for primes)

$$\begin{aligned} f(s) &= \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^s} \varepsilon_k(p, l_2, l_1) + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^{2s}} \varepsilon_k(p^2, l_2, l_1) + \\ &+ f_1(s) - \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^{2s}} \sum_{j=1}^g \varepsilon_k(p, \mu_j, \lambda_j) + f_2(s) \\ &= \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^s} \varepsilon_k(p, l_2, l_1) + f_3(s), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_1(s), f_2(s), f_3(s)$ are regular and bounded in $\sigma \geq \frac{2}{5}$. In particular,

$$(3.1) \quad \left| f(s) - \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^s} \varepsilon_k(p, l_2, l_1) \right| \leq c_8, \quad \text{if } \sigma \geq \frac{2}{5}.$$

4. Let us apply Lemma 3 for $\alpha_\nu = \frac{1}{4\pi} t_\nu$ and $\alpha_\nu = \frac{1}{8\pi} t_\nu, \beta_\nu = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sigma_\nu t_\nu$

and $\beta_\nu = \frac{1}{16\pi} \sigma_\nu t_\nu$, where $\varrho = \sigma_\nu + it_\nu$ runs through all non-trivial L -zeros mod k , further

$$\gamma = \frac{11}{10}, \quad U = \frac{E(k)}{8\pi}.$$

Since we can evidently put

$$V = c_9 k \log k,$$

Lemma 3 insures the existence of a ξ with

$$(4.1) \quad \eta^{-1} - \eta^{-1/2} \leq \xi \leq \eta^{-1}$$

(owing to (1.2), the condition $\eta^{-1/2} > 1/U$ of Lemma 3 is satisfied) such that for all ϱ 's

$$(4.2) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{2} (\xi t_\varrho + \sigma_\varrho t_\varrho) \right\| \geq \frac{c_{10}}{k \log k} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + |t_\varrho|^{11/10}}$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \left(\xi t_\varrho + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_\varrho t_\varrho \right) \right\| \geq \frac{c_{10}}{k \log k} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + |t_\varrho|^{11/10}}.$$

We choose, further,

$$(4.4) \quad m = 2\eta \log T,$$

and restrict integer r by

$$(4.5) \quad m \leq r \leq m + \eta^{-6/5}.$$

Next, we consider the integral

$$(4.6) \quad H(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(s+\xi_0)^2 + \frac{r}{4}(s+\xi)^2} f(s) ds.$$

Owing to (3.1) and the integral formula

$$\int_{(2)} e^{s^2 y + s \delta} ds = i \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{y}} e^{-\frac{1}{4y} \delta^2} \quad (y > 0),$$

we get

$$(4.7) \quad H(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(s+\xi_0)^2 + \frac{r}{4}(s+\xi)^2} \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^s} \varepsilon_k(p, l_2, l_1) d\delta + \\ + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2/5)} e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(s+\xi_0)^2 + \frac{r}{4}(s+\xi)^2} O(1) d\delta \\ = \frac{e^{(r_0 \xi_0^2 + r \xi^2)/4}}{\sqrt{\pi(r_0+r)}} \sum_p \varepsilon_k(p, l_2, l_1) \log p \cdot e^{-(\log p - (r_0 \xi_0 + r \xi)/2)^2 / (r_0+r)} + \\ + O\left(e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(\xi_0 + \frac{2}{5})^2 + \frac{r}{4}(\xi + \frac{2}{5})^2}\right).$$

5. We get another expression for $H(r)$ on shifting the line of integration to the polygonal line W (resp. $W/2$) of Lemma 4. The main term is a sum of residues

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(k)} \sum_x \{ \bar{\chi}(l_1) - \bar{\chi}(l_2) \} \sum_{\substack{\varrho = \varrho(x) \\ \text{(right to } W)}} e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(e+\xi_0)^2 + \frac{r}{4}(e+\xi)^2} + \\ + \frac{1}{\varphi(k)} \sum_{j=1}^u \sum_x \{ \bar{\chi}(\lambda_j) - \bar{\chi}(\mu_j) \} \sum_{\text{right to } W} e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(\frac{e}{2} + \xi_0)^2 + \frac{r}{4}(\frac{e}{2} + \xi)^2},$$

and the remainder can be estimated, using Lemma 4, by

$$c_{11} k \log^2 k e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(\xi_0 + \frac{1}{4})^2 + \frac{r}{4}(\xi + \frac{1}{4})^2}.$$

Combining this with (4.7), and dividing by

$$\frac{e^{(r_0 \xi_0^2 + r \xi^2)/4}}{\sqrt{\pi(r_0+r)}},$$

we obtain

$$(5.1) \quad \left| \sum_p \varepsilon_k(p, l_2, l_1) \log p \cdot e^{\frac{-(\log p - (r_0 \xi_0 + r \xi)/2)^2}{r_0+r}} - \right. \\ \left. - \sqrt{\pi(r_0+r)} \left\{ \sum_x \frac{\bar{\chi}(l_1) - \bar{\chi}(l_2)}{\varphi(k)} \sum_{\text{right to } W} e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(e^2 + 2\xi_0 e) + \frac{r}{4}(e^2 + 2\xi e)} - \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \sum_{j=1}^u \sum_x \frac{\bar{\chi}(\lambda_j) - \bar{\chi}(\mu_j)}{\varphi(k)} \sum_{\text{right to } W} e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(e^2/4 + \xi_0 e) + \frac{r}{4}(e^2/4 + \xi e)} \right\} \right| \\ \leq c_{12} (k \log^2 k) \sqrt{r_0+r} e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(\frac{4}{5}\xi_0 + \frac{4}{5})^2 + \frac{r}{4}(\frac{4}{5}\xi + \frac{4}{5})^2}.$$

We can easily estimate the contribution of ϱ 's with $|t_\varrho| > 3/\sqrt{\eta}$ to the sums \sum' in (5.1). Using (1.2), (1.4), (1.5), (2.1), (2.3), (4.1), (4.4) and (4.5), we get for it the upper bound

$$c_{13} \sqrt{r_0+r} \left\{ e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(2\xi_0+1) + \frac{r}{4}(2\xi+1)} \sum_{n \geq 3/\sqrt{\eta}-1} e^{-\frac{r_0+r}{4} n^2} \log kn + \right. \\ \left. + k e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(\xi_0 + \frac{1}{4}) + \frac{r}{4}(\xi + \frac{1}{4})} \sum_{n \geq 3/\sqrt{\eta}-1} e^{-\frac{r_0+r}{4} n^2} \log kn \right\} \\ < c_{14} \sqrt{r_0+r} \left\{ e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(2\xi_0+1) + \frac{r}{4}(2\xi+1)} \left(\log \frac{k}{\sqrt{\eta}} \right) e^{-\frac{r_0+r}{4} \frac{8}{\eta}} + \right. \\ \left. + k e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(\xi_0 + \frac{1}{4}) + \frac{r}{4}(\xi + \frac{1}{4})} \left(\log \frac{k}{\sqrt{\eta}} \right) e^{-\frac{r_0+r}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\eta}} \right\}.$$

Since

$$e^{-(r_0+r)/\eta} < e^{-r/\eta} \leq e^{-2 \log T} = T^{-2},$$

we estimate it further by

$$c_{15} (\log T)^{1/2} (P_0 T^{1+\eta/2} e^{\eta^{-11/5} (\log \log T)} T^{-4} + P_0^{1/2} (\log T) T^{1/2+\eta/8} e^{\eta^{-11/5} (\log \log T)} T^{-1}) < c_{16}.$$

A similar estimation gives the bound of $T^{2/5+\eta}$ for the error-term in (5.1). Together, we obtain

$$(5.2) \quad \left| \sum_p \varepsilon_k(p, l_2, l_1) \log p \cdot e^{-\frac{(\log p - (r_0 \varepsilon_0 + r \varepsilon)/2)^2}{r_0 + r}} - \sqrt{\pi(r_0 + r)} \left\{ \sum_x \frac{\bar{\chi}(l_1) - \bar{\chi}(l_2)}{\varphi(k)} \sum_{\substack{q(x) \\ |\text{Im } \rho \leq 3\eta^{-1/2}}} e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(a^2 + 2\varepsilon_0 a) + \frac{r}{4}(a^2 + 2\varepsilon a)} - \sum_{j=1}^g \sum_x \frac{\bar{\chi}(\lambda_j) - \bar{\chi}(\mu_j)}{\varphi(k)} \sum_{\substack{q(x) \\ |\text{Im } \rho \leq 3\eta^{-1/2}}} e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(a^2 + \varepsilon_0 a) + \frac{r}{4}(a^2 + \varepsilon a)} \right\} \right| \leq c_{17} T^{\frac{2}{5} + \eta}.$$

6. Let $\rho_1 = u_1 + iv_1$ be one of the non-trivial $L(s, \chi)$ -zeros, χ modulo k and $\chi(l_1) \neq \chi(l_2)$, such that $|e^{\rho_1(a^2 + 2\varepsilon a)}|$, considered for all $\rho = \sigma_a + it_a$ with $|t_a| \leq 3\eta^{-1/2}$, attains maximum at $\rho = \rho_1$. We put (5.2) in the form

$$(6.1) \quad \left| \sum_p \varepsilon_k(p, l_2, l_1) \log p \cdot e^{-\frac{(\log p - (r_0 \varepsilon_0 + r \varepsilon)/2)^2}{r_0 + r}} - \sqrt{\pi(r_0 + r)} |e^{\frac{1}{4}(a_1^2 + 2\varepsilon_0 a_1)}|^r \text{Re} \left\{ \sum_x \frac{\bar{\chi}(l_1) - \bar{\chi}(l_2)}{\varphi(k)} \times \sum_{\substack{q(x) \\ |t_a| \leq 3\eta^{-1/2}}} e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(a^2 + 2\varepsilon_0 a)} (e^{\frac{1}{4}(a^2 + 2\varepsilon a) - \text{Re } \frac{1}{4}(a_1^2 + 2\varepsilon_0 a_1)})^r \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \sum_{j=1}^g \sum_x \frac{\bar{\chi}(\lambda_j) - \bar{\chi}(\mu_j)}{\varphi(k)} \sum_{\substack{q(x) \\ |t_a| \leq 3\eta^{-1/2}}} e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(a^2 + \varepsilon_0 a)} (e^{\frac{1}{4}(a^2 + \varepsilon a) - \text{Re } \frac{1}{4}(a_1^2 + 2\varepsilon_0 a_1)})^r \right\} \right| \leq c_{17} T^{\frac{2}{5} + \eta}.$$

In order to apply Lemma 2, we have to introduce numbers z_j and b_j . With ρ 's occurring in (6.1), we shall call numbers

$$z_j = e^{\frac{1}{4}(a^2 + 2\varepsilon a) - \text{Re } \frac{1}{4}(a_1^2 + 2\varepsilon_0 a)}$$

z_j -numbers of the first class, and numbers

$$z_{j''} = e^{\frac{1}{4}(a^2/4 + \varepsilon a) - \text{Re } \frac{1}{4}(a_1^2 + 2\varepsilon_0 a)}$$

z_j -numbers of the second class; accordingly,

$$b_j = \frac{\bar{\chi}(l_1) - \bar{\chi}(l_2)}{\varphi(k)} e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(a^2 + 2\varepsilon_0 a)}$$

and

$$b_{j''} = \frac{\bar{\chi}(\mu_i) - \bar{\chi}(\lambda_i)}{\varphi(k)} e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(a^2/4 + \varepsilon_0 a)}$$

will be called b_j -numbers of the first resp. second class. It is clear, after (4.2), (4.3), and (1.5), that for all z_j 's

$$(6.2) \quad |\arg z_j| > \kappa \frac{\text{def}}{c_5} \eta^{3/5}.$$

In addition to ρ_1 , we will introduce two more special ρ 's. We define $\rho_2 = u_2 + iv_2$ as the $\rho(\chi) \pmod k$, with $\chi(l_1) \neq \chi(l_2)$, for which v_2 is maximal and $\leq c_5 k^5$. Hence c_5 is the constant occurring in Lemma 1; obviously, as pointed out in the footnote in Lemma 1, we have $u_2 = \frac{1}{2}$. Then, similarly, $\rho_3 = u_3 + iv_3$ is defined as the $\rho(\chi) \pmod k$, with $\chi(l_1) \neq \chi(l_2)$, for which v_3 is minimal and $\geq c_5 k^5 + 1$. Again, noting Lemma 5, we may assume $u_3 = \frac{1}{2}$.

Making c_5 sufficiently large, we may assert

$$(6.3) \quad 2 \leq v_2 \leq c_5 k^5$$

and

$$(6.4) \quad c_5 k^5 + 1 \leq v_3 \leq 2c_5 k^5.$$

Next we define h and h_1 by putting

$$z_h = e^{\frac{1}{4}(a_2^2 + 2\varepsilon_0 a_2) - \text{Re } \frac{1}{4}(a_1^2 + 2\varepsilon_0 a_1)}, \\ z_{h_1} = e^{\frac{1}{4}(a_3^2 + 2\varepsilon_0 a_3) - \text{Re } \frac{1}{4}(a_1^2 + 2\varepsilon_0 a_1)}.$$

We assert that all z_j 's of the second class are absolutely $\leq |z_{h_1}|$. This, however, reduces to the inequality

$$(6.5) \quad |e^{a^2/16 + \varepsilon_0 a/4}| \leq |e^{\frac{a^2}{8} + \varepsilon_0 a/2}|$$

for $|\text{Im } \rho| \leq 3\eta^{-1/2}$.

In fact, it is enough to show

$$e^\varepsilon > e^{\frac{2v_2^2}{3}};$$

this inequality, however, follows from (1.2), (1.5), (4.1) and (6.4).

In our notation (6.1) may be simply put as follows

$$(6.8) \quad \left| \sum_p \varepsilon_k(p, l_2, l_1) \log p \cdot e^{-\frac{(\log p - (v_0^2 + r^2)/2)^2}{r_0 + r}} - \sqrt{\pi(r_0 + r)} e^{\frac{1}{4}(v_1^2 + 2\xi_0)} \right| r \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^n b_j z_j^r \leq c_{17} T^{\frac{2}{5} + \eta},$$

and we will proceed to estimate

$$(6.9) \quad Z(r) = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^n b_j z_j^r.$$

First of all, we observe that

$$(6.10) \quad n \leq c_{20} k \eta^{-1/2} \log(k\eta^{-1}) < \eta^{-4/7}.$$

Further, (4.5), (6.2), (6.10) imply that we may restrict r to

$$(6.11) \quad m+1 \leq r \leq m + (3 + \pi/\kappa)n.$$

7. In order to apply Lemma 2, and get reasonable bounds for $Z(r)$, we have to check (2.5) and (2.6). Since

$$u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$|z_n| = e^{\frac{1}{4}(v_1^2 - v_2^2)} > e^{-c_5^2 k^{10}},$$

and also, using (4.4) and (6.10),

$$\frac{4n}{m + n(3 + \pi/\kappa)} < \frac{4\eta^{-4/7}}{2\eta \log T} < \frac{1}{\eta^2 \log T}.$$

Making c_1 in (1.2) small enough and using (1.5),

$$e^{-c_5^2 k^{10}} > e^{-\eta^{-1}};$$

further, by (1.6),

$$e^{-\eta^{-1}} > \eta^{-2} (\log T)^{-1}$$

and (2.5) follows. As to (2.6), we have

$$|z_n| - |z_{h_1}| = e^{\frac{1}{4}(v_1^2 - v_2^2)} - e^{\frac{1}{4}(v_1^2 - v_3^2)} > e^{-v_2^2} (1 - e^{-v_2^2 - v_3^2}) > e^{-v_2^2} (1 - e^{-1}) > \frac{1}{2} e^{-v_2^2},$$

which clearly reduces our problem to the previous one. We also observe that for $h \leq w < h_1$ $\operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^w b_j z_j^r$ differs from the series in (2.2) by at most

$$(7.1) \quad \frac{1}{\varphi(h)} \sum_x |\bar{\chi}(l_1) - \bar{\chi}(l_2)| \sum_{\operatorname{Im} z \geq v_2} |e^{\frac{r_0}{4}(z^2 + 2\xi_0 z)}|;$$

here we made use of our remark that all z_j 's of the second class are absolutely $\leq |z_{h_1}|$. The sum in (7.1) is easily estimated by

$$c_{21} P_0 \log(c_5 k^5) e^{-r_0 c_5^2 k^{10}/20}$$

and this in turn is made

$$< \frac{1}{2} c_4 P_0 \log^2 P_0,$$

on choosing c_5 large enough. It follows that

$$(7.2) \quad B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min_{h \leq w < h_1} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^w b_j > \frac{1}{2} c_4 P_0 \log^2 P_0.$$

8. We proceed to estimate the sum $Z(r)$ in (6.9). Lemma 2 says that for a suitable $r = r_1$, satisfying (6.11), we have

$$Z(r_1) > \frac{B}{2n+1} \left\{ \frac{n}{24(m+n(3+\pi/\kappa))} \right\}^{2n} \left(\frac{|z_n|}{2} \right)^{m+n(3+\pi/\kappa)}$$

It follows that

$$(8.1) \quad |e^{\frac{1}{4}(v_1^2 + 2\xi_0)}|^{r_1} Z(r_1) > \frac{B}{2n+1} \left\{ \frac{n}{24(m+n(3+\pi/\kappa))} \right\}^{2n} \times \\ \times 2^{-m-n(3+\pi/\kappa)} e^{\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Re}(v_1^2 + 2\xi_0)(m+n(3+\pi/\kappa))} e^{\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Re}(v_1^2 + 2\xi_0)(r_1 - m - n(3+\pi/\kappa))}.$$

Since, by (4.1)

$$\operatorname{Re}(v_1^2 + 2\xi_0) = \frac{1}{2} - v_1^2 + \xi < \frac{1}{2} + \eta^{-1}$$

and $r_1 - m - n(3 + \pi/\kappa)$ is non-positive, we can estimate from below the last term in (8.1) by

$$e^{-\left(\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{4}\eta^{-1}\right)n(3+\pi/\kappa)} > e^{-\eta^{-3}}.$$

Further, by (1.5), (4.1), (4.4), (6.3),

$$\frac{1}{e^{\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Re}(v_2^2 + 2\xi_0)(m+n(3+\pi/\kappa))}} > e^{\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{4} - v_2^2 + \xi\right)(m+n(3+\pi/\kappa))} \\ > e^{\frac{1}{4}(\eta^{-1} - \eta^{-1/2} - c_{22} k^{10})m} > e^{\frac{1}{4}(\eta^{-1} - 2\eta^{-1/2})2\eta \log T} = T^{1/2 - \sqrt{\eta}}.$$

Since roughly

$$\left(\frac{n}{24(m+n(3+\pi/\kappa))} \right)^{2n} > e^{-\frac{1}{\eta} \log \log T} > T^{-\sqrt{\eta}/3}$$

and

$$2^{-m-n(3+\pi/\kappa)} > T^{-2\eta} e^{-\eta^{-6/5}} > T^{-\sqrt{\eta}/3},$$

we get finally from (8.1), noting also (7.2),

$$|e^{\frac{1}{4}(\varepsilon_1^2 + 2\varepsilon_1)}| r_1 Z(r_1) > T^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{7}{4}\nu\eta}.$$

Setting

$$(8.2) \quad \nu_1 = r_0 + r_1, \quad x_1 = e^{(r_0\varepsilon_0 + r_1\varepsilon)/2},$$

we deduce thus from (6.8)

$$\sum_p \varepsilon_k(p, l_2, l_1) \log p \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{4}(\log \frac{p}{x_1})^2} > T^{\frac{1}{2} - 2\nu\eta},$$

i.e. the first statement of Theorem 1. The second statement follows *mutatis mutandis*.

9. To complete the proof, it remains to show (1.7) and (1.8). By (8.2), (2.1), (2.3), (4.1), (4.4), (4.5)

$$x_1 \leq c_3 k^{5/2} e^{\eta^{-11/5}} T^{\nu}$$

and

$$x_1 \geq T^{1-\nu\bar{\eta}},$$

so that (1.7) follows in view of (1.5) and (1.6). As to (1.8), (8.2), (4.4), (4.5) yield

$$\nu_1 \leq 1 + 2\eta \log T + \eta^{-6/5}$$

and

$$\nu_1 \geq 2\eta \log T,$$

which give the result.

References

- [1] S. Knapowski and P. Turán, *Comparative prime-number theory III*, Acta Math. Hung. 13 (1962), pp. 343-364.
 [2] — — *Further developments in the comparative prime-number theory II*, Acta Arith. 10 (1964), pp. 293-313.
 [3] — — *Further developments in the comparative prime-number theory III*, Acta Arith. 11 (1965), pp. 115-127.
 [4] — — *Further developments in the comparative prime-number theory IV*, Acta Arith. 11 (1965), pp. 147-161.
 [5] C. L. Siegel, *On the zeros of the Dirichlet L-functions*, Annals of Math. (1945), pp. 405-422.
 [6] P. Turán, *Eine neue Methode in der Analysis und deren Anwendungen*, Akad. Kiadó, Budapest, 1953. A completely rewritten English edition is under preparation, and will appear in the Interscience Tracts series.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 28. 1. 1966

A refinement of a theorem of Schur on primes in arithmetic progressions II

by

J. WÓJCIK (Warszawa)

I. Schur [6] gave purely algebraic proofs of the existence of infinitely many primes in the following special arithmetic progressions:

$$2^{\nu}z + 2^{\nu-1} \pm 1 \quad \text{where } \nu \geq 1,$$

$$8nz + 2n + 1, \quad 8nz + 4n + 1, \quad 8nz + 6n + 1,$$

where n is an odd square-free integer > 0 and

$$p^{\nu}nz + l_{\nu},$$

where

$$l_{\nu} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{n}, \\ -1 \pmod{p^{\nu}} \end{cases}$$

and p is an odd prime.

In the last case Schur assumed the existence of an integer c such that $\left(\frac{F_n(c)}{p}\right) = -1$, where F_n is the n th cyclotomic polynomial.

A. S. Bang [1] gave proofs similar to those of Schur for the existence of infinitely many primes in the following progressions:

$$4p^{\nu}z + 2p^{\nu} + 1, \quad p \equiv 3 \pmod{4},$$

$$6p^{2n+1}z + 2p^{2n+1} + 1, \quad p \equiv 2 \pmod{3},$$

$$6p^{2n}z + 4p^{2n} + 1, \quad p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}.$$

The main aim of the present paper is to prove on the same way a theorem which comprises all the above results as special cases and covers several new cases, e.g. the progressions:

$$48x + 7, \quad 48x + 25, \quad 48x + 31, \quad 105x + 64, \quad 105x + 71, \quad 105x + 76^{(1)}.$$

(1) The last three progressions correspond to the case $p, nz + l_{\nu}$ considered by Schur. However, it is impossible to find here an integer c satisfying $\left(\frac{F_n(c)}{p}\right) = -1$.